cambridge.org/pax

Original Article

Cite this article: Karabulutlu EY, Turan GB, Yanmış S (2022). Evaluation of care burden and preparedness of caregivers who provide care to palliative care patients. *Palliative and Supportive Care* **20**, 30–37. https://doi.org/ 10.1017/S1478951521000213

Received: 6 September 2020 Revised: 12 November 2020 Accepted: 14 February 2021

Key words:

Care burden; Palliative care; Preparedness for caregiving

Author for correspondence:

Gülcan Bahçecioğlu Turan, Department of Nursing, Faculty of Health Sciences, Fırat University, Elazığ, Turkey. E-mail: glcnbah@hotmail.com

© The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press



Evaluation of care burden and preparedness of caregivers who provide care to palliative care patients

Elanur Yılmaz Karabulutlu, рн.р.¹, Gülcan Bahçecioğlu Turan, рн.р., к.м.² 💿

and Safiye Yanmış, PH.D., R.N.³ 💿

¹Department of Nursing, Faculty of Health Sciences, Erzurum Technical University, Erzurum, Turkey; ²Department of Nursing, Faculty of Health Sciences, Firat University, Elazığ, Turkey and ³Department of Nursing, Faculty of Health Sciences, Erzincan Binali Yildirim University, Erzincan, Turkey

Abstract

Objective. Caregivers have a great role in palliative care. Considering the difficult process of palliative care, the caregiver undertakes an extra burden with the responsibility of the patient. This study was carried out to evaluate the care burden and preparedness of caregivers who provide care for palliative care patients.

Method. This study was conducted in the palliative care unit of a state hospital in Turkey between January and May 2019. No sample was selected in the study, and the study was carried out with individuals who were hospitalized in the palliative care unit and who volunteered to participate in the study between the specified dates. The data were collected with Patient Relative Questionnaire Form, Burden Interview, and Preparedness for Caregiving Scale.

Results. The average Burden Interview score was found as 33.6, SD 13.03 in the study. Patient relatives perceived the patients they cared for as a burden at moderate levels. The Preparedness for Caregiving score average was found as 18.55, SD 6.83. It was found that patient relatives were moderately prepared to undertake the role of caregiver. It was found that care burden decreased as preparedness for caregiving increased and burden increased as preparedness for caregiving decreased (p = 0.001).

Significance of results. As a result of the study, it was found that caregivers of palliative patients had the moderate levels of care burden and preparedness for caregiving. It was found that as the preparedness levels of caregivers increased, their burdens decreased. Accordingly, it is important that nurses will plan nursing interventions by considering the factors that affect the care burden and preparedness of caregivers.

Introduction

The World Health Organization (WHO) defined palliative care as the efforts of patients and families who encounter a life-threatening illness to relieve pain and symptoms from the diagnosis of the disease to death and to improve quality of life by providing psychosocial and spiritual support as early as possible. Palliative care accepts death as a normal part of life, aims for the patient to continue living as actively as possible until death and to live a peaceful death, and provides counseling services to the family after death in line with their needs during the grieving process (Worldwide Palliative Care Alliance, 2014).

The gradual increase in the old population and chronic diseases in the world has given palliative care an important place among medical practices. Sixty-nine percent of the general population is adults over 60 years of age, 6% is children and it is estimated that 20 million people need palliative care (Cruz-Oliver, 2017). Today, advances in medicine prolong survival and delay death. While scientific and medical developments prevent many diseases or delay their emergence, the methods patients and caregivers resort to for survival also negatively affect the quality of life of patients and caregivers (Inci and Oz, 2012). This philosophy of care not only reduced the length of hospital stay and extended the life of patients but also increased the family's role in care within home care (Cruz-Oliver, 2017; Hawley, 2017). Caregivers are the first to evaluate the symptoms of patients, and they have a very important role in applying to hospital early, receiving treatment, and increasing quality of life (Ardahan and Yesilbalkan, 2010). However, when caregivers who are expected to fulfill all these responsibilities are not prepared for caregiving, this will cause both the patients' and caregivers' quality of life to get worse (Berry et al., 2017; Kehoe et al., 2019).

Caregivers have a great role in palliative care. Caregivers of palliative care patients have undertaken to meet the needs of the patient in many areas such as treatment monitorization, coping with symptoms related to treatment, emotional, financial, and spiritual support, and personal and medical instrument care (Berry et al., 2017; Kehoe et al., 2019). For this reason, caregivers can experience problems in different areas such as conflicts about social roles, tension in marital and family relationships, limitations in daily activities, distress, fatigue, functional sleep disorders, and deterioration in their physical health. In addition, they have stated that they often feel unprepared in caregiving, they do not have sufficient information about illness and care and they do not know how to realize their caregiver roles, how much care the patient needs and how to use the existing resources since they receive little support from health caregivers (Berry et al., 2017; Yilmaz and Sari, 2017; Egici et al., 2019; Kehoe et al., 2019). This situation increases the care burden of individuals and causes them to experience problems during the process of caregiving. Considering the difficult process of palliative care, the caregiver undertakes an extra burden with the responsibility of the patient. This burden can affect the caregiver in a negative way physically and psychosocially in time (Yilmaz and Sari, 2017).

Features associated with care and preparedness for caregiving such as length of care, intensity and type of care, experience, knowledge and training of the caregiver, and changes in the activities of the caregiver are reported as factors influencing the burden of the caregiver (Berry et al., 2017). The patient and the patient's family have the right to be informed fully about the medical facts related to the patient's state, the recommended medical interventions, options related to interventions, possible risks and benefits of each intervention, the course of the diagnosis and treatment, and the right to receive continuous care. Despite this, patients and their families are not informed sufficiently about the course and complications of the disease, recovery, and treatment (Akdemir et al., 2011). Informing the caregiver on these issues, teaching the necessary skills for caregiving and the methods to facilitate the patient's daily life activities will contribute to caregivers' preparedness for caregiving. It is the responsibility of nurses to determine and support the preparedness of caregivers, especially those providing care to palliative care patients. In this context, the physical, psychological, or social burden experienced by caregivers due to the caregiving process will decrease.

While there are studies in the literature that evaluate preparedness for caregiving (Silver et al., 2004; Kass, 2017) and care burden (Egici et al., 2019; Kehoe et al., 2019) separately, the limited number of studies were found which evaluated the relationship of preparedness for caregiving with care burden (Scherbring, 2002; Grant et al., 2013; Fujinami et al., 2015). For this reason, this study was conducted to evaluate the care burden and preparedness for caregiving of caregivers who were providing care to palliative care patients and the factors influencing these.

Methods

Type of the study

This study is a cross-sectional survey.

Population and sample of the study

The population of the study consisted of 232 individuals who were providing care to patients hospitalized in the palliative care unit of a state hospital in Erzurum between January and May 2019. The sample consisted of 200 (86% participation) individuals who were providing care to palliative care patients and who met the inclusion criteria of the study between these dates. In the power analysis conducted to find out sample size, based on the percentage measurement values, the sample size was calculated as n = 200 with 0.2277 effect size, 95% power, and 0.05 margin

of error. With the power analysis conducted, the data collected were found to be sufficient.

Inclusion criteria

The caregiver's being older than 18 years of age, volunteering to participate in the study, having the cognitive and physical ability to answer the data collection tools and not having communication problems (such as hearing, speech, and understanding).

Data collection

The data were collected face-to-face by the researchers by using Caregiver Questionnaire Form, Burden Interview, and Preparedness for Caregiving Scale.

Caregiver Questionnaire Form

This form consists of a total of 29 questions such as age, gender, relation status, marital status, and income status of patient relatives.

Burden Interview (BI)

It was developed by Zarit et al. in 1980. Turkish validity and reliability of the scale adapted into clinic was conducted by Inci and Erdem (2006). BI adapted to clinic is a 22-item scale. The lowest score one can get from the 4-Likert type scale is 0, while the highest score is 88. The items in the scale are generally directed to the social and emotional area, and a high score shows that the distress experienced is high. Cronbach's alpha coefficient of the scale is 0.95 (Zarit et al., 1980). In the present study, Cronbach's alpha coefficient was found as 0.85.

Preparedness for Caregiving Scale (PCS)

The scale was developed by Archbold and Steward with the perspective of role theory in 1983. Turkish validity and reliability of the study were conducted by Ugur et al. in 2017. The scale has 8 items and no sub-dimensions. A 5-Likert type scale was used in the assessment. There are five choices for each question. The caregiver responds to questions ranging from 0 — Not prepared to 4 — Very prepared. The total score of the scale is obtained by adding up the responses to all items. The total score varies between 0 and 32. Cronbach's alpha coefficient of the scale was reported as between 0.67 and 0.92 (Archbold et al., 1990; Schumacher et al., 2007; Ugur et al., 2017). In the present study, Cronbach's alpha coefficient was found as 0.82.

Data analysis

SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) 25.0 program was used in data assessment. Shapiro–Wilk normality test was used to find out whether the data were normally distributed. The data were found to be normally distributed. In the statistical analysis of research data, descriptive statistical methods (number, percentage, average, standard deviation, minimum, and maximum value) and parametric tests [*t*-test in the comparison of two independent groups, one-way ANOVA in the comparison of three or more independent groups, and Tukey HSD *Post Hoc* test to find out which of the groups were different and relationship tests (Pearson correlation analysis)] were used.

Ethical principles of the study

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Approval was taken from a University, Faculty of

Age (Ave ± SD)	42.6 ± 14.4	
	п	%
Gender		
Female	114	57
Male	86	43
Marital status		
Married	127	63.5
Single	73	36.5
Degree of relation to the patient		
Daughter	40	20.0
Son	47	23.5
Spouse	29	14.5
Sibling	84	42.0
Educational status		
Illiterate	19	9.5
Literate	28	14.0
Primary education	43	21.5
High school	57	28.5
Undergraduate and above	53	26.5
Employment status		
Employed	88	44.0
Unemployed	112	56.0
Norking conditions (<i>n</i> = 88)		
Retired	15	7.5
Full time	70	35.0
Part time	4	2.0
ndividuals living with the caregiver		
Lives alone	69	34.5
With spouse	32	16.0
With spouse and children	99	49.5
The state of living with the patient		
Yes	116	58.0
No	84	42.0
Presence of chronic disease		
Yes	61	30.5
No	139	69.5
Chronic disease of the caregiver $(n = 61)$		
Asthma	15	7.5
COAH	14	7.0
DM	16	8.0
НТ	15	7.5

Table 1. Results about the socio-demographic features of caregivers who participated in the study (n = 200)

Table 1. (Continued.)

Features				
Income < expense	23	11.5		
Income = expense	129	64.5		
Income > expense	48	24.0		
Medical diagnosis of the patient to whom care is provided				
Lung cancer	26	13.0		
Cerebrovascular disease	15	7.5		
Multiple Sclerosis	37	18.5		
СОАН	16	8.0		
Stomach cancer	40	20.0		
Colon cancer	19	9.5		
Diabetes	10	5.0		
Alzheimer	23	11.5		
Chronic Renal Failure	14	7.0		
Difficulty in meeting the expenses of the patient	nts			
Yes	31	15.5		
No	169	84.5		
The length of time care was provided				
3–12 months	75	37.5		
13-24 months	84	42.0		
24 months and longer	41	20.5		
Time period when the care is given				
Only during the night	94	47.0		
Only during the day	84	42.0		
All day	22	11.0		
Having information about the care of the patient				
Yes	123	61.5		
No	14	7.0		
Partly	63	31.5		
The state of being trained about the patient's disease				
Yes	169	84.5		
No	31	15.5		
Sufficiency of the training received about the disease				
Sufficient	145	72.5		
Insufficient	24	12.0		

Nursing Ethics Committee (2018-4/1 numbered and 11.06.2018 dated) and written permission was taken from the institutions that the study was conducted in for the study. In addition, written and verbal consent were taken from the individuals who participated in the study after the aim of the study was explained.

Limitations of the study

(Continued)

It should be taken into account that the present study had a limitation. This study was conducted at a single center with a

 $\ensuremath{\textbf{Table 2.}}$ Score averages of caregivers' care burden and preparedness for caregiving scales

	Ν	X	SS	Minimum	Maximum
BI	200	33.69	13.03	3	68
PCS	200	18.55	6.83	6	36

relatively small sample. Therefore, the results obtained from this study are applicable only to the caregivers of patients hospitalized at the palliative care unit of a state hospital in Turkey and cannot be generalized to other caregivers. It is recommended that future studies should be performed in more than one center with larger populations and caregivers of patients with different chronic diseases.

Results

It was found that the average age of the caregivers in this study was 42.6 ± 14.4 , 25% were 55 years of age and older, 57% were female, 63.5% were married, 23.5% were the sons of the patients, 28.5% were high school graduates, 56% were unemployed, 35% of the employed participants were working full time, 49.5% were living with their spouses and children, 58% were living with the patient they provided care for, 69.5% did not have chronic disease, 8% of those who had chronic disease had diabetes, 64.5% had income equal to expense, the medical diagnosis of 20% of the patients was stomach cancer, 84.5% did not have difficulty in meeting the expenses of the patient, 42% had provided care between 13 and 24 months, 47% provided care during the night, 61.5% had information about the patient care, 84.5% had been trained about the disease of the patient, and 72.5% of those trained had sufficient training (Table 1).

The care burden average score of caregivers in the study was found as 33.69 ± 13.03 . Caregivers perceived care as a moderate burden. The preparedness for caregiving score average was found as 18.55 ± 6.83 . It was found that caregivers were moderately prepared for caregiving (Table 2).

A strong positive correlation was found in the study between age and care burden. It was found that the care burden also increased as age increased (p < 0.01). In terms of the degree of relation to the patient, it was found that spouses were more prepared for giving care when compared with daughters, sons, and siblings (p < 0.01), it was found that retired caregivers had a higher care burden than caregivers who worked part time or full time (p < 0.05), caregivers who lived alone had a higher care burden than caregivers who were not living with their spouse and children (p < 0.01), caregivers who were not living with the patient had a higher care burden (p < 0.05), and caregivers with chronic disease had a higher care burden than caregivers without chronic disease (p < 0.01). It was found that caregivers with asthma had a higher care burden (p < 0.05) (Table 3).

In the study, it was found that caregivers whose income was lower than their expense and those who had difficulty in meeting the expenses of the patients had a higher care burden (p < 0.01) and caregivers who provided care for the patient for 24 months and more and during the day had a higher care burden (p < 0.01). It was found that caregivers who did not have information about patient care had a higher care burden (p < 0.01) and a lower preparedness level (p < 0.05); caregivers who were not trained about the patient's disease had a higher care burden (p < 0.01)

and a lower preparedness level (p < 0.05); and caregivers who thought the training they received about the patient's disease was not sufficient had a higher care burden (p < 0.05) (Table 3).

In the study, a statistically significant negative association was found between BI and PCS (p < 0.01). It was found that as preparedness for caregiving level increased, care burden decreased and as preparedness for caregiving level decreased, care burden increased (Table 4).

Discussion

The results obtained as a result of the analysis of research data were discussed in light of the related literature.

It was found in the study that the caregivers perceived the patients they cared for as a moderate burden. Other studies conducted in the literature have stated that caregivers perceive care as a moderate burden (Isik and Erci, 2018; Jafari et al., 2018). The process of caregiving is an experience with different dimensions in terms of the caregiver. For example, in their study, Wells et al. (2005) stated that despite difficulties, patient relatives combined caregiving with positive feelings. Palliative care is also considered as fulfilling a moral duty by providing care to a patient and family members are evaluated as a privileged group for this purpose (D'Angelo et al., 2017). When considered in this respect, it is thought that caregivers do not consider caregiving as a big burden. In addition, it has been reported that caregivers who meet the needs of patients in hospital perceive a lower care burden (Cleary et al., 2006). The fact that the sample of this study consisted of caregivers who provided care to patients treated in hospital can have contributed to this result.

In the study, it was found that as age increased, care burden also increased. In other studies on the subject, it has been stated that the increase in the caregivers' age increases the care burden and has a negative effect on the quality of care given (Strömberg and Luttik, 2015; Kalinkara and Kalayci, 2017). It can be thought that this is because with the increase in their age, physical health problems of caregivers also increase and they experience some problems with meeting the needs of the patients they provide care for.

In the study, it was found that retired caregivers had a higher care burden than caregivers who worked full time and part time. It is also stated in the literature that the employment status of caregivers is an important factor influencing care burden (Van den Heuvel et al., 2001; McCullagh et al., 2005). It is thought that the fact that unemployed caregivers are with the patient all the time and have limited time to allocate to themselves and decreased social life contributes to this result.

It was found that caregivers who lived alone had a higher care burden than caregivers who were living with their spouses and children. In the literature, it is stated that individuals living with their spouses and children had a higher care burden due to their familial responsibilities (Tanrikulu, 2019). However, the results of our study are different from the literature. This difference in our study can be resulting from the lack of social support brought by living alone.

It was found that caregivers who did not live with the patient had a higher care burden. Unlike our study, Conde-Sala et al. (2010) and Vahidi et al. (2016) reported that caregivers who lived with their relative they provided care for had significantly a higher care burden when compared with caregivers who did not live with their relative they provided care for. It is thought that the reason why caregivers who did not live with the patient had a higher care burden is because of their routines change,

Table 3. Comparison of caregivers' care burden and preparedness for caregiving scales average scores according to socio-demographic characteristics

	BI	t ^{a/} F ^b	PCS	t^{a}/F^{b}
Age	<i>r</i> ^c = 0.199	<i>p</i> = 0.005	r=-0.135	<i>p</i> = 0.057
Gender				
Female	33.42 ± 12.81	<i>t</i> = -0.335, <i>p</i> = 0.738	18.39 ± 6.70	t = -0.381, p = 0.70
Male	34.04 ± 13.38		18.76 ± 7.04	
Marital status				
Married	32.43 ± 13.38	<i>t</i> = −1.810,	18.89 ± 7.03	<i>t</i> = 0.935, <i>p</i> = 0.351
Single	35.87 ± 12.16	<i>p</i> = 0.072	17.95 ± 6.48	
Degree of relation to the patient				
Daughter	32.62 ± 13.95	F = 1.981,	20.60 ± 7.37	F = 8.594, p = 0.001
Son	36.27 ± 14.38	<i>p</i> = 0.118	19.82 ± 7.61	
Spouse	29.13 ± 13.12		21.41 ± 6.89	
Sibling	34.32 ± 11.43		15.88 ± 5.03	
Educational status				
Illiterate	36.36 ± 10.45	F = 1.818,	15.57 ± 5.82	F = 1.683, <i>p</i> = 0.156
Literate	33.14 ± 12.52	p=0.127	20.67 ± 6.17	
Primary education	33.25 ± 14.20		18.83 ± 7.48	
High school	30.54 ± 13.15		18.12 ± 7.37	
Undergraduate and above	36.75 ± 12.55		18.73 ± 6.12	
Employment status				
Employed	33.52 ± 12.98	t = -0.161,	18.11 ± 6.63	t = -0.809, p = 0.420
Unemployed	33.82 ± 13.12	<i>p</i> = 0.873	18.90 ± 7.00	
Working conditions (<i>n</i> = 88)				
Retired	42.33 ± 10.60	F = 3.004,	19.73 ± 4.94	F = 1.648, p = 0.180
Full time	31.48 ± 13.08	p = 0.032	18.34 ± 7.07	
Part time	31.75 ± 6.23		11.50 ± 1.29	
Individuals living with the caregiver				
Lives alone	36.62 ± 12.37	F = 5.112,	17.65 ± 6.35	F = 1.500, p = 0.226
With spouse	27.90 ± 12.42	<i>p</i> = 0.007	20.15 ± 7.00	
With spouse and children	33.51 ± 13.13		18.66 ± 7.06	
The state of living with the patient				
Yes	30.33 ± 12.58	t = -4.477,	18.99 ± 7.57	<i>t</i> = 1.111, <i>p</i> = 0.268
No	38.32 ± 12.25	<i>p</i> = 0.001	17.95 ± 5.65	
Presence of chronic disease				
Yes	37.70 ± 11.84	t = 2.942,	17.96 ± 5.29	t = -0.915, p = 0.362
No	31.92 ± 13.17	<i>p</i> = 0.004	18.81 ± 7.41	
Level of income				
Income < expense	43.04 ± 10.02	F = 13.251,	18.86 ± 4.82	F=0.029, p=0.971
Income = expense	30.56 ± 12.78	p = 0.001	18.53 ± 7.36	
Income > expense	37.60 ± 11.88		18.45 ± 6.26	
Difficulty in meeting the expenses of t	he patients			
Yes	46.09 ± 9.53	t = 7.507,	18.41 ± 2.97	t = -0.207, p = 0.837
No	31.41 ± 12.30	<i>p</i> = 0.001	18.57 ± 7.33	

(Continued)

Table 3. (Continued.)

	ВІ	$t^{a/F^{b}}$	PCS	t ^a /F ^b
The length of time care was provid	ed			
3-12 months	30.94 ± 11.83	F = 13.719, p = 0.001	17.92 ± 6.48	F = 2.379, <i>p</i> = 0.095
13-24 months	31.78 ± 12.72		18.11±7.82	
24 months and longer	42.60 ± 12.08		20.60 ± 4.72	
Time period when the care is given	1			
Only during the night	30.52 ± 12.90	F = 9.099, p = 0.001	19.15 ± 7.23	F = 0.758, <i>p</i> = 0.470
Only during the day	34.86 ± 12.60		18.14 ± 6.94	
All day	42.72 ± 10.38		17.54 ± 4.09	
Having information about the care	of the patient			
Yes	31.01 ± 10.74	F = 12.292, p = 0.001	20.25 ± 3.82	F = 3.223, p = 0.042
No	47.21 ± 12.34		17.61 ± 8.07	
Partly	35.90 ± 15.01		19.14 ± 3.37	
The state of being trained about th	ne patient's disease			
Yes	31.59 ± 12.42	t = -6.563, p = 0.001	19.93 ± 2.55	t = -2.246, p = 0.026
No	45.09 ± 10.14		18.30 ± 7.33	
Sufficiency of the training received	about the disease			
Sufficient	29.97 ± 11.71	t = -4.382,	18.00 ± 7.65	<i>t</i> = −1.795, <i>p</i> = 0.079
Insufficient	41.37 ± 12.34	<i>p</i> = 0.001	20.08 ± 4.73	

^aStudent's *t*-test.

^bOne-way ANOVA.

^cPearson Correlation. The bold value indicates p < 0.05.

Table 4. The association between caregivers' care burden and preparedness for caregiving scales average scores

	ВІ	PCS
BI		
r	1	-0.275*
р	_	0.001

*Pearson Correlation, p < 0.01.

their social activities are restricted and they can work less as a result of the fact that they have to accompany the patient for a specific time in the hospital environment and as a result of the difficulties caused by staying in the hospital.

In the study, it was found that caregivers with chronic disease had a higher burden when compared with caregivers without chronic disease. It was also found in Ozdemir et al.'s (2009) and Kalinkara and Kalayci (2017) studies that caregivers with chronic disease had a higher burden and worse health condition. In addition, it has been stated in the literature that when the caregiver's health is not good, the physical strength spent for care will increase and this situation will also increase burden (Karabuga-Yakar and Pinar, 2013). It is thought that taking responsibility for both his/her own illness and also the patient's illness increases the care burden perceived by the caregiver.

In the study, it was found that the caregivers who had a lower income than expense and those who had difficulty in meeting the patient's expenses had a higher care burden. Similarly, in Shankar et al. (2014) and Mashayekhi et al.'s (2015) study, it was found that caregivers with a low level of income had a higher care burden. In the literature, it has been reported that special care needs for dependent individuals cause an increase in care costs and consequently burden care (Jeong et al., 2015). It is thought that the psychological burden caused by not being able to meet the needs of the patients economically during the care process increases the care burden.

It was found that caregivers who provided care to patients for 24 months and during the day had a higher care burden. Studies conducted have found that it was important for how long caregivers continued their caregiving roles and that care burden increased as caregiving time increased (Pinquart and Sörensen, 2007; Salama, 2012). Naturally, daily time allocated for providing care to the patient affects burden care (Grant et al., 2013; Pehlivan et al., 2018).

It was found that caregivers who did not have information about patient care, those who were not trained about the patient's illness and those who thought the training they received was not sufficient had a higher care burden. It is well-known that palliative care support develops the feelings of security and compliance in the continuity of care. It is important for caregivers to be informed in time in order to make them feel like the part of a health team, to help them in planning care and participating in care services (Tuna and Olgun, 2010; Reigada et al., 2015). Thus, it is stated that caregivers who are sufficiently informed about the patient's disease and care will have increased psychosocial adaptation and those who are prepared for care will have decreased care burden (Corvin et al., 2017; Pehlivan et al., 2018).

It was found in the study that caregivers were moderately prepared for caregiving. It was found in Henriksson and Årested (2013) and Gonzalez et al.'s (2014) study that caregivers had the moderate level of preparedness for caregiving score average (Henriksson and Årestedt, 2013; Gonzalez et al., 2014). The results of our study were found to be similar to studies conducted in the literature.

In our study, it was found that the caregivers who were spouses of the patients were more prepared for caregiving. Due to the patriarchal family structure and traditional lifestyle in our country, women in the house such as spouses, daughters, and daughters-in-law are considered to be responsible for the care of family members (Tanrikulu, 2019). Considering this aspect, it is thought that caregivers do not consider caregiving as a big burden and they are more prepared for care.

It was found that caregivers who were informed about the care of the patient and those who were trained about the patient's illness had higher preparedness levels. Similarly, it was found in Gonzalez et al. (2014) and Holm's (2016) studies that training given to caregivers increased their preparedness levels (Gonzalez et al., 2014; Holm, 2016). In the literature, the necessity of caregivers' being informed about medical facts related to the state of the patient, medical interventions, options for interventions, possible risks and benefits of each intervention, facilitating methods while fulfilling the patient's daily life activities, and simple nursing practices are emphasized. It is reported that by this way, the caregiver who is informed about the care and illness of the patient will participate in the care of the patient and this will contribute to the caregiver's preparedness (Tuna and Olgun, 2010; Henriksson and Årestedt, 2013).

In our study, it was found that as the preparedness level increased, care burden decreased and as the preparedness level decreased, care burden increased. After individuals with chronic diseases need home care, family members find themselves in an unprepared caring role. For this reason, it is very important to find out the needs of the caregivers and to plan appropriate interventions in the early stages of the disease so that a good care is provided to the patient, the caregiver adapts to his/her role and problems experienced are reduced (Grant et al., 2013; Henriksson and Årestedt, 2013). Studies conducted have also found that caregivers' preparedness for caregiving has a positive effect on care burden and increases patients' quality of life and the quality of care provided (Scherbring, 2002; Grant et al., 2013; Henriksson and Årestedt, 2013; Ugur et al., 2017).

In the study, it was found that caregivers perceived the patients they provided care for as moderate burden. It was found that care burden increased as age increased and caregivers who were retired, those who were living alone, those who were not living with the patient, those who had chronic disease, those who had income lower than expense, those who had difficulty in meeting the expenses of the patient, those who had provided care for the patient for 24 months and longer, those who provided care for the patient all day, those who were not informed about the care of the patient, those who were not trained about the illness, and those who thought their training was no sufficient had a higher care burden. In addition, it was found that caregivers were moderately prepared for caregiving. It was found that caregivers who were the spouses of the patient, those who were informed about the care of the patient and those who were trained about the illness had a higher preparedness level. It was found in the study that care burden decreased as the preparedness level increased and care burden increased as preparedness for caregiving decreased. The study results indicated that preparedness increased in direct relation to a decreased level of care burden among caregivers of patients hospitalized in palliative care service. Nurses will contribute to decreasing care burden by finding out the preparedness levels of caregivers providing care for palliative care patients, training them with information and skills for the care in order to increase their preparedness, finding out the problems of caregivers, helping the caregiver in realizing his/her strength, guiding the caregiver to suitable resources, and informing the caregiver about possible physical and emotional problems. Thus, nurses will plan nursing interventions by considering the factors that affect the care burden and preparedness of caregivers.

Acknowledgments. The authors are grateful to all participants who agreed to participate voluntarily in this study.

References

- Akdemir N, Bostanoglu H, Yurtsever S, et al. (2011) Needs of home care services for the bedridden patient's problems living in their home. Dicle Medical Journal 38(1), 57–65.
- Archbold PG, Stewart BJ, Greenlick MR, et al. (1990) Mutuality and preparedness as predictors of caregiver role strain. Research in Nursing & Health 13(6), 375–384.
- Ardahan M and Yesilbalkan O (2010) Perceived family support of women with breast cancer and affecting factors in Turkey. Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention 11, 1425–1429.
- Berry LL, Dalwadi SM and Jacobson JO (2017) Supporting the supporters: What family caregivers need to care for a loved one with cancer. *Journal* of Oncology Practice 13(1), 35–41.
- Cleary M, Freeman A, Hunt GE, et al. (2006) Patient and carer perceptions of need and associations with care-giving burden in an integrated adult mental health service. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology 41(3), 208–214.
- **Conde-Sala JL, Garre-Olmo J, Turró-Garriga O, et al.** (2010) Differential features of burden between spouse and adult-child caregivers of patients with Alzheimer's disease: An exploratory comparative design. *International Journal of Nursing Studies* **47**(10), 1262–1273.
- **Corvin J, Chan I, Tezak A, et al.** (2017) Caring for individuals with chronic illness and minor depression: Latino perceptions of caregiver burden. *Journal of Gerontological Social Work* **60**(1), 79–95.

Cruz-Oliver DM (2017) Palliative care: An update. Missouri Medicine 114(2), 110.

- D'Angelo D, Punziano AC, Mastroianni C, et al. (2017) Translation and testing of the Italian version of FAMCARE-2: Measuring family caregivers' satisfaction with palliative care. *Journal of Family Nursing* 23(2), 252–272.
- Egici MT, Can MK, Toprak D, et al. (2019) Burden and burnout of caregivers whose patients treated at the palliative care center. JAREN 5(2), 123–131.
- Fujinami R, Sun V, Zachariah F, et al. (2015) Family caregivers' distress levels related to quality of life, burden, and preparedness. *Psycho-Oncology* 24(1), 54–62.
- Gonzalez EW, Polansky M, Lippa CF, et al. (2014) Enhancing resourcefulness to improve outcomes in family caregivers and persons with Alzheimer's disease: A pilot randomized trial. *International Journal of Alzheimer's Disease* 2014, 323478.
- Grant M, Sun V, Fujinami R, et al. (2013) Family caregiver burden, skills preparedness, and quality of life in non-small-cell lung cancer. Oncology Nursing Forum 40(4), 337–346.
- Hawley P (2017) Barriers to access to palliative care. *Palliative Care: Research* and Treatment 10, 1–6.
- Henriksson A and Årestedt K (2013) Exploring factors and caregiver outcomes associated with feelings of preparedness for caregiving in family caregivers in palliative care: A correlational, cross-sectional study. *Palliative Medicine* 27(7), 639–646.
- Holm M (2016) Promoting Preparedness for Family Caregiving A Randomised Controlled Intervention in Palliative Care. Stockholm: Karolinska Institutet.
- Inci F and Erdem M (2006) Validity and reliability of the Turkish version of the burden interview. *Journal of Anatolia Nursing and Health Sciences* 11(4), 85–95.

- Inci F and Oz F (2012) Palliative care and death anxiety. Current in Approaches Psychiatry 4(2), 178–187.
- **Isik K and Erci B** (2018) Life satisfaction and care burden of caregivers who provide care at home to elderly patients and the influencing factors. *Turkiye Klinikleri Journal of Nursing Sciences* **10**(1), 11–17.
- Jafari H, Ebrahimi A, Aghaei A, et al. (2018) The relationship between care burden and quality of life in caregivers of hemodialysis patients. BMC Nephrology 19(1), 321.
- Jeong YG, Myong JP and Koo JW (2015) The modifying role of caregiver burden on predictors of quality of life of caregivers of hospitalized chronic stroke patients. *Disability and Health Journal* 8(4), 619–625.
- Kalinkara V and Kalayci I (2017) Life satisfaction, care burden and burnout of the individuals who have a caregiver service to the elderly at home. *Elderly Issues Research Journal* 10(2), 19–39.
- Karabuga-Yakar H and Pinar R (2013) Evaluation of quality of life among family caregivers of patients with cancer. Journal of Research and Development in Nursing 15(2), 1–16.
- Kass B (2017) Relationship of Stroke Caregiver Mutuality and Preparedness for Caregiving to Role Strain (Dissertations). William Paterson University of New Jersey, New Jersey.
- Kehoe LA, Xu H, Duberstein P, et al. (2019) Quality of life of caregivers of older patients with advanced cancer. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society 67(5), 969–977.
- Mashayekhi F, Pilevarzadeh M and Rafati F (2015) The assessment of caregiver burden in caregivers of hemodialysis patients. *Materia Socio-Medica* **27**(5), 333.
- McCullagh E, Brigstocke G, Donaldson N, et al. (2005) Determinants of caregiving burden and quality of life in caregivers of stroke patients. *Stroke* **36**(10), 2181–2186.
- **Ozdemir FK, Sahin ZA and Kucuk D** (2009) Determination of burden cares for mothers having children with cancer. *Türk Medline* **26**(3), 153–158.
- Pehlivan S, Ozgur YF, Yildiz H, et al. (2018) Social support and caregiver burden in rheumatic diseases. Journal of Uludağ University Medical Faculty 44(1), 19–25.
- Pinquart M and Sörensen S (2007) Correlates of physical health of informal caregivers: A meta-analysis. The Journals of Gerontology Series B: Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences 62(2), P126–P137.
- Reigada C, Pais-Ribeiro JL, Novella A, et al. (2015) The caregiver role in palliative care: A systematic review of the literature. *Health Care: Current Reviews* 3(2), 1000143.
- Salama R (2012) Caregiver burden from caring for impaired elderly: A crosssectional study in rural Lower Egypt. *Italian Journal of Public Health* 9(4), e8662.1–e8662.

- Scherbring MJ (2002) Effect of caregiver perception of preparedness on burden in an oncology population. Oncology Nursing Forum 29(6), E70–E76.
- Schumacher KL, Stewart BJ and Archbold PG (2007) Mutuality and preparedness moderate the effects of caregiving demand on cancer family caregiver outcomes. *Nursing Research* **56**(6), 425–433.
- Shankar KN, Hirschman KB, Hanlon AL, et al. (2014) Burden in caregivers of cognitively impaired elderly adults at time of hospitalization: A crosssectional analysis. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* **62**(2), 276–284.
- Silver HJ, Wellman NS, Galindo-Ciocon D, et al. (2004) Family caregivers of older adults on home enteral nutrition have multiple unmet task-related training needs and low overall preparedness for caregiving. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* 104(1), 43–50.
- Strömberg A and Luttik ML (2015) Burden of caring: Risks and consequences imposed on caregivers of those living and dying with advanced heart failure. *Current Opinion in Supportive and Palliative Care* 9(1), 26–30.
- Tanrikulu S (2019) Examination of Caregiving Burdens of Women Who Care for a Family Member in Home (Master's thesis). Baskent University, Ankara.
- Tuna HM and Olgun N (2010) The role of perceived social support on stroke patients' caregivers who have the burnout syndrome. *Journal of Hacettepe University Faculty of Nursing* 17(1), 41–52.
- Ugur O, Elcigil A, Aslan D, et al. (2017) The psychometric properties of the preparedness scale of the family care inventory: The Turkish version. International Journal of Caring Sciences 10(2), 657.
- Vahidi M, Mahdavi N, Asghari E, *et al.* (2016) Other side of breast cancer: Factors associated with caregiver burden. *Asian Nursing Research* **10**(3), 201–206.
- Van den Heuvel ET, Witte L, Schure LM, et al. (2001) Risk factors for burnout in caregivers of stroke patients, and possibilities for intervention. *Clinical Rehabilitation* 15(6), 669–677.
- Wells R, Dywan J and Dumas J (2005) Life satisfaction and distress in family caregivers as related to specific behavioural changes after traumatic brain injury. *Brain Injury* 19(13), 1105–1115.
- Worldwide Palliative Care Alliance (2014) Global Atlas of Palliative Care at the End of Life. Retrieved from https://www.who.int/nmh/Global_Atlas_of_Palliative_Care.pdf.
- Yilmaz DU and Sari D (2017) Investigation of the relationship between sleep quality and fatigue levels of their care of care to patients with chronic disease. Journal of Anatolia Nursing and Health Sciences 20(2), 90–98.
- Zarit SH, Reever KE and Bach-Peterson J (1980) Relatives of the impaired elderly: Correlates of feelings of burden. *The Gerontologist* **20**(6), 649–655.