# Diet composition and food habits of *Caranx rhonchus* (Carangidae) from the Gulf of Gabes (central Mediterranean)

AYDA SLEY<sup>1</sup>, OTHMAN JARBOUI<sup>2</sup>, MOHAMED GHORBEL<sup>2</sup> AND ABDERRAHMEN BOUAIN<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculté des Sciences de Sfax, BP 802–3018 Sfax, Tunisie, <sup>2</sup>Institut National des Sciences et Technologies de la Mer (Centre de Sfax), BP 1035–3018, Sfax, Tunisie

From June 2004 to May 2006, 1040 Caranx rhonchus (Carangidae) ranging from 6.5 to 30.6 cm in total length (TL), fished in the Gulf of Gabes (south Tunisia, central Mediterranean), were used to investigate feeding habits of the species. This study was based mainly on the evolution of the index of relative importance (IRI) with respect to sex, fish size and season. Among 1040 stomachs examined, 595 were empty (%VI = 57.2). The vacuity index (%VI) differed significantly across months and declined slightly with season. A total of 13 species representing 12 different families belonging to four major groups: teleosts, crustaceans, molluscs and annelids, were identified in stomach contents of Caranx rhonchus.

Caranx rhonchus is primarily an opportunistic piscivorous fish with a diet characterized by a wide range of species. Teleosts occurred in the majority of stomachs, with a total of the relative importance (%IRI) of 52.7%. The anchovy Engraulis encrasicolus were the most important teleost species (%IRI = 11.2), while crustaceans were the second most important food category consumed (47.1%).

There were no significant differences in the diet between males and females, but significant differences were found with season and among size-classes.

## Keywords: diet, Caranx rhonchus, Gulf of Gabes

Submitted 4 September 2007; accepted 30 January 2008

# INTRODUCTION

The false scad *Caranx rhonchus* (Geoffory Saint-Hiliaire, 1817) is a common semi-pelagic carangid fish, which inhabits seas around islands of the eastern Atlantic, in moderate subtropical waters of the west Atlantic, South Africa, Japan, Australia and New Zealand. It is very common in the Mediterranean Sea, especially the Tunisian waters above muddy and sandy bottoms down to depths ranging from 30 to 200 m (Fischer *et al.*, 1987). There are no reliable statistics on *C. rhonchus* landings on the Tunisian coasts. The entire genus *Caranx* is mixed in one statistical category. Currently, this species is still abundant in the Gulf of Gabes (south of Tunisia), it is an economically important pelagic species and it is considered as being overfished. However, despite its abundance, very little is known about the trophic ecology of this species in the Gulf of Gabes.

In the north-east Atlantic, Boely *et al.* (1973) studied the diet of *C. rhonchus*. Severin (1983) reported that juvenile *C. rhonchus* from Arguin Bay of the Mauritanian coastal area was zooplanktophagous but becomes ichthyphagous when adult. In the Mediterranean waters and mainly along the Tunisian coasts, Ould Mohamed (1999) and Ben Salem & Ktari (1980) provided qualitative descriptions of the diet of *C. rhonchus*. The purpose of the present study was to investigate the diet and feeding habits of *C. rhonchus* in the Gulf of

**Corresponding author:** Ayda Sley Email: sley\_aida@yahoo.fr Gabes, including the effects of predator size and season on the stomach contents.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

In the Gulf of Gabes, from the parallel 35°N to the Tunisian– Libyan border (Figure 1), *Caranx rhonchus* is caught by seine nets; 1040 specimens were collected monthly between June 2004 and May 2006 ranging in size from 6.5 to 30.6 cm and were examined fresh. Immediately after landing, the total length (TL) of each fish was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm and weighed to the nearest 0.1 g.

Preys were identified to the possible lowest taxon and weighed to the nearest 0.001 g. Numerous indices have been calculated to quantify the importance of different prey items in the diet of fish. Dietary descriptions of this fish the species and other aquatic vertebrates are greatly influenced by the choice of method used to quantify the relative importance or contribution of each prey type to the diet. This is because the most commonly used methods (frequency of occurrence (%O), numerical abundance (%N) and volume or weight estimations (%W)) convey different types of information on feeding habits (MacDonald & Green, 1983; Bigg & Perez, 1985; Cortés, 1997). This limitation of single indices led Pinkas et al. (1971) to propose the index of relative importance (IRI) - a compound index that describes the relative contribution of stomach contents to the diet. Cortés (1997) proposed to include the IRI standardized to 100% (%IRI), in



Fig. 1. Map of the study area (Bradai, 2000).

addition to reporting the three single measures (%O, %N and %W), to facilitate comparisons between dietary studies. The per cent index of relative importance puts results into a common metric, more amenable for use in diet comparisons and calculation of other trophic indices. In the present study, the following indices were used:

- vacuity index (%VI) = number of empty stomachs \*100/total number of examined stomachs;
- percentage frequency of occurrence (%O) = number of stomachs in which a food item was found \* 100/divided by total number of full stomachs;

percentage numerical abundance (%N) = number of each prey item \* 100/the total number of prey in all stomachs;

percentage gravimetric composition (%W) = wet weight of each prey item \* 100/the total weight of stomach contents.

The main food items were determined using the index of relative importance (IRI):

IRI = %O (%N + %W).

The index was expressed in percentage as follows: %IRI = (IRI/ $\Sigma$  IRI) ×100.

Prey species were sorted in decreasing order according to IRI and the cumulative %IRI was calculated and recorded for the major forage categories (Hyslop, 1980) and compared among different groups according to size, season and sex. This index was examined for three size-groups that corresponded roughly to juveniles ( $\leq$ 16 cm TL), sub-adults (16.1–22 cm) and adults (>22 cm TL) of *Caranx rhonchus*.

Statistical differences (P < 0.05) in diet composition with respect to length-class and season were assessed by the Chi-square test of the frequencies of a given prey (Sokal & Rohlf, 1981). The variation in vacuity index (%VI) was also tested using the Chi-square test over a contingency table of the number of empty stomachs.

#### RESULTS

# Feeding intensity

Among the 1040 stomachs of *Caranx rhonchus* examined, 595 were empty (VI% = 57.2). The proportion of empty stomachs varied significantly over the year ( $\chi^2 = 216.16$ , P < 0.05).

The highest number of empty stomachs was found in December (95.6%) and January (97.7%), while the lowest was observed in November (11%) (Figure 2). The proportion of empty stomachs was also significantly different among the three size-classes ( $\chi^2 = 10.2$ , P < 0.05), and corresponded respectively to juveniles (VI% = 53.9%), sub-adults (VI% = 61.9%) and adults (VI% = 50.9%).

# **Diet composition**

Four major prey groups: teleosts, crustaceans, molluscs and annelids were identified in the stomach contents of *Caranx rhonchus*. A total of 13 species representing 12 different families were identified.

Teleosts constitute the most frequently observed group, with 52.7% of the total %IRI, followed by crustaceans (%IRI = 47.1), while the other taxa, i.e. molluscs and annelids were scarcely found (%IRI < 0.1) (Table 1) and for that they are not indicated in all figures. At the family level, Engraulidae (*Engraulis encrasicolus*) was the major prey consumed by *C. rhonchus* (%IRI = 12.9), followed by two crustaceans: Panaeidae (%IRI = 6.4) and Mycidacae (%IRI = 5.1) (Table 1).

## Diet composition in relation to the sex

Overall, teleosts had a slightly higher percentage of IRI than crustaceans. Female teleosts had an IRI of 52.8% and crustaceans 47.1%, while males had 51.9% and 47.9% of teleosts and crustaceans respectively. There were no appreciable dietary differences between males and females in any prey category (teleosts:  $\chi^2 = 0.1$ , P > 0.05; crustaceans:  $\chi^2 = 0.1$ , P > 0.05) (Figure 3).

# Diet composition in relation to fish size

Teleosts and crustaceans were the only prey groups present in the diet of all size-classes (Figure 4). Crustaceans were the most important prey group in the small size-class ( $\leq$ 16 cm TL) (%IRI = 75.4), while the contribution of teleosts was comparatively low (%IRI = 24.1). The frequency of crustaceans significantly decreased with increasing size ( $\chi^2 =$  14.7, P < 0.05), whereas the frequency of teleosts significantly increased ( $\chi^2 = 20.7$ , P < 0.05). In the large size-class (adults, >22 cm TL) teleosts represented more than 65% of the total IRI.

#### Diet composition in relation to the seasons

There were some seasonal variations in food habits of *C. rhonchus* (Figure 5). Crustaceans were the dominant prey group during autumn and winter. Teleosts were also present in the stomachs throughout the year, with a peak value recorded in summer (%IRI = 92.8). Teleosts and crustaceans were present in equal proportions in spring. In addition, molluscs were found in winter, autumn and summer, while annelids were found only in summer. Significant differences among seasons were found for crustaceans ( $\chi^2 = 84.4$ , P < 0.05) and teleosts ( $\chi^2 = 78.3$ , P < 0.05).



Fig. 2. Variation in percentage of empty stomachs of Caranx rhonchus throughout the year.

#### DISCUSSION

Dietary studies of *Caranx rhonchus* in the Gulf of Gabes, central Mediterranean, show a high proportion of empty stomachs and few prey items per stomach, most of them in advanced stages of digestion. Ould Mohamed (1999) reported that the percentage was higher than 65% on the Tunisian coasts, but it was more than 70% in the region of Cape Blanc (north-western Africa) (Kompowski, 1976). However, the proportion of empty stomachs is often variable in commercial *Caranx* catches. In view of the lack of evidence of stomach reversion at capture, the high percentage of empty stomachs may reflect short periods of feeding followed by periods of rapid digestion. Rapid digestion can complicate dietary analysis, because of the possibility of a reduction in the number of prey species that may be positively identified.

Our study indicates that *Caranx rhonchus* is an opportunistic predator that consumes a wide range of prey items. It is mainly a carnivorous fish, as are all species of the genus *Caranx* (Overko, 1978; Maigret & Ly, 1986; Chavance *et al.*, 1991; Marchal, 1991). Its most common prey were teleosts and to a lesser degree, crustaceans. These prey groups, which represent 45% or more of the total IRI, can be considered as the main food. The other prey groups (i.e. molluscs and annelids) were of minor importance and may be considered as occasional food.

The anchovy *Engraulis encrasicolus* had the highest frequency of occurrence in the diet of *C. rhonchus*. Penaeidae (i.e. *Metapenaeus monoceros* and *Penaeus kerathurus*), Mycidacae (i.e. *Leptomysis mediterranea*) and Clupeidae (*Sardinella aurita* and *Sardina pilchardus*) were of secondary importance. In the north-east Atlantic, *C. rhonchus* is a predator species and feeds also primarily on teleosts, especially the anchovy *Engraulis encrasicolus* (Boely *et al.*, 1973).

Group	Family	Item (species)	<b>O%</b>	N%	W%	IRI	IRI%
Teleosts	Engraulidae	Engraulis encrasicolus	21.8	5.03	46.1	1115.1	11.2
	Clupeidae	(Sardinella aurita, Sardina pilchardus)	10.1	2.01	15.6	177.9	1.8
	Sparidae	Boops boops	1.8	0.4	2.2	4.8	0.05
		Lithognathus mormyrus	1.3	0.6	0.5	1.5	0.01
	Gobiidae	Gobius niger	1.1	0.3	3	3.6	0.03
	Mullidae	Mullus surmuletus	0.54	0.13	0.27	0.21	0.002
	Scombridae	Scomber scombrus	0.22	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.0001
		Teleosts non-identified	20.7	4.1	13.2	358.8	3.6
	Total teleosts		56.1	12.5	80.7	5238.2	52.7
Crustaceans	Panaeidae	Metapenaeus monoceros	6.9	20.5	2.3	156.8	1.5
		Penaeus kerathurus	2.9	1.9	1.9	11.4	0.1
		Non-identified shrimps	8.7	4.8	4.01	77.9	0.8
	Total shrimps		18.2	26.7	8.2	637.4	6.4
	Mycidacae	Leptomysis mediterranea	6.3	28.1	3	192.1	2
		Non-identified Mycidacae	3.4	10.7	0.9	39.2	0.4
	Total Mycidacae		12.1	38.8	3.3	512.3	5.1
	Copepoda	Non-identified Copepoda	0.9	10.8	0.2	9.9	0.1
	Gammaridae	Ampelisca diadema	1.6	5.3	0.3	8.9	0.09
	Isopoda	Cymodoce truncata	0.9	0.1	1.2	1.2	0.01
		Non-identified crustaceans	13.2	3.1	28.1	114.4	1.1
	Total crustaceans		44.7	85.9	18.7	4680.4	47.1
Molluscs	Total molluscs		3.8	1.5	0.5	7.7	0.07
Annelids	Total annelids		0.4	0.4	0.004	0.2	0.002

Table 1. Diet composition of Caranx rhonchus of the Gulf of Gabes (Tunisia).



Fig. 3. Diet composition of *Caranx rhonchus* among sex, based on the percentage index of relative importance values of the major prey groups: n = number of non-empty stomachs analysed in each sex.

The feeding patterns of *Caranx rhonchus* observed in the present study agree well with the field distribution patterns of several fish species. For example, the anchovy *Engraulis encrasicolis*, commonly present in the Tunisian waters (Gaamour, 1999), especially in the Gulf of Gabes was frequently found in the stomachs of this species, whereas, the other teleosts: *Boops boops, Lithognathus mormyrus, Gobius niger, Mullus surmuletus* and *Scomber scombrus* were less frequently encountered. The annual landings of the false scad *Caranx rhonchus* were always important in the Gulf of Gabes due to the encouragement of the state to exploit this commercial species with appropriate fishing gears (gill-nets and purse seines).

Diet often changes with the geographical area and this was observed for *C. rhonchus* as well. In the Gulf of Gabes, *C. rhonchus* seems to have a diet similar to that of other areas, with few exceptions. In the Mediterranean Sea, the main food of this species was small fish, while crustaceans were the second most important group (Fischer *et al.*, 1987). Ben Salem & Ktari (1980), also reported that teleosts, crustaceans and algae were present in the stomach contents in the same area. Taken together, the results of these studies confirm the importance of teleosts in the diet of *C. rhonchus*. In contrast, Severin (1983), reported that *C. rhonchus* from the Arguin Bay of the Mauritanian coastal area, is mainly a planktophagous fish. The observed variations suggest that the diet composition of *C. rhonchus* may be greatly modified in response to the availability of prey.

In comparison to other Carangidae, the diet of *Caranx rhonchus* in the Gulf of Gabes most closely resembles that of amberjack *Seriola dumerili* (Andaloro & Pipetone, 1997), both species feeding primarily on teleosts. However, the diet of the horse mackerel, *Trachurus trachurus*, from the Tunisian coasts (Fezzani, 2006) and the central Adriatic Sea



Fig. 4. Diet composition of *Caranx rhonchus* among size-classes, based on the percentage index of the relative importance values of the major prey groups: n = n umber of non-empty stomachs analysed in each size-class.



Fig. 5. Diet composition of *Caranx rhonchus* throughout the year based on the percentage index of relative importance values of the major prey group: n = n umber of non-expty stomachs analysed in each season.

(Santic *et al.*, 2004) were mainly zooplanktonic crustaceans, while teleosts were of minor importance. Ben-Salem (1988) reported that mysids, along with copepods and euphausiids, were the main food items of horse mackerel from Lion Bay and the Tunisian coastal area.

In this study, the obtained results show that food habits considerably change as fish grow. Small and medium size-classes are mostly zooplanktophagous fish, while large specimens (>22 cm TL) are mainly ichthyophagous.

Juveniles tended to consume a less diverse range of prey species, comprising mostly zooplanktonic crustaceans (larvae of shrimps, Mycidacae and Gammaridae) and small pelagic fish and fish larvae. Larger specimens appear to become more piscivorous and therefore capable of capturing large teleosts that have a high percentage of stomach content weight. These differences could be attributed to the size of the specimens. Sub-adults of *C. rhonchus* have diets comprising mostly teleosts and crustaceans.

In our study, the stomach food content of *C. rhonchus* showed seasonal variations. Crustaceans dominated in the diet during autumn and winter. Teleosts were frequently encountered in the stomachs in summer. Teleosts, beside the crustaceans, constituted a significant part of the diet in spring.

Feeding intensity is negatively related to the percentage of empty stomachs (Bowman & Bowman, 1980). In our study, vacuity index (%VI) values are increased in June–July and August. This period coincides with the spawning season of *Caranx rhonchus* in the Gulf of Gabes (Ould Mohamed, 1999). Feeding intensity decreased during the winter months, as can be deduced from the high number of empty stomachs (>80%). This can be explained either by the unavailability of the prey or by the temperature dependent physiological process. The need for this species to take in enough energy for the breeding period has probably resulted in an increase in their feeding intensities from March to May and this may also explain the decrease in the %VI values. The greater feeding intensity of *C. rhonchus* coincides with the autumn season, which may reflect that the fish require more energy to palliate the deficit due to the spawning event.

In conclusion, *C. rhonchus* is mainly a carnivorous fish. The diet in the Gulf of Gabes as well as in the north-east Atlantic waters comprises mainly teleosts. The importance of fish and crustaceans differed significantly with seasons as well as in small and medium size-classes. In addition, teleosts constituted the main food in larger specimens. Feeding activity of this species throughout the year probably depended on fish abundance and seawater temperature. Our results show that *C. rhonchus* has a high feeding opportunism.

Future monitoring of the Gulf of Gabes feeding ecology of *Caranx rhonchus* could help us better understand the interand intra-specific interactions that occur in this region, and how climate change affects these interactions.

#### REFERENCES

- Andaloro F. and Pipetone C. (1997) Food and feeding habits of the amberjack, *Seriola dumerili* in the central Mediterranean Sea during the spawing season. *Cahiers de Biologie Marine* 38, 1–96.
- Ben-Salem M. (1988) Régime alimentaire de Trachurus trachurus (Linnaeus, 1758) et de Trachurus mediterraneus (Steindachner, 1868) (Poissons, Téléostéens, Carangidae) de la province Atlantico-Méditerranéenne. Cybium 12, 247–253.
- Ben Salem M. and Ktari M.H. (1980) Présentation des espèces du genre Trachurus (Rafinesque, 1810) et Caranx (Lacepède, 1801) (poissons, Téléostéens, Carangidae) des côtes tunisiennes: morphologie et biologie. Bulletin de l'Office National des Pêches, Tunisie 4, 155–168.
- **Berg J.** (1979) Discussion of the methods of investigating the food of fishes with reference to a preliminary study of the food of *Gobiusculus flavescens* (Gobiidae). *Cahiers de Biologie Marine* 50, 263–273.
- Bigg M.A. and Perez M.A. (1985) Modified volume: a frequency–volume method to assess marine mammal food habits. In Beddington J.R. *et al.* (eds) *Marine mammals and fisheries*. London: George Allen & Unwin, pp. 277–283.

- Boely T., Wysokinski A. and Elwertowski J. (1973) Les chinchards des côtes sénégalaises et mauritaniennes: biologie, déplacement, ressources. ORSTOM-FAO DSP, no. 46, 46 pp.
- Bowman R.E. and Bowman E.W. (1980) Diurnal variation in the feeding intensity and catchability of silver hake (*Merluccius bilinearis*). *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 37, 1565-1572.
- Bradai M.N. (2000) Diversité du peuplement ichtyque et contribution à la connaissance des sparidés du Golfe de Gabès. PhD thesis, Faculty of Sciences of Sfax, Sfax, Tunisia.
- Chavance P., Ba I. and Krivospitchenko S. (1991) Les petits poissons pélagiques côtiers de la Zone Economique Exclusive mauritanienne (ZEE). Bulletin du Centre National de Recherches Océanographiques et des Pêche (Mauritania) 23, 281–93.
- **Cortés E.** (1997) A critical review of methods of studying fish feeding based on analysis of stomach contents: application to elasmobranch fishes. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 54, 726–738.
- Fezzani S. (2006) Etude biologique et dynamique des espèces du genre Trachurus des côtes tunisiennes. PhD thesis, University of Tunis II, Faculty of Scienes of Tunis, Tunis, Tunisia.
- Fischer W. Bauchot M.L. and Schneider M. (1987) Fiches de la FAO d'identification des espèces pour les besoins de la pêche (Révision 1) Méditerranée et Mer Noire. Zone de pêche 37. II. Vertebrés Rome, pp. 761–11530.
- Gaamour A. (1999) La sardinelle ronde (Sardinella aurita, Valenciennes, 1847) dans les eaux tunisiennes: reproduction, croissance et pêche dans la région de Cap Bon. PhD thesis, Western Bretagne University, France.
- Hyslop E.J. (1980) Stomach contents analysis—a review of methods and their application. *Journal of Fish Biology* 17, 411–429.
- Kompowski A. (1976) A study on the food and feeding habits of *Trachurus trachurus, Trachurus trecaea, Trachurus picturatus* and *Caranx rhonchus* in the region of Cape Blanc. *Acta Ichthyologica et Piscatoria* 6, 35–55.
- MacDonald J.S. and Green R.H. (1983) Redundancy of variables used to describe importance of prey species in fish diets. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 40, 635–637.

- Maigret J. and Ly B. (1986) Les poissons de mer de Mauritanie (ed. Sciences Naturelle), 213 pp.
- Marchal E. (1991) Location of the main West Africa pelagic stock, les pêcheries oust Africaines: variabilités, instabilities et changement (ed. de l'OORSTOM. Institut Français de Recherches Scientifiques pour le Développement en Coopération), pp. 185–191.
- **Ould Mohamed A.** (1999) *Systématique et biologie of* Caranx rhonchus (*Geoffory Saint-Hiliaire, 1817, Téléostéen; Carangidae*) des côtes tunisiennes et mauritaniennes. PhD thesis, University of Tunis II, Faculty of Sciences of Tunis, Tunis, Tunisia.
- **Overko S.M.** (1978) Morpho-biological characteristics of *Caranx rhonchus* in the eastern central Atlantic. *COPACE/PACE SETS* no. 10, 3 pp.
- Pinkas L., Oliphant M.S. and Iverson I.L.K. (1971) Food habits of albacore, bluefin tuna and bonito in California waters. *Fishery Bulletin* 152, 1–105.
- Santic M., Jardas I. and Pallaoro A. (2004) Feeding habits of horse mackerel, *Trachurus trachurus* (Linneaus, 1758), from the central Adriatic Sea. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* 21, 125–130.
- Severin R.J. (1983) Quelques particularités de la chaine alimentaire marine dans la région du banc d'Arguin Mauritani. *Bulletin. Centre National de Recherches Océanographiques et des Pêches (Mauritania)* 11, 41–52.
- Sokal R.R., Rohlf F.J. (1981) *Biometry*. San Francisco, USA: W.H. Freeman and Co.

and

Tirasin M.E. and Jørgensen T. (1999) An evaluation of the precision of diet description. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 182, 243–252.

# Correspondence should be addressed to:

Ayda Sley Faculté des Sciences de Sfax BP 802-3018 Sfax, Tunisie email: sley\_aida@yahoo.fr