

## ERRATUM: CONTINUITY OF HILBERT–KUNZ MULTIPLICITY AND F-SIGNATURE

THOMAS POLSTRA AND ILYA SMIRNOV

**Abstract.** Unfortunately, there is a mistake in [PS, Lemma 3.10] which invalidates [PS, Theorem 3.12]. We show that the theorem still holds if the ring is assumed to be Gorenstein.

### §1. Introduction

Alessandro De Stefani brought to our attention that [PS, Theorem 3.12] is wrong as stated, and an explicit counter-example can be found in [DSS20, Theorem 5.3]. The problem lays in [PS, Lemma 3.10], where the formula for the splitting number was written incorrectly as

$$a_e(R/(\underline{f} + \underline{\epsilon})) = [k : k^{p^e}] \lambda \left( \frac{R}{(\underline{f} + \underline{\epsilon}, (I_{\underline{t}_{\underline{\epsilon}}}^{[p^e]} :_R u_{\underline{t}_{\underline{\epsilon}}}))} \right),$$

while it should be instead

$$a_e(R/(\underline{f} + \underline{\epsilon})) = [k : k^{p^e}] \lambda \left( \frac{R}{(\underline{f} + \underline{\epsilon}, I_{\underline{t}_{\underline{\epsilon}}}^{[p^e]} :_R u_{\underline{t}_{\underline{\epsilon}}})} \right).$$

Below we will present a proof of [PS, Theorem 3.12] under additional Gorenstein hypothesis. In the main case of interest, when  $s(R/(\underline{f})) > 0$ , this result was generalized in [DSS20, Theorem 5.11] to the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein case.

It is also likely that the Gorenstein hypothesis is necessary for [PS, Questions 4.5, 4.8] to have positive answers; however, [DSS20, Theorem 5.11] does not provide a counter-example.

### §2. Continuity of F-signature

We begin by recalling the following theorem of Huneke and Leuschke.

**THEOREM 2.1.** [HL02, Proof of Theorem 11] *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be an  $F$ -finite local Gorenstein ring of prime characteristic  $p > 0$  and dimension  $d$ . Suppose  $f_1, \dots, f_d$  is a system of parameters of  $R$  and  $u$  generates the socle mod  $(f_1, \dots, f_d)$ . Then for each  $e \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$a_e(R) = [k : k^{p^e}] \lambda(R/((f_1, \dots, f_d)^{[p^e]} : u^{p^e})).$$

The following corollary is the Gorenstein version of [PS, Lemma 3.10]

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local Gorenstein  $F$ -finite ring of prime characteristic  $p$ . Let  $\underline{f}$  be a regular sequence of length  $c$ . Then for any integer  $e$ , there exists an integer  $N$  such that  $a_e(R/(\underline{f})) = a_e(R/(\underline{f} + \underline{\epsilon}))$  for any  $\underline{\epsilon} \in (\mathfrak{m}^N)^{\oplus c}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\dim(R) = c$ , then  $R/(\underline{f})$  is artinian and, thus, has a splitting if and only if it is a field. If we take  $\underline{\epsilon} \in (\mathfrak{m}^2)^{\oplus c}$ , then  $(\underline{f}) = \mathfrak{m}$  if and only if  $(\underline{f} + \underline{\epsilon}) = \mathfrak{m}$ . So the Frobenius

---

Received May 3, 2019. Revised August 18, 2020. Accepted August 18, 2020.  
 2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13D40, 13A35, 13H15, 14B05.

© (2020) The Authors. The publishing rights in this article are licenced to Foundation Nagoya Mathematical Journal under an exclusive license.

splitting numbers of  $R/(\underline{f})$  and  $R/(\underline{f} + \underline{\epsilon})$  will be the same, either 0 or  $[k : k^{p^e}]$ , the latter of which occurs if and only if  $(\underline{f}) = \mathfrak{m}$ .

Suppose  $\dim(R) = d + c > c$ . Let  $x_1, \dots, x_d$  be a system of parameters for  $R/(\underline{f})$  and let  $u \in R$  generate the socle  $\text{mod } (\underline{f}, x_1, \dots, x_d)$ . By the proof of [PS, Corollary 3.2], there exists an integer  $N$  so that for each  $\underline{\epsilon} \in (\mathfrak{m}^N)^{\oplus c}$  one has that  $(\underline{f} + \underline{\epsilon}, x_1, \dots, x_d) = (\underline{f}, x_1, \dots, x_d)$  and  $(\underline{f} + \underline{\epsilon}, x_1^{p^e}, \dots, x_d^{p^e}) = (\underline{f}, x_1^{p^e}, \dots, x_d^{p^e})$ . For such choices of  $\underline{\epsilon}$  the sequence  $x_1, \dots, x_d$  is a full system of parameters for  $R/(\underline{f} + \underline{\epsilon})$ ,  $u$  still generates the socle of  $R/(\underline{f} + \underline{\epsilon}, x_1, \dots, x_d)$ , and by multiple applications of Theorem 2.1

$$\begin{aligned} a_e(R/(\underline{f})) &= [k : k^{p^e}] \lambda(R/((\underline{f}, x_1^{p^e}, \dots, x_d^{p^e}) : u^{p^e})) \\ &= [k : k^{p^e}] \lambda(R/((\underline{f} + \underline{\epsilon}, x_1^{p^e}, \dots, x_d^{p^e}) : u^{p^e})) = a_e(R/(\underline{f} + \underline{\epsilon})). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a Gorenstein  $F$ -finite ring of prime characteristic  $p$  and dimension  $d + c$ . If  $\underline{f}$  is a parameter sequence of length  $c$  such that  $\hat{R}/(\underline{f})\hat{R}$  is reduced, then for any  $\delta > 0$ , there exists an integer  $N > 0$  such that for any  $\underline{\epsilon} \in (\mathfrak{m}^N)^{\oplus c}$*

$$|s(R/(\underline{f})) - s(R/(\underline{f} + \underline{\epsilon}))| < \delta.$$

*Proof.* We may assume  $R$  is complete. By the Cohen–Gabber theorem [GO08], we may choose parameters  $x_1, \dots, x_d \in R$  such that  $x_1, \dots, x_d$  is a system of parameters for  $R/(\underline{f})$  and  $R/(\underline{f})$  is module finite and generically separable over the regular local ring  $A := k[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]$ . Thus we have short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R/(\underline{f})[A^{1/p}] \rightarrow (R/(\underline{f}))^{1/p} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

and  $0 \neq c = D_A(R/(\underline{f}))$  annihilates  $M$ .

Let  $M$  and  $M_{\underline{\epsilon}}$  be as in the proof of [PS, Theorem 3.5], so that there are isomorphisms  $R/(\underline{f} + \underline{\epsilon})[A^{1/p}] \cong \bigoplus^{p^d[k:k^p]} R/(\underline{f} + \underline{\epsilon})$  and short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow R/(\underline{f} + \underline{\epsilon})[A^{1/p}] \rightarrow (R/(\underline{f} + \underline{\epsilon}))^{1/p} \rightarrow M_{\underline{\epsilon}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Apply the exact functor  $(-)^{1/p^e}$  to the above to get the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus^{p^d[k:k^p]} \left( (R/(\underline{f} + \underline{\epsilon}))^{1/p^e} \right) \rightarrow (R/(\underline{f} + \underline{\epsilon}))^{1/p^{e+1}} \rightarrow M_{\underline{\epsilon}}^{1/p^e} \rightarrow 0.$$

By [PT18, Lemma 2.1]

$$\text{freerank} \left( (R/(\underline{f} + \underline{\epsilon}))^{1/p^{e+1}} \right) \leq p^d[k : k^p] \text{freerank} \left( (R/(\underline{f} + \underline{\epsilon}))^{1/p^e} \right) + \mu((M_{\underline{\epsilon}})^{1/p^e}),$$

or, equivalently,  $a_{e+1}(R/(\underline{f} + \underline{\epsilon})) \leq p^d[k : k^p] a_e(R/(\underline{f} + \underline{\epsilon})) + \mu((M_{\underline{\epsilon}})^{1/p^e})$ . The proof of [PS, Theorem 3.5] gives the existence of a constant  $C$  such that for all  $\underline{\epsilon} \in (\mathfrak{m}^N)^{\oplus c}$

$$\mu((N_{\underline{\epsilon}})^{1/p^e}) = \lambda(N_{\underline{\epsilon}}/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^e]}N_{\underline{\epsilon}}) \leq Cp^{e(d-1)}.$$

Let  $L$  and  $L_{\underline{\epsilon}}$  be as in the proof [PS, Theorem 3.5]. Similarly, we can also bound

$$p^d[k : k^p] \text{freerank} \left( (R/(\underline{f} + \underline{\epsilon}))^{1/p^e} \right) - \text{freerank} \left( (R/(\underline{f} + \underline{\epsilon}))^{1/p^{e+1}} \right) \leq \lambda(L_{\underline{\epsilon}}/\mathfrak{m}^{[p^e]}L_{\underline{\epsilon}})$$

and once again obtain constant  $C$ , independent of  $\underline{\epsilon}$ , such that

$$|a_{e+1}(R/(\underline{f} + \underline{\epsilon})) - p^d[k : k^p] a_e(R/(\underline{f} + \underline{\epsilon}))| < Cp^{e(d-1)}.$$

Since  $\text{rank } R^{1/p^e} = p^{ed}[k : k^{p^e}]$  [Kun76, Proposition 2.3], as explained in [PS, Corollary 3.6], this gives us a uniform convergence statement: there exist  $D, N > 0$  such that for all  $\underline{\epsilon} \in (\mathfrak{m}^N)^{\oplus c}$

$$\left| s(R/(\underline{f} + \underline{\epsilon})) - \frac{1}{\text{rank } R^{1/p^e}} a_e(R/(\underline{f} + \underline{\epsilon})) \right| < \frac{D}{p^e}.$$

The statement now follows by employing Corollary 2.2 and following the proof of [PS, Corollary 3.7].  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** Polstra was supported in part by NSF Postdoctoral Research Fellowship DMS #1703856.

#### REFERENCES

- [DSS20] A. De Stefani and I. Smirnov, *Stability and deformation of F-singularities*, preprint, 2020. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.00242>.
- [GO08] O. Gabber and F. Orgogozo, *Sur la p-dimension des corps*, *Invent. Math.* **174** (2008), 47–80.
- [HL02] C. Huneke and G. J. Leuschke, *Two theorems about maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules*, *Math. Ann.* **324** (2002), 391–404.
- [Kun76] E. Kunz, *On Noetherian rings of characteristic p*, *Amer. J. Math.* **98** (1976), 999–1013.
- [PS] T. Polstra and I. Smirnov, *Continuity of Hilbert–Kunz multiplicity and F-signature*, *Nagoya Math. J.*, 239:322–345, 2020.
- [PT18] T. Polstra and K. Tucker, *F-signature and Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity: A combined approach and comparison*, *Algebra Number Theory* **12** (2018), 61–97.

Thomas Polstra  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*University of Utah*  
*Salt Lake City, Utah*  
 USA  
[polstra@math.utah.edu](mailto:polstra@math.utah.edu)

Ilya Smirnov  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*Stockholm University*  
*SE - 106 91 Stockholm*  
 Sweden  
[smirnov@math.su.se](mailto:smirnov@math.su.se)