

Immigrant England is a well-written, meticulously researched book that productively connects immigration into late medieval England to early modern and modern studies of immigration. While certainly not the dominant themes of the book, the discussions of race and gender will spark specialized interest, while the book as a whole provides a stable foundation for further studies on pre- and early modern immigration.

Scott Oldenburg, *Tulane University*
doi:10.1017/rqx.2022.166

Loyalty to the Monarchy in Late Medieval and Early Modern Britain, c. 1400–1688. Matthew Ward and Matthew Hefferan, eds.
London: Palgrave Macmillan, 2020. xv + 302 pp. €93.59.

Loyalty to the Monarchy in Late Medieval and Early Modern Britain is a collection of thirteen thoughtful essays, originally presented at a conference held at Nottingham University in January 2018, with an introductory essay by the editors. They are divided chronologically into three sections: late medieval and early Tudor, later Tudor and early Stuart, and Civil War and Restoration. While each period had its unique challenges, all had the reciprocal obligation of loyalty between the Crown and its subjects. Most of the essays focus on the English experience, but two are concerned with Scotland, one with Wales, and another with the Virginia colony. With civil wars at each end, assorted rebellions, and two changes of dynasty in between, the chosen period is particularly fruitful for an exploration of what it meant to be loyal during those tumultuous times. A common theme in all the essays is that although kings and queens appealed to their subjects' loyalty at times of stress, they realized that loyalty was something that needed to be nurtured all the time.

Several essays examine ways monarchs exploited chivalric traditions to strengthen ties with their more powerful subjects. Edward IV used tournaments to bind the nobility to the Yorkist cause and frequently participated in them, while Elizabeth, who could not follow his example, stressed other chivalric themes. For those further down the social ladder, the Tudors used proclamations, sermons, and homilies to achieve similar results. Charles II's coronation showed that such appeals were not always successful. Intended to minimize past turmoil with an overwhelming public relations spectacle, the festivities had mixed results.

Of course, just as kings sought to encourage the bonds of loyalty, so subjects managed to exploit this need for their own advantage. Sir Amias Paulet and his son, Sir Hugh, who established their loyal credentials by suppressing rebellions and supporting Henry VIII's religious changes, were able to convert their loyalty into amassing lucrative local offices in Somerset. Others demonstrated that their loyalty was blatantly self-serving. The dedications of books donated to Henry VIII reveal the donors' desire to gain

favor, gain support for a particular policy, or simply boost sales. As time went by, some royal servants discovered that their loyalty to the Crown came at a price. Charles I pushed more duties on the shoulders of his sheriffs, particularly the collection of his novel and hated taxes. Consequently, they found themselves caught between their loyalty to the Crown and their natural affinity with their neighbors. Scottish Royalists were caught in a similar dilemma with the added complication of the National Covenant.

As the Civil War approached, radicals discovered new definitions of loyalty. The Puritan martyrs John Bastwick, William Prynne, and Henry Burton adopted the tactic of denying royal authority by comparing their situations to that of the apostle Paul before the tyrannical Roman emperor Nero. At their trials they claimed that they owed loyalty to a heavenly Caesar, not an earthly one. The martyrs' claim that they were loyal to the Crown, just not to the policies of this particular king, may have assuaged their tender consciences, but it was denied by rulings in courts of common law. In *Storie's Case*, courts ruled that loyalty was established at birth and was irrevocable. Storie had argued that he had previously revoked his obligation to Queen Elizabeth and sworn allegiance to Philip of Spain and thus could not have been deemed guilty of treason. *Calvin's Case* further established that not only did loyalty begin at birth but it was also determined by the place of birth. The lawyers may have been satisfied that natural law confirmed in common law that subjects owed perpetual loyalty to the Crown from birth, but kings learned, or should have, that having the law on their side was not sufficient. In their separate essays these authors have demonstrated that effective kingship required more.

Robert C. Braddock, *Saginaw Valley State University*
doi:10.1017/rqx.2022.167

Nicolaus Mameranus: Poetry and Politics at the Court of Mary Tudor.
Matthew Tibble.

Studies in Medieval and Reformation Traditions 220. Leiden: Brill, 2020. xii + 390 pp. \$188.

The word *courtier* can bring to mind what Hamlet called “waterflies,” mere social climbers hoping to survive palace politics. A more accurate portrayal of these court functionaries emerges in this study of Nicolaus Mameranus (1500–65), a Catholic scholar born in Mamer in southwestern Luxembourg, whom Holy Roman emperor Charles V named a Count Palatine and poet laureate in 1555. Matthew Tibble does not offer a complete biography but narrows his focus to Mameranus's work at the court of Mary Tudor and her husband Philip II during his English visit from March to July 1557. The result is an insightful look at the life of a working courtier who was indeed upwardly mobile but nevertheless adroitly navigated the cross-currents of patronage, politics, and