

## THE NUMBER OF TWO CONSECUTIVE SUCCESSSES IN A HOPPE–PÓLYA URN

LARS HOLST,\* *Royal Institute of Technology*

### Abstract

In a sequence of independent Bernoulli trials the probability of success in the  $k$ th trial is  $p_k = a/(a + b + k - 1)$ . An explicit formula for the binomial moments of the number of two consecutive successes in the first  $n$  trials is obtained and some consequences of it are derived.

*Keywords:* Bernoulli trial; binomial moment; Hoppe urn; overlapping indicators; Pólya urn; records; random permutation

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 60C05  
Secondary 60K99

### 1. Introduction

An urn initially contains one white ball and one black ball of weight  $a > 0$  and  $b \geq 0$ , respectively. Balls are randomly drawn from the urn with probabilities proportional to weights. Every time a white ball or a black ball is drawn from the urn, it is replaced with a ball of weight 1 of a colour not already in the urn, otherwise a ball is replaced together with a copy of it. We call this drawing scheme a *Hoppe–Pólya urn*. If  $b = 0$ , there is no black ball, the so-called *Hoppe urn*. If all balls emanating from a draw of the white or black are coloured white or, respectively, black, we obtain the well-known *Pólya urn*.

Let the sequence of independent Bernoulli random variables  $I_1, I_2, I_3, \dots$  indicate the drawings of the white ball, the ‘successes’ or ‘records’ in the Hoppe–Pólya urn. Obviously,

$$p_k = P(I_k = 1) = 1 - P(I_k = 0) = \frac{a}{a + b + k - 1}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

The number of successes in the first  $n$  trials can be written as

$$K_n = I_1 + I_2 + \dots + I_n,$$

and the number of two consecutive successes can be written as

$$M_n = I_1 I_2 + I_2 I_3 + \dots + I_{n-1} I_n.$$

An explicit formula for the binomial moments of  $M_n$  is the main result of this paper. Note that  $0 \leq M_n \leq n - 1$ .

For  $p_k = a/(a + b + k - 1)$ , the Borel–Cantelli lemma implies that

$$M_\infty = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k I_{k+1} < +\infty$$

Received 21 December 2007; revision received 8 May 2008.

\* Postal address: Department of Mathematics, Royal Institute of Technology, SE-100 44 Stockholm, Sweden.

Email address: lholst@math.kth.se

with probability 1. For the case in which  $a = 1$  and  $b = 0$ , i.e.  $p_k = 1/k$ , connected with record values and random permutations, Hahlin (1995) proved that  $M_\infty$  is Poisson distributed with mean 1. After that, an unpublished proof of the same result by Diaconis inspired a number of studies on the distribution of  $M_\infty$ ; see Chern *et al.* (2000), Mori (2001), Joffe *et al.* (2004), Sethuraman and Sethuraman (2004), Holst (2007), and the references therein. To the author’s knowledge, the result in this paper on the distribution of  $M_n$  for finite  $n$  has not been obtained previously.

**2. Notation and facts**

Following Knuth (1992), we denote falling and rising factorials by

$$x^n = x(x - 1) \cdots (x - n + 1),$$

$$x^{\bar{n}} = x(x + 1) \cdots (x + n - 1) = \sum_{j=1}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix} x^j,$$

where  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}$  is a cycle number or signless Stirling number of the first kind. Recall the combinatorial interpretation:  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}$  is the number of permutations of  $1, 2, \dots, n$  with  $j$  cycles.

For  $K_n$  equals the number of successes in the first  $n$  trials, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(x^{K_n}) &= \prod_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{a}{a + b + k - 1} x + 1 - \frac{a}{a + b + k - 1} \right) \\ &= \frac{(ax + b)^{\bar{n}}}{(a + b)^{\bar{n}}} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix} \frac{(ax + b)^j}{(a + b)^{\bar{n}}} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n x^i \sum_{j=i}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix} \binom{j}{i} \frac{a^i b^{j-i}}{(a + b)^{\bar{n}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,

$$P(K_n = i) = \sum_{j=i}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix} \frac{(a + b)^j}{(a + b)^{\bar{n}}} \binom{j}{i} \left( \frac{a}{a + b} \right)^i \left( \frac{b}{a + b} \right)^{j-i}.$$

In particular, for  $b = 0$ , i.e. Hoppe’s urn, we obtain the *cycle distribution*,

$$P(K_n = i) = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix} \frac{a^i}{a^{\bar{n}}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

for an  $a$ -biased random permutation; see Arratia *et al.* (2003, p. 100).

The number of times the white ball, or balls emanating from it, is drawn in the first  $n$  trials,  $X_n$ , has the following *Pólya-Eggenberger distribution*:

$$P(X_n = i) = \binom{n}{i} \frac{a^i b^{\bar{n}-i}}{(a + b)^{\bar{n}}} = E \left( \binom{n}{i} U^i (1 - U)^{n-i} \right), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where  $U$  is a Beta( $a, b$ ) random variable with density

$$f_U(u) = \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} u^{a-1}(1-u)^{b-1}, \quad 0 < u < 1.$$

Using the binomial distribution, we obtain, for  $r = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,

$$E\left(\binom{X_n}{r}\right) = E\left(\sum_{i=r}^n \binom{i}{r} \binom{n}{i} U^i (1-U)^{n-i}\right) = E\left(\binom{n}{r} U^r\right) = \binom{n}{r} \frac{a^{\bar{r}}}{(a+b)^{\bar{r}}}.$$

Recall that a random variable  $S$  with the hypergeometric distribution

$$P(S = i) = \binom{c}{i} \binom{d}{n-i} / \binom{c+d}{n}$$

has the binomial moment

$$E\left(\binom{S}{r}\right) = \binom{n}{r} \frac{c^{\underline{r}}}{(c+d)^{\underline{r}}}.$$

For an integer-valued random variable  $Z \geq 0$  having a probability generating function with a radius of convergence larger than 1, we have

$$E(x^Z) = E((1+(x-1))^Z) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} E\left(\binom{Z}{r}\right) (x-1)^r = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x^i \sum_{r=i}^{\infty} (-1)^{r-i} \binom{r}{i} E\left(\binom{Z}{r}\right),$$

which gives the following probability function of  $Z$  expressed in binomial moments:

$$P(Z = i) = \sum_{r=i}^{\infty} (-1)^{r-i} \binom{r}{i} E\left(\binom{Z}{r}\right), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Note that if  $0 \leq Z < n$  then  $E\left(\binom{Z}{r}\right) = 0$  for  $r \geq n$ .

### 3. The number of two consecutive successes

The following result implicitly gives the distribution of  $M_n$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** For  $p_k = a/(a+b+k-1)$  and  $r = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ,

$$E\left(\binom{M_n}{r}\right) = \frac{a^r}{(a+b+n-1)^{\underline{r}}} \sum_{k=1}^r \binom{r-1}{r-k} \binom{n-r}{k} \frac{a^{\bar{k}}}{(a+b)^{\bar{k}}}.$$

Before proving the theorem we consider the special case  $b = 0$ , i.e. Hoppe’s urn. A more general result is Proposition 3 of Holst (2007).

**Lemma 3.1.** For  $p_k = a/(a+k-1)$  and  $r = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ,

$$E\left(\binom{M_n}{r}\right) = \binom{n-1}{r} \frac{a^r}{(a+n-1)^{\underline{r}}}.$$

*Proof.* For  $N_n = M_n + I_n$ , we have

$$E(t^{N_{n+1}}) = p_{n+1} E(t^{N_n})t + (1 - p_{n+1}) E(t^{M_n}),$$

which implies that

$$E \binom{N_{n+1}}{r} = p_{n+1} \left( E \binom{N_n}{r} + E \binom{N_n}{r-1} \right) + (1 - p_{n+1}) E \binom{M_n}{r}.$$

For  $p_k = a/(a + k - 1)$ , the random variable  $N_n$  has the same distribution as the number of fix-points in an  $a$ -biased random permutation of  $1, 2, \dots, n$ , and

$$E \binom{N_n}{r} = \binom{n}{r} \frac{a^r}{(a + n - 1)^r};$$

see Arratia *et al.* (2003 pp. 95–96). Using this and the relation above, proves the assertion.

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* Consider the Hoppe–Pólya urn and the random variable  $X_n$  introduced in Section 2. In the  $X_n$  ‘white’ drawings, the probability of obtaining the white ball in the  $j$ th trial is

$$p_j^* = \frac{a}{a + j - 1}.$$

Given  $X_n = x$ , the number of times the white ball is consecutively drawn in these ‘white’ drawings,  $M_x^*$ , is distributed as in Lemma 3.1.

Conditional on  $X_n = x$ , we can argue as follows. Among the  $x$  ‘white’ draws let  $W_1$  denote a drawing that gives a white ball and let  $W_0$  denote a drawing that gives a ball which emanates from a white ball. Let  $B$  denote a ‘black’ drawing. The result of the ‘white’ draws can be written as  $W_1 W_{i_2} W_{i_3} \cdots W_{i_x}$ , where  $i_2, \dots, i_x$  are 0 or 1. For  $M_x^* = y$ ,  $y$  of the pairs  $W_1 W_{i_2}, \dots, W_{i_{x-1}} W_{i_x}$  are of type  $W_1 W_1$ . For  $M_n = z$  consecutive draws  $W_1 W_1$  among the original  $n$  draws (with  $x$   $W$ s and  $n - x$   $B$ s), there are  $z$  pairs of the  $y$   $W_1 W_1$ -pairs among the ‘white’ draws which are intact and  $y - z$  which are split by at least one  $B$  between  $W_1 W_1$ . The number of ways to choose the pairs to be intact is  $\binom{y}{z}$ . After such a splitting, there are  $x - z$  ‘free’  $W$ s to combine with the  $n - x - (y - z)$  ‘free’  $B$ s, and there are  $\binom{n-y}{x-z}$  such combinations. As each combination of  $x$   $W$ s and  $n - x$   $B$ s has the same probability,  $1/\binom{n}{x}$ , we obtain

$$P(M_n = z \mid X_n = x) = \sum_y P(M_x^* = y) \binom{y}{z} \binom{n-y}{x-z} / \binom{n}{x}.$$

Thus,  $M_n$ ’s probability function can be written as

$$P(M_n = z) = \sum_{x,y} P(X_n = x) P(M_x^* = y) \binom{y}{z} \binom{n-y}{x-z} / \binom{n}{x}$$

with the binomial moment

$$E \binom{M_n}{r} = \sum_{x,y} P(X_n = x) P(M_x^* = y) \sum_z \binom{z}{r} \binom{y}{z} \binom{n-y}{x-z} / \binom{n}{x}.$$

Using the formula for the binomial moment of the hypergeometric distribution and Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E \binom{M_n}{r} &= \sum_{x,y} P(X_n = x) P(M_x^* = y) \binom{x}{r} \frac{y^r}{n^r} \\ &= \sum_x \binom{x}{r} \binom{n}{r}^{-1} P(X_n = x) \sum_y \binom{y}{r} P(M_x^* = y) \\ &= \sum_x \binom{x}{r} \binom{n}{r}^{-1} \binom{n}{x} \frac{a^x b^{n-x}}{(a+b)^n} \binom{x-1}{r} \frac{a^r}{(a+x-1)^r}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the binomial moment of the Pólya–Eggenberger distribution gives

$$\begin{aligned} E \binom{M_n}{r} &= \frac{a^r}{(a+b+n-1)^r} \sum_x \binom{n-r}{x-r} \frac{a^{x-r} b^{n-r-(x-r)}}{(a+b)^{n-r}} \sum_{k=1}^r \binom{r-1}{r-k} \binom{x-r}{k} \\ &= \frac{a^r}{(a+b+n-1)^r} \sum_{k=1}^r \binom{r-1}{r-k} \sum_t \binom{t}{k} \binom{n-r}{t} \frac{a^t b^{n-r-t}}{(a+b)^{n-r}} \\ &= \frac{a^r}{(a+b+n-1)^r} \sum_{k=1}^r \binom{r-1}{r-k} E \binom{X_{n-r}}{k} \\ &= \frac{a^r}{(a+b+n-1)^r} \sum_{k=1}^r \binom{r-1}{r-k} \binom{n-r}{k} \frac{a^{\bar{k}}}{(a+b)^{\bar{k}}}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the assertion.

The distribution of  $M_\infty$  was obtained by Mori (2001). It is a special case of the distribution given in Theorem 1 of Holst (2007).

**Corollary 3.1.** *Conditional on a Beta(a,b) random variable U,  $M_\infty$  is Poisson distributed with mean aU.*

*Proof.* From Theorem 3.1, it follows that

$$E \binom{M_n}{r} \rightarrow \frac{a^r}{r!} \frac{a^{\bar{r}}}{(a+b)^{\bar{r}}}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

As  $E(U^r) = a^{\bar{r}} / (a+b)^{\bar{r}}$ , we obtain, using the Poisson distribution,

$$E \binom{M_\infty}{r} = E \left( E \left( \binom{M_\infty}{r} \middle| U \right) \right) = E \left( \frac{(aU)^r}{r!} \right) = \frac{a^r E(U^r)}{r!} = \frac{a^r}{r!} \frac{a^{\bar{r}}}{(a+b)^{\bar{r}}}.$$

The assertion follows from the moment convergence.

The distribution of  $M_n$  for  $p_k = p$  was studied by Hirano *et al.* (1991); see also the references therein. Letting  $a, b \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $a/(a+b) \rightarrow p$ , we obtain their result.

**Corollary 3.2.** *For  $p_k = p$  and  $r = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ,*

$$E \binom{M_n}{r} = p^r \sum_{k=1}^r \binom{r-1}{r-k} \binom{n-r}{k} p^k.$$

Finally, consider the Pólya urn starting with one white ball of weight  $a$  and one black ball of weight  $b$ . Every drawn ball is replaced together with one ball of the same colour and of weight 1. In  $n$  drawings, the number of times a white ball is drawn,  $X_n$ , has the Pólya–Eggenberger distribution. Let  $Y_n$  denote the number of times a white ball is consecutively drawn.

**Corollary 3.3.** *For the Pólya urn and  $r = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ ,*

$$E \binom{Y_n}{r} = \sum_{k=1}^r \binom{r-1}{r-k} \binom{n-r}{k} \frac{a^{\overline{r+k}}}{(a+b)^{\overline{r+k}}}.$$

*Proof.* Set  $J_k = 1$  if the  $k$ th drawn ball is white, otherwise set  $J_k = 0$ . It is a well known, easily proved fact that, conditional on a Beta( $a, b$ ) random variable  $U$ , the random variables  $J_1, J_2, \dots$  are independent and Bernoulli distributed with success probability  $U$ . Thus, it follows from Corollary 3.2 that

$$E \binom{Y_n}{r} = E \left( U^r \sum_{k=1}^r \binom{r-1}{r-k} \binom{n-r}{k} U^k \right) = \sum_{k=1}^r \binom{r-1}{r-k} \binom{n-r}{k} E(U^{r+k}),$$

which proves the assertion.

## References

- ARRATIA, R., BARBOUR, A. D. AND TAVARÉ, S. (2003). *Logarithmic Combinatorial Structures: A Probabilistic Approach*. European Mathematical Society, Zürich.
- CHERN, H.-H., HWANG, H.-K. AND YEH, Y.-N. (2000). Distribution of the number of consecutive records. *Random Structures Algorithms* **17**, 169–196.
- HAHLIN, L. O. (1995). Double records. Res. Rep. 1995:12, Department of Mathematics, Uppsala University.
- HIRANO, K., AKI, S., KASHIWAGI, N. AND KUBOKI, H. (1991). On Ling's binomial and negative binomial distributions of order  $k$ . *Statist. Prob. Lett.* **11**, 503–509.
- HOLST, L. (2007). Counts of failure strings in certain Bernoulli sequences. *J. Appl. Prob.* **44**, 824–830.
- JOFFE, A., MARCHAND, E., PERRON, F. AND POPADIUK, P. (2004). On sums of products of Bernoulli variables and random permutations. *J. Theoret. Prob.* **17**, 285–292.
- KNUTH, D. (1992). Two notes on notation. *Amer. Math. Monthly* **99**, 403–422.
- MORI, T. F. (2001). On the distribution of sums of overlapping products. *Acta Sci. Math.* **67**, 833–841.
- SETHURAMAN, J. AND SETHURAMAN, S. (2004). On counts of Bernoulli strings and connections to rank orders and random permutations. In *A Festschrift for Herman Rubin* (IMS Lecture Notes Monogr. Ser. **45**), Institute of Mathematical Statistics, Beachwood, OH, pp. 140–152.