cambridge.org/psm

Original Article

Cite this article: Padula MC, Schaer M, Armando M, Sandini C, Zöller D, Scariati E, Schneider M, Eliez S (2018). Cortical morphology development in patients with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome at ultra-high risk of psychosis. *Psychological Medicine* **48**, 2375–2383. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0033291717003920

Received: 14 August 2017 Revised: 17 November 2017 Accepted: 14 December 2017 First published online: 17 January 2018

Key words:

Grey matter; mixed model; multivariate; schizophrenia; thickness

Author for correspondence:

Maria Carmela Padula, E-mail: Maria.Padula@ unige.ch.

© Cambridge University Press 2018



Cortical morphology development in patients with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome at ultra-high risk of psychosis

Maria Carmela Padula¹, Marie Schaer¹, Marco Armando¹, Corrado Sandini¹, Daniela Zöller^{1,2}, Elisa Scariati¹, Maude Schneider¹ and Stephan Eliez^{1,3}

¹Developmental Imaging and Psychopathology Laboratory, Department of Psychiatry, University of Geneva School of Medicine, Geneva, Switzerland; ²Medical Image Processing Laboratory, Institute of Bioengineering, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland and ³Department of Genetic Medicine and Development, University of Geneva School of Medicine, Geneva, Switzerland

Abstract

Background. Patients with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome (22q11DS) present a high risk of developing psychosis. While clinical and cognitive predictors for the conversion towards a full-blown psychotic disorder are well defined and largely used in practice, neural biomarkers do not yet exist. However, a number of investigations indicated an association between abnormalities in cortical morphology and higher symptoms severities in patients with 22q11DS. Nevertheless, few studies included homogeneous groups of patients differing in their psychotic symptoms profile.

Methods. In this study, we included 22 patients meeting the criteria for an ultra-high-risk (UHR) psychotic state and 22 age-, gender- and IQ-matched non-UHR patients. Measures of cortical morphology, including cortical thickness, volume, surface area and gyrification, were compared between the two groups using mass-univariate and multivariate comparisons. Furthermore, the development of these measures was tested in the two groups using a mixed-model approach.

Results. Our results showed differences in cortical volume and surface area in UHR patients compared with non-UHR. In particular, we found a positive association between surface area and the rate of change of global functioning, suggesting that higher surface area is predictive of improved functioning with age. We also observed accelerated cortical thinning during adolescence in UHR patients with 22q11DS.

Conclusions. These results, although preliminary, suggest that alterations in cortical volume and surface area as well as altered development of cortical thickness may be associated to a greater probability to develop psychosis in 22q11DS.

Introduction

22q11.2 deletion syndrome (22q11DS) is a neurogenetic condition characterized by a specific physical, cognitive and psychiatric phenotype. Most common physical abnormalities include cardiac defects and palatal abnormalities, while the cognitive phenotype is characterized by mental delay (IQ~70), visuo-spatial impairments and altered executive functions and social cognition (Swillen & McDonald-McGinn, 2015; Tang *et al.* 2015; Bostelmann *et al.* 2016; Maeder *et al.* 2016). The psychiatric phenotype includes a high prevalence of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorders, anxiety disorders and schizophrenia spectrum disorders (Schneider *et al.* 2014*a*). In particular, 30–40% of patients with the syndrome will develop psychosis by adulthood (Schneider *et al.* 2014*a*) and the syndrome represents the third risk factor for the development of schizophrenia after having a monozygotic twin or both parents affected (McGuffin *et al.* 1995). Therefore, 22q11DS represents a valuable model for the research of neural biomarkers predicting the development of psychosis.

A number of studies have been conducted in order to identify risk factors for the conversion to psychosis in 22q11DS (Gothelf *et al.* 2013; Midbari Kufert *et al.* 2016; Schneider *et al.* 2016; Van *et al.* 2016). The presence of anxiety disorders (Gothelf *et al.* 2013) and lower global functioning (Schneider *et al.* 2016) at baseline, a decline in verbal IQ (VIQ) (Gothelf *et al.* 2013; Vorstman *et al.* 2015), preterm birth (Midbari Kufert *et al.* 2016; Van *et al.* 2016) and the presence of an ultra-high-risk (UHR) condition (Schneider *et al.* 2016) have been showed to be predictive of psychosis conversion.

However, neuroimaging markers of psychosis are still lacking. Despite a significant number of studies investigated differences in brain morphology in patients with 22q11DS compared with healthy individuals (Gothelf *et al.* 2008), investigations conducted within the 22q11DS population are still scarce. Such studies have indeed a number of advantages. First, as opposite

to non-deleted patients with schizophrenia, which present a high genetic heterogeneity, patients with 22q11DS all share the same deletion, which confers a high risk to develop schizophrenia. Second, when comparing patients with 22q11DS to healthy controls, a number of factors can account for the differences observed between the groups, such as the cognitive impairments and the lower IQ. The studies conducted to date showed alterations in cortical thickness, volume and gyrification in association to more severe positive symptoms (Schaer et al. 2009; Gothelf et al. 2011; Kates et al. 2011; Jalbrzikowski et al. 2013; Schmitt et al. 2015; Bakker et al. 2016), and reduced gyrification in association to higher negative symptoms (Mihailov et al. 2017) in patients with 22q11DS. Furthermore, longitudinal investigations revealed altered developmental trajectories associated with higher positive symptoms severity in patients with the syndrome (Radoeva et al. 2017; Ramanathan et al. 2017). The regions more frequently associated to a more severe symptomatology included frontal and temporal cortices (Schaer et al. 2009; Gothelf et al. 2011; Kates et al. 2011; Jalbrzikowski et al. 2013).

The majority of these studies classified patients based on arbitrary criteria, as for instance the definition of a cut-off in positive symptoms severity. However, more reliable UHR criteria have been developed during the past decades to capture the clinical high-risk state (Fusar-Poli *et al.* 2013). UHR criteria include attenuated psychotic symptoms (APS), brief limited intermittent psychotic episode (BLIP) and genetic risk and deterioration syndrome (Fusar-Poli *et al.* 2013; Armando *et al.* 2017, Table 1). It has been shown that 9.6% of non-syndromic patients at UHR convert to psychosis after 6 months, while the conversion rate after 4 years is 37% (Schultze-Lutter *et al.* 2015). Similarly, 27% of patients with 22q11DS meeting the UHR criteria convert to psychosis after 2.7 years, while non-UHR patients show a significantly lower conversion rate (4.5%) (Schneider *et al.* 2016).

No studies to date investigated morphological alterations in patients with 22q11DS fulfilling the UHR criteria. The advantage of using this more specific diagnostic delineation, rather than considering the severity of positive symptoms only, is that it allows to define a more homogeneous group in which the risk of psychosis has been largely demonstrated and quantified. At the same time, as compared to the investigation of patients with a full-blown psychosis, the study of UHR populations has the advantage of being less affected by the confounds related to medication and it can give insights about alterations predicting the development of psychosis. Furthermore, we included in the study a naturalistic longitudinal sample of self-referred patients and families, rather than patients referred by a psychiatric service.

In this study, we investigated cortical volume, thickness, surface area and gyrification in patients with 22q11DS meeting the criteria for a UHR status. As control group, we included patients with the same deletion but not meeting the UHR criteria. We decided not to include a control group of healthy subjects in order to avoid the influence of confounding factors, such as the important cognitive delay characterizing patients with 22q11DS. In addition, several studies already compared brain morphology measures between patients with 22q11DS and healthy controls (for instance Schmitt et al. 2015; Bakker et al. 2016; Radoeva et al. 2017; Ramanathan et al. 2017) and showed differences between the two groups even in patients with low psychotic symptoms scores. Therefore, we argue that the comparison of patients with 22q11DS meeting or not the UHR criteria will allow us to better define the brain phenotype associated to a greater risk of psychosis in these patients.

In addition to testing differences in morphological brain measures, the development of cortical volume, thickness, surface area and gyrification was also tested using a mixed-model approach. Furthermore, in order to assess if alterations in brain morphology in UHR patients are associated to more severe clinical and cognitive outcomes 3 years later, we correlated the morphological measures with changes in IQ, global functioning and positive and negative symptoms severity. In addition to mass-univariate comparisons, multivariate statistical analyses were conducted to predict the UHR status starting from the structural imaging data. The UHR group being inherently heterogeneous, we indeed hypothesized that the use of a multivariate approach would be more sensitive in capturing subtle differences in UHR and non-UHR patients (Davatzikos, 2004). Indeed, it has been shown that multivariate analyses perform better than univariate statistics when mean differences between the groups cannot be detected (Arbabshirani et al. 2017, Fig. 1).

Based on findings reporting alterations in cortical morphology in non-deleted UHR subjects compared with controls (for instance Fusar-Poli *et al.* 2011; Mechelli *et al.* 2011; Cropley *et al.* 2016), we expected to observe reductions in cortical volume and thickness in frontal, parietal, temporal and limbic regions in UHR 22q11DS individuals. Furthermore, given the variability of cortical thickness with age and the findings about accelerated cortical thinning in non-syndromic at-risk individuals (Cannon, 2015), we expected to observe altered development of cortical thickness in UHR patients with 22q11DS.

Methods

Participants

Twenty-two patients with 22q11DS, aged 11.7-21.3 years, fulfilled the criteria for an UHR status (i.e. APS, BLIPS or genetic risk and functional decline, as defined in the Introduction section and in Table 1 of Armando et al. 2017) according to the Structured Interview for Prodromal Syndromes (SIPS) (Miller et al. 2002). One or more follow-up assessments at an interval of 3.7 ± 0.53 years were present for 13 UHR subjects (five females, age 14.3-28.3, Fig. 1). Two UHR subjects converted to psychosis (15%), four remained UHR (30%) and seven subjects remitted (55%) after the 3.7 ± 0.53 years interval. Among the subjects that remitted, four were considered resilient. Resilience was defined as remission and good functional outcome (de Wit et al. 2016). Functional outcome was measured using Childhood Global Assessment Scale (CGAS; Shaffer et al. 1983) or the Global Assessment of Functioning (GAF), and a score ≥65 was considered as an indicator of good functioning (Allen et al. 2015; de Wit et al. 2016).

As control group, 22 patients with 22q11DS not meeting the UHR criteria were individually matched for age and gender. Eight non-UHR individuals had a follow-up assessment after 3.8 ± 1.6 years (six females, age 15.6–25.3, Fig. 1). None of the non-UHR patients had converted to psychosis at follow-up.

Demographic information for the two groups of patients is reported in Table 1. There were no significant differences in age, gender or IQ between the two groups (p > 0.43).

The presence of psychiatric comorbidities was assessed using the Diagnostic Interview for Children and Adolescents Revised (DICA-R; Reich, 2000), the psychosis supplement from the Kiddie-Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia Present and Lifetime version (K-SADS-PL; Kaufman *et al.* 1997) and the

Table 1. Demographic information

| | UHR | Non-UHR | p Value |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Number of subjects (females) | 22 (10) | 22 (10) | |
| Mean age (range) | 15.36 ± 3.1 (11.7-21.3) | 14.94 ± 2.9 (11.05-20.96) | 0.9532 |
| Right handed ^a | 60% | 67% | 0.47 |
| Mean IQ ^b | 67.82 ± 8.7 | 72.14 ± 15.1 | 0.4312 |
| Mean GAF | 57.1 ± 10.4 | 70.6±11.2 | <0.001 |
| Number of subjects meeting criteria for psychiatric diagnosis | 18 (82%) ^c | 13 (60%) | 0.05 |
| Anxiety disorder | 17 | 9 | |
| Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder | 9 | 6 | |
| Mood disorder | 2 | 1 | |
| More than one psychiatric disorder | 10 | 3 | |
| Number of subjects medicated | 11 (50%) | 4 (18%) | 0.026 |
| Methylphenidate | 5 | 4 | |
| Antidepressants | 4 | 0 | |
| Antipsychotics | 2 | 0 | |
| Anticonvulsants | 0 | 0 | |
| Anxiolytics | 0 | 0 | |
| More than one class of medication | 0 | 0 | |
| SIPS positive scores | 9.136 | 2.045 | <0.001 |
| P1 | 2.182 | 0.182 | <0.001 |
| P2 | 2.227 | 0.864 | 0.162 |
| P3 | 0.273 | 0.000 | <0.001 |
| P4 | 3.591 | 0.727 | 0.015 |
| P5 | 0.864 | 0.273 | <0.001 |

^aHandedness was measured using the Edinburgh laterality quotient.

^bIQ was measured using the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children (version III or IV) or the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale (version III or IV) (Wechsler, 1991, 1997, 2004, 2008). ^cThe information about psychiatry diagnosis was not available for one UHR subject.

P1, unusual thoughts/delusional ideas; P2, suspiciousness/persecutory ideas; P3, grandiosity, P4, perceptual abnormalities/hallucinations; P5, disorganized communication.



Fig. 1. Age distribution in UHR and non-UHR patients with 22q11DS. Thirteen UHR and eight non-UHR patients had at least one follow-up assessment. One UHR subject had two follow-ups, for a total of three time points, and one additional subject had three follow-ups, for a total of four time points.

Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders (SCID-I; First *et al.* 1996) for patients older than 18 years. Eighteen UHR and 13 non-UHR patients meet the criteria for a psychiatric diagnosis (p = 0.05). Eleven UHR and four non-UHR patients were medicated at the time of visit (p = 0.026, Table 1).

Written informed consent was received from the patients and their parents and our protocols were approved by the cantonal ethic commission of researches.

MRI acquisition and surface reconstruction

T1-weighted images were acquired using a Siemens Trio (N = 25, 16 UHR, nine non-UHR), a Siemens Prisma (N = 16, three UHR, 13 non-UHR) 3 Tesla MRI scanner, or a Philips 1.5 T Intera scanner (N = 3, three UHR, zero non-UHR) at the Center for Biomedical Imaging (CIBM) in Geneva. The sequences were acquired with a three-dimensional volumetric pulse. The parameters for the 3 T scanners are the following: TR = 2500 ms, TE = 3 ms, flip angle = 8°, acquisition matrix = 256 × 256, field of view = 23.5 cm, slice thickness = 3.2 mm, 192 slices. The 1.5 T scans were instead acquired with the following parameters: TR

= 35 ms, TE = 6 ms, flip angle = 45°, NEX = 1, matrix size = 256×192 , field of view = 24 cm², slice thickness = 1.5 mm, 124 slices.

The structural scans were processed with the software *FreeSurfer* to reconstruct the internal and external cortical surfaces (Dale *et al.* 1999). Cortical volume, thickness, surface area and gyrification were then computed (Fischl & Dale, 2000; Schaer *et al.* 2008). More in details, cortical thickness was computed as the distance between the white and pial cortical surfaces. Gyrification was measured using the local gyrification index (IGI), which quantifies the proportion of cortex buried within sulci (Schaer *et al.* 2008). These measures were compared vertex-wise and parcel-wise. For the parcel-wise analysis, average measures were extracted from 68 regions based on the Desikan parcellation (Desikan *et al.* 2006).

Correlation analysis with outcome measures

In order to investigate if altered cortical morphology could predict more severe psychotic symptoms or cognitive and functional decline at follow-up, we conducted a *post hoc* correlation analysis between the morphological measures that significantly differed between UHR and non-UHR patients and the rate of change of: positive and negative symptoms (measured with the SIPS), full scale IQ (FSIQ) and VIQ, and global functioning (measured with the GAF). This analysis was conducted exclusively in the group of 13 UHR subjects for which a follow-up assessment was available.

Statistical analysis

Cross-sectional mass-univariate analysis

The vertex-wise mass-univariate comparison was performed using a general linear model in *FreeSurfer*. Thickness, volume and surface area were smoothed using a full width at a half maximum (FWHM) of 10 mm, while the lGI was smoothed at a FWHM of 5 mm. A Monte Carlo multiple comparisons correction was performed at a significance threshold of 0.05.

For the parcel-wise analysis, group comparisons were performed with analysis of variance in Matlab R2016b and false discovery rate was used to correct for multiple comparisons.

Cross-sectional multivariate analysis

The multivariate classification was performed using functions from the Pattern Recognition for Neuroimaging (PRONTO) toolbox. Support Vector Machine (SVM) classifier was used, including as features the values of cortical volume, thickness, surface area and gyrification extracted from the 68 parcels. The results of the classification were evaluated using three measures: sensitivity, specificity and accuracy. The sensitivity reflects the proportion of UHR patients correctly classified as such, while the specificity reflects the proportion of non-UHR patients correctly classified as such. The accuracy is the average of the previous two measures. Sensitivity, specificity and accuracy were estimated using a leave-one-subject-out cross-validation loop and the significance of the results was assessed by computing the accuracy confidence interval (CI) using the Wilson's score interval. The analysis was repeated with and without feature selection, performed through point biserial correlation.

Longitudinal mass-univariate analysis

The longitudinal analysis was performed using the average measures of cortical volume, thickness, surface area and gyrification extracted from the parcels. Given the structure of our data, characterized by subjects with 1–4 time points with variable time intervals between them and missing data, we adopted a mixed-model approach in order to achieve a higher statistical power. We used a method described in our previous publications (Mutlu *et al.* 2013; Schneider *et al.* 2014b; Maeder *et al.* 2016), which models within-subject factors as random effects and population parameters (diagnosis and age) as fixed effects. The trajectories were estimated using a constant and a linear model. Then, the Bayesian information criterion (Schwarz, 1978) was used to select the model that optimally fitted the data. Group differences in shape and intercept were finally evaluated using a log-likelihood approach.

Age, gender and type of scanner were used as covariates in all the analyses.

Results

Cross-sectional mass-univariate analysis

No significant results were obtained when comparing cortical volume between UHR and non-UHR patients with 22q11DS when performing the mass-univariate analysis, neither in the vertexwise nor in the parcel-wise comparison (p > 0.62).

Cross-sectional multivariate analysis

A significant discrimination of UHR and non-UHR patients was achieved when using multivariate classification with feature selection. In particular, altered cortical volume in 14 regions successfully discriminated UHR from non-UHR patients (accuracy = 66%, CI 51.1–78.1%, sensitivity = 63.6%, specificity = 68.2%; Fig. 2).

No significant correlation was evident between average cortical volume in these regions and the rate of change of FSIQ, VIQ, GAF and positive and negative symptoms scores.

No significant discrimination was obtained with cortical thickness and gyrification.

With the surface area, however, a significant classification was achieved with and without feature selection when including all the 68 brain regions (accuracy = 68.2%, CI 53.4–80%, sensitivity: 68.2%, specificity: 68.2%; Fig. 3). The correlation analysis between average surface area and the clinical and cognitive scores revealed a positive association between surface area at baseline and the rate of change in global functioning (Fig. 3c). Of note, the correlation between surface area and global functioning remained significant after covarying for the medication status (see supplementary material, figure S1).

Longitudinal mass-univariate analysis

Significant different developmental trajectories were evident in UHR patients with 22q11DS, involving the bilateral superior frontal cortex, the right prefrontal cortex, and the left anterior cingulate cortices (ACC) and inferior parietal cortices (Fig. 4). In particular, UHR patients with 22q11DS showed higher thickness values before the age of 15 and increased cortical thinning during adolescence, which resulted in reduced cortical thickness by the age of 25 years.

Discussion

In this study, we compared measures of cortical volume, thickness, surface area and gyrification between UHR and non-UHR patients with 22q11DS.



Fig. 2. Results of the discrimination analysis with cortical volume. (*a*) Plot showing the accuracy for each number of features. The blue line indicates the accuracy while the red lines indicates the upper and lower confidence interval. (*b*) Brain maps showing the regions contributing to the classification of UHR and non-UHR patients. The blue and red colours indicate regions where cortical volume was reduced or increased in UHR patients, respectively.

In contrast to our hypothesis, the mass-univariate comparison did not reveal significant differences among patients with 22q11DS fulfilling or not the UHR diagnostic criteria. Studies conducted in non-syndromic UHR individuals showed reduced cortical volume (Fusar-Poli *et al.* 2011; Mechelli *et al.* 2011) in frontal, temporal and limbic regions, thus suggesting that the brain alterations characterizing UHR patients recapitulate the alterations observed in schizophrenia. However, other studies showed no differences in cortical volume or thickness in UHR individuals (Ziermans *et al.* 2009; Klauser *et al.* 2015). Therefore, even in the general population, it is not yet clear what are the brain alterations characterizing the UHR condition.



Fig. 3. Results of the discrimination analysis with surface area and association with changes in global functioning (GAF). (*a*) Plot showing the accuracy for each number of features. The blue line indicates the accuracy while the red lines indicates the upper and lower confidence interval. (*b*) Brain maps showing the regions contributing to the classification of UHR and non-UHR patients. The blue and red colours indicate regions where surface area was reduced or increased in UHR patients, respectively. (*c*) The plot shows the positive correlation between the surface area, averaged through the whole brain, and the rate of change of the GAF score.



Fig. 4. Developmental trajectories in UHR and non-UHR patients. The brain maps show brain regions were significantly different cortical thinning was evident between UHR and non-UHR individuals. The more yellow the colour the more significant is the difference between the two groups. The plots indicate the developmental trajectories for each brain regions in UHR and non UHR patients.

One factor that could explain these incongruences in the results is that patients at UHR are a heterogeneous group. For instance, 20-30% of them will convert to psychosis (Schultze-Lutter et al. 2015), while 50% of them will remit (Addington et al. 2011). Differences in cortical morphology have indeed been observed between converters and non-converters (Dazzan et al. 2012; de Wit et al. 2016). Therefore, including these subjects in the same group could have prevented ours and other studies from observing significant alterations. However, we partially reduced this heterogeneity by using a homogeneous cohort of patients with 22q11DS that share the same genetic deletion. The low sample size is another factor that may have prevented us from finding significant differences among UHR and non-UHR patients with 22q11DS. Future investigations should further disentangle the effects of sex and development. Indeed, in our recent investigation (Sannino et al. 2017), we found that differences between patients with 22q11DS having a different polymorphism in the catechol-o-methyltransferase (COMT) gene are associated to the sex and the developmental stage of the subjects.

When using a more sensitive multivariate approach, we were able to capture alterations in cortical volume and surface area in UHR patients with 22q11DS. This suggests that brain alterations characterizing UHR individuals with 22q11DS are subtle and cannot be detected with mass-univariate approaches. However, given our relatively low sample size and the crossvalidation approach included in the analysis, we cannot conclude that our results are generalizable or that they have a clinical utility. Nevertheless, they provide an initial evidence for alterations in UHR patients with 22q11DS. In addition, our findings show that rather than localized in a specific brain region, alterations, especially in surface area, involve the entire brain. We further showed a predominant increase in cortical volume and surface area in patients with 22q11DS fulfilling UHR criteria. While initial investigations conducted in non-deleted UHR individuals mainly pointed to reduced grey matter, recent investigations reported contradictory results, suggesting the presence of higher grey matter volume in UHR individuals (Schaufelberger et al. 2011; de Wit et al. 2016; Dukart et al. 2017; Palaniyappan et al. 2017). For instance, Dukart et al. showed higher cortical thickness and grey matter volume in individuals with an 'at-risk mental state' and with first-episode psychosis. The investigation from de Wit et al. (2016) further showed that UHR individuals have a less steep decrease of surface area over development compared to controls. This observation suggests that altered maturation may result in larger surface area at the end of development in UHR patients with 22q11DS. Furthermore, the same authors showed that resilient UHR individuals have increased volume and surface area compared with non-resilient UHR and to controls, suggesting the presence of compensatory neural mechanisms that may prevent a worse outcome. This hypothesis was further supported by Palaniyappan et al. (2017). Therefore, we can speculate that our results could be driven by the resilient UHR individuals with 22q11DS. We found indeed a significant correlation between increased surface area and increased rate of change in global functioning. However, this assumption should be further tested after splitting the UHR group into the different sub-groups. In addition, while cortical thickness significantly decreases through adolescence, surface area is a relative stable measure (Raznahan *et al.* 2011). Therefore, our findings may suggest that the alterations in surface area characterizing UHR patients may represent an early biomarker predicting the increased risk of psychosis.

The cross-sectional comparison of cortical thickness (either with the univariate or the multivariate approach) in patients with 22q11DS with and without a UHR status did not reveal any significant difference. However, as mentioned above, cortical thickness undergoes a significant development during adolescence; therefore, these changes may have prevented us from observing differences in the cross-sectional analysis. Indeed, when using the mixed-model longitudinal approach, we found significant different developmental trajectories in UHR and non-UHR patients. In particular, UHR patients showed accelerated cortical thinning involving mainly frontal brain regions. These results are in line with the findings of Cannon (2015) that showed similar altered trajectories in non-syndromic UHR individuals that convert to psychosis. Of note, despite showing an association between the UHR state and accelerated cortical thinning, our results do not allow to conclude that the accelerated thinning is a predictor for the development of psychosis, but it is rather a concomitant process (Palaniyappan, 2017). Previous studies showed accelerated cortical thinning in patients with 22q11DS compared to controls (Schaer et al. 2009; Ramanathan et al. 2017). Furthermore, accelerated thinning in frontal regions has been reported in patients with 22q11DS with higher positive symptoms (Ramanathan et al. 2017). However, our study is the first to explore cortical thickness trajectories in patients with a UHR status, thus providing insights into the alterations associated to an increased risk of developing psychosis.

The regions that showed altered developmental trajectories of cortical thickness in UHR patients with 22q11DS spanned through the frontal lobe, including the superior frontal, orbito-frontal, prefrontal cortices and ACC. Interestingly, these regions have shown quadratic developmental trajectories in the general population, with increased thickness in preadolescence and reduced thickness afterwards (Mutlu *et al.* 2013). While the presence of a quadratic trajectory could not be assessed in this study because of our low sample size, this observation suggests that the regions involved in higher psychosis risk are the ones that mature through adolescence and that are involved in higher order cognitive abilities, thus confirming the involvement of this developmental window in the psychosis progression.

Of note, we found that the ACC was among the regions showing accelerated cortical thinning in UHR patients. This finding gives a further evidence for the involvement of the ACC in the increased psychosis risk in 22q11DS, as confirmed by recent investigations using covariance of cortical thickness (Sandini *et al.* 2017) and resting-state functional MRI (Debbané *et al.* 2012; Scariati *et al.* 2014).

No differences in gyrification were evident between UHR and non-UHR patients. One hypothesis that could explain this lack of findings is that the reduced gyrification may be a characteristic of the 22q11DS population, not associated with a greater risk of psychosis. Indeed, numerous studies reported widespread differences in gyrification in patients with 22q11DS compared to controls (Schaer *et al.* 2006, 2008; Kunwar *et al.* 2012; Srivastava *et al.* 2381

tive symptoms. In our recent publication (Mihailov *et al.* 2017), we indeed showed reduced gyrification in patients with 22q11DS with high negative symptoms, as compared to patients with low symptoms scores. However, this hypothesis needs to be further confirmed by investigations directly comparing gyrification between subgroups of patients expressing preferentially high positive or high negative symptoms.

This study comes with some limitations. First of all, despite having included a homogeneous longitudinal sample of patients sharing the same genetic deletion, our sample size remains low. Furthermore, we cannot exclude that confounding factors may have influenced our results. For instance, a recent study showed differences within a group of UHR patients presenting other psychiatric comorbidities (Modinos et al. 2014). Eighty-three per cent of the patients with UHR included in this study met the criteria for one or more psychiatric comorbidities; however, these subgroups could not be differentiated because of the low sample size. In addition, while efforts have been made to reduce the effect of IQ in the results by using a control group of patients with 22q11DS not meeting the UHR criteria, our groups still differed in terms of psychiatric comorbidities and medication. This represents a limitation of the cohort being studied and we cannot completely exclude the effect of these confounding factors in our results.

To conclude, this study showed preliminary evidence for the presence of grey matter alterations in patients with 22q11DS meeting the criteria for a UHR status. In particular, our results suggest that accelerated cortical thinning in frontal brain regions may be associated to an increased risk to develop psychosis in patients with 22q11DS as in non-syndromic at-risk individuals.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291717003920

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the families that participated to the study for their availability as well as the MRI operators at Center of Biomedical Imaging (CIBM) and François Lazeyras for their help in the scanning acquisitions. The authors further thank Sarah Menghetti and Léa Chambaz for their involvement with the families and Frédérique Bena Sloan for the genetic analyses. This work was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant number FNS 324730_144260) and by National Center of Competence in Research (NCCR) Synapsy-The Synaptic Bases of Mental Diseases (grant number 51NF40-158776) to professor Stephan Eliez.

Declaration of Interest. The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

References

- Addington J, Cornblatt BA, Cadenhead KS, Cannon TD, McGlashan TH, Perkins DO et al. (2011). At clinical high risk for psychosis: outcome for nonconverters. The American Journal of Psychiatry 168, 800–805.
- Allen P, Chaddock CA, Egerton A, Howes OD, Barker G, Bonoldi I et al. (2015). Functional outcome in people at high risk for psychosis predicted by thalamic glutamate levels and prefronto-striatal activation. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 41, 429–439.
- Arbabshirani MR, Plis S, Sui J and Calhoun VD (2017). Single subject prediction of brain disorders in neuroimaging: promises and pitfalls. *NeuroImage* 145(Pt B), 137–165.
- Armando M, Schneider M, Pontillo M, Vicari S, Debbané M, Schultze-Lutter F et al. (2017). No age effect in the prevalence and clinical significance of ultra-high risk symptoms and criteria for psychosis in 22q11 deletion syndrome: confirmation of the genetically driven risk for psychosis? *PLoS ONE* 12, e0174797.

- Bakker G, Caan MWA, Vingerhoets WAM, da Silva-Alves F, de Koning M, Boot E et al. (2016). Cortical morphology differences in subjects at increased vulnerability for developing a psychotic disorder: a comparison between subjects with ultra-high risk and 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *PLoS ONE* 11, e0159928.
- Bostelmann M, Schneider M, Padula MC, Maeder J, Schaer M, Scariati E et al. (2016). Visual memory profile in 22q11.2 microdeletion syndrome: are there differences in performance and neurobiological substrates between tasks linked to ventral and dorsal visual brain structures? A cross-sectional and longitudinal study. Journal of Neurodevelopmental Disorders 8, 41.
- Cannon TD (2015). How schizophrenia develops: cognitive and brain mechanisms underlying onset of psychosis. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 19, 744–756.
- Cropley VL, Lin A, Nelson B, Reniers RLEP, Yung AR, Bartholomeusz CF et al. (2016). Baseline grey matter volume of non-transitioned 'ultra high risk' for psychosis individuals with and without attenuated psychotic symptoms at long-term follow-up. *Schizophrenia Research* 173, 152–158.
- Dale AM, Fischl B and Sereno MI (1999). Cortical surface-based analysis. I. Segmentation and surface reconstruction. *NeuroImage* 9, 179–194.
- Davatzikos C (2004). Why voxel-based morphometric analysis should be used with great caution when characterizing group differences. *NeuroImage* 23, 17–20.
- Dazzan P, Soulsby B, Mechelli A, Wood SJ, Velakoulis D, Phillips LJ et al. (2012). Volumetric abnormalities predating the onset of schizophrenia and affective psychoses: an MRI study in subjects at ultrahigh risk of psychosis. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 38, 1083–1091.
- Debbané M, Lazouret M, Lagioia A, Schneider M, Van De Ville D and Eliez S (2012). Resting-state networks in adolescents with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome: associations with prodromal symptoms and executive functions. *Schizophrenia Research* **139**, 33–39.
- Desikan RS, Ségonne F, Fischl B, Quinn BT, Dickerson BC, Blacker D et al. (2006). An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. *NeuroImage* 31, 968–980.
- de Wit S, Wierenga LM, Oranje B, Ziermans TB, Schothorst PF, van Engeland H et al. (2016). Brain development in adolescents at ultra-high risk for psychosis: longitudinal changes related to resilience. *NeuroImage: Clinical* **12**, 542–549.
- Dukart J, Smieskova R, Harrisberger F, Lenz C, Schmidt A, Walter A et al. (2017). Age-related brain structural alterations as an intermediate phenotype of psychosis. Journal of Psychiatry & Neuroscience: JPN 42, 307–319.
- First M, Gibbon M, Spitzer R, Williams J and Benjamin L (1996). Structured Clinical Interview for the DSM-IV Axis I Disorders (SCID-I). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.
- Fischl B and Dale AM (2000). Measuring the thickness of the human cerebral cortex from magnetic resonance images. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **97**, 11050–11055.
- Fusar-Poli P, Borgwardt S, Bechdolf A, Addington J, Riecher-Rössler A, Schultze-Lutter F et al. (2013). The psychosis high-risk state: a comprehensive state-of-the-art review. JAMA Psychiatry 70, 107–120.
- Fusar-Poli P, Borgwardt S, Crescini A, Deste G, Kempton MJ, Lawrie S et al. (2011). Neuroanatomy of vulnerability to psychosis: a voxel-based meta-analysis. Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews 35, 1175–1185.
- Gothelf D, Hoeft F, Ueno T, Sugiura L, Lee AD, Thompson P *et al.* (2011). Developmental changes in multivariate neuroanatomical patterns that predict risk for psychosis in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *Journal of Psychiatric Research* **45**, 322–331.
- Gothelf D, Schaer M and Eliez S (2008). Genes, brain development and psychiatric phenotypes in velo-cardio-facial syndrome. *Developmental Disabilities Research Reviews* 14, 59–68.
- Gothelf D, Schneider M, Green T, Debbané M, Frisch A, Glaser B et al. (2013). Risk factors and the evolution of psychosis in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome: a longitudinal 2-site study. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry 52, 1192–1203.e3.
- Jalbrzikowski M, Jonas R, Senturk D, Patel A, Chow C, Green MF et al. (2013). Structural abnormalities in cortical volume, thickness, and surface area in 22q11.2 microdeletion syndrome: relationship with psychotic symptoms. *NeuroImage: Clinical* 3, 405–415.

- Kates WR, Antshel KM, Faraone SV, Fremont WP, Higgins AM, Shprintzen RJ et al. (2011). Neuroanatomic predictors to prodromal psychosis in velocardiofacial syndrome (22q11.2 deletion syndrome): a longitudinal study. Biological Psychiatry 69, 945–952.
- Kaufman J, Birmaher B, Brent D, Rao U, Flynn C, Moreci P et al. (1997). Schedule for affective disorders and schizophrenia for school-age childrenpresent and lifetime version (K-SADS-PL): initial reliability and validity data. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry 36, 980–988.
- Klauser P, Zhou J, Lim JKW, Poh JS, Zheng H, Tng HY et al. (2015). Lack of evidence for regional brain volume or cortical thickness abnormalities in youths at clinical high risk for psychosis: findings from the longitudinal youth at risk study. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 41, 1285–1293.
- Kunwar A, Ramanathan S, Nelson J, Antshel KM, Fremont W, Higgins AM et al. (2012). Cortical gyrification in velo-cardio-facial (22q11.2 deletion) syndrome: a longitudinal study. *Schizophrenia Research* 137, 20–25.
- Maeder J, Schneider M, Bostelmann M, Debbané M, Glaser B, Menghetti S et al. (2016). Developmental trajectories of executive functions in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *Journal of Neurodevelopmental Disorders* 8, 10.
- McGuffin P, Owen MJ and Farmer AE (1995). Genetic basis of schizophrenia. Lancet (London, England) 346, 678-682.
- Mechelli A, Riecher-Rössler A, Meisenzahl EM, Tognin S, Wood SJ, Borgwardt SJ et al. (2011). Neuroanatomical abnormalities that predate the onset of psychosis: a multicenter study. Archives of General Psychiatry 68, 489–495.
- Midbari Kufert Y, Nachmani A, Nativ E, Weizman A and Gothelf D (2016). Association between prematurity and the evolution of psychotic disorders in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *Journal of Neural Transmission (Vienna, Austria 1996)* **123**, 1491–1497.
- Mihailov A, Padula MC, Scariati E, Schaer M, Schneider M and Eliez S (2017). Morphological brain changes associated with negative symptoms in patients with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *Schizophrenia Research* 188, 52–58.
- Miller TJ, McGlashan TH, Rosen JL, Somjee L, Markovich PJ, Stein K et al. (2002). Prospective diagnosis of the initial prodrome for schizophrenia based on the structured interview for prodromal syndromes: preliminary evidence of interrater reliability and predictive validity. *The American Journal of Psychiatry* 159, 863–865.
- Modinos G, Allen P, Frascarelli M, Tognin S, Valmaggia L, Xenaki L *et al.* (2014). Are we really mapping psychosis risk? Neuroanatomical signature of affective disorders in subjects at ultra high risk. *Psychological Medicine* **44**, 3491–3501.
- Mutlu AK, Schneider M, Debbané M, Badoud D, Eliez S and Schaer M (2013). Sex differences in thickness, and folding developments throughout the cortex. *NeuroImage* 82, 200–207.
- Palaniyappan L (2017). Progressive cortical reorganisation: a framework for investigating structural changes in schizophrenia. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews* 79, 1–13.
- Palaniyappan L, Das T and Dempster K (2017). The neurobiology of transition to psychosis: clearing the cache. *Journal of Psychiatry & Neuroscience: JPN* 42, 294–299.
- Radoeva PD, Bansal R, Antshel KM, Fremont W, Peterson BS and Kates WR (2017). Longitudinal study of cerebral surface morphology in youth with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome, and association with positive symptoms of psychosis. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, and Allied Disciplines* 58, 305–314.
- Ramanathan S, Mattiaccio LM, Coman IL, Botti J-AC, Fremont W, Faraone SV et al. (2017). Longitudinal trajectories of cortical thickness as a biomarker for psychosis in individuals with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *Schizophrenia Research* 188, 35–41.
- Raznahan A, Shaw P, Lalonde F, Stockman M, Wallace GL, Greenstein D et al. (2011). How does your cortex grow? Journal of Neuroscience 31, 7174–7177.
- Reich W (2000). Diagnostic interview for children and adolescents (DICA). Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry 39, 59–66.
- Sandini C, Scariati E, Padula MC, Schneider M, Schaer M, Ville DVD et al. (2017). Cortical dysconnectivity measured by structural covariance is

associated with the presence of psychotic symptoms in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*.

- Sannino S, Padula MC, Managò F, Schaer M, Schneider M, Armando M *et al.* (2017). Adolescence is the starting point of sex-dichotomous COMT genetic effects. *Translational Psychiatry* 7, e1141.
- Scariati E, Schaer M, Richiardi J, Schneider M, Debbané M, Van De Ville D et al. (2014). Identifying 22q11.2 deletion syndrome and psychosis using resting-state connectivity patterns. Brain Topography 27, 808–821.
- Schaer M, Cuadra MB, Tamarit L, Lazeyras F, Eliez S and Thiran JP (2008). A surface-based approach to quantify local cortical gyrification. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging* 27, 161–170.
- Schaer M, Debbané M, Bach Cuadra M, Ottet M-C, Glaser B, Thiran J-P *et al.* (2009). Deviant trajectories of cortical maturation in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome (22q11DS): a cross-sectional and longitudinal study. *Schizophrenia Research* **115**, 182–190.
- Schaer M, Schmitt JE, Glaser B, Lazeyras F, Delavelle J and Eliez S (2006). Abnormal patterns of cortical gyrification in velo-cardio-facial syndrome (deletion 22q11.2): an MRI study. *Psychiatry Research* **146**, 1–11.
- Schaufelberger MS, Lappin JM, Duran FLS, Rosa PGP, Uchida RR, Santos LC et al. (2011). Lack of progression of brain abnormalities in firstepisode psychosis: a longitudinal magnetic resonance imaging study. *Psychological Medicine* 41, 1677–1689.
- Schmitt JE, Vandekar S, Yi J, Calkins ME, Ruparel K, Roalf DR et al. (2015). Aberrant cortical morphometry in the 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *Biological Psychiatry* 78, 135–143.
- Schneider M, Armando M, Pontillo M, Vicari S, Debbané M, Schultze-Lutter F et al. (2016). Ultra high risk status and transition to psychosis in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. World psychiatry 15, 259–265.
- Schneider M, Debbané M, Bassett AS, Chow EW, Fung WLA, van den Bree MB et al. (2014a). Psychiatric disorders from childhood to adulthood in 22q11. 2 deletion syndrome: results from the International Consortium on Brain and Behavior in 22q11. 2 deletion syndrome. American Journal of Psychiatry 171, 627–639.
- Schneider M, Schaer M, Mutlu AK, Menghetti S, Glaser B, Debbané M et al. (2014b). Clinical and cognitive risk factors for psychotic symptoms in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome: a transversal and longitudinal approach. European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry 23, 425–436.

- Schultze-Lutter F, Michel C, Schmidt SJ, Schimmelmann BG, Maric NP, Salokangas RKR et al. (2015). EPA guidance on the early detection of clinical high risk states of psychoses. European Psychiatry 30, 405–416.
- Schwarz G (1978). Estimating the dimension of a model. The Annals of Statistics 6, 461–464.
- Shaffer D, Gould MS, Brasic J, Ambrosini P, Fisher P, Bird H et al. (1983). A children's global assessment scale (CGAS). Archives of General Psychiatry 40, 1228–1231.
- Srivastava S, Buonocore MH and Simon TJ (2012). Atypical developmental trajectory of functionally significant cortical areas in children with chromosome 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *Human Brain Mapping* 33, 213–223.
- Swillen A and McDonald-McGinn D (2015). Developmental trajectories in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. American Journal of Medical Genetics Part C: Seminars in Medical Genetics 169, 172–181.
- Tang KL, Antshel KM, Fremont WP and Kates WR (2015). Behavioral and psychiatric phenotypes in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *Journal of Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics: JDBP* 36, 639–650.
- Van L, Butcher NJ, Costain G, Ogura L, Chow EWC and Bassett AS (2016). Fetal growth and gestational factors as predictors of schizophrenia in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *Genetics in Medicine* 18, 350–355.
- Vorstman JAS, Breetvelt EJ, Duijff SN, Eliez S, Schneider M, Jalbrzikowski M et al., International Consortium on Brain and Behavior in 22q11.2 Deletion Syndrome (2015). Cognitive decline preceding the onset of psychosis in patients with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. JAMA Psychiatry 72, 377–385.
- Wechsler D (1991). The Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children, 3rd edn. San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation.
- Wechsler D (1997). Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale (WAIS-3), 3rd edn. San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation.
- Wechsler D (2004). The Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children, 4th edn. London: Pearson.
- Wechsler D (2008). Wechsler adult intelligence scale (WAIS-4), 4th edn. London: Pearson.
- Ziermans TB, Durston S, Sprong M, Nederveen H, van Haren NEM, Schnack HG et al. (2009). No evidence for structural brain changes in young adolescents at ultra high risk for psychosis. Schizophrenia Research 112, 1–6.