



# COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

## On the birational $p$ -adic section conjecture

Florian Pop

Compositio Math. **146** (2010), 621–637.

[doi:10.1112/S0010437X09004436](https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X09004436)



FOUNDATION  
COMPOSITIO  
MATHEMATICA

*The London  
Mathematical  
Society*





# On the birational $p$ -adic section conjecture

Florian Pop

## ABSTRACT

In this article we introduce and prove a  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  meta-abelian form of the birational  $p$ -adic section conjecture for curves. This is a much stronger result than the usual  $p$ -adic birational section conjecture for curves, and makes an effective  $p$ -adic section conjecture for curves quite plausible.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $X \rightarrow k$  be a complete geometrically integral smooth curve over a field  $k$ . Recall that Grothendieck’s ‘section conjecture’, which evolved from his *Esquisse d’un Programme* of 1983 (see [Gro98a]) and *Letter to Faltings* of 1984 (see [Gro98b]), predicts that under certain ‘anabelian hypotheses’  $\pi_1$  gives rise to a bijection between the  $k$ -rational points of  $X$ , which are actually the sections of  $X \rightarrow k$ , and the (conjugacy classes) of sections of  $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(k)$ .

The aim of this article is to formulate and prove a very ‘minimalistic’ birational variant of this conjecture in the case where  $k$  is a finite field extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

To begin with, let  $k$  be an arbitrary base field and  $K|k$  the function field of a complete geometrically integral smooth curve  $X \rightarrow k$ . Let  $\tilde{K}|K$  be some Galois extension, and let  $\text{Gal}(\tilde{K}|K)$  denote its Galois group. Further, let  $\tilde{k} := \bar{k} \cap \tilde{K}$  be the ‘constants’ of  $\tilde{K}$ , and consider the resulting canonical exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\tilde{K}|K\tilde{k}) \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(\tilde{K}|K) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_K} \text{Gal}(\tilde{k}|k) \rightarrow 1.$$

Let  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be the normalization of  $X$  in the field extension  $K \hookrightarrow \tilde{K}$ . For  $x \in X$  and  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}$  above  $x$ , let  $T_x$  and  $Z_x$ , with  $T_x \subseteq Z_x$ , be the inertia and decomposition groups of  $\tilde{x}|x$ , respectively, and let  $G_x := \text{Aut}(\kappa(\tilde{x})|\kappa(x))$  be the residual automorphism group. By decomposition theory, one has a canonical exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow T_x \rightarrow Z_x \rightarrow G_x \rightarrow 1. \tag{*}$$

Suppose next that  $x$  is  $k$ -rational, i.e.  $\kappa(x) = k$ . Since  $\tilde{k} \subset \kappa(\tilde{x})$ , the projection  $Z_x \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_K} \text{Gal}(\tilde{k}|k)$  gives rise to a canonical surjective homomorphism  $G_x \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\tilde{k}|k)$ , which in general is not injective. Nevertheless, if  $\tilde{k} = \kappa(\tilde{x})$ , then  $G_x \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\tilde{k}|k)$  is an isomorphism. Hence, if the exact sequence (\*) splits, then  $\text{pr}_K$  has sections  $\tilde{s}_x : \text{Gal}(\tilde{k}|k) \rightarrow Z_x \subset \text{Gal}(\tilde{K}|K)$ , called *sections above  $x$* ; also, notice that the conjugacy classes of the sections  $\tilde{s}_x$  above  $x$  build a ‘bouquet’ which is in canonical bijection with the (non-commutative) continuous cohomology pointed set  $H_{\text{cont}}^1(\text{Gal}(\tilde{k}|k), T_x)$  defined via the split exact sequence (\*).

Received 27 July 2008, accepted in final form 9 June 2009, published online 18 March 2010.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification* 11G, 14G (primary), 12E30 (secondary).

*Keywords:* anabelian geometry, (étale) fundamental groups,  $p$ -adically closed fields, local–global principles for Brauer groups, rational points, valuations, Hilbert decomposition theory.

This work was supported by NSF grants DMS-0401056 and DMS-0801144.

This journal is © [Foundation Compositio Mathematica](http://www.compositio-mathematica.org/) 2010.

Note that if  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ , then  $T_x$  is  $\text{Gal}(\tilde{k}|k)$ -isomorphic to a quotient of  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)$  and thus abelian; hence  $H_{\text{cont}}^1(\text{Gal}(\tilde{k}|k), T_x)$  is a group. Furthermore, if  $\tilde{K} = K^s$  and  $\tilde{k} = k^s$  are separable closures of  $K$  and  $k$ , then  $G_x = \text{Gal}(k^s|k)$  and  $(*)$  is split, and thus sections above  $x$  exist; moreover, if  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ , then  $T_x \cong \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)$  as  $G_k$ -modules, and hence  $H_{\text{cont}}^1(G_k, T_x) \cong \widehat{k}^\times$  via Kummer theory.

If  $v$  is an arbitrary valuation of  $K$  and  $\tilde{v}$  is a prolongation of  $v$  to  $\tilde{K}$ , then we denote by  $T_v$  and  $Z_v$ , with  $T_v \subseteq Z_v$ , the inertia and decomposition groups of  $\tilde{v}|v$ , respectively, and by  $G_v = Z_v/T_v$  the residual automorphism group. If  $\tilde{s}_v : \text{Gal}(\tilde{k}|k) \rightarrow Z_v \subseteq \text{Gal}(\tilde{K}|K)$  is a section of  $\tilde{\text{pr}}_K$ , then we say that  $\tilde{s}_v$  is a *section above v*.

Next, let  $p$  be a fixed prime number. We denote by  $K'|K$  a maximal  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  elementary abelian extension of  $K$  and by  $K''$  a maximal  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  elementary abelian extension of  $K'$ . Then  $K''|K$  is a Galois extension, which we shall call the maximal  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  elementary meta-abelian extension of  $K$ . Note that  $k' := \tilde{k} \cap K'$  and  $k'' := \tilde{k} \cap K''$  are, respectively, the maximal  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  elementary abelian extension and the maximal  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  elementary meta-abelian extension of  $k$ . We further consider the canonical surjective projections

$$\text{pr}'_K : \text{Gal}(K'|K) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k'|k), \quad \text{pr}''_K : \text{Gal}(K''|K) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k''|k).$$

We will say that a section  $s' : \text{Gal}(k'|k) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K'|K)$  of  $\text{pr}'_K$  is *liftable* if there exists a section  $s'' : \text{Gal}(k''|k) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K''|K)$  of  $\text{pr}''_K$  which lifts  $s'$  to  $\text{Gal}(k''|k)$ .

Note that if the  $p$ th roots of unity  $\mu_p$  are contained in  $k$  and hence in  $K$ , then by Kummer theory we have  $K' = K[\sqrt[p]{K}]$  and  $K'' = K'[\sqrt[p]{K'}]$ , and similarly for  $k$ .

From now on, suppose in the above context that  $k$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Then the promised ‘minimalistic’ form of the birational  $p$ -adic section conjecture is the following.

**THEOREM A.** *In the above notation, suppose that  $\mu_p \subset k$ . Then the following hold.*

- (1) *Every  $k$ -rational point  $x \in X$  gives rise to a bouquet of conjugacy classes of liftable sections  $s'_x : \text{Gal}(k'|k) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K'|K)$  above  $x$ , which is in bijection with  $H^1(\text{Gal}(k'|k), \mathbb{Z}/p(1))$ .*
- (2) *Let  $s' : \text{Gal}(k'|k) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K'|K)$  be a liftable section. Then there exists a unique  $k$ -rational point  $x \in X$  such that  $s'$  equals one of the sections  $s'_x$  defined above.*

Actually, one can reformulate the question addressed by Theorem A in terms of  $p$ -adic valuation and obtain the following stronger result. See §2-H. for definitions, notation and a few facts on  $p$ -adically closed fields and  $p$ -adic valuations  $v$  (for example, the  $p$ -adic rank  $d_v$  of  $v$ ), and see [AK66, PR85] for proofs.

**THEOREM B.** *Let  $k$  be a  $p$ -adically closed field with  $p$ -adic valuation  $v$ , and suppose that  $\mu_p \subset k$ . Let  $K|k$  be a field extension with transcendence degree  $\text{tr.deg}(K|k) = 1$ . Then the following hold.*

- (1) *Let  $w$  be a  $p$ -adic valuation of  $K$  with  $d_w = d_v$ . Then  $w$  prolongs  $v$  to  $K$  and gives rise to a bouquet of conjugacy classes of liftable sections  $s'_w : \text{Gal}(k'|k) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K'|K)$  above  $w$ .*
- (2) *Let  $s' : \text{Gal}(k'|k) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K'|K)$  be a liftable section. Then there exists a unique  $p$ -adic valuation  $w$  of  $K$  such that  $d_w = d_v$ , and  $s' = s'_w$  for some  $s'_w$  as above.*

*Remarks.*

- (1) First, observe that the above assertions do not hold if  $\mu_p \not\subset k$ . Indeed, if  $\mu_p \not\subset k$ , then the maximal pro- $p$  quotient  $G_k(p)$  of  $G_k$  is a pro- $p$  free group on  $[k : \mathbb{Q}_p] + 1$  generators; see, e.g., [NSW08, Theorem 7.5.11]. From this it follows that all the sections  $s' : \text{Gal}(k'|k) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K'|K)$  of  $\text{pr}'_K$  are liftable. Thus, for  $X$  with  $X(k)$  empty, we have that  $\text{pr}'_K$  has liftable

sections but that none of these originate from  $k$ -rational points of  $X$ . (Actually, the same holds for all curves  $X$  as above, even when  $X(k)$  is non-empty.)

- (2) Nevertheless, in the case where  $\mu_p$  is not contained in the base field, assertions similar to Theorems A and B hold in the following form. Let  $l|\mathbb{Q}_p$  be some finite extension and  $Y \rightarrow l$  a complete geometrically integral smooth curve with function field  $L = \kappa(Y)$ . Let  $k|l$  be a finite Galois extension with  $\mu_p \subset k$ . Setting  $K := Lk$ , consider the field extensions  $K'|K \hookrightarrow K''|K$  and  $k'|k \hookrightarrow k''|k$  as above. Then  $k' = K' \cap \bar{l}$  and  $k'' = K'' \cap \bar{l}$ ; moreover,  $K'|L$  and  $K''|L$ , as well as  $k'|l$  and  $k''|l$ , are Galois extensions too, and one gets surjective canonical projections

$$\text{pr}'_L : \text{Gal}(K'|L) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k'|l), \quad \text{pr}''_L : \text{Gal}(K''|L) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k''|l).$$

As above, we will say that a section  $s'_L : \text{Gal}(k'|l) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K'|L)$  of  $\text{pr}'_L$  is *liftable* if there exists a section  $s''_L : \text{Gal}(k''|l) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K''|L)$  of  $\text{pr}''_L$  which lifts  $s'_L$ . Then one has the following extensions of Theorems A and B.

THEOREM A<sup>0</sup>. *With the above notation and hypothesis, the following hold.*

- (1) *Every  $l$ -rational point  $y \in Y$  gives rise to a bouquet of conjugacy classes of liftable sections  $s'_y : \text{Gal}(k'|l) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K'|L)$  above  $y$ , which is in bijection with  $H^1(\text{Gal}(k'|l), \mathbb{Z}/p(1))$ .*
- (2) *Let  $s'_L : \text{Gal}(k'|l) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K'|L)$  be a liftable section. Then there exists a unique  $l$ -rational point  $y \in Y$  such that  $s'_L$  equals one of the sections  $s'_y$  defined above.*

THEOREM B<sup>0</sup>. *Let  $l$  be a  $p$ -adically closed field with  $p$ -adic valuation  $v$ , and let  $L|l$  be a field extension with transcendence degree  $\text{tr.deg}(L|l) = 1$ . Then, in the above notation, the following hold.*

- (1) *Let  $w$  be a  $p$ -adic valuation of  $L$  with  $d_w = d_v$ . Then  $w$  prolongs  $v$  to  $L$  and gives rise to a bouquet of conjugacy classes of liftable sections  $s'_w : \text{Gal}(k'|l) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K'|L)$  above  $w$ .*
- (2) *Let  $s'_L : \text{Gal}(k'|l) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K'|L)$  be a liftable section. Then there exists a unique  $p$ -adic valuation  $w$  of  $L$  such that  $d_w = d_v$ , and  $s'_L$  equals one of the sections  $s'_w$  as above.*

Notice that Theorem A<sup>0</sup> obviously implies the full Galois birational  $p$ -adic section conjecture, but not vice versa; see Koenigsmann [Koe05] for a proof of the latter (among other things), as well as Remark 7 in this paper.

Indeed, for given  $Y \rightarrow l$  with function field  $L = \kappa(Y)$  as above, let  $s : G_l \rightarrow G_L$  be a section of the canonical projection  $G_L \rightarrow G_l$ .

- (a) Consider finite field extensions  $L_i|L$  with  $\text{im}(s) \subset G_{L_i}$ , and let  $Y_i \rightarrow l$  be a complete smooth curve with function field  $L_i = \kappa(Y_i)$ . Notice that  $Y_i \rightarrow l$  is geometrically integral.
- (b) Consider finite Galois extensions  $k_i|l$  with  $\mu_p \subset k_i$ , and set  $K_i := L_i k_i$ . Let  $\phi'_i : G_l \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k'_i|l)$  and  $\psi'_i : G_{L_i} \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K'_i|L_i)$  be the canonical projections.

Then  $s$  gives rise functorially (in  $L_i$  and  $k_i$ ) to liftable sections  $s'_i : \text{Gal}(k'_i|l) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K'_i|L_i)$  of the canonical projection  $\text{pr}'_i : \text{Gal}(K'_i|L_i) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k'_i|l)$  such that for  $k_i \subseteq k_j$  and  $L_i \subseteq L_j$ , and thus for  $K_i \subseteq K_j$ , one has  $s'_i = \text{pr}'_{j_i} \circ s'_j$  where  $\text{pr}'_{j_i} : \text{Gal}(K'_j|L_j) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K'_i|L_i)$  is the canonical projection. By Theorem A<sup>0</sup>, there exists a unique  $l$ -rational point  $y_i \in Y_i(l)$  such that  $s'_i = s'_{y_i}$  in the usual way; and since  $s'_i = \text{pr}'_{j_i} \circ s'_j$ , the uniqueness of  $y_i \in Y_i(l)$  implies that the canonical morphism  $Y_j \rightarrow Y_i$  maps  $y_j \in Y_j(l)$  to  $y_i \in Y_i(l)$  and that  $s'_{y_i} = \text{pr}'_{j_i} \circ s'_{y_j}$ . We conclude from this that if  $y \in Y(l)$  is the common image of all the points  $y_i \in Y_i(l)$  in  $Y(l)$ , then one has

$$s = \varprojlim_i s'_i = \varprojlim_i s'_{y_i} = s_y.$$

As an application of the results and techniques developed here, one can prove the following fact concerning the  $p$ -adic section conjecture for curves. Let  $k|\mathbb{Q}_p$  be a finite extension and  $X \rightarrow k$  a hyperbolic curve. Then there exists a finite *effectively computable* family of finite geometrically  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  elementary abelian (ramified) covers  $\varphi_i : X_i \rightarrow X$ ,  $i \in I$ , satisfying:

- (i)  $\bigcup_i \varphi_i(X_i(k)) = X(k)$ , i.e. every  $k$ -rational point of  $X$  ‘survives’ in at least one of the covers  $X_i \rightarrow X$ ;
- (ii) a section  $s : G_k \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$  can be lifted to a section  $s_i : G_k \rightarrow \pi_1(X_i)$  for some  $i \in I$  if and only if  $s$  arises from a  $k$ -rational point  $x \in X(k)$  in the manner described above.

The details of the proof will be given later.

With regard to the proofs of the above theorems, the main technical point is a generalization of the Tate–Roquette–Lichtenbaum local–global principle for Brauer groups of function fields of curves over  $p$ -adically closed fields, as introduced and studied in [Pop88]. As a result of this generalization, one is led to analyze the cohomological behavior of  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  elementary abelian extension of Henselizations of the function fields under consideration.

## 2. Generalities

### A. $\mathbb{Z}/p$ derived series and quotients

Let  $G$  be a profinite group. We denote by  $G^i$  the derived  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  series of  $G$ ; hence, by definition, we have  $G^1 := G$  and  $G^{i+1} := [G^i, G^i](G^i)^p$  for  $i > 0$ . We will further set  $\overline{G}^i := G^1/G^{i+1}$  for  $i > 0$ . Hence, in particular,  $\overline{G}^1 := G^1/G^2$  is the maximal  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  elementary quotient of  $G$ , and  $\overline{G}'' := G^1/G^3$  is the maximal  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  elementary meta-abelian quotient of  $G$ , i.e. the maximal quotient of  $G$  which is an extension of  $\overline{G}^1$  by some  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  elementary abelian extension.

One can check without difficulty that mapping every profinite group  $G$  to  $\overline{G}^i$ , for  $i > 0$ , defines a functor from the category of all profinite groups onto the category of all pro- $p$  groups whose derived  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  series has length no greater than  $i$ . In particular, if  $\text{pr} : G \rightarrow H$  is a (surjective) morphism of profinite groups, then the following hold:

- (1)  $\text{pr}$  gives rise canonically to a (surjective) morphism  $\text{pr}^i : \overline{G}^i \rightarrow \overline{H}^i$ ;
- (2) every section  $s : H \rightarrow G$  of  $\text{pr} : G \rightarrow H$  gives rise to a section  $s^i : \overline{H}^i \rightarrow \overline{G}^i$  of  $\text{pr}^i$ .

Finally, in the above context, we say that a section  $s' : \overline{H}^1 \rightarrow \overline{G}^1$  of  $\text{pr}^1$  is *liftable* if there exists a section  $s'' : \overline{H}'' \rightarrow \overline{G}''$  of  $\text{pr}''$  which reduces to  $s'$  or, equivalently, lifts  $s'$ .

### B. Cohomology and sections

Let  $G$  be a profinite group. We endow  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  with the trivial  $G$ -action and let  $H^n(G, \mathbb{Z}/p)$  be the cohomology groups of  $G$  with values in  $\mathbb{Z}/p$ . Then, in the notation of the previous subsection, for all  $i > 0$  we have

$$H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}/p) = \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/p) = \text{Hom}(\overline{G}^i, \mathbb{Z}/p) = H^1(\overline{G}^i, \mathbb{Z}/p),$$

and for every  $i$  the cup product gives rise to a canonical pairing

$$\text{Hom}(\overline{G}^i, \mathbb{Z}/p) \times \text{Hom}(\overline{G}^i, \mathbb{Z}/p) = H^1(\overline{G}^i, \mathbb{Z}/p) \times H^1(\overline{G}^i, \mathbb{Z}/p) \xrightarrow{\cup} H^2(\overline{G}^i, \mathbb{Z}/p).$$

Next, let  $\text{pr} : G \rightarrow H$  be a quotient of  $G$ , and let  $\text{pr}^1 : \overline{G}^1 \rightarrow \overline{H}^1$  and  $\text{pr}'' : \overline{G}'' \rightarrow \overline{H}''$  be the corresponding surjective projections as introduced in the previous subsection.

LEMMA 1. In the above notation, let  $s' : \overline{H}' \rightarrow \overline{G}'$  be a liftable section of  $\text{pr}' : \overline{G}' \rightarrow \overline{H}'$  and let  $\Gamma \subseteq G$  be the preimage of  $s'(\overline{H}') \subseteq \overline{G}'$  under the canonical projection  $G \rightarrow \overline{G}'$ . Then, for characters  $\chi_H, \psi_H \in \text{Hom}(H, \mathbb{Z}/p)$  and the induced characters  $\chi_\Gamma, \psi_\Gamma \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}/p)$ , the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $\chi_H \cup \psi_H = 0$  in  $H^2(\overline{H}'', \mathbb{Z}/p)$ ;
- (ii)  $\chi_H \cup \psi_H = 0$  in  $H^2(H, \mathbb{Z}/p)$ ;
- (iii)  $\chi_\Gamma \cup \psi_\Gamma = 0$  in  $H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}/p)$ .

*Proof.* The implications (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) and (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) follow from taking the inflation maps coming from the surjective group homomorphisms  $\Gamma \rightarrow H \rightarrow \overline{H}''$ . One proves (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) as follows. Suppose that  $\chi_\Gamma \cup \psi_\Gamma = \delta(\varphi)$  is the co-boundary of some map  $\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p$ . We claim that  $\varphi$  factors through the canonical projection  $\Gamma \rightarrow \overline{H}''$ . Indeed,  $\chi_\Gamma \cup \psi_\Gamma = \delta(\varphi)$  means that

$$(\chi_\Gamma \cup \psi_\Gamma)(g, h) = g \varphi(h) - \varphi(gh) + \varphi(g) = \varphi(h) - \varphi(gh) + \varphi(g) \quad \text{for all } g, h \in \Gamma,$$

where the last equality holds by virtue of the fact that  $G$ , and hence  $\Gamma$ , acts trivially on  $\mathbb{Z}/p$ . Now, if  $g$  or  $h$  lies in  $G^2 \subset \Gamma$ , then we have  $(\chi_\Gamma \cup \psi_\Gamma)(g, h) = 0$ . Equivalently, if  $g$  or  $h$  lies in  $G^2 \subset \Gamma$ , then  $\varphi(g) - \varphi(gh) + \varphi(h) = 0$  and thus, in particular, the restriction of  $\varphi$  to  $G^2$  is a group homomorphism to  $\mathbb{Z}/p$ . Hence the restriction of  $\varphi$  to  $G^3 = [G^2, G^2](G^2)^p$  is trivial and, finally,  $\varphi$  factors through  $\Gamma/G^3 \subset \overline{H}''$ . Therefore,  $\chi_G \cup \psi_G = 0$  in  $H^2(\Gamma/G^3, \mathbb{Z}/p)$ . Now let  $s'' : \overline{H}'' \rightarrow \overline{G}''$  be a lifting of the section  $s'$ , and observe that  $s''(\overline{H}'') \subseteq \Gamma/G^3$ . Then the restriction of  $\chi_G \cup \psi_G = 0$  to  $s''(\overline{H}'') \subseteq \Gamma/G^3$  is trivial too, i.e.  $\chi_H \cup \psi_H = 0$  in  $H^2(s''(\overline{H}''), \mathbb{Z}/p)$ . Thus, finally,  $\chi_H \cup \psi_H = 0$  in  $H^2(\overline{H}'', \mathbb{Z}/p)$ , as claimed.  $\square$

### C. Basics from Galois cohomology

Let  $K$  be an arbitrary field of characteristic other than  $p$ , and let  $G_K$  be its absolute Galois group. Further, let  $G_K^i$  and  $\overline{G}_K^i$  be, respectively, the derived  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  series and quotients of  $G_K$ . We recall the following fundamental facts.

- (a) By Kummer theory, one has a canonical isomorphism  $K^\times/p = H^1(G_K, \mu_p)$ . In particular, if  $\mu_p \subset K$ , then the absolute Galois group  $G_K$  acts trivially on  $\mu_p$ ; hence, upon choosing some identification  $\iota : \mu_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p$  of trivial  $G_K$  modules, we get

$$K^\times/p = H^1(G_K, \mu_p) = \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(K'|K), \mu_p) \xrightarrow{\iota} \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(K'|K), \mathbb{Z}/p).$$

- (b) Let  ${}_p\text{Br}(K)$  denote the  $p$ -torsion subgroup of  $\text{Br}(K)$ . Then  ${}_p\text{Br}(K) = H^2(G_K, \mu_p)$  canonically. Hence, if  $\mu_p \subset K$ , then  $\iota : \mu_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p$  gives rise to an isomorphism

$${}_p\text{Br}(K) = H^2(G_K, \mu_p) \xrightarrow{\iota} H^2(G_K, \mathbb{Z}/p).$$

- (c) Consider the cup product  $K^\times/p \otimes K^\times/p \xrightarrow{\cup} H^2(G_K, \mu_p \otimes \mu_p)$ ,  $(a, b) \mapsto \chi_a \cup \chi_b$ , which is actually surjective by the Merkurjev–Suslin theorem. If  $\mu_p \subset K$ , then the isomorphism  $\iota : \mu_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p$  gives rise to a surjective morphism

$$K^\times/p \otimes K^\times/p \xrightarrow{\cup} H^2(G_K, \mathbb{Z}/p), \quad (a, b) \mapsto \chi_a \cup \chi_b.$$

Combining these observations with Lemma 1 above, we deduce the following result. Let  $K|k$  be a regular field extension, and suppose that  $\text{char}(k) \neq p$  and  $\mu_p \subset k$ . As in the Introduction, we consider a maximal  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  elementary abelian extension  $K'|K$  of  $K$ , the corresponding  $k' := K' \cap \overline{k}$

etc. and the resulting canonical surjective projections

$$\text{pr}'_K : \text{Gal}(K'|K) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k'|k), \quad \text{pr}''_K : \text{Gal}(K''|K) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k''|k).$$

LEMMA 2. *In the above context, let  $s' : \text{Gal}(k'|k) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K'|K)$  be a liftable section of  $\text{pr}'_K$  and let  $M \subset K'$  be the fixed field of  $\text{im}(s')$  in  $K'$ . Then for any elements  $a, b \in k^\times$  and the corresponding  $p$ -cyclic  $k$ -algebras  $A_k(a, b)$  and  $A_M(a, b)$ , we have that  $A_k(a, b)$  is trivial in  $\text{Br}(k)$  if and only if  $A_M(a, b)$  is trivial in  $\text{Br}(M)$ .*

**D. Hilbert decomposition in elementary  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  abelian extensions**

Let  $K$  be a field of characteristic not equal to  $p$  that contains  $\mu_p$ . Let  $v$  be a valuation of  $K$  and let  $v'$  be some prolongation of  $v$  to  $K'$ . Let  $V_{v'}, T_{v'}$  and  $Z_{v'}$  with  $V_{v'} \subseteq T_{v'} \subseteq Z_{v'}$  be, respectively, the ramification, inertia and decomposition groups of  $v'|v$  in  $\text{Gal}(K'|K)$ . We remark that because  $\text{Gal}(K'|K)$  is commutative, the groups  $V_{v'}, T_{v'}$  and  $Z_{v'}$  depend only on  $v$ ; therefore we will simply denote them by  $V_v, T_v$  and  $Z_v$ . Finally, we denote by  $K^Z \subseteq K^T \subseteq K^V$  the corresponding fixed fields in  $K'$ .

LEMMA 3. *With the above notation, the following statements hold.*

- (1) *Let  $U^v := 1 + p^2\mathfrak{m}_v$ . Then  $K^Z$  contains  $\sqrt[p]{U^v}$  and we have  $K^Z = K[\sqrt[p]{U^v}]$ , provided that  $p$  is a  $v$ -unit. In particular, if  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are independent valuations of  $K$ , then  $Z_{w_1} \cap Z_{w_2} = \{1\}$ .*
- (2) *If  $p \neq \text{char}(Kv)$ , then  $V_v = \{1\}$  and  $K'v' = (Kv)'$ , and hence  $G_v := Z_v/T_v = \text{Gal}(Kv'|Kv)$ . If  $p = \text{char}(Kv)$ , then  $V_v = T_v$ , and the residue field  $K'v'$  contains  $(Kv)^{1/p}$  and the maximal  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  elementary abelian extension of  $Kv$ .*
- (3) *Let  $L := K_v^h$  be the Henselization of  $K$  with respect to  $v$ . Then  $L' = LK'$  is a maximal  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  elementary extension of  $L$ . Therefore we have  $\text{Gal}(L'|L) \cong Z_v$  canonically.*

*Proof.* (1) Everything is clear, except maybe the assertion concerning the independent valuations  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ . To prove this, consider an arbitrary  $x \neq 0$ . Since  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are independent, there exists  $y \neq 0$  which is arbitrarily  $w_1$ -close to 1 and arbitrarily  $w_2$ -close to  $x$ . More precisely, there exists  $y \neq 0$  such that, first,  $w_1(1 - y) > 2w_1(p)$  and, second,  $w_2(x - y) > 2w_2(p) + w_2(x)$  or, equivalently,  $w_2(1 - y/x) > 2w_2(p)$ . But then, by the first assertion of the lemma, we have  $\sqrt[p]{y} \in K^{Z_{w_1}}$  and  $\sqrt[p]{y/x} \in K^{Z_{w_2}}$ , hence  $\sqrt[p]{x} \in K^{Z_{w_2}}K^{Z_{w_1}}$ . Since  $K^{Z_{w_2}}K^{Z_{w_1}} = (K')^{Z_{w_2} \cap Z_{w_1}}$  and  $x \in K^\times$  was arbitrary, we get  $K' \subseteq (K')^{Z_{w_2} \cap Z_{w_1}}$ . Therefore  $Z_{w_2} \cap Z_{w_1} = 1$  as claimed.

(2) If  $p \neq \text{char}(Kv)$ , then everything is clear by Kummer theory and general valuation theory. If  $p = \text{char}(Kv)$  and  $p \neq \text{char}(K)$ , it follows that  $\text{char}(K) = 0$ . Recall that by Artin–Schreier theory, the maximal  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  elementary abelian extension of  $Kv$  is generated by the roots of all the Artin–Schreier polynomials  $Y^p - Y - \bar{a}$ , with  $\bar{a} \in Kv$ . We show that every such polynomial has a root in the residue field of some  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  cyclic extension  $K[\alpha]$  with  $\alpha^p = u$  for some  $u \in K$ . Indeed, by the general non-sense of Kummer theory versus Artin–Schreier theory, one has the following.

Let  $X^p - u \in \mathcal{O}_v[X]$  be some Kummer polynomial over  $K$ . We note that  $\lambda := \zeta_p - 1 \in K$ , as  $\mu_p \subset K$ , and recall that  $p = \prod_{0 < \mu < p} (1 - \zeta_p^\mu)$ . Since  $1 - \zeta_p^\mu = -\lambda(1 + \dots + \zeta_p^{\mu-1})$  and thus, in particular,  $(1 + \dots + \zeta_p^{\mu-1}) \equiv \mu \pmod{\lambda}$ , we finally get  $p \equiv \lambda^{p-1}(p-1)! \equiv -\lambda^{p-1} \pmod{\lambda^p}$ , because  $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$  by Wilson’s theorem. Hence, upon setting  $X := \lambda X_0 + 1$  and  $u := \lambda^p u_0 + 1$ , the equation  $X^p = u$  is equivalent to the equation  $X_0^p - X_0 + \lambda f(X_0) = u_0$ , where  $f(X_0) \in \mathcal{O}_v[X_0]$  is an explicitly computable polynomial. Therefore, if  $\wp = \text{Frob} - \text{id}$  is the Artin–Schreier operator and  $\bar{u}_0 \in Kv \setminus \wp(Kv)$ , then  $v$  is totally inert in  $K_u := K[\sqrt[p]{u}]$ . And, if  $w$  is the unique prolongation of  $v$  to  $K_u$ , then the residue field of  $w$  is  $K_u w = (Kv)[\beta]$  with  $\beta^p - \beta = \bar{u}_0$ .



By reversing the process above, we can see that each Artin–Schreier extension of  $Kv$  is obtained by reducing a properly chosen Kummer  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  extension of  $K$ .

(3) First, if  $v$  has rank one, then  $K$  is dense in  $L := K_v^h$ . Hence, given  $\hat{u} \in \mathcal{O}_L$ , there exists  $u \in \mathcal{O}_K$  such that  $\hat{u} = u(1 + \eta)$  in  $K^h$  with  $v^h(\eta) > 2v^h(p)$ . But then  $1 + \eta$  is a  $p$ th power in  $K^h$  by Hensel’s lemma, and hence the roots of  $X^p - u$  and the roots of  $X^p - \hat{u}$  generate the same field extension of  $K^h$ . To treat the general case, one uses induction on the rank of the valuation  $v$  and then ‘takes limits’.  $\square$

**E. Elementary  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  abelian extensions of Henselian fields**

In this subsection, we will prove a technical result concerning elementary  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  abelian extensions of Henselian fields. The context is as follows. Let  $L$  be a Henselian field with respect to a valuation  $w$ . Suppose that  $\text{char}(L) = 0$  and  $\text{char}(Lw) = p > 0$ , and that  $\mu_p \subset L$ . Further, let  $L' = L[\sqrt[p]{L^\times}]$  be the maximal elementary  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  abelian extension of  $L$  and  $\text{Gal}(L'|L) := \text{Gal}(L'/L)$  its Galois group. Since  $w$  is Henselian,  $w$  has a unique prolongation to  $L'$ , which we again denote by  $w$ .

LEMMA 4. *In the above context, suppose that  $w$  is a rank-one valuation. Let  $\Lambda|L$  be a sub-extension of  $L'|L$  such that  $L'|\Lambda$  is a finite extension. Then the following hold.*

- (1)  $L'w | \Lambda w$  is finite, and  $\Lambda w$  contains  $(Lw)^{1/p}$ .
- (2) If  $Lw$  is not finite, or if  $wL \not\approx \mathbb{Z}$ , then for every  $u \in L$  there exists  $t \in L^\times$  which satisfies  $L_t := L[\sqrt[p]{t}] \subseteq \Lambda$  and  $w(u) \in p \cdot wL_t \subseteq p \cdot w(\Lambda)$ . Hence  $wL \subseteq p \cdot w\Lambda$ .
- (3) In particular, if  $wL \not\subseteq p \cdot w\Lambda$ , then  $wL \approx \mathbb{Z}$  and  $Lw$  is finite.

*Proof.* The proof is inspired by [Pop88, Korollar 2.7] and uses in an essential way [Pop88, Lemma 2.6]. Let  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  be, respectively, the valuation ring and valuation ideal of  $w$ . Then, by [Pop88, Lemma 2.6], one has exact sequences of the form

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times/p \rightarrow L^\times/p \rightarrow w(L)/p \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \rightarrow (1 + \mathfrak{m})/p \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times/p \rightarrow (Lw)^\times/p \rightarrow 1. \quad (*)$$

By Kummer theory (note that  $\mu_p \subset L$  by hypothesis), one has  $\Lambda = L[\sqrt[p]{\Delta}]$  for a subgroup  $\Delta \subset L^\times$  such that  $\Delta$  contains the  $p$ th powers of all the elements of  $L^\times$  and  $L^\times/\Delta$  is canonically Pontrjagin dual (hence non-canonically isomorphic) to  $\text{Gal}(L'|\Lambda)$ . In particular,  $L^\times/\Delta = (L^\times/p)/(\Delta/p)$  is a finite elementary  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  abelian group. Hence, from the above exact sequences (\*) it follows that upon setting  $\Delta_0 := \Delta \cap \mathcal{O}^\times$  and  $\Delta_1 := \Delta \cap (1 + \mathfrak{m})$  we have that  $(1 + \mathfrak{m})/\Delta_1$  and  $\mathcal{O}^\times/\Delta_0$  are finite groups; moreover, if  $\Delta w$  denotes the image of  $\Delta_0$  in  $Lw^\times$ , then  $Lw^\times/\Delta w$  is a finite group.

(1) First, if  $Lw$  is finite, then  $Lw$  is perfect and thus there is nothing to prove. Now suppose that  $Lw$  is infinite. Then since  $Lw^\times/\Delta w$  is finite, it follows that  $\Delta w$  is infinite too. Hence, for every  $a \in Lw$ , there exist  $x \neq y$  in  $\Delta w$  such that  $a - x, a - y \neq 0$  and  $(a - x)\Delta w = (a - y)\Delta w$ . Equivalently, there exists  $z \in \Delta w$  such that  $a - x = z(a - y)$  and hence  $a = (x - yz)/(1 - z)$ . On the other hand, since  $x, y, z \in \Delta w$ , one has  $x^{1/p}, y^{1/p}, z^{1/p} \in (\Delta w)^{1/p} \subset \Lambda w$  and thus  $a^{1/p} \in \Lambda w$ . Since  $a$  was arbitrary, we get  $(Lw)^{1/p} \subseteq \Lambda w$  as claimed.

(2) From the discussion above it follows that  $(1 + \mathfrak{m})/\Delta_1$  is finite. Let  $1 + a_j, 1 \leq j \leq n$ , be representatives for  $(1 + \mathfrak{m})/\Delta_1$ .

Case (i).  $w$  is not discrete on  $L$ . Then for every  $u \in L^\times$  there exists some  $u_1 \in L^\times$  such that  $0 < w(uu_1^p) < w(p), w(a_j)$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Since  $1 + uu_1^p \in 1 + \mathfrak{m}$ , there exists  $j$  and



some  $t \in \Delta_1$  such that

$$1 + uu_1^p = t(1 + a_j).$$

Set  $t = 1 + a$ . Since  $0 < w(uu_1^p) < w(p), w(a_j)$ , it immediately follows from the ultra-metric triangle inequality that  $w(uu_1^p) = w(a)$ . On the other hand, since  $t \in \Delta$ , one has  $t = \theta^p$  for some  $\theta \in \Lambda$ , i.e.  $L_t := L[\sqrt[p]{t}] = L[\theta] \subseteq \Lambda$ . Hence  $1 + a = \theta^p$  and, upon setting  $\theta = 1 + b$ , one gets  $1 + a = (1 + b)^p$ . From this we obtain  $w(b) > 0$ . Since  $w(a) = w(uu_1^p) < w(p)$  and  $1 + a = (1 + b)^p$ , the ultra-metric triangle inequality implies that  $w(a) = w(b^p)$  in  $wL_t$ . Thus one has

$$w(u) + pw(u_1) = w(uu_1^p) = w(a) = p \cdot w(b),$$

and hence  $w(u) = pw(b) - pw(u_1) \in p \cdot wL_t$  as claimed.

*Case (ii).*  $w$  is discrete on  $L$ . Suppose that  $Lw$  is not finite. Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $\mathcal{O}$ , with  $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathcal{O} \subset L$ , be the valuation ideal and valuation ring of  $w$  in  $L$ , respectively. Since  $L$  contains  $\mu_p$  and  $p \geq 2$ , it follows that we have the inclusions  $(1 + \mathfrak{m})^p \subseteq (1 + \mathfrak{m}^p) \subseteq 1 + \mathfrak{m}^2$ . After choosing a uniformizing parameter  $\pi$  of  $\mathcal{O}$ , one gets in the usual way an isomorphism of groups

$$\phi : (1 + \mathfrak{m}) / (1 + \mathfrak{m}^2) \rightarrow Lw^+, \quad 1 + x\pi \mapsto x \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

Hence  $(1 + \mathfrak{m}) / (1 + \mathfrak{m}^2)$  is infinite, because it has as its homomorphic image the infinite group  $(1 + \mathfrak{m}) / (1 + \mathfrak{m}^2) \cong Lw^+$ . Next, recall that  $(1 + \mathfrak{m}) / \Delta_1$  is a finite group. Therefore  $\phi(1 + \mathfrak{m}) / \phi(\Delta_1) = Lw^+ / \phi(\Delta_1)$  is finite too. Hence there exist (infinitely many) elements  $t := 1 + a \in \Delta_1$  with  $a \in \pi\mathcal{O}^\times$ . For any such  $t \in \Delta_1$ , we have  $t = \theta^p$  for some  $\theta \in \Lambda$ ; hence we have, as above,  $L_t = L[\theta]$ . Setting  $\theta := 1 + b$ , we have  $1 + a = (1 + b)^p$ . Equivalently,

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{i} b^i + b^p = pb\epsilon + b^p$$

for some  $w$ -unit  $\epsilon \in \Lambda$ . Since  $\pi$  divides  $p$  in  $\mathcal{O}$ , one has  $w(pb\epsilon) > w(\pi)$ , and therefore  $w(\pi) = w(a) = w(b^p) = p \cdot w(b)$  in  $w\Lambda$ . Since  $wL = \mathbb{Z}w(\pi)$ , it follows that  $w(u) \subseteq p \cdot wL_t$ , as claimed.  $\square$

### F. Inertial cohomology

In this subsection, we recall a well-known result concerning the cohomology of the maximal inert extension of a Henselian field (which goes back to Witt). The situation is as follows. Let  $L$  be a Henselian field with respect to a valuation  $w$ , let  $L_1|L$  be a finite unramified Galois extension, and let  $G := \text{Gal}(L_1|L)$  be the Galois group of  $L_1|L$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_L \subset \mathcal{O}_{L_1}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_L \subset \mathfrak{m}_{L_1}$  be the corresponding valuation rings and valuation ideals, respectively. As remarked in [Pop88, Lemma 2.2], the group of principal units  $1 + \mathfrak{m}_{L_1}$  is  $G$ -cohomologically trivial, and there exists an exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$0 \rightarrow H^2(G, L_1w^\times) \rightarrow H^2(G, L_1^\times) \rightarrow H^1(G, (\mathbb{Q} \otimes wL) / wL) \rightarrow 0,$$

so that we have an exact sequence of the form

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Br}(L_1w|Lw) \rightarrow \text{Br}(L_1|L) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, (\mathbb{Q} \otimes wL) / wL) \rightarrow 0. \tag{\dagger}$$

We also remark that if  $M|L$  is some algebraic extension, linearly disjoint from  $L_1$ , say, and  $M_1 = ML_1$  is the compositum (in some fixed algebraic closure), then the above exact sequence

gives rise to a commutative diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Br}(L_1w|Lw) & \longrightarrow & \text{Br}(L_1|L) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(G, (\mathbb{Q} \otimes wL)/wL) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \text{res} & & \downarrow \text{res} & & \downarrow \text{res} \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Br}(M_1w|Mw) & \longrightarrow & \text{Br}(M_1|M) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(G, (\mathbb{Q} \otimes wM)/wM) \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

where the left two vertical maps are the canonical restriction maps and the rightmost one is induced by the canonical embedding  $wL \hookrightarrow wM$ . We will use these observations to prove the following result.

LEMMA 5. *Let  $L$  be Henselian with respect to a rank-one valuation  $w$  and satisfy the conditions that  $\text{char}(L) = 0$ ,  $\mu_p \subset L$  and  $\text{char}(Lw) = p > 0$ . Let  $L_1|L$  be a  $p$ -cyclic unramified sub-extension of  $L'|L$ , so that  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$ , and let  $\Lambda|L$  be a sub-extension of  $L'|L$  such that  $L'|\Lambda$  is finite and  $\Lambda|L$  and  $L_1|L$  are linearly disjoint. Suppose that the restriction map*

$$\text{res} : \text{Br}(L_1|L) \rightarrow \text{Br}(\Lambda_1|\Lambda) \subseteq \text{Br}(\Lambda)$$

*is non-trivial. Then  $wL \approx \mathbb{Z}$  and  $Lw|\mathbb{F}_p$  is a finite extension, i.e.  $L$  is a discrete-valued field with finite residue field of characteristic  $p$ .*

*Proof.* By way of contradiction, suppose that the conclusion of the lemma does not hold.

Since  $G = \text{Gal}(L_1|L)$  has order  $p$ , it follows that  $L_1 = L[\sqrt[p]{a}]$  for some  $a \in L$ , and that  $\text{Br}(L_1|L)$  consists of cyclic algebras of index  $p$  of the form  $A_L(a, u)$  with  $u \in L^\times$ . In particular,  $\text{Br}(L_1|L)$  is a torsion group of exponent  $p$ . Further, since  $L_1w|Lw$  is also cyclic of degree  $p$ , it follows that  $\text{Br}(L_1w|Lw)$  is generated by cyclic algebras of index  $p$  and, moreover, every such algebra from  $\text{Br}(L_1w|Lw)$  is also split by some purely inseparable extension of degree  $p$  of  $Lw$ . Therefore, the restriction map  $\text{Br}(L_1w|Lw) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} \text{Br}(Lw^{1/p})$  is trivial. On the other hand, by Lemma 4(1), we have  $Lw^{1/p} \subseteq \Lambda w$ . Hence the restriction map

$$\text{Br}(L_1w|Lw) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} \text{Br}(\Lambda_1w|\Lambda w) \subseteq \text{Br}(\Lambda w) \tag{*}$$

is trivial. Therefore, if  $A_L(a, u) \in \text{Br}(L_1|L)$  has non-trivial image in  $\text{Br}(\Lambda_1|\Lambda)$ , then by the exact sequence (†) and the above diagram applied with  $M := \Lambda$ , we get that  $A_L(a, u)$  does not lie in the image of  $\text{Br}(L_1w|Lw)$  in  $\text{Br}(L_1|L)$ . Equivalently,  $A_L(a, u)$  is ramified, i.e.  $w(u)$  is non-trivial in  $wL/p$ . Since we have assumed that the conclusion of Lemma 5 does not hold, by Lemma 4(2) there exists  $L_t := L[\sqrt[p]{t}] \subseteq \Lambda$  with  $t \in L^\times$  such that  $w(u) \in p \cdot wL_t$ . But then, by the fundamental (in)equality, we have

$$p = [L_t : L] \geq [L_t w : Lw] \cdot (wL_t : wL) \geq [L_t w : Lw] \cdot p \geq p.$$

Therefore, the above inequalities are actually equalities, and  $[L_t w : Lw] = 1$ , i.e.  $L_t w = Lw$ . Also,  $L_{t,1}w = L_1w$ , where  $L_{t,1} := L_t L_1$  is the compositum of  $L_t$  and  $L_1$  inside  $\Lambda_1$ .

Hence, from the above commutative diagram applied to  $M := L_t$ , it follows that the image  $A_{L_t}(a, u)$  of  $A_L(a, u)$  in  $\text{Br}(L_{t,1}|L_t)$  actually lies in  $\text{Br}(L_{t,1}w|L_t w) = \text{Br}(L_1w|Lw)$ . But then the image of  $A_{L_t}(a, u)$  in  $\text{Br}(\Lambda_1|\Lambda)$  actually lies in the image of  $\text{Br}(L_{t,1}w|L_t w) = \text{Br}(L_1w|Lw)$  in  $\text{Br}(\Lambda_1w|\Lambda w)$ . On the other hand, the image of  $\text{Br}(L_1w|Lw)$  in  $\text{Br}(\Lambda_1w|\Lambda w)$  is trivial by the discussion around (\*) above. Therefore  $A_\Lambda(a, u)$  is trivial in  $\text{Br}(\Lambda_1|\Lambda)$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**G. Gal( $k'_1|k_1$ ) and Br( $k_1$ )**

Let  $k|\mathbb{Q}_p$  be a finite extension with  $\mu_p \subset k$ . Let  $k_1|k$  be an arbitrary (not necessarily Galois and not necessarily finite) algebraic extension and let  $[k_1 : k]$  denote its degree (as a supernatural number). As usual, let  $k'_1|k_1$  be a maximal  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  elementary extension of  $k_1$  and  $\text{Gal}(k'_1|k_1) := \text{Gal}(k'_1|k_1)$  its Galois group.

LEMMA 6. *In the above context, the following hold.*

- (1) *The restriction map  ${}_p\text{Br}(k) \rightarrow \text{Br}(k_1)$  is injective if and only if  $[k_1 : k]$  is not divisible by  $p$ .*
- (2) *Suppose that  $(p, [k_1 : k]) = 1$ . Then  $\text{Gal}(k'_1|k_1) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p)^{e_{k_1} + 2}$ , where  $e_{k_1} := [k_1 : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ .*

*Proof.* (1) After identifying  $\text{Br}(k)$  with  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  via the invariant  $\text{inv}_k : \text{Br}(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ , the restriction  $\text{Br}(k) \rightarrow \text{Br}(k_1)$  becomes multiplication by  $[k_1 : k]$ . Hence  ${}_p\text{Br}(k) \rightarrow \text{Br}(k_1)$  is injective if and only if  $[k_1 : k]$  is not divisible by  $p$ .

(2) If  $k_1|k$  is finite, then the assertion follows from local class field theory. Furthermore, the canonical projection  $\text{Gal}(k'_1|k_1) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k'|k)$  is surjective, as  $[k_1 : k]$  is prime to  $p$ . Finally, by taking limits over all the finite sub-extensions  $k_i|k$  of  $k_1|k$ , the assertion follows. □

**H.  $p$ -adic valuations and formally  $p$ -adic fields**

We recall a few basic facts about  $p$ -adic valuations and (formally)  $p$ -adically closed fields; see [AK66, PR85] for more details.

(1) A valuation  $v$  of a field  $k$  is called (formally)  *$p$ -adic* if the residue field  $kv$  is a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with  $q = p^{f_v}$  and the value group  $vk$  has a minimal positive element  $1_v$  such that  $v(p) = e_v \cdot 1_v$  for some natural number  $e_v > 0$ . The number  $d_v := e_v f_v$  is called the  *$p$ -adic rank* (or degree) of the  $p$ -adic valuation  $v$ . Note that a field  $k$  carrying a  $p$ -adic valuation  $v$  must necessarily have  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ , as  $v(p) \neq \infty$ , and  $\text{char}(kv) = p$ .

(2) Let  $v$  be a  $p$ -adic valuation of  $k$  with valuation ring  $\mathcal{O}_v$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_1 := \mathcal{O}[1/p]$  is the valuation ring of the unique maximal proper coarsening  $v_1$  of  $v$ , which is called the *canonical coarsening* of  $v$ . Note that upon setting  $k^0 := kv_1$  and  $v_0 = v/v_1$ , the corresponding valuation on  $k^0$ , we have that  $v_0$  is a  $p$ -adic valuation of  $k^0$  with  $e_{v_0} = e_v$  and  $f_{v_0} = f_v$ ; hence  $d_{v_0} = d_v$  and, moreover,  $v_0$  is a discrete valuation of  $k^0$ . In particular, the following properties hold.

- (a)  $v$  has rank one if and only if  $v_1$  is the trivial valuation, and this is true if and only if  $v = v_0$ .
- (b) Giving a  $p$ -adic valuation  $v$  of a field  $k$  of  $p$ -adic rank  $d_v = e_v f_v$  is equivalent to giving a place  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $k$  with values in a finite extension  $l$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  such that the residue field  $k\mathfrak{p}$  of  $\mathfrak{p}$  is dense in  $l$  and  $l|\mathbb{Q}_p$  has ramification index  $e_v$  and residual degree  $f_v$ .
- (c) If  $v_i < v$  is a strict coarsening of  $v$ , then  $v_i \leq v_1$  and the quotient valuation  $v/v_i$  on the residue field  $kv_i$  is a  $p$ -adic valuation with  $e_{v/v_i} = e_v$ ,  $f_{v/v_i} = f_v$  and thus  $d_{v/v_i} = d_v$ . (Actually,  $(kv_i)(v_i/v_1) \cong kv_1$  and  $(kv_i)(v_i/v) \cong kv$  canonically.)

(3) Let  $v$  be a  $p$ -adic valuation of  $k$  and  $l|k$  a finite field extension, and denote by  $w|v$  the prolongations of  $v$  to  $l$ . Then all the  $w$  are  $p$ -adic valuations. Moreover, the *fundamental equality* holds:  $[l : k] = \sum_{w|v} e(w|v)f(w|v)$ , where  $e(w|v)$  and  $f(w|v)$  are, respectively, the ramification index and the residual degree of  $w|v$ . Further, if  $w_1$  is the canonical coarsening of  $w$  and  $w_0 = w/w_1$  is the canonical quotient on the residue field  $lw_1$ , then by general decomposition theory of valuations one has  $e(w|v) = e(w_1|v_1)e(w_0|v_0)$  and  $f(w|v) = f(w_0|v_0)$ ; moreover,  $e_w = e_v e(w_0|v_0)$  and  $f_w = f_v f(w|v)$ , thus  $d_w = d_v e(w_0|v_0)f(w|v)$ .

(4) A field  $k$  is called (formally)  $p$ -adically closed if  $k$  carries a  $p$ -adic valuation  $v$  such that for every finite extension  $l|k$  one has that if  $v$  has a prolongation  $w$  to  $l$  with  $d_w = d_v$ , then  $l = k$ . There is a characterization of the  $p$ -adically closed fields as follows. For a field  $k$  endowed with a  $p$ -adic valuation  $v$  and canonical coarsening  $v_1$ , the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $k$  is  $p$ -adically closed with respect to  $v$ ;
- (ii)  $v$  is Henselian and  $v_1k$  is divisible (possibly trivial);
- (iii)  $v_1$  is Henselian and  $v_1k$  is divisible (possibly trivial), and the residue field  $k^0 := kv_1$  is relatively algebraically closed in its completion  $\widehat{k^0}$  (which is itself a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ).

We also note that if  $k$  is  $p$ -adically closed with respect to some  $p$ -adic valuation  $v$ , then the valuation ring of  $v$  is completely determined by  $k$ . In particular, for every field  $k$  there exists at most one valuation  $v$  (up to equivalence of valuations) such that  $k$  is  $p$ -adically closed with respect to  $v$ .

(5) For every field  $k$  endowed with a  $p$ -adic valuation  $v$ , there exist  $p$ -adic closures  $\tilde{k}$  and  $\tilde{v}$  such that  $d_{\tilde{v}} = d_v$ . Moreover, the space of the isomorphism classes of  $p$ -adic closures of  $k$  and  $v$  has a concrete description as follows. Let  $v_1$  be the canonical coarsening of  $v$  and  $\widehat{k^0}|\mathbb{Q}_p$  the completion of the residue field of  $k^0 = kv_1$ . Then there exists a canonical exact sequence of the form  $1 \rightarrow I_{v_1} \rightarrow D_v \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} G_{\widehat{k^0}} \rightarrow 1$ , and the space of isomorphism classes of  $p$ -adic closures of  $k$  and  $v$  is in bijection with the space of sections of  $\text{pr}$  and thus with  $H_{\text{cont}}^1(G_{\widehat{k^0}}, I_{v_1})$ .

(6) If  $L$  is  $p$ -adically closed with respect to the  $p$ -adic valuation  $w$  and  $l \subseteq L$  is a subfield which is relatively closed in  $L$ , then  $l$  is  $p$ -adically closed with respect to  $v := w|_l$  and  $v$  and  $w$  have equal  $p$ -adic ranks; also,  $L$  and  $l$  are elementarily equivalent. Therefore, the elementary equivalence class of a  $p$ -adically closed field  $k$  is determined by both the absolute subfield  $k^{\text{abs}} := k \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  of  $k$  and the completion  $\widehat{k^0} = \widehat{k^{\text{abs}}}$ . Note that the  $p$ -adic valuation of  $k^{\text{abs}}$  is discrete and that  $k^{\text{abs}}$  is actually the relative algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $k^0 := kv_1$ . Further,  $\overline{L} = \overline{Ll} = L\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Therefore, if  $L|l$  is an extension of  $p$ -adically closed fields of the same rank, then the canonical projection  $G_L \rightarrow G_l$  is an isomorphism.

(7) Finally, let  $(L, w)|(l, v)$  be an extension of  $p$ -adically closed fields with  $d_w = d_v$ . Let  $k|l$  be some Galois extension, and set  $K := Lk$ . Then, using the notation from the introduction, the following canonical projections are isomorphisms:

$$\text{pr}'_L : \text{Gal}(K'|L) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k'|l), \quad \text{pr}''_L : \text{Gal}(K''|L) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k''|l). \tag{\dagger}$$

*Remark 7.* Let  $l, v$  be a finite field extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , and let  $L = \kappa(Y)$  be the function field of a complete smooth curve  $Y \rightarrow l$ . Let  $s : G_l \rightarrow G_L$  be a section of  $\text{pr}_L : G_L \rightarrow G_l$ , and let  $\tilde{L}$  be the fixed field of  $\text{im}(s)$  in  $\overline{L}$ . Then  $\overline{L} = \tilde{L}\overline{\mathbb{Q}} = \tilde{L}l\overline{\mathbb{Q}} = \tilde{L}\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , and  $G_{\tilde{L}} \rightarrow G_l \rightarrow G_{l^{\text{abs}}}$  are all isomorphisms. Hence  $\tilde{L}$  is  $p$ -adically closed by [Pop88, assertion E.11] and elementarily equivalent to  $l^{\text{abs}}$ , and hence to  $l$ , by paragraph (6) above; moreover, if  $\tilde{w}$  is the valuation of  $\tilde{L}$ , then  $d_{\tilde{w}} = d_v$  and  $v = \tilde{w}|_l$ . Thus  $w := \tilde{w}|_L$  is a  $p$ -adic valuation of  $L$  with  $d_w = d_v$  and  $w|_l = v$ . Hence, by statement (2)(b) above, the canonical coarsening  $w_1$  of  $w$  defines an  $l$ -rational place of  $L|l$ , and thus an  $l$ -rational point  $y \in Y(l)$ , such that  $\text{im}(s)$  is contained in a decomposition group  $D_y$  above  $y$ . Therefore, recalling that distinct decomposition groups above  $l$ -places of  $L|l$  have trivial intersection (by a theorem of F. K. Schmidt), it follows that  $y$  and  $D_y$  are uniquely determined by  $\text{im}(s)$ . This proves the birational  $p$ -adic section conjecture for  $Y \rightarrow l$ ; see [Koe05] for more details.

**I. A local–global principle for the Brauer group**

Here we recall the following result, which was proved in [Pop88, Theorem 4.5] and uses in an essential way the results of Tate [Tat59], Roquette [Roq66] and Lichtenbaum [Lic69].

FACT. *Let  $k$  be a  $p$ -adically closed field, and let  $M|k$  be a field extension of transcendence degree  $\text{tr.deg}(M|k) \leq 1$ . Further, let  $w|v$  denote the prolongations of the  $p$ -adic valuation  $v$  of  $k$  to  $M$ , and for each  $w$  let  $M_w^h$  be a Henselization of  $M$  with respect to  $w$ . Then the following canonical exact sequence of Brauer groups is exact:*

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Br}(M) \rightarrow \prod_{w|v} \text{Br}(M_w^h).$$

We will use a special form of the above fact which reads as follows. Let  $w$  be a prolongation of  $v$  to  $M$  and let  $\mathcal{O}_w$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_w$  be its valuation ring and valuation ideal, respectively. Further, let  $\mathcal{O}_{w_1} := \mathcal{O}_w[1/p]$  be the coarsening of  $\mathcal{O}_w$  obtained by inverting the prime number  $p$ , and denote by  $w_1$  the corresponding coarsening of  $w$ . Then  $w_1$  is a prolongation to  $M$  of the canonical coarsening  $v_1$  of  $v$ . Setting  $M_0 := Mw_1$  and  $w_0 := w/w_1$ , it follows from general valuation theory that  $M_0|k_0$  is a field extension with  $\text{tr.deg}(M_0|k_0) \leq 1$  and that  $w_0$  is a prolongation of  $v_0$  to  $M_0$ . For every prolongation  $w|v$ , the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $w_0$  is a rank one valuation;
- (ii) the minimal prime ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_w$  which contains the rational prime number  $p$  is the valuation ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_w$ .

In particular, for every prolongation  $w|v$  of  $v$  to  $M$  there exists a unique coarsening  $\tilde{w}$  such that  $\tilde{w}$  is a prolongation of  $v$  to  $M$  and  $\tilde{w}$  satisfies the equivalent conditions (i) and (ii) above. Indeed, for any given  $w|v$ , let  $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$  be the minimal prime ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_w$  which contains the prime number  $p$ . Then, by general valuation theory, the localization  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} := (\mathcal{O}_w)_{\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}}$  is a valuation ring with valuation ideal  $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ , and its valuation  $\tilde{w}$  is the unique coarsening of  $w$  satisfying the equivalent conditions (i) and (ii) above.

FACT 8. *Let  $k$  be a  $p$ -adically closed field, and let  $M|k$  be a field extension of transcendence degree  $\text{tr.deg}(M|k) \leq 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be the set of all the prolongations  $w|v$  of  $v$  to  $M$  that satisfy the equivalent conditions (i) and (ii) above. Then the following canonical exact sequence of Brauer groups is exact:*

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Br}(M) \rightarrow \prod_{w \in \mathcal{W}} \text{Br}(M_w^h).$$

*Proof.* For a non-trivial division algebra  $A$  over  $M$ , let  $w|v$  be a prolongation such that, writing  $M_w^h$  for the Henselization of  $M$  with respect to  $w$ , one has  $A_{M_w^h} \neq 0$  in  $\text{Br}(M_w^h)$ . Now let  $\tilde{w}$  be the unique coarsening of  $w$  such that  $\tilde{w} \in \mathcal{W}$ . Then, since  $\tilde{w}$  is a coarsening of  $w$ , it follows that  $M_w^h$  contains a Henselization  $M_{\tilde{w}}^h$  of  $M$  with respect to  $\tilde{w}$ . On the other hand, since  $M_{\tilde{w}}^h \subseteq M_w^h$  and  $A_{M_w^h} \neq 0$  in  $\text{Br}(M_w^h)$ , we have that  $A_{M_{\tilde{w}}^h} \neq 0$  in  $\text{Br}(M_{\tilde{w}}^h)$ . □

**3. Proof of Theorem B**

To prove assertion (1), let  $\tilde{K}, \tilde{w}$  be a  $p$ -adic closure of  $K, w$ , and let  $\tilde{k}, \tilde{v}$  be the relative algebraic closure of  $k$  in  $\tilde{K}$  endowed with the restriction of  $\tilde{w}$  to  $\tilde{k}$ . Then  $d_{\tilde{v}} = d_{\tilde{w}} = d_w$ . Since  $d_v = d_w$  by hypothesis, we get  $d_{\tilde{v}} = d_v$  and hence  $\tilde{k} = k$ . We conclude by applying relation (†) from § 2-H.,

paragraph (7), with  $l := k$  and  $L := \tilde{K}$ , and taking into account the fact that the isomorphism  $\text{Gal}(\tilde{K}''|\tilde{K}) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k''|k)$  factors through  $\text{Gal}(K''|K) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k''|k)$  and thus gives rise to a liftable section of  $\text{Gal}(K'|K) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k'|k)$ .

To prove assertion (2), let  $s' : \text{Gal}(k'|k) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K'|K)$  be a liftable section and let  $M \subset K'$  be the fixed field of  $\text{im}(s')$ . Consider  $a, b \in k$  such that  $k_1 := k[\sqrt[p]{a}]$  is the unique unramified extension of degree  $p$  of  $k$  and the  $p$ -cyclic algebra  $A_k(a, b)$  is non-trivial in  $\text{Br}(k)$  or, equivalently,  $\chi_a \cup \chi_b \neq 0$  in  $H^2(G_k, \mathbb{Z}/p)$ . Then, by Lemma 2,  $A_M(a, b)$  is non-trivial in  $\text{Br}(M)$ . Hence, from Fact 8, it follows that there exists some prolongation  $w \in \mathcal{W}$  of  $v$  to  $M$  such that, writing  $\Lambda := M_w^h$  for the Henselization of  $M$  with respect to  $w$ , one has  $A_\Lambda(a, b) \neq 0$  in  $\text{Br}(\Lambda)$ . With an abuse of notation, we will write  $w$  for the Henselian prolongation of  $w$  to  $\Lambda$  and so on.

For  $w$  as above, let  $L := K_w^h \subseteq \Lambda$  denote the (unique) Henselization of  $K$  with respect to (the restriction of)  $w$  which is contained in  $\Lambda$ . Then the compositum  $LM \subseteq \Lambda$  is Henselian with respect to  $w$ , hence we must have  $LM = \Lambda$ . Note that  $L' = K'L$  by Lemma 3(3), and  $K'|M$  is finite because  $\text{im}(s')$  is finite and  $M = (K')^{\text{im}(s')}$ . We conclude that  $L' = LK'$  is finite over  $\Lambda = LM$ ; also,  $A_\Lambda(a, b) \neq 0$  in  $\text{Br}(\Lambda)$  implies  $A_L(a, b) \neq 0$  in  $\text{Br}(L)$ , as  $L \subset \Lambda$ .

LEMMA 9. *The valuation  $w$  is a  $p$ -adic valuation of  $L$ .*

*Proof.* As in the discussion above, let  $w_1$  and  $v_1$  be, respectively, the canonical coarsenings of  $w$  and  $v$ , i.e. the valuations with valuation rings  $\mathcal{O}_w[1/p]$  and  $\mathcal{O}_v[1/p]$ , respectively. We denote the corresponding residue fields by  $k_0 := kv_1$ ,  $L_0 := Lw_1$  and  $\Lambda_0 := \Lambda w_1$ ; recall also that  $v_0 := v/v_1$  on  $k_0$  and  $w_0 := w/w_1$  on  $L_0$  and  $\Lambda_0$  are rank-one valuations (since  $w \in \mathcal{W}$ ). Note that the following hold.

- (a)  $w_1$  prolongs  $v_1$  to  $L$  and  $\Lambda$ , and  $w_0$  prolongs  $v_0$  to  $L_0$  and  $\Lambda_0$ , as  $w$  prolongs  $v$  to  $L$ .
- (b)  $w_1$  and  $v_1$ , as well as  $w_0$  and  $v_0$ , are Henselian because  $w$  and  $v$  are.
- (c)  $L'w_1|Lw_1$  is the maximal  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  elementary abelian extension of  $L_0 = Lw_1$  by Lemma 3(2), hence  $L'w_1$  equals the maximal  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  elementary abelian extension  $L'_0$  of  $L_0$ .
- (d) Further, since  $L'|\Lambda$  is finite by the discussion above, it follows that  $L'w_1|\Lambda w_1$  is finite by the fundamental inequality. Since  $L'w_1 = L'_0$  and  $\Lambda w_1 = \Lambda_0$ , we get that  $L'_0|\Lambda_0$  is finite.

Recall the  $v$ -unramified extension  $k_1 := k[\sqrt[p]{a}]$  with  $\text{Gal}(k_1|k) =: G$  defined above. We set  $\Lambda_1 := \Lambda k_1$  and remark that  $\Lambda_1|\Lambda$  is a  $w$ -unramified cyclic extension with Galois group canonically isomorphic to  $G$ . Moreover, since  $k_1|k$  is  $v$ -unramified,  $k_1|k$  is also  $v_1$ -unramified, as  $v_1$  is a coarsening of  $v$ . Correspondingly,  $L_1|L$  is  $w_1$ -unramified. Let  $k_{01} := k_1 v_1$  and  $\Lambda_{01} := \Lambda_1 w_1$  be the corresponding residue fields. Observe that  $k_{01}|k_0$  is a  $v_0$ -unramified cyclic extension with Galois group canonically isomorphic to  $G$ ; correspondingly,  $\Lambda_{01}|\Lambda_0$  is a  $w_0$ -unramified cyclic extension with Galois group canonically isomorphic to  $G$ .

We next consider the resulting commutative diagram, shown below, of Brauer/cohomology groups deduced from the extension of valued fields  $(\Lambda, w_1)|(k, v_1)$  and the corresponding residue fields, as discussed in §§ 1 and 2-F.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Br}(k_{01}|k_0) & \longrightarrow & \text{Br}(k_1|k) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(G, (\mathbb{Q} \otimes v_1 k)/v_1 k) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \text{res} & & \downarrow \text{res} & & \downarrow \text{res} \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Br}(\Lambda_{01}|\Lambda_0) & \longrightarrow & \text{Br}(\Lambda_1|\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(G, (\mathbb{Q} \otimes w_1 \Lambda)/w_1 \Lambda) \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

We recall that  $v_1 k$  is divisible, hence  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes v_1 k = v_1 k$  and therefore  $(\mathbb{Q} \otimes v_1 k)/v_1 k = (0)$ . Thus we deduce that  $\text{Br}(k_{01}|k_0) \rightarrow \text{Br}(\Lambda_{01}|\Lambda_0) \subseteq \text{Br}(\Lambda_0)$  is non-trivial.



Now let us set  $L_1 := Lk_1$  and write  $L_{01} := L_1w_1$ . Then, reasoning as above, we get that  $L_1|L$  is  $w$ -unramified and hence  $w_1$ -unramified. Furthermore,  $L_{01}|L_0$  is a  $w_0$ -unramified extension with Galois group canonically isomorphic to  $G$ , and it is obvious that  $\text{Br}(k_{01}|k_0) \rightarrow \text{Br}(\Lambda_0)$  factors through  $\text{Br}(L_{01}|L_0)$ . Therefore  $\text{Br}(L_{01}|L_0) \rightarrow \text{Br}(\Lambda_0)$  is non-trivial.

By Lemma 5 applied to  $L_0$  endowed with the Henselian rank-one valuation  $w_0$ , the  $w_0$ -unramified extension  $L_{01}|L_0$  and the extension  $\Lambda_0|L_0$  such that  $L'_0|\Lambda_0$  is finite, we get that  $w_0$  is discrete and has finite residue field (of characteristic  $p$ , as  $w_0$  prolongs  $v_0$ ). Equivalently,  $w$  is a (Henselian)  $p$ -adic valuation of  $L$ , as claimed.  $\square$

LEMMA 10. *The  $p$ -adic valuation  $w$  from Lemma 9 has  $p$ -adic rank equal to the  $p$ -adic rank of  $v$  and satisfies  $\text{im}(s') \subseteq Z_w$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is a refinement of the arguments in the proof of the previous lemma. As remarked there, the canonical restriction map

$$\text{res} : \text{Br}(k_{01}|k_0) \rightarrow \text{Br}(L_{01}|L_0) \rightarrow \text{Br}(\Lambda_0)$$

is non-trivial. Since completion does not change the inertial cohomology, without loss of generality we can replace  $k_0 \subseteq L_0 \subseteq \Lambda_0$  by the corresponding sequence of completions  $\hat{k}_0 \subseteq \hat{L}_0 \subseteq \hat{\Lambda}_0$ , all of which are finite extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , and thus deduce that

$$\text{res} : \text{Br}(\hat{k}_{01}|\hat{k}_0) \rightarrow \text{Br}(\hat{L}_{01}|\hat{L}_0) \rightarrow \text{Br}(\hat{\Lambda}_0)$$

is non-trivial. But then, from Lemma 6, it follows that  $[\hat{\Lambda}_0 : \hat{k}_0]$  is prime to  $p$  and therefore  $[\Lambda_0 : k_0] = [\hat{\Lambda}_0 : \hat{k}_0]$  is prime to  $p$ . Hence, from  $[\Lambda_0 : k_0] = [\Lambda_0 : L_0] \cdot [L_0 : k_0]$  it follows that both  $[L_0 : k_0]$  and  $[\Lambda_0 : L_0]$  are prime to  $p$ . On the other hand,  $\Lambda_0|L_0$  is a sub-extension of the  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  elementary abelian extension  $L'_0|L_0$ . Thus, finally,  $\Lambda_0 = L_0$ .

Now recall that  $M = (K')^{\text{im}(s')}$  is the fixed field of  $\text{im}(s') = s'(\text{Gal}(k'|k))$  in  $K'$ ; furthermore,  $L' = LK'$  and  $\Lambda = ML$  inside  $L'$ , by the discussion at the beginning of the proof. From this we deduce the following sequence of inequalities:

$$[k' : k] = |\text{Gal}(k'|k)| = [K' : M] \geq [LK' : LM] = [L' : \Lambda]. \tag{*}$$

Moreover, because  $k$  is  $p$ -adically closed, and hence  $\text{pr}_k : \text{Gal}(k'|k) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k'_0|k_0)$  is an isomorphism, one has  $[k' : k] = [k'_0 : k_0]$ , and by the fundamental inequality we have  $[L' : \Lambda] \geq [L'w_1 : \Lambda w_1]$ . On the other hand, we have  $L'w_1 = L'_0$  and  $\Lambda w_1 := \Lambda_0$ , and  $\Lambda_0 = L_0$  by the remarks above. Thus the above sequences of inequalities can be extended as follows:

$$[k'_0 : k_0] = [k' : k] = [K' : M] \geq [LK' : LM] = [L' : \Lambda] \geq [L'w_1 : \Lambda w_1] = [L'_0 : L_0]. \tag{**}$$

Next, observe that by Lemma 6(2) we have  $[k'_0 : k_0] = p^{e_{k_0}}$ , where  $e_{k_0} := [k'_0 : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ , and  $[L'_0 : L_0] = p^{e_{L_0}}$ , with  $e_{L_0} := [L'_0 : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ . Hence the inequality (\*\*) above implies  $e_{k_0} \geq e_{L_0}$ . On the other hand,  $k_0 \subseteq L_0$  implies  $e_{k_0} \leq e_{L_0}$ . Hence  $e_{k_0} = e_{L_0}$  and  $\hat{k}_0 = \hat{L}_0$ . Equivalently,  $w$  is a  $p$ -adic valuation having  $p$ -adic rank equal to

$$d_w = [\hat{L}_0 : \mathbb{Q}_p] = [\hat{k}_0 : \mathbb{Q}_p] = d_v$$

and hence equal to the  $p$ -adic rank of  $v$ . Moreover, because of this, all the inequalities in the formulas (\*) and (\*\*) above are actually equalities. Therefore  $[K' : M] = [LK' : LM]$ , and the restriction map  $\text{Gal}(L'|L) = \text{Gal}(L'|L) \rightarrow Z_w \subset \text{Gal}(K'|K)$ , which maps  $\text{Gal}(L'|L)$  isomorphically onto  $Z_w$  by the fact that  $L' = K'L$ , defines an isomorphism

$$\text{Gal}(L'|\Lambda) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K'|M) = s'(\text{Gal}(k'|k)).$$

Equivalently,  $\text{im}(s') \subseteq Z_w$ , as claimed.  $\square$

Coming back to the proof of Theorem B, we have the following. Let  $M \subseteq K'$  be the fixed field of  $\text{im}(s')$  in  $K'$ ; then there exists a  $p$ -adic valuation  $w$  of  $M$  such that  $w$  prolongs  $v$  to  $M$  and has  $p$ -adic rank  $d_w$  equal to the  $p$ -adic rank  $d_v$  of  $v$ ; moreover,  $\text{im}(s')$  is contained in the decomposition group  $Z_w$  of  $w$  in  $\text{Gal}(K'|K)$ .

*Remark 11.* The precise structure of  $Z_w$  can be deduced as follows. First, let  $w_1$  be the canonical coarsening of  $w$  and let  $T_{w_1}$  and  $Z_{w_1}$  with  $T_{w_1} \subset Z_{w_1}$  be, respectively, the inertia and decomposition groups above  $w_1$  in  $\text{Gal}(K'|K)$ . Then  $Z_w = Z_{w_1}$ , and  $\text{pr}'_K : \text{Gal}(K'|K) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k'|k)$  gives rise to an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow T_{w_1} \rightarrow Z_{w_1} \xrightarrow{\text{pr}'_K} \text{Gal}(k'|k) \rightarrow 1$$

such that  $s'(\text{Gal}(k'|k)) \subseteq Z_{w_1} = Z_w$  is a complement of  $T_{w_1}$ . If  $T_{w_1}$  is non-trivial, then  $T_{w_1} \cong \mu_p$  as a  $\text{Gal}(k'|k)$ -module, and thus  $T_{w_1} \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$  non-canonically as a  $\text{Gal}(k'|k)$ -module.

LEMMA 12. *The  $p$ -adic valuation  $w$  from Lemma 10, which satisfies  $\text{im}(s') \subseteq Z_w$ , is unique.*

*Proof.* Consider  $p$ -adic valuations  $w^1$  and  $w^2$  such that  $\text{im}(s') \subset Z_{w^i}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . We claim that  $w^1 = w^2$ . Indeed, let  $w$  be the maximal common coarsening of  $w^1$  and  $w^2$ . By way of contradiction, suppose that  $w < w^1, w^2$ . Then the valuations  $w^1/w$  and  $w^2/w$  are independent  $p$ -adic valuations on  $Kw$ , both of which prolong the  $p$ -adic valuation of the  $p$ -adically closed field  $kw$ . Further, from Lemma 3(2), it follows that  $K'w$  is the maximal  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  elementary abelian extension of  $Kw$ ; moreover, since  $\text{im}(s') \subset Z_{w^i}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , general decomposition theory for valuations gives that  $s'_w(\text{Gal}(k'|k)) \subset Z_{w^i/w}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . On the other hand, by the construction of  $w$ , we have that  $w^1/w$  and  $w^2/w$  are independent valuations of  $Kw$ . However, since  $w^1/w$  and  $w^2/w$  are independent, it follows from Lemma 3(2) that  $Z_{w^1/w} \cap Z_{w^2/w}$  is trivial. This is a contradiction, because  $\text{im}(s'_w) \subset Z_{w^i/w}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .  $\square$

The proof of Theorem B is thus complete.

#### 4. Proof of Theorem A

The following stronger assertion holds (from which Theorem A follows immediately).

THEOREM 13. *Let  $k|\mathbb{Q}_p$  be a finite extension containing the  $p$ th roots of unity, and let  $k_0 \subseteq k$  be a subfield which is relatively algebraically closed in  $k$ . Let  $X_0$  be a complete smooth curve over  $k_0$ , and let  $K_0 = k_0(X)$  be the function field of  $X_0$ .*

- (1) *Every  $k$ -rational point  $x \in X_0$  gives rise to a bouquet of conjugacy classes of liftable sections  $s'_x : \overline{G}'_{k_0} \rightarrow \overline{G}'_{K_0}$  above  $x$ .*
- (2) *Let  $s' : \overline{G}'_{k_0} \rightarrow \overline{G}'_{K_0}$  be a liftable section. Then there exists a unique  $k$ -rational point  $x \in X_0$  such that  $s'$  equals one of the sections  $s'_x$  mentioned above.*

*Proof.* (1) Let  $v$  be the valuation of  $k$ . Notice that, by § 2-H.(b), there exists a bijection from the  $p$ -adic valuations  $w$  of  $\kappa(X_0)$  with  $d_w = d_v$  to the  $k$ -rational points  $x$  of  $X_0$  which sends each  $w$  to the center  $x$  of the canonical coarsening  $w_1$  on  $X = X_0 \times_{k_0} k$ . We conclude by applying Theorem B(1).

(2) Since  $k_0 \subseteq k$  is relatively algebraically closed,  $k_0$  is  $p$ -adically closed. Let  $v$  be the valuation of  $k$  and of all subfields of  $k$ . Since  $k_0$  is  $p$ -adically closed, we can apply Theorem B and get that for every section  $s' : \overline{G}'_{k_0} \rightarrow \overline{G}'_{K_0}$ , there exists a unique  $p$ -adic valuation  $w$  of  $K_0$  which prolongs  $v$

to  $K_0$  and has  $p$ -adic rank equal to the  $p$ -adic rank of  $v$ , such that  $s'$  is a section above  $w$ . Let  $w_1$  be the canonical coarsening of  $v$ . Then we have the following two cases.

*Case 1.* The valuation  $w_1$  is trivial.

Then  $w$  is a discrete valuation of  $K$  that prolongs  $v$  to  $K$  and has the same residue field and same value group as  $v$ . Equivalently, the completions  $\hat{k}_0$  and  $\hat{K}_0$  are equal, and hence equal to  $k$ . Therefore  $w$  is uniquely determined by the embedding  $\iota_w : (K_0, w) \hookrightarrow (k, v)$ . In geometric terms,  $\iota_w$  defines a  $k$ -rational point  $x$  of  $X_0$  and so on.

*Case 2.* The valuation  $w_1$  is not trivial.

In this case  $w_1$  is a  $k_0$ -rational place of  $K_0$ , hence it defines a  $k_0$ -rational point  $x_0$  of  $X_0$ , and hence a  $k$ -rational point  $x$  of  $X_0$ , and so forth. □

### 5. Proof of Theorem B<sup>0</sup>

First, the proof of assertion (1) is identical to the proof of Theorem B(1), so we omit it. As for assertion (2), let  $s'_L : \text{Gal}(k'|l) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K'|L)$  be a liftable section of the canonical projection  $\text{pr}'_L : \text{Gal}(K'|L) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k'|l)$ . Then the restriction of  $s'_L$  to  $\text{Gal}(k'|k) \subseteq \text{Gal}(k'|l)$  gives rise to a liftable section  $s' : \text{Gal}(k'|k) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K'|K)$  of  $\text{pr}'_K : \text{Gal}(K'|K) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(k'|k)$ . Hence, by Theorem B, there exists a unique  $p$ -adic valuation  $w^1$  of  $K$  which prolongs the  $p$ -adic valuation  $v_k$  of  $k$  to  $K$  and has  $d_{w^1} = d_{v_k}$  and  $s' = s_{w^1}$  in the usual way. Let  $w = w^1|_L$  be the restriction of  $w^1$  to  $L$ . Then  $w$  prolongs the valuation  $v$  of  $l$  to  $L$ . We claim that  $w^1$  is the unique prolongation of  $w$  to  $K$ . Indeed, let  $w^2 := w^1 \circ \sigma_0$  with  $\sigma_0 \in \text{Gal}(k|l)$  be a further prolongation of  $w$  to  $K$ . If  $(w^i)'$  is a prolongation of  $w^i$  to  $K'$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $\sigma \in \text{im}(s'_L)$  is a preimage of  $\sigma_0$ , then  $(w^2)' := (w^1)' \circ \sigma$  is a prolongation of  $w^2$  to  $K'$ . Therefore, if  $Z_{w^1} \subset \text{Gal}(K'|K)$  is the decomposition group above  $w^1$ , then  $Z_{w^2} := \sigma Z_{w^1} \sigma^{-1}$  is the decomposition group above  $w^2$ . On the other hand,  $\text{im}(s') \subseteq Z_{w^1}$  by Theorem B (or, more precisely, by Lemma 10 in the proof of Theorem B). Since  $\sigma \in \text{im}(s'_L)$  and  $\text{Gal}(k'|k)$  is a normal subgroup of  $\text{Gal}(k'|l)$ , we have that  $\text{im}(s')$  is normal in  $\text{im}(s'_L)$ , and it follows that  $\sigma(\text{im}(s'))\sigma^{-1} = \text{im}(s')$ . Hence  $\text{im}(s') \subseteq Z_{w^1} \cap Z_{w^2}$ . But then, by Theorem B (or, more precisely, by Lemma 12 in the proof of Theorem B), we must have  $w^1 = w^2$ . Equivalently,  $\text{im}(s'_L)$  is contained in  $Z_w \subset \text{Gal}(K'|L)$ . So we finally conclude that  $d_w = d_v$  as claimed.

### 6. Proof of Theorem A<sup>0</sup>

The following stronger assertion holds (from which Theorem A<sup>0</sup> follows immediately).

**THEOREM 14.** *Let  $l|\mathbb{Q}_p$  be a finite extension. Let  $l_0 \subset l$  be a relatively algebraically closed subfield and  $k_0|l_0$  a finite Galois extension with  $\mu_p \subset k_0$ . Let  $Y_0$  be a complete smooth geometrically integral curve over  $l_0$ . Let  $L_0 = \kappa(Y_0)$  be the function field of  $Y_0$ , and let  $K_0 = L_0 k_0$ .*

- (1) *Every  $l$ -rational point  $y \in Y_0$  gives rise to a bouquet of conjugacy classes of liftable sections  $s'_y : \text{Gal}(k'_0|l_0) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K'_0|L_0)$  above  $y$ .*
- (2) *Let  $s' : \text{Gal}(k'_0|l_0) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K'_0|L_0)$  be a liftable section. Then there exists a unique  $l$ -rational point  $y \in Y_0(l)$  such that  $s'$  equals one of the sections  $s'_y$  mentioned above.*

*Proof.* The proof is identical to the proof of Theorem A above, the only difference being that one uses Theorem B<sup>0</sup> instead of Theorem B. □

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank, among others, J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, D. Harbater, J. Ellenberg, M. Kim, H. Nakamura, M. Saidi, J. Stix, T. Szamuely and A. Tamagawa for stimulating discussions concerning the section conjecture.

## REFERENCES

- AK66 J. Ax and S. Kochen, *Diophantine problems over local fields: III. Decidable fields*, Ann. of Math. (2) **83** (1966), 437–456.
- Fal98 G. Faltings, *Curves and their fundamental groups (following Grothendieck, Tamagawa and Mochizuki)*, Astérisque **252** (1998), 131–150, Exposé 840.
- Gro98a A. Grothendieck, *Letter to Faltings (June 1983)*, in *Geometric Galois actions 1*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 242 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998), 5–48. See SL98 below.
- Gro98b A. Grothendieck, *Esquisse d'un programme (1984)*, in *Geometric Galois actions 1*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 242 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998), 49–58. See SL98 below.
- Koe05 J. Koenigsmann, *On the 'section conjecture' in anabelian geometry*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **588** (2005), 221–235.
- Lic69 S. Lichtenbaum, *Duality theorems for curves over  $p$ -adic fields*, Invent. Math. **7** (1969), 120–136.
- NSW08 J. Neukirch, A. Schmidt and K. Wingberg, *Cohomology of number fields*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 323, second edition (Springer, Berlin, 2008).
- Pop88 F. Pop, *Galoissche Kennzeichnung  $p$ -adisch abgeschlossener Körper*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **392** (1988), 145–175.
- PR85 A. Prestel and P. Roquette, *Formally  $p$ -adic fields*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1050 (Springer, Berlin, 1985).
- Roq66 P. Roquette, *Splitting of algebras by function fields of one variable*, Nagoya Math. J. **27** (1966), 625–642.
- SL98 L. Schneps and P. Lochak (eds), *Geometric Galois actions 1*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 242 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998).
- Ser65 J.-P. Serre, *Cohomologie galoisienne*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 5 (Springer, Berlin, 1965).
- Sza04 T. Szamuely, *Groupes de Galois de corps de type fini*, Astérisque **294** (2004), 403–431.
- Tat59 J. Tate, *Cohomology of abelian varieties over  $p$ -adic fields*, Notes by Serge Lang, Princeton University (May 1959).

Florian Pop [pop@math.upenn.edu](mailto:pop@math.upenn.edu)

Department of Mathematics, University of Pennsylvania, 209 South 33rd Street,  
Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA