

DIFFERENTIATION OF MULTIPARAMETER SUPERADDITIVE PROCESSES

DOĞAN ÇÖMEZ

0. Introduction. In this article our purpose is to prove a differentiation theorem for multiparameter processes which are strongly superadditive with respect to a strongly continuous semigroup of positive L_1 -contractions (see Section 1 for definitions).

Recently, the differentiation theorem for superadditive processes with respect to a one-parameter semigroup of positive L_1 -contractions has been proved by D. Feyel [9]. Another proof is given by M. A. Akçoğlu [1]. R. Emilion and B. Hachem [7] also proved the same theorem, but with an extra assumption on the process (see also [1]). The proof of this theorem for superadditive processes with respect to a Markovian semigroup of operators on L_1 is given by M. A. Akçoğlu and U. Krengel [4]. Thus [1] and [9] extend the result of [4] to the sub-Markovian setting. Here we will obtain the multiparameter sub-Markovian version of this theorem, namely Theorem 3.17 below.

Theorem 3.17 was proved by M. A. Akçoğlu and U. Krengel [5] for superadditive processes $\{F_{(u,v)}\}$ with respect to a semigroup of operators $\{U_{(t,r)}\}$ which is induced by measurable semigroup of measure preserving transformations on (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) . In that paper the definition of superadditivity used is stronger than the superadditivity definition we consider in this work [5] but weaker than the strong superadditivity. R. Emilion and B. Hachem [8] proved Theorem 3.17 for strongly superadditive processes with respect to a Markovian semigroup $\{U_{(t,r)}\}$ of operators. The proof for the case that $\{F_{(u,v)}\}$ is an additive process with respect to a two-parameter semigroup of positive L_1 -contractions $\{U_{(t,r)}\}$ which is strongly continuous for $(t, r) > \mathbf{0}$ was given by M. A. Akçoğlu and A. del Junco [3]. Hence 3.17 generalizes these theorems as well as Theorem 1.7 in [1].

Acknowledgement. I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisor, Professor M. A. Akçoğlu, for making the manuscript [1] available to me prior to publication and for his very helpful discussions and valuable remarks on the subject.

1. *Definitions.* Let \mathbf{R}^2 be the usual two dimensional real vector space,

Received March 10, 1983 and in revised form March 19, 1984.

considered together with all its usual structure. The positive cone of \mathbf{R}^2 is \mathbf{R}^2_+ and the interior of \mathbf{R}^2_+ is C . In particular \mathbf{R}^2 is partially ordered in the usual way. Let $\mathbf{1}$, $\mathbf{0}$ and \mathbf{k} denote the vectors $(1, 1)$, $(0, 0)$ and (k, k) , for any real k , respectively.

Let (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) be a σ -finite measure space and $L_1 = L_1(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ be the classical Banach space of real valued integrable functions on X . L_1^+ will denote the positive cone of L_1 , and for any $E \in \mathcal{F}$, $L_1(E) = L_1(E, \mu)$ will denote the class of integrable functions with support in E . We shall not distinguish between the equivalence classes of functions and the individual functions. The relations below are often defined only modulo sets of measure zero; the words a.e. may or may not be omitted. For any $E \in \mathcal{F}$, χ_E will denote the characteristic function of E .

Let $\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\{S_r\}_{r \geq 0}$ be one-parameter strongly continuous semigroups of positive L_1 -contractions (sub-Markovian operators) with $T_0 = S_0 = I$, the identity operator on L_1 , and $T_t S_r = S_r T_t$ for each $t \geq 0$ and $r \geq 0$. This means that for each $t \geq 0$ and $s \geq 0$, T_t and S_r are both bounded linear operators on L_1 with $\|T_t\|_1 \leq 1$ and $\|S_r\|_1 \leq 1$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad T_t L_1^+ \subset L_1^+ \text{ and } S_r L_1^+ \subset L_1^+,$$

$$(1.2) \quad T_t S_s = T_{t+s} \text{ and } S_r S_p = S_{r+p} \text{ for all } p, r, t, s \geq 0,$$

$$(1.3) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|T_t f - f\|_1 = 0 = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \|S_r f - f\|_1 \text{ for all } f \in L_1.$$

$\{T_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\{S_r\}_{r \geq 0}$ are called Markovian operators if they satisfy

$$\int T_t f d\mu = \int f d\mu = \int S_r f d\mu$$

for all $t \geq 0, s \geq 0$ and for all $f \in L_1$ in addition to the conditions (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3). Consider the family

$$\{U_{(t,r)}\}_{(t,r) \in \mathbf{R}^2_+} = \{T_t S_r\}_{(t,r) \in \mathbf{R}^2_+}$$

which is a two-parameter strongly continuous semigroup of positive L_1 -contractions with $U_{\mathbf{0}} = I$. So

$$(1.4) \quad U_{(t,r)} L_1^+ \subset L_1^+ \text{ for } (t, r) \in \mathbf{R}^2_+,$$

$$(1.5) \quad U_{(t,r)} U_{(u,v)} = U_{(t+u, r+v)} \text{ for each } (t, r), (u, v) \in \mathbf{R}^2_+,$$

$$(1.6) \quad \lim_{(t,r) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}} \|U_{(t,r)} f - f\|_1 = 0 \text{ for each } f \in L_1.$$

A family of functions $\{F_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C}$ is called a superadditive process (with respect to $\{U_{(t,r)}\}_{(t,r) \in \mathbf{R}^2_+}$) if it is superadditive with respect to each parameter separately [4], [10], [13], [6]; i.e.,

$$(1.7) \quad F_{(u,v)} \in L_1 \text{ for each } (u, v) \in C.$$

$$(1.8) \text{ For each } (t, r) \in \mathbf{R}^2_+ \text{ and } (u, v) \in C \text{ with } \mathbf{0} \leq (t, r) \leq (u, v)$$

- a) $F_{(u,v)} \geq F_{(u,r)} + U_{(0,r)}F_{(u,v-r)}$ if $0 < r < v$,
- b) $F_{(u,v)} \geq F_{(t,v)} + U_{(t,0)}F_{(u-t,v)}$ if $0 < t < u$.

If $\{-F_{(u,v)}\}$ is superadditive, then $\{F_{(u,v)}\}$ is called subadditive (with respect to $\{U_{(t,r)}\}$); and if both $\{F_{(u,v)}\}$ and $\{-F_{(u,v)}\}$ are superadditive, then $\{F_{(u,v)}\}$ is called additive [4], [3].

A family of functions $\{F_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C}$ is called a strongly superadditive process (with respect to $\{U_{(t,r)}\}_{(t,r) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+}$ [13] if it satisfies (1.7) and

$$(1.9) \quad \text{if } (t, r), (u, v) \in C \text{ with } \mathbf{0} < (t, r) < (u, v),$$

then

$$F_{(t,r)} \leq F_{(u,v)} - U_{(t,0)}F_{(u-t,v)} - U_{(0,r)}F_{(u,v-r)} + U_{(t,r)}F_{(u-t,v-r)}.$$

Any strongly superadditive process $\{F_{(u,v)}\}$ which satisfies

$$(1.10) \quad F_{(u,0)} = F_{(0,v)} \equiv 0, u > 0, v > 0$$

is necessarily a superadditive process [13]. Below, when we mention a strongly superadditive process, we will mean a process satisfying (1.7), (1.9) and (1.10).

Let $D = \{m2^{-k}; m, k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be the set of positive binary numbers, and let $D \times D = B$. A family of functions $\{F_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in B}$ defined on B will also be called superadditive process if $F_{(u,v)} \in L_1$ for each $(u, v) \in B$ and (1.8) is satisfied for each $(t, r), (u, v) \in B$. Similar definitions apply to subadditive and additive processes on B .

Throughout this paper only the two parameter case is considered and the extension of the results to arbitrary n -parameter case, $n \geq 1$, is straightforward. By

$$q - \lim_{(u,v) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}}$$

we shall mean that the limit is taken as u and v approach to zero through the positive rational numbers [4], [3].

2. Positive superadditive processes. In this section will show that if $\{F_{(u,v)}\}$ is a superadditive process with

$$\sup_{(u,v) \in C} \frac{1}{uv} \int F_{(u,v)}^- d\mu < \infty,$$

where

$$F_{(u,v)}^- = \max(0, -F_{(u,v)}),$$

then it can be assumed to be a positive superadditive process with the further property that if $\{G_{(u,v)}\}$ is an additive process such that

$$0 \cong G_{(u,v)} \cong F_{(u,v)} \quad \text{for each } (u, v) \in C,$$

then $G_{(u,v)}$ is identically zero.

A family $\{F_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C}$ of L_1 -functions is called continuous if $(u, v) \mapsto F_{(u,v)}$ is a continuous function from C to L_1 with the norm topology of L_1 . Observe that if $f \in L_1$, then $\{U_{(t,r)}f\}$ is a continuous family. Hence

$$I_{(t,r)}f = \int_0^t \int_0^r U_{(s_1,s_2)}f ds_2 ds_1$$

can be defined in the usual way as the L_1 -limit of the corresponding Riemann sums. For convenience, we will consider a particular type of Riemann sums as in [1]. If α is a real number, let $[\alpha]$ be the largest integer which is strictly less than α . For a pair $(t, r) \in C$ and an integer $k \cong 1$, let

$$I_{(t,r)}^k = 2^{-2k} \sum_{i=0}^{[t2^k]} \sum_{j=0}^{[r2^k]} S_2^{j-k} T_2^{i-k}$$

$$I_{\mathbf{O}}^k = 0.$$

Then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I_{(t,r)}^k f = I_{(t,r)}f$$

exists in L_1 -norm for each $(t, r) \in C$ and each $f \in L_1$. This defines $I_{(t,r)}$ as a positive linear operator on L_1 with norm

$$\|I_{(t,r)}\| \cong tr.$$

If ϕ is a bounded linear function on L_1 , then

$$\phi(I_{(t,r)}f) = \int_0^t \int_0^r \phi(U_s f) ds, \quad f \in L_1.$$

Here we note that if $h \in L_1^+$ is a nonzero function, then $I_{(t,r)}h$ is also nonzero for each $(t, r) \in C$, which follows from the fact that $U_{(t,r)}h$ converges to h as $(t, r) \rightarrow \mathbf{O}^+$.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $\{F(u, v)\}_{(u,v) \in B}$ be a superadditive process on B . Then*

$$I_{(u,v)}^{k+1}(4^{k+1}F_2^{-(k+1)}) \cong I_{(u,v)}^k(4^kF_2^{-k}) \cong F_{(u,v)}$$

for every $(u, v) \in B$ and for each sufficiently large integer $k \cong 0$ such that $2^k u$ and $2^k v$ are integers.

Proof. Let $s = 2^{-(k+1)}$, and $u = 2m_1s$, $v = 2m_2s$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{(u,v)}^{k+1}(4^{k+1}F_2^{-(k+1)}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{2m_1-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2m_2-1} T_2^{i-(k+1)} S_2^{j-(k+1)} F_2^{-(k+1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{i=0}^{2m_1-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2m_2-1} T_s^i S_s^j F_s \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^{2m_1-1} T_i^s \left[\sum_{j=0}^{m_2-1} S_{2s}^j (F_s + S_s F_s) \right] \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{m_2-1} S_{2s}^j \left[\sum_{i=0}^{m_1-1} T_{2s}^i (F_s + T_s F_s) + S_s \sum_{i=0}^{m_1-1} T_{2s}^i (F_s + T_s F_s) \right] \\
 &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{m_2-1} S_{2s}^j \left[\sum_{i=0}^{m_1-1} T_{2s}^i (F_{(2s,s)} + S_s F_{(2s,s)}) \right] \\
 &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{m_2-1} \sum_{i=0}^{m_1-1} S_{2s}^j T_{2s}^i F_{(2s,2s)} \quad \text{by (1.8)(a) and (b)} \\
 &= I_{(u,v)}(4^k F_2^{-k}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now by superadditivity we see that, by induction,

$$I_{(u,v)}(4^k F_2^{-k}) \leq F_{(u,v)}$$

giving the result desired.

LEMMA 2.2 Let $\{F_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in B}$ be a positive superadditive process on B .
 Let

$$f = \text{a.e. } \liminf_{\substack{(u,v) \rightarrow \mathbf{O} \\ (u,v) \in B}} \frac{1}{uv} F_{(u,v)}.$$

Then: a) If $h \in L_1^+$ and $h \leq f$, then

$$I_{(u,v)} h \leq F_{(u,v)} \quad \text{for each } (u, v) \in B.$$

b) $f < \infty$ a.e. and

$$F_{(u,v)} \downarrow 0 \text{ as } (u, v) \downarrow \mathbf{O} \text{ in } B.$$

Proof. Let

$$f_n = \inf_{\substack{s_1, s_2 \leq 2^{-n} \\ (s_1, s_2) \in B}} \frac{1}{s_1 s_2} F_{(s_1, s_2)}$$

and let

$$h_n = \min(h, f_n).$$

Then $f_n \leq f_{n+1}$ for each positive integer n . Thus $h_n \uparrow h$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, that is why it is enough to show that

$$I_{(u,v)}h_n \leq F_{(u,v)}.$$

If $k \geq n$ is an integer such that both $2^k u$ and $2^k v$ are also integers, then

$$I_{(u,v)}^k h_n \leq I_{(u,v)}^k (4^k F_{2^{-k}}) \leq F_{(u,v)}$$

since

$$f_n \leq \frac{1}{s_1 s_2} F_{(s_1, s_2)}$$

for every $(s_1, s_2) \in B$ with $s_i \leq 2^{-n}$, $i = 1, 2$. Thus this implies in turn that

$$I_{(u,v)}h_n \leq F_{(u,v)}$$

giving (a).

If $f = \infty$ on a set of positive measure, then there is a nonzero $h \in L_1^+$ such that $Mh \leq f$ for each constant $M \geq 0$. Hence

$$MI_{(u,v)}h \leq F_{(u,v)} \text{ for each } M \geq 0$$

by (a). This is a contradiction since $I_{(u,v)}h$ is a nonzero function and $F_{(u,v)} \in L_1$. Now we observe that

$$F_{(u_1, v_1)} \geq F_{(u_2, v_2)} \text{ if } u_1 \geq u_2 \text{ and } v_1 \geq v_2$$

where $(u_i, v_i) \in B$, $i = 1, 2$, by superadditivity and the positivity of $\{U_{(t,r)}\}$. If $F_{(u,v)}$ does not decrease to 0 a.e. as $(u, v) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$, then f would be ∞ on a set of positive measure.

LEMMA 2.3. Let $\{G_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in B}$ be a positive additive process on B . Then there exists a unique continuous additive process $\{G'_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C}$ that extends $\{G_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in B}$.

Proof. It is known that [3]

$$q - \lim_{\substack{u \rightarrow 0 \\ u \in D}} \frac{1}{u^2} G_u \text{ exists a.e.}$$

Then by the previous lemma $G_{(u,v)} \downarrow 0$ a.e. and in L_1 -norm as $(u, v) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$, $(u, v) \in B$. Therefore if $(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2) \in B$ with $(u_1, v_1) < (u_2, v_2)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|G_{(u_2, v_2)} - G_{(u_1, v_1)}\| \\ &= \|T_{u_1} G_{(u_2 - u_1, v_1)} + S_{v_1} G_{(u_1, v_2 - v_1)} \\ &+ T_{u_1} S_{v_1} G_{(u_2 - u_1, v_2 - v_1)}\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \|G_{(u_2-u_1, v_1)}\| + \|G_{(u_1, v_2-v_1)}\| \\ &+ \|G_{(u_2-u_1, v_2-v_1)}\| \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\{G_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in B}$ is continuous on B . Here we also used the fact that for any fixed v (or u) the additive process $G_{(u,v)} \downarrow 0$ as $u \rightarrow 0^+$ (or $v \rightarrow 0^+$ resp.) through dyadic rationals [1]. Hence $\{G_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in B}$ has a unique continuous extension

$$\{G'_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in \mathbf{R}_+^2}$$

Additivity of this extension is straightforward.

Let $\{F_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C}$ be a positive subadditive process (that is $\{-F_{(u,v)}\}$ is superadditive). For a pair $(u, v) \in B$ and an integer $k \geq 0$ such that both $2^k u$ and $2^k v$ are also integer, let

$$\begin{aligned} G_{(u,v)}^k &= I_{(u,v)}^k(4^k F_{2^{-k}}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{m_1-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m_2-1} S_{2^{-k}}^{j-k} T_{2^{-k}}^i F_{2^{-k}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $(m_1, m_2) = (2^k u, 2^k v)$. Thus if

$$\sup_{(u,v) \in C} \frac{1}{uv} \int F_{(u,v)} d\mu = \alpha < \infty$$

then

$$\int G_{(u,v)}^k d\mu \leq m_1 m_2 \int F_{2^{-k}} d\mu \leq (uv)\alpha.$$

Moreover by Lemma 2.1 (applied to $\{-F_{(u,v)}\}$) we have

$$F_{(u,v)} \leq G_{(u,v)}^k \leq G_{(u,v)}^{k+1}.$$

Hence

$$G_{(u,v)}^k \uparrow G_{(u,v)} \in L_1 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Obviously $\{G_{(u,v)}^k\}_{(u,v) \in B}$ is an additive process for every $k \geq 0$. Therefore whenever k is sufficiently large we obtain a positive additive process $\{G_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in B}$ such that

$$F_{(u,v)} \leq G_{(u,v)} \text{ for each } (u, v) \in B.$$

Now extend $\{G_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in B}$ to \mathbf{R}_+^2 by Lemma 2.3 and denote it by

$$\{G_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C}.$$

Let $(u, v) \in C$ be fixed and let

$$(u, v) = (t, r) + (x, y)$$

for $(t, r) \in B$ and $(x, y) \in C$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &F_{(u,v)} - G_{(u,v)} \\ &\cong [F_{(t,r)} - G_{(t,r)}] + T_t[F_{(x,r)} - G_{(x,r)}] \\ &+ S_r[F_{(t,y)} - G_{(t,y)}] + T_t S_r[F_{(x,y)} - G_{(x,y)}] \\ &\cong T_t[F_{(x,r)} - G_{(x,r)}] + S_r[F_{(t,y)} - G_{(t,y)}] \\ &+ T_t S_r[F_{(x,y)} - G_{(x,y)}] \end{aligned}$$

since

$$F_{(t,r)} - G_{(t,r)} \cong 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_{(u,v)} - G_{(u,v)}\| &= \|F_{(x,r)} - G_{(x,r)}\| + \|F_{(t,y)} - G_{(t,y)}\| \\ &+ \|F_{(x,y)} - G_{(x,y)}\| \\ &\cong 2(x + y + xy)\alpha < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|F_{(u,v)} - G_{(u,v)}\|$ can be made arbitrarily small. This together with the above inequality implies that

$$G_{(u,v)} \cong F_{(u,v)} \text{ for each } (u, v) \in C.$$

So we have obtained:

Fact 2.4 Let $\{F_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C}$ be a positive subadditive process. If

$$\sup_{(u,v) \in C} \frac{1}{uv} \int F_{(u,v)} d\mu = \alpha < \infty,$$

then there is a positive additive process $\{G_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C}$ such that

$$F_{(u,v)} \cong G_{(u,v)} \text{ for each } (u, v) \in C.$$

Secondly let $\{F_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C}$ be a positive superadditive process. For $(u, v) \in B$ and sufficiently large integer $k \cong 0$ again let

$$G_{(u,v)}^k = I_{(u,v)}^k(4^k F_2^{-k}).$$

Assume that $\{G'_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C}$ is an additive process satisfying

$$0 \cong G'_{(u,v)} \cong F_{(u,v)}, \quad (u, v) \in C.$$

Consequently $F_2^{-k} \cong G_2'^{-k}$, and hence

$$G_{(u,v)}^k \cong I_{(u,v)}^k(4^k G_2'^{-k}) = G'_{(u,v)}$$

by the additivity of $\{G'_{(u,v)}\}$. Also by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$F_{(u,v)} \cong G_{(u,v)}^k \cong G_{(u,v)}^{k+1} \cong 0.$$

Hence $G_{(u,v)}^k \downarrow G_{(u,v)}$ exists as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and satisfies

$$G'_{(u,v)} \leq G_{(u,v)} \leq F_{(u,v)} \text{ for each } (u, v) \in B.$$

Additivity of $\{G_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in B}$ is obvious. Hence, by continuity, it can be extended to all $(u, v) \in C$. Moreover for a fixed $(u, v) \in C$ let $(t, r) \in B$ and $(x, y) \in C$ such that

$$(u, v) = (t, r) + (x, y).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} G_{(u,v)} - F_{(u,v)} &\leq [G_{(t,r)} - F_{(t,r)}] + T_t[G_{(x,r)} - F_{(x,r)}] \\ &\quad + S_r[G_{(t,y)} - F_{(t,y)}] + T_t S_r[G_{(x,y)} - F_{(x,y)}] \\ &\leq T_t[G_{(x,r)} - F_{(x,r)}] + S_r[G_{(t,y)} - F_{(t,y)}] \\ &\quad + T_t S_r[G_{(x,y)} - F_{(x,y)}] \end{aligned}$$

since

$$G_{(t,r)} - F_{(t,r)} \leq 0.$$

By Lemma 2.2 both $\|G_{(x,y)}\|$ and $\|F_{(x,y)}\|$ decrease to 0 as $(x, y) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$. The same holds for $\|G_{(x,r)}\|, \|G_{(t,y)}\|, \|F_{(x,r)}\|$ and $\|F_{(t,y)}\|$ as x or y tend to 0^+ . Consequently we have

$$G_{(u,v)} \leq F_{(u,v)}.$$

Thus

$$G_{(u,v)} \leq F_{(u,v)} \text{ for each } (u, v) \in C.$$

This gives:

Fact 2.5. Given a positive superadditive process

$$\{F_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C}.$$

Then there is a maximal additive process $\{G_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C}$ such that

$$0 \leq G_{(u,v)} \leq F_{(u,v)} \text{ for each } (u, v) \in C$$

and such that if $\{G'_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C}$ is another process with

$$0 \leq G'_{(u,v)} \leq F_{(u,v)}$$

then also

$$G'_{(u,v)} \leq G_{(u,v)}.$$

THEOREM 2.6. Let $\{F_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C}$ be a superadditive process such that

$$(2.7) \quad \sup_{(u,v) \in C} \frac{1}{uv} \int F_{(u,v)}^- d\mu < \infty.$$

Then there are two positive additive processes $\{G_{(u,v)}^i\}_{(u,v) \in C}$, $i = 1, 2$, such that

$$\{F_{(u,v)} + G_{(u,v)}^1 - G_{(u,v)}^2\}_{(u,v) \in C}$$

is a positive superadditive process that does not dominate any nonzero positive additive process.

Proof. $\{F_{(u,v)}^-\}_{(u,v) \in C}$ is a positive subadditive process. Hence by Fact 2.4 we can find a positive additive process

$$\{G_{(u,v)}^1\}_{(u,v) \in C}$$

such that

$$G_{(u,v)}^1 \geq F_{(u,v)}^- \quad \text{for each } (u, v) \in C.$$

Then $\{F_{(u,v)} + G_{(u,v)}^1\}$ becomes a positive superadditive process. Then applying Fact 2.5 we get a maximal additive process

$$\{G_{(u,v)}^2\}_{(u,v) \in C}$$

such that

$$0 \leq G_{(u,v)}^2 \leq F_{(u,v)} + G_{(u,v)}^1.$$

Hence $\{F_{(u,v)} + G_{(u,v)}^1 - G_{(u,v)}^2\}$ is the process with desired properties.

Remark 2.8. For any positive process $\{G_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C}$ if the limit

$$g = q - \lim_{(u,v) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}} \frac{1}{uv} G_{(u,v)}$$

exists a.e., then it is finite a.e. by Lemma 2.2(b). Since we know that the limits

$$g_i = q - \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{u^2} G_u^i, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

exist and are finite a.e. [3], Theorem 2.6 shows that given any superadditive process

$$\{F_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C}$$

with (2.7), we can assume without loss of generality that it is a positive superadditive process that does not dominate any nonzero positive additive process.

3. Almost everywhere convergence. Given a strongly continuous semigroup $\{K_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ of positive L_1 -contractions with $K_0 = I$. In [1] a set $E \in \mathcal{F}$ is called bounded if there exists a positive constant $\lambda < \infty$ and $t > 0$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad \int K_t f d\mu \geq \lambda \int f d\mu, \text{ for each } f \in L_1^+(E).$$

The following lemma which we will use here is due to M. A. Akçođlu [1].

LEMMA 3.2. *Given any $g \in L_1^+$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists a bounded set $E \in \mathcal{F}$ such that*

$$\int_{E^c} g d\mu < \epsilon.$$

Proof. Let K_t^* be the adjoint transformation of K_t . Then a bounded set can be characterized by the fact that

$$K_t^* 1 \geq \lambda \text{ a.e. on } E.$$

Since $K_t^* 1 \leq K_s^* 1 \leq 1$, whenever $0 \leq s \leq t$, (1.3) implies that

$$q - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} K_t^* 1 = 1 \text{ a.e.}$$

Then the proof follows.

LEMMA 3.3. *For any $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and $s > 0$,*

$$\lim_{s \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s K_r^* \chi_A dr = \chi_A \text{ a.e.}$$

Proof. Since $K_t^* \chi_A \leq K_t^* 1 \leq 1$ a.e., we see that

$$\frac{1}{s} \int_0^s K_r^* \chi_A dr \leq 1 \text{ a.e. for each } s > 0.$$

Now observe that if $u_0 \in L_1^+$ is strictly positive a.e., then

$$u = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} K_t u_0 dt$$

is an L_1^+ -function and is also strictly positive a.e. with

$$e^{-t} K_t u \leq u.$$

Therefore the operator $P_t = e^{-t} K_t^*$ is a positive contraction on $L_1(X, u d\mu)$. Moreover $\{P_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ on $L_1(X, u d\mu)$ is also a strongly continuous semigroup of positive L_1 -contractions [12]. Now consider the process $\{R_s\}_{s \geq 0}$, where

$$R_s = \int_0^s P_t \chi_A dt.$$

This is an additive process on $L_1(X, u d\mu)$ with respect to the semigroup $\{P_t\}$. Then we know that [11], [2], [4]

$$(3.4) \quad q - \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{R_s}{s} = \psi \text{ exists a.e.}$$

and is finite a.e. Recalling that $P_0 = K_0^* = I$, we see that $\psi = \chi_A$. Then

$$\frac{1}{s} \int_0^s K_r^* \chi_A dr = \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s (1 - e^{-r}) K_r^* \chi_A dr + \frac{R_s}{s}.$$

Since

$$q - \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s (1 - e^{-r}) K_r^* \chi_A dr = 0 \text{ a.e.,}$$

we obtain by (3.4) that

$$q - \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s K_r^* \chi_A dr = \chi_A \text{ a.e.}$$

Remark 3.5. In the n -parameter case, when $n > 2$, (3.4) is given by Terrel's Theorem [14].

COROLLARY 3.6. *Given $A \in \mathcal{F}$, $h \in L_1^+(A)$ and $\epsilon > 0$. There exists a subset B of A with $\int_B h d\mu < \epsilon$ positive constants $\beta = \beta_B < \infty$ and s' such that*

$$\int \left[\frac{1}{s} \int_0^s K_r^* \chi_A dr \right] (h) d\mu \geq \beta \int_{A \setminus B} h d\mu$$

for each s with $0 \leq s \leq s'$.

Proof. The conclusion of this corollary is the same as asserting the existence of a bounded set $A \setminus B$ with constant β such that

$$\int_B h d\mu < \epsilon \quad \text{for each } h \in L_1^+(A).$$

Since

$$q - \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s K_r^* \chi_A dr = \chi_A \text{ a.e.}$$

by Lemma 3.3, the result follows easily.

For convenience, in the two parameter case we will define a bounded set somewhat differently than in [1]:

Definition 3.7. A set $E \in \mathcal{F}$ is called a *bounded set* if there exists a positive constant $\lambda = \lambda_E$ and $u > 0$, $v > 0$ such that

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{1}{uv} \int I_{(u,v)} f d\mu \cong \lambda \int_E f d\mu \quad \text{for each } f \in L_1^+.$$

LEMMA 3.9. *Given any $g \in L_1^+$ and any $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists a bounded set $E \in \mathcal{F}$ such that*

$$\int_{E^c} g d\mu < \epsilon.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 find $\alpha > 0, u > 0$ and a set $A \in \mathcal{F}$ with

$$\int_{A^c} f d\mu < \epsilon/2$$

such that

$$(3.10) \quad \int T_t f d\mu \cong \alpha \int_A f d\mu$$

for each $f \in L_1^+$ and for each t with $0 \leq t \leq u$. Then by Corollary 3.6 find $\beta > 0, v > 0$ and a subset B of A with

$$\int_B f d\mu < \epsilon/2$$

such that

$$(3.11) \quad \int \left[\frac{1}{s} \int_0^s S_r^* \chi_A dr \right] f d\mu \cong \beta \int_{A \setminus B} f d\mu$$

for each s with $0 \leq s \leq v$. Therefore if $f \in L_1^+(A \setminus B)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int I_{(u,v)} f d\mu &= \int \left[\int_0^u \int_0^v S_r T_t f dr dt \right] d\mu \\ &\cong \int_0^u \int_0^v \left[\int T_t (\chi_A S_r f) d\mu \right] dr dt \\ &\cong \alpha \int_0^u \int_0^v \int \chi_A S_r f d\mu dr dt \quad \text{by (3.10)} \end{aligned}$$

since $\chi_A S_r f \in L_1^+(A)$. So

$$\int I_{(u,v)} f d\mu \cong \alpha u \int_0^v \int \chi_A S_r f d\mu dr \cong \alpha u \left(\beta v \int f d\mu \right)$$

By (3.11) since $f \in L_1^+(A \setminus B)$. Thus for each $f \in L_1^+(A \setminus B)$ we have (3.8) where $E = A \setminus B$ and $\lambda = \alpha\beta$. Now take

$$f = \chi_{A \setminus B} g \in L_1^+(A \setminus B).$$

Moreover $E^c = A^c \cup B$ and

$$\int_{E^c} g d\mu = \int_{A^c} g d\mu + \int_B g d\mu < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon.$$

LEMMA 3.12. Let $\{F_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C}$ be a positive superadditive process, and let E be a bounded set. If

$$\limsup_{(u,v) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}} \frac{1}{uv} \int_E F_{(u,v)} d\mu > 0,$$

then $\{F_{(u,v)}\}$ dominates a nonzero positive additive process

$$\{G_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C}.$$

Proof. Let $(\alpha, \beta), (u, v) \in C$, then

$$I_{(u,v)} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha\beta} F_{(\alpha,\beta)} \right] = \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \int_0^u \int_0^v U_{(s_1,s_2)} F_{(\alpha,\beta)} ds_1 ds_2.$$

By superadditivity

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{(u,v)} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha\beta} F_{(\alpha,\beta)} \right] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \int_0^u \int_0^v [F_{(\alpha+s_1,\beta+s_2)} - T_{s_1} F_{(\alpha,s_2)} \\ & \quad - S_{s_2} F_{(s_1,\beta)} - F_{(s_1,s_2)}] ds_1 ds_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $F_{(u,v)} \geq 0$ and S_r and T_t are positive operators, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{(u,v)} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha\beta} F_{(\alpha,\beta)} \right) & \leq \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \int_u^{u+\alpha} \int_v^{v+\beta} F_{(s_1,s_2)} ds_1 ds_2 \\ & \leq F_{(u+\alpha,v+\beta)} \end{aligned}$$

since $F_{(s_1,s_2)}$ is increasing with increasing (s_1, s_2) . Now let $\alpha_n > 0$ and $\beta_n > 0$ be sequences such that $\alpha_n \downarrow 0, \beta_n \downarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and such that

$$(3.13) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_n \beta_n} \int_E F_{(\alpha_n, \beta_n)} d\mu = K > 0.$$

For each fixed $(u, v) \in C$, the sequence

$$I_{(u,v)} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_n \beta_n} F_{(\alpha_n, \beta_n)} \right)$$

is dominated by the integrable function $F_{(u+\alpha_1, v+\beta_1)}$. Hence one can choose a subsequence of (α_n, β_n) , which we will also denote by (α_n, β_n) , such that

$$G_{(u,v)} = w - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{(u,v)} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_n \beta_n} F_{(\alpha_n, \beta_n)} \right)$$

exists for each $(u, v) \in B$. This new process

$$\{G_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in B}$$

is a positive additive process, hence extends to a continuous additive process

$$\{G_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C}$$

If $(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2) \in B$ such that $\mathbf{0} < (u_1, v_1) < (u_2, v_2)$, then we have

$$G_{(u_1,v_1)} \leq F_{(u_2,v_2)}.$$

Hence by continuity,

$$G_{(u,v)} \leq F_{(u,v)} \quad \text{for each } (u, v) \in B,$$

and consequently

$$0 \leq G_{(u,v)} \leq F_{(u,v)} \quad \text{for each } (u, v) \in C$$

as in Section 2.

Let λ be the constant associated with the bounded set E and let $(u, v) \in C$ be such that (3.8) holds. Then

$$\int I_{(u,v)} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_n \beta_n} F_{(\alpha_n, \beta_n)} \right) d\mu \geq \lambda uv \int_E \frac{1}{\alpha_n \beta_n} F_{(\alpha_n, \beta_n)} d\mu.$$

Since

$$\int_E \frac{1}{\alpha_n \beta_n} F_{(\alpha_n, \beta_n)} d\mu \rightarrow K$$

by (3.13), we see that

$$\int G_{(u,v)} d\mu \geq \lambda uv K > 0$$

showing that $\{G_{(u,v)}\}$ is a nonzero process and hence proving the lemma.

Before stating the following lemma it would be convenient to introduce some notation: for a given process $\{F_{(u,v)}\}$ and $t, r \in \mathbf{R}^+$, let

$$\theta_t F_{(u,v)} = F_{(u+t,v)}, \quad \phi_r F_{(u,v)} = F_{(u,v+r)}$$

and

$$\tau_t F_{(u,v)} = (\theta_t - T_t)F_{(u,v)}, \quad \sigma_r F_{(u,v)} = (\phi_r - S_r)F_{(u,v)}.$$

Then the superadditivity conditions (1.8)(a) and (b) take the forms

$$(1.8') \quad (a') \quad F_{(u,r)} \leq \sigma_r F_{(u,v)}$$

$$(b') \quad F_{(t,v)} \leq \tau_t F_{(u,v)}$$

and the strong superadditivity condition (1.9) takes the form

$$(1.9') \quad F_{(t,r)} \leq \tau_t \sigma_r F_{(u,v)}.$$

LEMMA 3.14. Let $\{F_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C}$ be a positive strongly superadditive process. Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in C$, and define for each $(u, v) \in C$

$$\begin{aligned} H_{(u,v)}^{\alpha\beta} &= (I - T_u)(I - S_v) \left[\frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \int_0^\alpha \int_0^\beta F_{(s_1,s_2)} ds_2 ds_1 \right] \\ &+ (I - T_u) \left[\frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \int_0^\alpha \int_0^v S_{s_2} F_{(s_1,\beta)} ds_2 ds_1 \right] \\ &+ (I - S_v) \left[\frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \int_0^u \int_0^\beta T_{s_1} F_{(\alpha,s_2)} ds_2 ds_1 \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \int_0^u \int_0^v S_{s_2} T_{s_1} F_{(\alpha,\beta)} ds_2 ds_1. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\{H_{(u,v)}^{\alpha\beta}\}_{(u,v) \in C}$ is a positive additive process and

$$(3.15) \quad H_{(u,v)}^{\alpha\beta} \geq \left(1 - \frac{u}{\alpha}\right) \left(1 - \frac{v}{\beta}\right) F_{(u,v)}.$$

Proof. If $\mathbf{0} < (u, v) < (\alpha, \beta)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\beta H_{(u,v)}^{\alpha\beta} &= (I - T_u) \left\{ \int_0^\alpha \left[(I - S_v) \int_0^\beta F_{(s_1,s_2)} ds_2 \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \int_0^v S_{s_2} F_{(s_1,\beta)} ds_2 \right] ds_1 \right\} \\ &+ \int_0^u T_{s_1} \left[(I - S_v) \int_0^\beta F_{(\alpha,s_2)} ds_2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^v S_{s_2} F_{(\alpha,\beta)} ds_2 \right] ds_1. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\beta G_v(x) = (I - S_u) \int_0^\beta F_{(x,s_2)} ds_2 + \int_0^v S_{s_2} F_{(x,\beta)} ds_2.$$

Then

$$\alpha\beta H_{(u,v)}^{\alpha\beta} = (I - T_u) \int_0^\alpha \beta G_v(s_1) ds_1 + \int_0^u \beta T_{s_1} G_v(\alpha) ds_1.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \beta G_v(x) &= \int_0^v F_{(x,s_2)} ds_2 \\ &+ \int_v^\beta \left[F_{(x,s_2)} - S_v F_{(x,s_2-v)} \right] ds_2 \\ &+ \int_0^v \left[S_{s_2} F_{(x,\beta)} - S_v F_{(x,\beta+s_2-v)} \right] ds_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_0^v F_{(x,s_2)} ds_2 + \int_v^\beta \sigma_v F_{(x,s_2-v)} ds_2 \\
 &+ \int_0^v S_{s_2} \sigma_{v-s_2} F_{(x,\beta+s_2-v)} ds_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Also, similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha\beta H_{(u,v)}^{\alpha\beta} &= \int_0^u \beta G_v(s_1) ds_1 + \int_u^\alpha \beta \tau_u G_v(s_1 - u) ds_1 \\
 &+ \int_0^u \beta T_{t_1} \tau_{u-s_1} G_v(\alpha + s_1 - u) ds_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, combining the last two equations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha\beta H_{(u,v)}^{\alpha\beta} &= \int_0^u \int_0^v F_{(s_1,s_1)} ds_2 ds_1 \\
 &+ \int_0^u \int_v^\beta \sigma_v F_{(s_1,s_2-v)} ds_2 ds_1 \\
 &+ \int_0^u \int_0^v S_{s_2} \sigma_{v-s_2} F_{(s_1,s_2+\beta-v)} ds_2 ds_1 \\
 &+ \int_u^\alpha \int_0^v \tau_u F_{(s_1-u,s_2)} ds_2 ds_1 \\
 &+ \int_u^\alpha \int_v^\beta \tau_u \sigma_v F_{(s_1-u,s_1-v)} ds_2 ds_1 \\
 &+ \int_u^\alpha \int_0^v S_{s_2} \tau_u \sigma_{v-s_2} F_{(s_1-u,s_2+\beta-v)} ds_2 ds_1 \\
 &+ \int_0^u \int_0^v T_{t_1} \tau_{u-s_1} F_{(\alpha+s_1-u,s_2)} ds_2 ds_1 \\
 &+ \int_0^u \int_v^\beta T_{t_1} \tau_{u-s_1} \sigma_v F_{(s_1+\alpha-u,s_2-v)} ds_2 ds_1 \\
 &+ \int_0^u \int_0^v S_{s_2} T_{s_1} \tau_{u-s_1} \sigma_{v-s_2} F_{(s_1+\alpha-u,s_2+\beta-v)} ds_2 ds_1 \\
 &\cong (\alpha - u)(\beta - v) F_{(u,v)}
 \end{aligned}$$

by (1.8') and (1.9') together with the fact that both $\{T_t\}$ and $\{S_r\}$ are positive operators and

$$F_{(u,v)} \geq 0 \text{ for each } (u,v) \in C.$$

Obviously $\{H_{(u,v)}^{\alpha\beta}\}_{(u,v) \in C}$ is an additive process. Since it is positive for small values of $(u, v) \in C$, it is positive for all $(u, v) \in C$, consequently we have (3.15) for each $(u, v) \in C$.

Notice that since $\{H_{(u,v)}^{\alpha\beta}\}_{(u,v)}$ is a positive additive process,

$$h_{\alpha\beta} = q - \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{u^2} H_u^{\alpha\beta}$$

exists and is finite a.e. for each $(\alpha, \beta) \in C$ [3]. Furthermore, if

$$f = q - \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \sup \frac{1}{u^2} F_u,$$

then $0 \leq f \leq h_{\alpha\beta}$ for each $(\alpha, \beta) \in C$.

LEMMA 3.16. Let $\{F_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C}$ be a positive strongly superadditive process and let $A \in \mathcal{F}$ be a set. If

$$\lim_{(u,v) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}} \int_A \frac{1}{uv} F_{(u,v)} d\mu = 0,$$

then

$$q - \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{u^2} F_u \text{ exists and is zero a.e. on } A.$$

Proof. Let

$$f = q - \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \sup \frac{1}{u^2} F_u.$$

If $f > 0$ on a subset of A with positive measure, then there exists an L_1^+ -function h such that

$$\int_A h d\mu > 0$$

and such that

$$0 \leq h \leq f \leq h_{\alpha\beta} \text{ for each } (\alpha, \beta) \in C.$$

Then by (a) of Lemma 2.2 we have

$$I_{(u,v)} h \leq H_{(u,v)}^{\alpha\beta}.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} H_{(u,v)}^{\alpha\beta} &\leq F_{(\alpha,\beta)} + F_{(\alpha,v+\beta)} + F_{(u+\alpha,\beta)} + 2F_{(u+\alpha,v+\beta)} \\ &\leq 5F_{(u+\alpha,v+\beta)} \end{aligned}$$

since $F_{(u,v)} \geq 0$ and is increasing as (u, v) increases. Hence, if $\mathbf{0} < (\alpha, \beta) < (u, v)$, then

$$I_{(u,v)} h \leq 5F_{(2u,2v)},$$

or

$$\int_A \left[\frac{1}{uv} I_{(u,v)} h \right] d\mu \leq 20 \int_A \left[\frac{1}{4uv} F_{(2u,2v)} \right] d\mu.$$

This is a contradiction since the left hand side converges to $\int_A h d\mu > 0$ as $(u, v) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$, and the right hand side converges to zero.

THEOREM 3.17. *Let $\{F_{(u,v)}\}_{(u,v) \in C}$ be a strongly superadditive process such that*

$$\sup_{(u,v) \in C} \frac{1}{uv} \int F_{(u,v)}^- d\mu < \infty.$$

Then

$$q - \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{u^2} F_u$$

exists and is finite a.e.

Proof. By the remarks of Section 2, without loss of generality we can assume that $\{F_{(u,v)}\}$ is a positive strongly superadditive process that does not dominate any nonzero positive additive process. Hence if we can show that

$$q - \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{u^2} F_u = 0 \text{ a.e.,}$$

then the proof will be completed. If $E \in \mathcal{F}$ is a bounded set, then

$$\lim_{(u,v) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}} \frac{1}{uv} \int_E F_{(u,v)} d\mu = 0$$

by Lemma 3.12. Hence we see that

$$q - \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{u^2} F_u = 0 \text{ a.e. on } E$$

by Lemma 3.16. Consequently

$$q - \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{u^2} F_u = 0 \text{ a.e. on } X$$

by Lemma 3.9.

REFERENCES

1. M. A. Akçoglu, *Differentiation of superadditive process*, Preprint.
2. M. A. Akçoglu and R. V. Chacon, *A local ergodic theorem*, Can. J. Math. 22 (1970), 545-552.
3. M. A. Akçoglu and A. del Junco, *Differentiation of n-dimensional additive processes*, Can. J. Math. 33 (1981), 749-768.
4. M. A. Akçoglu and U. Krengel, *A differentiation theorem for additive processes*, Math. Z. 163 (1978), 199-210.
5. ———, *Ergodic theorems for superadditive processes*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 323 (1981), 53-67.

6. M. A. Akçoğlu and L. Sucheston, *A ratio ergodic theorem for superadditive processes*, *Z. Wahr.* 44 (1978), 269-278.
7. R. Emilion and B. Hachem, *Un theoreme ergodique local sur-additif*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 294 (1982), 337-340.
8. R. Emilion and B. Hachem, *Differentiation des procesus sur-additifs a plusieurs parameters*, Preprint.
9. D. Feyel, *Convergence locale des procesus sur-abeliens et sur-additifs*, to appear.
10. J. F. C. Kingman, *Subadditive ergodic theory*, *Ann. Prob.* 1 (1973), 883-905.
11. U. Krengel, *A local ergodic theorem*, *Invent. Math.* 6 (1969), 329-333.
12. M. Lin, *On local ergodic convergence of semigroups and additive processes*, *Israel J. Math.*, to appear.
13. R. T. Smythe, *Multiparameter subadditive processes*, *Ann. Prob.* 4 (1976), 772-782.
14. T. R. Terrell, *Local ergodic theorems for n-parameter semigroups of operators*, in *Contributions to ergodic theory and probability*, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 160 (Springer-Verlag, 1970), 262-278.

*University of Toronto,
Toronto, Ontario*