# Methylation characteristics and developmental potential of Guangxi Bama minipig (*Sus scrofa domestica*) cloned embryos from donor cells treated with trichostatin A and 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine

Shu-Fang Ning<sup>2</sup>, Qing-Yang Li<sup>2</sup>, Ming-Ming Liang<sup>2</sup>, Xiao-Gan Yang<sup>2</sup>, Hui-Yan Xu<sup>2</sup>, Yang-Qing Lu<sup>2</sup>, Sheng-Sheng Lu<sup>1</sup> and Ke-Huan Lu<sup>1</sup>

State Key Laboratory for Conservation and Utilization of Subtropical Agro-bioresources, Animal Reproduction Institute, Guangxi University, Nanning, Guangxi, China

Date submitted: 15.08.2011. Date accepted: 27.11.2011

# Summary

Reprogramming of DNA methylation in somatic cell nuclear transfer (SCNT) embryos is incomplete, and aberrant DNA methylation patterns are related to the inefficiency of SCNT. To facilitate nuclear reprogramming, this study investigated the effect of treating Guangxi Bama minipig donor cells with trichostatin A (TSA), 5-aza-2'-deoxycytine (5-aza-dC), or combination of TSA and 5-aza-dC prior to nuclear transfer. Analyses showed that there were no major changes in cell-cycle status among all groups. We monitored the transcription of *DNMT1*, *DNMT3a*, *HDAC1* and *IGF2* genes in donor cells. Transcription levels of *HDAC1* were decreased significantly after treatment with a combination of TSA and 5-aza-dC alone resulted in non-significant effects in blastocyst formation rate and DNA methylation levels, a combination of TSA and 5-aza-dC significantly improved the development rates of minipig SCNT embryos to blastocyst (25.6% vs. 16.0%, P < 0.05). This change was accompanied by decreased levels of DNA methylation in somatic cells and blastocyst (P < 0.05). Thus in combination with TSA, lower concentrations of 5-aza-dC may produce a potent demethylating activity, and lead to the significantly enhanced blastocyst development percentage of Bama minipig SCNT embryos.

Keywords: 5-aza-2'-deoxycytine, Bama minipig, DNA methylation, Somatic cell nuclear transfer, Trichostatin A

# Introduction

Guangxi Bama minipigs have been used for a long time in biomedical research in China, due to their anatomical and physiological similarities to humans (Li *et al.*, 2006). Although the birth of Guangxi Bama miniature pigs achieved from somatic cell nuclear transfer (SCNT) has been reported previously (Liu *et al.*, 2010), the efficiency was very low, and various questions and problems still remain concerning the development of SCNT embryos. For instance, abnormal epigenetic remodelling of the donor nuclei leads to further reprogramming of the nucleus to a totipotent state after SCNT (Eilertsen *et al.*, 2007). Epigenetic modifications such as DNA methylation and histone modifications play a key role in regulation of chromatin structure and transcriptional activity (Enright *et al.*, 2003). Incomplete reprogramming of epigenetic modifications is widely postulated to be the main stumbling block to improved efficiency of SCNT (Couldrey & Lee, 2010).

DNA methylation is known to be a major epigenetic modification of the genome, which regulates important aspects of genome function (Kang *et al.*, 2003), methylation generally occurs on cytosine residues in CpG dinucleotides. Following fertilization, zygotes have a demethylated paternal genome, in which active

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>All correspondence to: Sheng-Sheng Lu or Ke-Huan Lu. State Key Laboratory for Conservation and Utilization of Subtropical Agro-bioresources, Animal Reproduction Institute, Guangxi University, Nanning, Guangxi 530004, China. Tel: +86 771 3270036; e-mail: shengshenglu@sina.com (S-S. Lu). Tel:/Fax: +86 771 3238064; e-mail: kehuanlu@163.com (K-H. Lu).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>State Key Laboratory for Conservation and Utilization of Subtropical Agro-bioresources, Animal Reproduction Institute, Guangxi University, Nanning, Guangxi 530004, China.

demethylation, and then de novo DNA methylation occur during preimplantation development. In porcine embryos, new methylation patterns can be examined at the blastocyst stage (Bonk et al., 2008). However, embryos produced by SCNT do not exhibit active demethylation activity, which would affect the formation of new methylation patterns. Many reports have indicated that DNA methylation of cloned embryos remains much higher than that of fertilized embryos (Bonk et al., 2008; Niemann et al., 2010; Beaujean et al., 2004); the DNA methylation pattern in early SCNT embryos was more like to be differentiated cells than fertilized embryos (Chen et al., 2004; Bonk et al., 2007). There is now accumulating evidence that suggests that aberrant DNA methylation is conducive to the inefficiency of SCNT (Ohgane et al., 2004; Wrenzycki et al., 2006). As the DNA methylation pattern is established and maintained by DNA methyltransferases, the level of DNA methylation can be decreased easily by the use of the DNA methyltransferase inhibitor, 5-aza-dC. However, at high concentrations, 5-aza-dC is considered to be toxic for donor cells and preimplantation embryos (Enright et al., 2005; Mohana Kumar et al., 2006; Tsuji et al., 2009). In the current study, we investigated the treatment of donor cells with lower concentrations (0.01 µmol/l) of 5-aza-dC to avoid the cytotoxic effect.

In addition, it has been shown that TSA, a histone deacetylase inhibitor (HDACi), can enhance the levels of histone acetylation, which are associated with decreased DNA methylation levels (Kishigami et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2008), and there are many reports that indicate that TSA has a beneficial effect on the development of SCNT embryos. TSA treatment also significantly improved blastocyst formation rates in porcine cloned embryos (Zhang et al., 2007; Li et al., 2008; Cervera et al., 2009; Himaki et al., 2010). Furthermore, the adult ICR mouse, an outbred stain that had been regarded as 'unclonable', was cloned successfully only when TSA was used (Kishigami et al., 2007) and, recently, it has been reported that bovine reconstructed embryos and donor cells that were treated with a combination of TSA and 5-aza-dC showed improved histone acetylation, reduced DNA methylation and enhanced blastocyst development (Ding et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2011b). These results, therefore, suggested that TSA may work in concert with 5-aza-dC (Ding *et al.*, 2008).

The objectives of the present study were to ascertain preimplantation development potential of Guangxi Bama minipig cloned embryos after donor cells were treated with TSA, 5-aza-dC, or both in combination, as there is currently no information about the effect of the combination of TSA and 5aza-dC on epigenetic status during preimplantation in porcine SCNT. Donor cells and SCNT blastocysts were analysed for the level of DNA methylation via immunofluorescence techniques and gene expression profiles in donor cells. The relative abundance of mRNA transcripts that are known to have a key role in DNA methylation (*DNMT1* and *DNMT3a*), histone deacetylation (*HDAC1*), growth-promoting mitogen (*IGF2*) was determined by quantitative realtime polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR).

## Materials and methods

All chemicals were purchased from the Sigma Chemical Company (St. Louis, MO, USA) unless otherwise stated. At least three replicates were used for each experiment.

#### Somatic cell culture and treatment

Porcine kidney fibroblast cells were derived from a newborn Guangxi Bama minipig. Procedures for somatic cell culture were described previously (Liu et al., 2009). Cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; Gibco, Rockville, MD, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; HyClone Laboratories Inc., Logan, UT, USA) at 37°C and in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in humidified air. When cells achieved 70-80% confluency, they were divided randomly into four different groups: (1) culture with 0.05  $\mu$ mol/L TSA for 24 h; (2) 0.01 µmol/L 5-aza-dC for 72 h; (3)  $0.05 \mu mol/L$  TSA for 24 h +  $0.01 \mu mol/L$  5-aza-dC for 72 h; and (4) untreated control donor cells. In this study, both 0.05  $\mu$ mol/L TSA (24 h) and 0.01  $\mu$ mol/L 5-aza-dC (72 h) reagents were selected to treat donor cells. The concentration and duration of treatment were chosen based on previous studies (Ding et al., 2008; Enright et al., 2005) and our preliminary studies (unpublished). These cells were then used for SCNT, their DNA methylation levels were analysed by flow cytometry and for gene expression by qRT-PCR.

#### RNA isolation and reverse transcription (RT)

Total RNA was isolated from four groups of donor cells using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), and their quantities and qualities determined by spectrophotometry and electrophoresis. A total of 1.0  $\mu$ g RNA was used for reverse transcription, which was carried out using oligo dT<sub>18</sub> primers and M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) in a 20- $\mu$ l reaction. The cDNA was completed under the following conditions: 25°C for 10 min, 37°C for 60 min, and 95°C for 5 min. First-strand cDNA synthesis in RT was performed essentially as described previously (Jiang *et al.*, 2007).

Gene	Primer sequence (5'–3')	Length (bp)	GenBank Accession no.
H2A	F:ATAAAGGGAGCGGGGAAGG	117	NM_001123122
	R:TCAAACAAGCAAGGCAGAGAAA		
HDAC1	F: CCCCAGGGACTAGACAGGAA	88	XM_003127772
	R: TGGAGAGGGATGGATGGTG		
DNMT1	F:GACCGCAGAGTGGAAATGG	146	NM_001032355
	R:TGCCTGGTAGTTTGCCTTG		
DNMT3a	F:ACGACGATGACGGCTACCA	107	NM_001097437
	R:CACGCACTCCACGCAAAA		
IGF2	F:CGTGGCATCGTGGAAGAGTG	168	NM_213883
	R:CCAGGTGTCATAGCGGAAGAAC		

Table 1 Primers for gene amplification

#### Quantitative real-time RT-PCR

The sequences of PCR primers used for the amplification of H2A, HDAC1, DNMT1, DNMT3a and IGF2 are listed in Table 1. qRT-PCR was performed on the MJ AL079721 real-time PCR system (MJ Research, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) using SYBR<sup>R</sup> Premix Ex Taq<sup>TM</sup> (TaKaRa, Dalin, China). The reaction mixture of total 20-µl volume consisted of 10 µl SYBR<sup>R</sup> Premix Ex Taq<sup>TM</sup> (2×), 0.8  $\mu$ L of forward and reverse primers (10 µmol/l), 12 µl of cDNA, and 6.4 µl double-distilled water. The reaction parameters were as follows: initial denaturing step at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 40 denaturing cycles at 94°C for 20 s, annealing at 57°C for 20 s, and extension at 72°C for 20 s. After each PCR run, a melting curve analysis was performed for each sample to verify that a single specific product was generated. Melting curves were obtained by increasing the temperature stepwise from 65 to 95°C. Quantification of target gene mRNA was performed by applying the  $^{2-\Delta\Delta}$ Ct method as previously described (Livak & Schmittgen, 2001). Briefly, a standard curve was constructed for each gene by amplifying a 10-fold dilution series of plasmid. Quantification of each gene was normalized to the housekeeping gene H2A mRNA.

### Analysis of cell cycle and DNA methylation levels by flow cytometry

Total levels of cellular DNA methylation were quantified with flow cytometry by measurement of cell fluorescence after immunolabelling with anti-5methylcytosine antibodies. The procedures for flow cytometry were as described previously (Giraldo *et al.*, 2008). Briefly, cells were trypsinized and resuspended in Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS; Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup> free) and then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature. After permeabilization with 0.5% Triton X-100 for 15 min at room temperature, cells were treated with 2 N HCl for 30 min, followed by incubation in 100 mM Tris–HCl buffer (pH 8.5) for 10 min. To block non-specific binding sites, the cells were resuspended in 2% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in DPBS for 1 h. Cells were incubated with mouse anti-5-methylcytosine antibody (Calbiochem, Darmstadt, Germany; 1:200 dilution) overnight at 4°C, followed by labelling with a goat anti-mouse IgG FITC-conjugated antibody (Calbiochem; 1:200 dilution) for 1 h at room temperature. For DNA staining, cells were incubated in DPBS that contained  $30 \,\mu g/ml$  propidium iodide (PI) and  $100 \,\mu g/ml$  RNase A for 30 min at room temperature. To eliminate multicell aggregates, cells were filtered through a 30-µm nylon mesh. Finally, cells were resuspended in DPBS for flow cytometric analysis. The percentage of cells at each cell-cycle stage was determined by their DNA content. Determination of the relative contents of DNA and methylated DNA was performed with a FACScan (Becton Dickinson Immunocytometry Systems, San Jose, CA, USA). In each experiment, at least 10,000 cells were used for the analysis.

#### Nuclear transfer and embryos culture

Porcine ovaries were collected from a local abattoir. Cumulus–oocyte complexes (COCs) were obtained from 2 to 6 mm diameter follicles using a 12-gauge needle connected to a 10-ml disposable syringe. The resulting COCs were cultured in group of 50–70 in a 400  $\mu$ l drop of TCM199 (Gibco) supplemented with 10% (v/v) porcine follicular fluid, 0.57 mM cysteine, 3.05 mM D-glucose, 0.91 mM Na pyruvate, 75  $\mu$ g/ml penicillin, 50  $\mu$ g/ml streptomycin, 10 ng/ml epidermal growth factor, 1  $\mu$ g/ml FSH, and 1  $\mu$ g/ml LH. After 21–22 h of maturation culture, COCs were transferred to 400- $\mu$ l droplets of maturation medium without hormone supplementation and incubated for an additional 21–22 h.

SCNT from Bama minipig kidney fibroblast cells was performed as described previously (Liu *et al.*, 2009, 2010). After culture of the oocytes for 42–44 h, the

COCs were stripped of cumulus cells by treatment with 0.2% (w/v) hyaluronidase in modified TL-HEPES-PVA medium. Then oocytes were enucleated and injected individually with a donor cell via a glass micropipette with a 20-25-µm outer diameter. After nuclear transfer, the reconstructed oocytes were placed in activation/fusion medium (0.25 M mannitol solution supplemented with 0.01% polyvinyl alcohol, 0.5 mM HEPES, 0.1 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>.H<sub>2</sub>O, and 0.1 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O with pH 7.2–7.4). Electrofusion were performed with the oocyte-cell couplet sandwiched between a pair of self-made platinum electrodes (150 µm in diameter) connected to the micromanipulator. The distance between the electrodes was approximately 150  $\mu$ m. Three direct pulses of 100 v/mm for 30 µs on a BTX Electro-cell Manipulator 2001 (BTX, San Diego, CA, USA) were applied.

In all experiments, the reconstructed embryos were transferred immediately after fusion into a microdrop of North Carolina State University 23 (NCSU23) medium that contained 0.4% (w/v) BSA supplemented with 7.5  $\mu$ g/ml cytochalasin B (CB) for 3 h, and then cultured in CB-free embryo culture medium at 39°C and in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in humidified air. Embryonic cleavage was evaluated under a stereomicroscope on day 2 and blastocyst formation was examined on days 5–7. The blastocysts were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and used for analysis of DNA methylation levels by confocal microscopy.

# Analysis of DNA methylation levels by confocal microscopy

DNA methylation levels were analysed as described previously (Enright *et al.*, 2005). Fixed blastocysts were permeabilized with 1% Triton X-100 for 6 h at room temperature. Embryos were then treated with 2 N HCl for 30 min, followed by incubation in 100 mM Tris–HCl buffer (pH 8.5) for 10 min. Blastocysts were blocked for 1 h in DPBS that contained 2% BSA. Embryos were then incubated in anti-5-methycytosine primary antibody (Calbiochem; 1:200 dilution) overnight at 4°C, followed by labelling with FITC-conjugated secondary antibody (Calbiochem; 1:200 dilution) for 1 h at room temperature. For DNA staining, embryos were incubated in DPBS that contained 10 µg/ml PI for 10 min, and observed under a confocal laserscanning microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). Images were acquired by sequential excitation with 488 nm and 543 nm laser lines. Digital images of the DNA methylation were captured using the same contrast, brightness, and exposure settings for all embryos. To make relative comparisons, fluorescence images were subjected to densitometric analysis using Nikon NIS-Elements AR 3.0. Appropriate controls for autofluorescence and non-specific binding by the secondary antibody were included.

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software (version 13.0 for Windows). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to detect differences in gene expression. The test was also used to detect differences in methylation levels of donor cells and embryos. Data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). Cell-cycle stages of donor cells, and the percentage of embryo development were analysed using the chi-squared test. A value of *P* < 0.05 was considered to represent a statistically significant difference.

## Results

# Transcript levels for DNMT1, DNMT3a, HDAC1, and IGF2 in donor cells

The relative abundance of the gene transcripts studied is shown in Fig. 1. Compared with the untreated



**Figure 1** Effect of TSA, 5-aza-dC or both on the relative abundance of transcript levels of *DNMT1*, *DNMT3a*, *HDAC1*, and *IGF2* in donor cells. Bars with different superscripts within each gene transcript indicate statistically significant differences (P < 0.05).

Group	G0/G1 (%)	G2/M (%)	S (%)
Control	85.83 <sup>a</sup>	5.63 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	8.33 <sup>a</sup>
TSA	$85.27^{a}$	$6.80^{a}$	$7.90^{a}$
5-aza-dC	85.03 <sup>a</sup>	$7.40^{a}$	$7.53^{a}$
TSA + 5-aza-dC	86.00 <sup>a</sup>	6.23 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	$7.73^{a}$

**Table 2** Cell cycle stages of Bama minipig kidney fibroblast cells treated with 5-aza-dc, TSA or both

<sup>*a*</sup>Values in columns with different superscripts differ significantly (P < 0.05).

control group, 0.05  $\mu$ mol/L TSA (24 h) significantly increased the expression level of *DNMT3a* (*P* < 0.05). Donor cells treated with 0.01  $\mu$ mol/L 5-aza-dC (72 h) resulted in a significantly decreased expression of *DNMT1*, and a significantly increased expression of *IGF2* genes (*P* < 0.05). Transcription levels of *HDAC1* were decreased significantly after treatment with a combination of TSA and 5-aza-dC, along with a significantly increased level of *IGF2* (*P* < 0.05).

#### Cell-cycle analysis of donor cells

As show in Table 2, more than 85% of treated cells were at the G0/G1 phase of the cell cycle. A similar result was observed with the control cells. In this study, changes in the proportions of cells at the G0/G1 phase, G2/M phase, or S phase were negligible among all groups.

# DNA methylation levels in donor cells and SCNT blastocysts

When donor cells were treated with a combination of TSA and 5-aza-dC, the DNA methylation levels in the G0/G1 and G2/M phases were lower than those in the control group (P < 0.05, Fig. 2). For SCNT blastocysts, embryos cloned from donor cells treated with both TSA and 5-aza-dC had reduced levels of

methylation compared with NT embryos cloned from untreated cells (1180.39  $\pm$  247.11 vs. 1532.95  $\pm$  204.84, P < 0.05). However, there were no significant differences for other treatment groups (Figs. 3 and 4).

# Development of NT embryos from donor cells treated with TSA and 5-aza-dC

As shown in Table 3, there was no significant difference in the fusion rate and cleavage rate of the reconstructed embryos among control and treated groups (P > 0.05). However, when donor cells were treated with both TSA and 5-aza-dC, the rate of embryo development to blastocysts was significantly higher than in the untreated control group (25.6% vs. 16.0%, P < 0.05).

### Discussion

After completing the process of SCNT a series of epigenetic events follow. For this process to be successful, nuclear reprogramming of a differentiated somatic nucleus must be restored to a totipotent embryonic state (Eilertsen *et al.*, 2007). Recently, various methods have been tested to modify the global epigenetic markers in donor cells. These methods include treatment of donor cells with TSA or 5-aza-dC to enhance developmental potential of cloned embryos by altering the epigenetic marks of the nucleus.

In the present study, we determined the influence of TSA and 5-aza-dC, both separately and in combination on the expression level of *DNMT1*, *DNMT3a*, *HDAC1*, and *IGF2*. Of the DNA (cytosine-5)-methyltransferases (DNMTs), *DNMT1* is expressed constitutively and is responsible for maintenance of global methylation following DNA replication (Bosak *et al.*, 2003), whereas *DNMT3a* and *DNMT3b* are required for establishment of *de novo* methylation patterns (Pradhan & Esteve, 2003). Deletion of both *DNMT3a* and *DNMT3b* or



**Figure 2** Relative levels of DNA methylation in Bama minipig's kidney fibroblast cells treated with TSA, 5-aza-dC or both. Bars with different superscripts at a given cell cycle stages indicate statistically significant differences (P < 0.05).



**Figure 3** Confocal micrographs of day 7 SCNT blastocysts from untreated control donor cells, and cloned from donor cells treated with TSA, 5-aza-dC or both. The embryos were labelled for 5-methylcytosine (green) and DNA (red). The merged images of labelled 5-methylcytosine and DNA appear yellow. Images were magnified ×200. A colour version of this article is available online.



**Figure 4** DNA methylation status of day 7 SCNT blastocysts after donor cells were treated with TSA, 5-aza-dC or both. At least 12 embryos per groups were analysed. Bars with different superscripts indicate statistically significant differences (P < 0.05).

*DNMT1* alone results in embryonic lethality (Okano *et al.*, 1999). Reversible histone acetylation is a dynamic process regulated by histone acetyltransferases (HATs) and histone deacetylases (HDACs). *HDAC1* is a member of the histone deacetylases, and participates in removal of acetyl moieties from histone tails (Murko *et al.*, 2010). Insulin-like growth factor 2 (*IGF2*) is a classic imprinted gene that plays a crucial role in prenatal growth and placental development (Gebert *et al.*, 2006). In the present study, we observed a dramatic increase in *IGF2* levels after donor cells

were treated with 5-aza-dC, along with a significantly decreased level of DNMT1. These data are consistent with previous work describing porcine fetal fibroblasts treated with 5-aza-dC (Mohana Kumar et al., 2006). HDAC1 transcription, which was previously reported to be decreased significantly after porcine fetal fibroblasts cultured with sodium butyrate (NaBu, a histone deacetylase inhibitor) (Mohana Kumar et al., 2007), was not detected in the present study. NaBu has a potent HDACi activity and has substantially stronger inhibitory effects at higher concentrations, whereas TSA binds reversibly to HDAC (Sambucetti et al., 1999). It is important to note that the exposure timing and concentration of HDAC inhibitor were different from those used in the above-mentioned study (Mohana Kumar et al., 2007).

The pattern of DNA methylation is quite different in porcine blastocysts produced either *in vitro* and *in vivo* (Bonk *et al.*, 2008), and abnormal DNA hypermethylation is believed to be associated with the low success rate of SCNT (Simonsson & Gurdon, 2004). Thus, accurate regulation of DNA methylation during embryonic development might be essential for normal development (Yamagata, 2008). Recently, it was found that the DNA methylation state in donor cells can be altered by treatment with TSA (Wu *et al.*, 2008) or 5-aza-dC (Mohana Kumar *et al.*, 2006), and in the present study we evaluated the levels of DNA methylation in donor cells and SCNT-produced blastocysts by immunofluorescence

Treatment	No. oocytes	No. fused embryos (%)	No. cleaved embryos (%)	No. blastocysts (%)
Control	178	150 (84.3) <sup>a</sup>	$124 (82.7)^a$	$24 (16.0)^b$
TSA	186	155 (83.3) <sup>a</sup>	$120 (77.4)^a$	$25 (16.1)^b$
5-aza-dC	211	173 (82.0) <sup>a</sup>	148 $(85.5)^a$	34 (19.7) <sup><i>a,b</i></sup>
TSA+5-aza-dC	210	$180 (85.7)^a$	151 (83.9) <sup>a</sup>	$46 (25.6)^a$

**Table 3** Developmental potential of SCNT embryos derived from donor cells treated with TSA, 5-aza-dC or both

Fusion rate: no. of fused embryos/no. of oocytes.

Cleaved embryos rate: no. of cleaved embryos (day 2)/no. of fused embryos.

Blastocyst rate: no. of blastocysts (day 7)/no. of fused embryos.

<sup>*a,b*</sup>Values within columns with different superscripts differ significantly (P < 0.05).

analysis. We observed that neither TSA nor 5-azadC alone had any effect on DNA methylation status, at least at the levels tested, but 5-aza-dC plus TSA produced a significantly greater inhibition of global DNA methylation. These results indicated that there is synergistic interaction between 5-aza-dC and TSA, and findings are similar to those of previous studies (Primeau *et al.*, 2003; Shaker *et al.*, 2003; Ding *et al.*, 2008), which showed a positive interaction for 5-azadC in combination with an HDAC inhibitor.

To improve the success rate of SCNT, various approaches have been devised to modify the SCNT procedure, and these include pretreatment of donor cells or embryos with 5-aza-dC and TSA. TSA is the most widely used HDAC inhibitor, and the effects of TSA on cloning efficiency are controversial. It has been demonstrated that TSA can improve cloning efficiency, including live births, in mouse (Kishigami et al., 2006; Costa-Borges et al., 2010), but it has also been reported to have no positive effect on the *in vitro* and *in vivo* developmental capacity of cloning embryos in rabbits and pigs (Meng et al., 2009; Martinez-Diaz et al., 2010). This apparent inconsistency may be due to different species or the use of different concentrations of TSA, which makes comparison with previous research difficult. Treatment of donor cells with 50 nmol/l TSA for 24 h did not have a significant effect on subsequent *in vitro* development of cloned porcine embryos. When the concentration was more than 50 nmol/L, TSA is considered too toxic for porcine adult fibroblasts (Bo et al., 2010). Favourable effects of TSA on porcine in vitro and in vivo SCNT embryo development were obtained with 50 nmol/L TSA applied for 10 h after activation (Zhao et al., 2010). Kishigami et al. (2006) demonstrated that treatment with 5-50 nmol/lTSA for 10 h following oocyte activation resulted in much improvement in mouse somatic cloning, and TSA became effective at concentrations greater than 5 nmol/l, but showed toxicity at 500 nmol/l. 5-Aza-dC, a derivative of the nucleoside cytidine (Eilertsen et al., 2007), is the classic DNA methyltransferase inhibitor. Enright et al. (2005) reported that there was a trend toward reduced cloned bovine embryo development when the dose of 5-aza-dC was greater than 10 nmol/l. Tsuji et al. (2009) demonstrated that the potential of cloned mouse embryos treated with 100 nmol/L 5-aza-dC (48 h) to develop into morulae was significantly lower than that of control embryos, whereas lower doses of 5-aza-dC (10 nmol/L) had no deleterious effect on the morulae formation rates. In the present study, donor cells that had been pretreated with either TSA or 5-aza-dC showed no improvement in blastocyst formation rate. However, when both chemicals were used together, the rate of blastocyst formation were clearly higher to that of the untreated control group, thus confirming a synergistic relationship between TSA and 5-aza-dC on the in vitro development of SCNT embryos reported previously (Ding et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2011a). Furthermore, these improved in vitro development rates in the combined treatment group were accompanied by decreased levels of DNA methylation and the methylation status of donor cells was correlated to blastocyst rates following SCNT. Thus, we inferred that appropriate alteration of DNA methylation levels may result in improved porcine cloning efficiency. These results are consistent with those of previous studies (Bonk et al., 2007; Wee et al., 2007).

In summary, this study demonstrated that, in combination with TSA, lower than previously used concentrations of 5-aza-dC may still produce a potent demethylating activity, and lead to a significantly enhanced blastocyst development percentage of Bama minipig SCNT embryos. Further studies should be performed to ascertain the effect of TSA and 5-aza-dC on full-term development after minipig SCNT.

### Acknowledgements

We gratefully thank Dr Bernard A. Goodman for language revision of the manuscript. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 30860039), the Key Project of Guangxi Natural Science Foundation (2010GXNSFD013021) and the Plan for Construction of Scientific Topnotch and Innovation Team in Guangxi University, China.

#### References

- Beaujean, N., Taylor, J., Gardner, J., Wilmut, I., Meehan, R. & Young, L. (2004). Effect of limited DNA methylation reprogramming in the normal sheep embryo on somatic cell nuclear transfer. *Biol. Reprod.* **71**, 185–93.
- Bo, F., Di, L., Qing-Chang, F., Liang, R., Hong, M., Liang, W., Zhen-Hua, G. & Zhong-Qiu, L. (2010). Effect of trichostatin A on transfected donor cells and subsequent development of porcine cloned embryos. *Zygote* **19**, 237–43.
- Bonk, A.J., Cheong, H.T., Li, R., Lai, L., Hao, Y., Liu, Z., Samuel, M., Fergason, E.A., Whitworth, K.M., Murphy, C.N., Antoniou, E. & Prather, R.S. (2007). Correlation of developmental differences of nuclear transfer embryos cells to the methylation profiles of nuclear transfer donor cells in swine. *Epigenetics* 2, 179–86.
- Bonk, A.J., Li, R., Lai, L., Hao, Y., Liu, Z., Samuel, M., Fergason, E.A., Whitworth, K.M., Murphy, C.N., Antoniou, E. & Prather, R.S. (2008). Aberrant DNA methylation in porcine *in vitro-*, parthenogenetic-, and somatic cell nuclear transfer-produced blastocysts. *Mol. Reprod. Dev.* **75**, 250–64.
- Bosak, N., Fujisaki, S., Kiuchi, S., Hiraiwa, H. & Yasue, H. (2003). Assignment of DNA cytosine-5-methyltransferase
  1 (DNMT1) gene to porcine chromosome 2q21–q22 by somatic cell and radiation hybrid panel mapping. *Cytogenet. Genome Res.* 101, 178.
- Cervera, R.P., Marti-Gutierrez, N., Escorihuela, E., Moreno, R. & Stojkovic, M. (2009). Trichostatin A affects histone acetylation and gene expression in porcine somatic cell nucleus transfer embryos. *Theriogenology* **72**, 1097–110.
- Chen, T., Zhang, Y.L., Jiang, Y., Liu, S.Z., Schatten, H., Chen, D.Y. & Sun, Q.Y. (2004). The DNA methylation events in normal and cloned rabbit embryos. *FEBS Lett.* 578, 69– 72.
- Costa-Borges, N., Santalo, J. & Ibanez, E. (2010). Comparison between the effects of valproic acid and trichostatin A on the *in vitro* development, blastocyst quality, and fullterm development of mouse somatic cell nuclear transfer embryos. *Cell Reprogram.* **12**, 437–46.
- Couldrey, C. & Lee, R.S. (2010). DNA methylation patterns in tissues from mid-gestation bovine foetuses produced by somatic cell nuclear transfer show subtle abnormalities in nuclear reprogramming. *BMC Dev. Biol.* **10**, 27.
- Ding, X., Wang, Y., Zhang, D., Wang, Y., Guo, Z. & Zhang, Y. (2008). Increased pre-implantation development of cloned bovine embryos treated with 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine and trichostatin A. *Theriogenology* **70**, 622–30.
- Eilertsen, K.J., Power, R.A., Harkins, L.L. & Misica, P. (2007). Targeting cellular memory to reprogram the epigenome, restore potential, and improve somatic cell nuclear transfer. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.* **98**, 129–46.
- Enright, B.P., Jeong, B.S., Yang, X. & Tian, X.C. (2003). Epigenetic characteristics of bovine donor cells for nuclear transfer: levels of histone acetylation. *Biol. Reprod.* 69, 1525– 30.

- Enright, B.P., Sung, L.Y., Chang, C.C., Yang, X. & Tian, X.C. (2005). Methylation and acetylation characteristics of cloned bovine embryos from donor cells treated with 5aza-2'-deoxycytidine. *Biol. Reprod.* 72, 944–8.
- Gebert, C., Wrenzycki, C., Herrmann, D., Groger, D., Reinhardt, R., Hajkova, P., Lucas-Hahn, A., Carnwath, J., Lehrach, H. & Niemann, H. (2006). The bovine IGF2 gene is differentially methylated in oocyte and sperm DNA. *Genomics* 88, 222–9.
- Giraldo, A.M., Hylan, D.A., Ballard, C.B., Purpera, M.N., Vaught, T.D., Lynn, J.W., Godke, R.A. & Bondioli, K.R. (2008). Effect of epigenetic modifications of donor somatic cells on the subsequent chromatin remodeling of cloned bovine embryos. *Biol. Reprod.* **78**, 832–40.
- Himaki, T., Yokomine, T.A., Sato, M., Takao, S., Miyoshi, K. & Yoshida, M. (2010). Effects of trichostatin A on *in vitro* development and transgene function in somatic cell nuclear transfer embryos derived from transgenic Clawn miniature pig cells. *Anim Sci J.* 81, 558–63.
- Jiang, L., Jobst, P., Lai, L., Samuel, M., Ayares, D., Prather, R.S. & Tian, X.C. (2007). Expression levels of growth-regulating imprinted genes in cloned piglets. *Cloning Stem Cells* 9, 97– 106.
- Kang, Y.K., Lee, K.K. & Han, Y.M. (2003). Reprogramming DNA methylation in the preimplantation stage: peeping with Dolly's eyes. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **15**, 290–5.
- Kishigami, S., Mizutani, E., Ohta, H., Hikichi, T., Thuan, N.V., Wakayama, S., Bui, H.T. & Wakayama, T. (2006). Significant improvement of mouse cloning technique by treatment with trichostatin A after somatic nuclear transfer. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 340, 183–9.
- Kishigami, S., Bui, H.T., Wakayama, S., Tokunaga, K., Van Thuan, N., Hikichi, T., Mizutani, E., Ohta, H., Suetsugu, R., Sata, T. & Wakayama, T. (2007). Successful mouse cloning of an outbred strain by trichostatin A treatment after somatic nuclear transfer. J. Reprod. Dev. 53, 165–70.
- Li, J., Liu, Y., Zhang, J.W., Wei, H. & Yang, L. (2006). Characterization of hepatic drug-metabolizing activities of Bama miniature pigs (*Sus scrofa domestica*): comparison with human enzyme analogs. *Comp. Med.* **56**, 286–90.
- Li, J., Svarcova, O., Villemoes, K., Kragh, P.M., Schmidt, M., Bogh, I.B., Zhang, Y., Du, Y., Lin, L., Purup, S., Xue, Q., Bolund, L., Yang, H., Maddox-Hyttel, P. & Vajta, G. (2008). High *in vitro* development after somatic cell nuclear transfer and trichostatin A treatment of reconstructed porcine embryos. *Theriogenology* **70**, 800–8.
- Liu, H.B., Lv, P.R., Yang, X.G., Qin, X.E., Pi, D.Y., Lu, Y.Q., Lu, K.H., Lu, S.S. & Li, D.S. (2009). Fibroblasts from the newborn male testicle of Guangxi Bama mini-pig (Sus *scrofa*) can support nuclear transferred embryo development *in vitro*. *Zygote* **17**, 147–56.
- Liu, H.B., Lv, P.R., He, R.G., Yang, X.G., Qin, X.E., Pan, T.B., Huang, G.Y., Huang, M.R., Lu, Y.Q., Lu, S.S., Li, D.S. & Lu, K.H. (2010). Cloned Guangxi Bama minipig (*Sus scrofa*) and its offspring have normal reproductive performance. *Cell Reprogram.* **12**, 543–50.
- Livak, K.J. & Schmittgen, T.D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the  ${}^{2-\Delta\Delta}C_{T}$  Method. *Methods* **25**, 402–8.
- Martinez-Diaz, M.A., Che, L., Albornoz, M., Seneda, M.M., Collis, D., Coutinho, A.R., El-Beirouthi, N., Laurin, D.,

Zhao, X. & Bordignon, V. (2010). Pre- and postimplantation development of swine-cloned embryos derived from fibroblasts and bone marrow cells after inhibition of histone deacetylases. *Cell Reprogram.* **12**, 85–94.

- Meng, Q., Polgar, Z., Liu, J. & Dinnyes, A. (2009). Live birth of somatic cell-cloned rabbits following trichostatin A treatment and cotransfer of parthenogenetic embryos. *Cloning Stem Cells* **11**, 203–8.
- Mohana Kumar, B., Jin, H.F., Kim, J.G., Song, H.J., Hong, Y., Balasubramanian, S., Choe, S.Y. & Rho, G.J. (2006). DNA methylation levels in porcine fetal fibroblasts induced by an inhibitor of methylation, 5-azacytidine. *Cell Tissue Res.* **325**, 445–54.
- Mohana Kumar, B., Song, H.J., Cho, S.K., Balasubramanian, S., Choe, S.Y. & Rho, G.J. (2007). Effect of histone acetylation modification with sodium butyrate, a histone deacetylase inhibitor, on cell cycle, apoptosis, ploidy and gene expression in porcine fetal fibroblasts. *J. Reprod. Dev.* 53, 903–13.
- Murko, C., Lagger, S., Steiner, M., Seiser, C., Schoefer, C. & Pusch, O. (2010). Expression of class I histone deacetylases during chick and mouse development. *Int. J. Dev. Biol.* **54**, 1527–37.
- Niemann, H., Carnwath, J.W., Herrmann, D., Wieczorek, G., Lemme, E., Lucas-Hahn, A. & Olek, S. (2010). DNA methylation patterns reflect epigenetic reprogramming in bovine embryos. *Cell Reprogram.* 12, 33–42.
- Ohgane, J., Wakayama, T., Senda, S., Yamazaki, Y., Inoue, K., Ogura, A., Marh, J., Tanaka, S., Yanagimachi, R. & Shiota, K. (2004). The Sall3 locus is an epigenetic hotspot of aberrant DNA methylation associated with placentomegaly of cloned mice. *Genes Cells* 9, 253–60.
- Okano, M., Bell, D.W., Haber, D.A. & Li, E. (1999). DNA methyltransferases Dnmt3a and Dnmt3b are essential for *de novo* methylation and mammalian development. *Cell* **99**, 247–57.
- Pradhan, S. & Esteve, P.O. (2003). Mammalian DNA (cytosine-5) methyltransferases and their expression. *Clin. Immunol.* **109**, 6–16.
- Primeau, M., Gagnon, J. & Momparler, R.L. (2003). Synergistic antineoplastic action of DNA methylation inhibitor 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine and histone deacetylase inhibitor depsipeptide on human breast carcinoma cells. *Int. J. Cancer* **103**, 177–84.
- Sambucetti, L.C., Fischer, D.D., Zabludoff, S., Kwon, P.O., Chamberlin, H., Trogani, N., Xu, H. & Cohen, D. (1999). Histone deacetylase inhibition selectively alters the activity and expression of cell cycle proteins leading to specific chromatin acetylation and antiproliferative effects. *J. Biol. Chem.* 274, 34940–7.

- Shaker, S., Bernstein, M., Momparler, L.F. & Momparler, R.L. (2003). Preclinical evaluation of antineoplastic activity of inhibitors of DNA methylation (5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine) and histone deacetylation (trichostatin A, depsipeptide) in combination against myeloid leukemic cells. *Leuk. Res.* 27, 437–44.
- Simonsson, S. & Gurdon, J. (2004). DNA demethylation is necessary for the epigenetic reprogramming of somatic cell nuclei. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **6**, 984–90.
- Tsuji, Y., Kato, Y. & Tsunoda, Y. (2009). The developmental potential of mouse somatic cell nuclear-transferred oocytes treated with trichostatin A and 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine. *Zygote* **17**, 109–15.
- Wang, Y., Su, J., Wang, L., Xu, W., Quan, F., Liu, J. & Zhang, Y. (2011a). The effects of 5-Aza-2'- deoxycytidine and trichostatin A on gene expression and DNA methylation status in cloned bovine blastocysts. *Cell Reprogram.* **13**, 297– 306.
- Wang, Y.S., Xiong, X.R., An, Z.X., Wang, L.J., Liu, J., Quan, F.S., Hua, S. & Zhang, Y. (2011b). Production of cloned calves by combination treatment of both donor cells and early cloned embryos with 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine and trichostatin A. *Theriogenology* **75**, 819–25.
- Wee, G., Shim, J.J., Koo, D.B., Chae, J.I., Lee, K.K. & Han, Y.M. (2007). Epigenetic alteration of the donor cells does not recapitulate the reprogramming of DNA methylation in cloned embryos. *Reproduction* **134**, 781–7.
- Wrenzycki, C., Herrmann, D., Gebert, C., Carnwath, J.W. & Niemann, H. (2006). Gene expression and methylation patterns in cloned embryos. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 348, 285– 304.
- Wu, X., Li, Y., Li, G.P., Yang, D., Yue, Y., Wang, L., Li, K., Xin, P., Bou, S. & Yu, H.(2008). Trichostatin A improved epigenetic modifications of transfected cells but did not improve subsequent cloned embryo development. *Anim. Biotechnol.* **19**, 211–24.
- Yamagata, K. (2008). Capturing epigenetic dynamics during pre-implantation development using live cell imaging. *J. Biochem.* **143**, 279–86.
- Zhang, Y., Li, J., Villemoes, K., Pedersen, A.M., Purup, S. & Vajta, G. (2007). An epigenetic modifier results in improved *in vitro* blastocyst production after somatic cell nuclear transfer. *Cloning Stem Cells* 9, 357– 63.
- Zhao, J., Hao, Y., Ross, J.W., Spate, L.D., Walters, E.M., Samuel, M.S., Rieke, A., Murphy, C.N. & Prather, R.S. (2010). Histone deacetylase inhibitors improve *in vitro* and *in vivo* developmental competence of somatic cell nuclear transfer porcine embryos. *Cell Reprogram.* **12**, 75– 83.