# Laboratory assessment of ammonia emission after soil application of treated and untreated manures

S. MONACO<sup>1</sup>\*, D. SACCO<sup>1</sup>, S. PELISSETTI<sup>1</sup>, E. DINUCCIO<sup>2</sup>, P. BALSARI<sup>2</sup>, M. ROSTAMI<sup>3</sup> and C. GRIGNANI<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Agronomy, Forest and Land Management, University of Torino, Via Leonardo da Vinci 44, 10095 Grugliasco (TO), Italy

<sup>2</sup> Department of Agricultural, Forestry and Environmental Economics and Engineering (DEIAFA), Mechanics Section,

University of Torino, Via Leonardo da Vinci 44, 10095 Grugliasco (TO), Italy

<sup>3</sup> Department of Soil Science, University of Malayer, Malayer, Iran

(Received 11 October 2010; revised 7 March 2011; accepted 20 April 2011; first published online 3 June 2011)

# SUMMARY

Ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) volatilization from soil-applied manure not only causes environmental pollution but also reduces the fertilization value of the manure. Anaerobic digestion and solid/liquid separation alter the physical and chemical characteristics of slurry, which affect NH<sub>3</sub> emissions after application. The present study measured potential laboratory NH<sub>3</sub> losses from different manures, untreated pig slurry and the liquid fractions of each untreated and digested slurry, after their application to two different soil types. The experiment was carried out in dynamic chambers using a photoacoustic infrared gas analyser to determine the NH<sub>3</sub> concentration in the air stream directly.

The estimated values of nitrogen (N) emitted for surface-applied, untreated pig slurry were  $0.26 \pm 0.064$  mg per mg of applied total ammoniacal nitrogen (TAN). For the liquid fractions of pig slurry and digested pig slurry, results were  $0.13 \pm 0.064$  and  $0.16 \pm 0.064$  mg/mg, respectively. Initial NH<sub>3</sub>–N emission rates from surface-applied, untreated pig slurry were higher than those measured for either liquid fraction; in the case of the untreated pig slurry, half was emitted in the first 4.9 h of measurement. Silty-loam soil showed a higher N emission than loam soil with surface-applied slurries. This result was probably due to the higher infiltration rate of loam soil, even offsetting the effect of its high soil pH. Immediate manure incorporation into the soil was shown to reduce NH<sub>3</sub> emissions by 82%. Results demonstrated that a method combining dynamic chambers with a photoacoustic gas analyser was as reliable as the widely used acid traps method. Moreover, direct measurement with the gas analyser permits an increasing temporal resolution that gave a high-quality description of the NH<sub>3</sub> emission dynamic.

# INTRODUCTION

Animal husbandry and manure management account for more than 0.80 of total ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) emissions from European agriculture, estimated at 2.9 Mt/year; land application of manure, especially slurry, contributes a large proportion of these losses, and represents between 0.30 and 0.40 of total emissions from livestock production (Hutchings *et al.* 2009).

Ammonia volatilization reduces the manure nitrogen (N) use efficiency in crop production and increases the uncertainty of crop N balance and optimal fertilizer N rate calculations (Sommer *et al.* 2004*b*). From an environmental perspective, the loss of  $NH_3$  to the atmosphere causes negative effects through acidification and eutrophication of natural ecosystems, as well as via secondary particulate matter formation (Sutton *et al.* 1998; Goebes *et al.* 2003). For these reasons, international and European agreements have established regulations to limit this pollutant, which requires calculating national inventory levels of the contribution from agriculture to total emissions (Erisman *et al.* 1998). These inventories are based on emission factors that, in the advanced method of calculation, are also specific for the emissions produced during manure spreading.

Ammonia emissions from manure applied to the soil are shaped primarily by physical and chemical

<sup>\*</sup> To whom all correspondence should be addressed. Email: stefano. monaco@unito.it

processes, and secondarily by biological ones. Environmental conditions (i.e. wind speed, temperature, precipitation and humidity), manure properties (i.e. dry matter content, viscosity, pH and TAN content), soil properties (i.e. pH, cation exchange capacity, porosity and infiltration rate) and the interaction between slurry and soil, as well as the slurry distribution method and the rate of application have all been shown to cause variations in the amount of NH<sub>3</sub> lost, from approximately nil to 0.60 of the total ammoniacal-N (TAN) applied (Sommer et al. 2003). Under constant environmental conditions, the pattern of NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization shows high loss rates immediately after slurry application due to the high initial concentration of TAN and the pH increase in the manured-soil surface (Søgaard et al. 2002). The volatilization rate then decreases rapidly as a result of a reduction in the concentration of ammonium (NH4<sup>+</sup>) in the fertilized soil surface from volatilization, infiltration and nitrification. The pattern of NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization after soil application of slurry is usually described in the literature by the Michaelis-Menten type equation (Sommer & Ersbøll 1994; Søgaard et al. 2002; Misselbrook et al. 2005).

Anaerobic digestion, solid/liquid separation of liquid manure and other manure treatments may also influence gaseous losses because they change the physical and chemical characteristics of manure, and affect the extent of emissions after field spreading (Amon *et al.* 2006; Sommer *et al.* 2006; Petersen & Sørensen 2008). Solid/liquid separation reduces the dry matter (DM) in the liquid portion of the slurry, which boosts slurry infiltration. Anaerobic digestion increases TAN content and manure pH, which raises the NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization potential, and decreases DM content which increases slurry infiltration rates and decreases NH<sub>3</sub> emissions.

The effect of manure and soil properties on NH<sub>3</sub> emissions has been extensively investigated in field (Thompson & Meisinger 2002; Sommer *et al.* 2004*a*; Misselbrook *et al.* 2005) and laboratory studies (Amon *et al.* 2006; Sommer *et al.* 2006). For laboratory assessment, the dynamic chamber is the most utilized method, in which NH<sub>3</sub> emitted from manure is collected in acid solutions (sulphuric, boric or orthophosphoric) and determined via titration (Le Cadre *et al.* 2005). Recently, slurry infiltration (Sommer & Jacobsen 1999), slurry type (Sommer *et al.* 2006), urease inhibitor (Watson *et al.* 2008) and no-till (Rochette *et al.* 2009) effects on potential NH<sub>3</sub> emissions were investigated using dynamic chambers

coupled with acid traps. Nevertheless, using acid traps is time-consuming (e.g. acid solution collection, separate laboratory analysis for  $NH_4^+$  determination), with variable and, in general, low temporal resolution, especially when only small amounts of  $NH_3$  are emitted. This second drawback leads to loss of information, especially during the first hours after fertilizer application when emission rates vary rapidly.

Infrared photoacoustic spectroscopy has recently become popular for analysing several gases (e.g.  $CO_2$ ,  $N_2O$ ,  $CH_4$  and  $NH_3$ ) in air samples, due to its high accuracy and selectivity (Hoy 1995; Berg *et al.* 2006; Dinuccio *et al.* 2008). Commercial applications of this technology allow automated air sampling, high temporal resolution of measurements and direct data recording and display of electronic datasets. Mathematical descriptions of the emission dynamics can consequently be performed more effectively. However, to date, few studies exist on the accuracy and reliability of using photoacoustic spectroscopy with dynamic chambers for  $NH_3$  emission assessment.

The present work reports the results of a laboratory assessment of  $NH_3$  emission after soil application of different treated and untreated pig slurry (i.e. no treatment, solid/liquid separation, anaerobic digestion followed by solid/liquid separation) using a system composed of dynamic chambers and a photoacoustic infrared gas analyser. The reliability of this method was evaluated via comparison to the most commonly used acid traps method.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Treatments and soils

Three manure treatments were compared: untreated pig slurry (Untreated S), the liquid fraction of untreated pig slurry (Untreated S liquid) and the liquid fraction of anaerobically digested pig slurry (Digested S liquid). The pig slurry samples were sourced from a house for grower-finisher heavy pigs (160-180 kg/head), raised on a fully slatted-floor. Anaerobic digestion was performed in continuously fed laboratory reactors under mesophilic conditions (38-39 °C), with a hydraulic retention time of 26 days and an organic loading rate of 1.35 kg volatile solids/m<sup>3</sup>/d. The solid and liquid fractions of untreated and digested slurries were separated using a laboratory centrifuge at 7000 rpm (Mantovi et al. 2009). All manure types were stored for a total of 120 days in 50-litre covered tanks at ambient temperatures to simulate farm storage before

Type of slurry	рН	$DM^*$	TOC <sup>+</sup>	Total N	TAN <sup>‡</sup>	Total P	Total K
		(g/kg)					
Untreated slurry	8.3	28	8.0	3.8	2.9	1.06	2.93
Untreated slurry liquid	8.5	13	2.0	2.2	2.0	0.17	3.33
Digested slurry liquid	8.7	13	2.0	2.0	1.8	0.13	3.35

Table 1. Main characteristics of the manures utilized in the experiment

\* DM, dry matter.

+ TOC, total organic carbon.

**‡** TAN, total ammoniacal nitrogen.

Table 2. Main characteristics of the two soils utilized in the experiment

Soil	Sand (mg/g)	Silt (mg/g)	Clay (mg/g)	pН	TOC <sup>*</sup> (mg/g)	Total N (mg/g)	C/N	CEC meq/100 g
Silty-loam	158	756	86	6∙1	8·4	0·81	10·4	12·5
Loam	484	431	85	8∙2	8·3	0·83	10·0	8·2

\* TOC, total organic carbon.

spreading. The different manures were then analysed for their main physical and chemical characteristics (Table 1) and stored at 4 °C until the start of each trial.

The soil used in the experiment was collected during June 2008 from the tilled top 200 mm layer of two arable soil types in the western Po River Plain (Northern Italy). The two soils were labelled loam and silty-loam, based on their texture classification (Soil Survey Staff 1998) (Table 2). The soils were air dried and sieved at 5 mm to remove plant debris. A sample of each soil was ground (2 mm) prior to analysis for soil characterization. Loam and silty-loam soils have a sub-alkaline and a sub-acid reaction, respectively. While both of these soils were poor in organic matter and total N content, each had a different cation-exchange capacity (loam soil: low; siltyloam: medium) (Sposito 1989; Bourlot et al. 2007). The bulk density of the dry soil, rewetted at  $0.6 \text{ m}^3/\text{m}^3$ of water filled pore space (WFPS), was measured by drying 100 ml of soil (four replicates) at 105 °C for 3 days; it equalled 1.34 and 1.45 g of dry soil/ml for loam and silty-loam soils, respectively.

## Measurement system

The measurement system (Fig. 1) was prepared in a climatic chamber and was composed of six measurement lines connected to a vacuum pump that provided airflow to the system. Each line was equipped with a cylindrical glass jar (3200 ml) for soil sample

placement, a glass jar collector (1100 ml) for air sampling with a photoacoustic trace gas analyser (P-TGA) system (LumaSense Technologies, INNOVA 1412), a flow meter with needle valve for system air flow regulation and a volumetric air meter to measure total air passed through the line. One line was also equipped with a humidity and temperature data recorder to measure environmental conditions in the volatilization chamber. All parts had air-tight connections through input and output ports, using Teflon or nylon tubes with internal diameter of 4 mm. Teflon tubes were utilized to avoid NH<sub>3</sub> adsorption in the system.

The air stream NH<sub>3</sub> concentration was determined using the P-TGA. At each measurement, an air sample was collected by the P-TGA's internal pump by manually connecting it to the jar collector with a Teflon tube. The air suction/measurement cycle totalled 79 s, of which 38s were for suctioning. The cycle was repeated three to four times until a steady-state NH<sub>3</sub> concentration was attained (Dinuccio et al. 2008), which represented the actual concentration of the air stream in the measurement system. The internal pump of the P-TGA had an average air flow rate of 24.7 ml/s so that the total amount of air subtracted from the system for one measurement was 2.82 litres. At the end of each measurement, the NH<sub>3</sub> air entering the volatilization chambers (background) was monitored with the P-TGA and the amount was subtracted from the measured concentration of NH<sub>3</sub>. Under the



Fig. 1. Measurement system used in the experiment. Unbroken and dotted lines refer to Teflon and nylon tubes, respectively.

operating conditions of this experiment, the limit of detection of the P-TGA was 0.2 mg/kg of NH<sub>3</sub>.

## Ammonia volatilization experiment

The experiment was organized on a three randomized complete block design. Six cylindrical glass jars per block (three treatments per two soils) were filled with 1343 and 1451 g of dry soil for loam and silty-loam, respectively, to standardize to a final headspace volume of 2000 ml per jar. WFPS of 0.6 m<sup>3</sup>/m<sup>3</sup> was attained by moistening the samples with 295 and 270 g of deionized water to loam and silty-loam, respectively. A pin-holed plastic film was used to cover each glass jar and then was placed in a climate-controlled room at 20 °C for 6 days to stabilize the samples prior to experimental start. During this period, the jars were weighed each day; the water content was adjusted when necessary.

The experiment began with jar fertilization. Soil samples were fertilized manually on the jar's surface  $(0.0154 \text{ m}^2)$  at a rate of 90.7 kg N/ha. The fertilization time was different for every treatment and was recorded as a  $t_0$  value for each jar. The measurement was then made in the same order as for fertilizer application. Immediately after fertilization, the six jars were closed, randomly connected to the lines of the measurement system and the pump was switched on. The flow rate settled at 2 litres/min, which corresponds to an air renewal rate of one headspace volume per minute in each volatilization chamber (Dinuccio *et al.* 2008).

The experiment was conducted at 20 °C for three different measurement sessions, representing the three blocks, which lasted about 50 h after fertilization.

A parallel experiment was carried out to evaluate the effect of incorporation on  $NH_3$  volatilization from untreated slurry. In this parallel experiment, untreated slurry was manually incorporated into the two soils at the same fertilization rate of the main treatments.

#### Measurement system evaluation

In order to evaluate the reliability of the method with P-TGA, a simultaneous comparison with the acid trap method was carried out. A Drechsel bottle (250 ml), for the containment of the acid trap solution (80 ml of 0.1 Normal sulphuric acid (N H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) solution), was inserted into each line as showed in Fig. 1. The comparison between methods was carried out during five intervals of c. 3 h each during which the concentration of NH<sub>3</sub> was measured three nonconsecutive times for each treatment with P-TGA. At the end of each interval, the acid solutions contained in the acid traps were collected and the Drechsel bottles accurately washed with deionized water in order to remove all the trapped  $NH_4^+$ –N. The Drechsel bottles were then filled with acid trap solution if another interval of measurement was scheduled: otherwise, they were filled with deionized water. The acid traps samples were transferred to volumetric flasks, filled to 250 ml with deionized water and stored at 4 °C until the time for  $NH_4^+$ –N content analysis with an ionometer. The amount of NH<sub>3</sub> trapped in acid solution during the measurement run was determined by a multi-meter equipped with a pH/Ion module and an ammonium selective electrode (ionometer, certified detection limit of 0.1 ppm of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>), and followed the method described by ISO TC 147/6778 (ISO 1984).

#### Data processing and analysis

Data measured by P-TGA were converted to an actual NH<sub>3</sub>–N emission surface rate by calculating the air flux rate using the elapsed time between the start and end of each measurement interval and their corresponding air volumes.

The emission rates measured for each replication were fitted using a least squares minimization procedure with the derivative of the Michaelis–Menten type equation (Eqn 1) presented by Sommer & Ersbøll (1994):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}t} = N_{\max} \frac{k_m}{(t+k_m)^2} \tag{1}$$

where *t* is the time from the fertilization event (h), dN/dt is the emission rate expressed in mg NH<sub>3</sub>–N/m<sup>2</sup>/h,  $N_{\text{max}}$  is the total loss of NH<sub>3</sub> (mg NH<sub>3</sub>–N/m<sup>2</sup>) as time approaches infinity, and parameter  $k_{\text{m}}$  is the time *t* when  $N = \frac{1}{2} N_{\text{max}}$ . Moreover, parameter  $N_{\text{max}}/k_{\text{m}}$  represents the value of the emission rate (mg NH<sub>3</sub>–N/m<sup>2</sup>/h) when t=0.

The above mentioned parameters were analysed using an ANOVA procedure considering manure and soil as fixed effects, and their interaction and block as random effects.

Emission data have been reported throughout the text as mean value  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (s.E.M.). In order to compare the P-TGA data with the NH<sub>3</sub> trapped in the acid solution, total NH<sub>3</sub>–N emitted during each 3 h measurement interval was calculated by integrating the P-TGA data with the fitted equations for the time intervals. A linear regression analysis was carried out to compare these results with the total amount of measured NH<sub>3</sub>–N emitted using acid traps. The analysis was performed using the five measurement interval results, excluding the data below the detection limits of the P-TGA and ionometer (final n=133).

# RESULTS

Measured NH<sub>3</sub>–N emission rates shown in Fig. 2 were significantly fitted with the derivative of the Michaelis–Menten equation (average  $R^2 = 0.99$ ). As expected,

surface-applied treatments showed very high emission rates immediately after fertilization, followed by a strong reduction in a few hours thereafter. In the Michaelis–Menten equation, these results correspond to a high initial emission rate (216-0 mg NH<sub>3</sub>–N/m<sup>2</sup>/h, on average) (Table 3). The low  $k_m$  values also confirmed the quick reduction in emission rates during the first hours (6·3 h, on average).

Manure had no significant effect on total N losses, but the ratio total N losses/TAN was significantly affected. Untreated S showed a higher value (0·26 mg/ mg) than Untreated S liquid (0·13 mg/mg), while the difference between the Untreated S liquid and the Digested S liquid treatment was not significant. Soil effect was highly significant both for total N losses and total N losses/TAN, showing higher values in siltyloam than in loam.

The initial emission rate was significantly influenced by the manure × soil interaction. Specifically, Untreated S showed higher emission rates in both soils ( $334.4 \text{ mg/NH}_3-\text{N/m}^2/\text{h}$ , on average) v. the value for the liquid fraction of untreated and digested slurry ( $160.2 \text{ and } 153.4 \text{ mg/NH}_3-\text{N/m}^2/\text{h}$ , respectively), with larger differences in silty-loam than loam soil.

Incorporating untreated slurry caused very low and constant emission rates throughout the experiment (Fig. 2), with a low initial emission rate (7.6 mg NH<sub>3</sub>– N/m<sup>2</sup>/h) and a high  $k_m$  value (39.0 h). Incorporated untreated slurry showed low total N losses (308.0 mg NH<sub>3</sub>–N/m<sup>2</sup>, averaged across the two soils) (Fig. 3), which represents a reduction of 81.7% compared with surface-applied slurry. Moreover, when slurry is incorporated, there is a tendency for higher emissions in loam than in silty-loam soil, as evidenced by the values of total N losses (537.1 and 79.0 mg NH<sub>3</sub>–N/m<sup>2</sup>, respectively).

The linear regression between the P-TGA and the acid traps methods for NH<sub>3</sub>–N emission assessment (Fig. 4) was highly significant ( $R^2$ =0.95). However, when using P-TGA, a small underestimation was indicated by the coefficients (0.925±0.037) and intercept (-4.21±5.72 mg NH<sub>3</sub>/m<sup>2</sup>) of the linear equation.

## DISCUSSION

## Treatments comparison

For surface-applied untreated pig slurry, total N losses averaged  $0.26 \pm 0.064$  mg/mg of applied TAN across the two soils. These values were similar to those



**Fig. 2.** NH<sub>3</sub>–N emission rates measured with the dynamic chambers combined with photoacoustic infrared gas analyser for the different fertilizer treatments in (*a*) silty-loam and (*b*) loam soils. Continuous lines represent the Michaelis–Menten fitting equation.

reported by Sommer & Ersbøll (1994) for field application of pig slurry on harrowed sandy-loam soil. Moreover, the values of total N losses expressed as a percent of TAN are within the range reported by Misselbrook *et al.* (2005) for field application of pig slurry with low DM content. The emission inventory guidebook (Hutchings *et al.* 2009) indicated an emission factor for spread swine slurry of 0.40 of TAN

within a range of 0.20-0.80; Reidy *et al.* (2008) reported factors between 0.25 and 0.68.

Total N losses/TAN values were  $0.13 \pm 0.064$  for the liquid fraction of pig slurry and  $0.16 \pm 0.064$  for the liquid fraction of digested pig slurry, which suggests that NH<sub>3</sub> emission from land application can be reduced when swine slurry is treated. Generally, it is assumed that a strong, positive relationship between

	Total N losses (N <sub>max</sub> )	k <sub>m</sub>	Initial emission rate $(N_{\text{max}}/k_{\text{m}})$	N <sub>max</sub> /TAN <sup>*</sup> mg/mg	
	mg NH <sub>3</sub> –N/m <sup>2</sup>	h	mg NH <sub>3</sub> –N/m <sup>2</sup>		
Manure effect					
Untreated slurry	1683	4.9	334	0.26	
Untreated slurry liquid	989	6.1	160	0.13	
Digested slurry liquid	1212	7.8	153	0.16	
s.е.м.†	200.4	0.53	19.4	0.029	
Р	ns	0.010	<0.001	0.027	
Soil effect					
Silty-loam	1074	20	139	0.15	
Loam	750	22	126	0.12	
S.E.M.	163.6	0.4	15.9	0.024	
Р	0.004	< 0.001	ns	0.004	
Manure×Soil					
S.E.M.	188.5	0.4	0.2	0.03	
Р	ns	ns	0.031	ns	
Block					
Р	ns	ns	ns	ns	

Table 3. Estimated values of the parameters of the Michaelis–Menten equation  $(dAE/dt = N_{max} (k_m/(t+k_m)^2))$  and the results of ANOVA for the surface-applied manure treatments (D.F. of residual = 10)

\* TAN, total ammoniacal nitrogen.

+ s.E.M., standard error of the mean.

ns, not significant.



**Fig. 3.** Total NH<sub>3</sub>–N losses from untreated slurry surfaceapplied and incorporated in the two soils utilized in the experiment. Error bars represent the s.E.M.

slurry–DM content and volatilization exists (Søgaard *et al.* 2002; Sommer *et al.* 2003; Misselbrook *et al.* 2005) due to the rapid infiltration of diluted slurries into the soil. Solid/liquid separation of both untreated and digested slurry reduces the DM content of the liquid fraction, thereby causing a NH<sub>3</sub> emission reduction after application. The intermediate value of emission for the liquid fraction of digested slurry was probably due to the higher pH of this treated manure that counterbalanced the increased infiltration rate. Previous field studies on NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from land application of anaerobic digested slurries have yielded



**Fig. 4.** Linear regression between  $NH_3$  emission estimated by dynamic chambers using acid traps (*x*) and photoacoustic infrared gas analyser (P-TGA; *y*) methods.

contrasting results. Rubék *et al.* (1996) reported lower emissions with digested rather than with untreated cattle slurry after ryegrass surface application. While not significant, Pain *et al.* (1990) found a tendency of digested pig slurry to reduce  $NH_3$  emissions compared with undigested slurry on grassland. Specifically, the authors reported a total N loss/TAN ratio of 0.21 and 0.13 for undigested and digested slurry, respectively, 48 h after land application. In contrast, others (Wulf *et al.* 2002; Chantigny *et al.* 2004; Amon *et al.* 2006) have reported  $NH_3$  emission values in a similar range for different digested slurries as opposed to untreated slurries. Chantigny *et al.* (2007) concluded that the discrepancy among studies might be explained by the higher pH of anaerobic digested slurry which could offset the benefit of improved infiltration.

Loam soil showed a lower total N loss than siltyloam soil for surface-applied slurries. This was probably due to its higher sand content that increased the slurry infiltration rate. Whatever process lay behind this difference, it was able to overcome the effect of the higher pH and lower cation-exchange capacity of the loam v. that of the silty-loam soil. However, in the instance of the incorporated untreated slurry, a higher volatilization was recorded in loam than in silty-loam soil, which might have been caused by the different pH of the two soils. These results underscored the importance of considering soil characteristics and different soil types when evaluating NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from fertilizers.

Many cost-effective abatement techniques have been proposed to reduce NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization. Broadcast application and immediate incorporation by plough reduced emissions by 80–90% on arable land (Hutchings *et al.* 2009). The average value found in the present experiment (82%) is within the expected range, even though the amount of NH<sub>3</sub> emitted was estimated in laboratory and the incorporation was performed manually. Moreover, the low values of  $k_m$  estimated for surface-applied manure treatments showed that half of the NH<sub>3</sub> is emitted within 6 h after manure spreading; this result points to the importance of implementing regulations on immediate incorporation of manure.

The Michaelis–Menten equation described the pattern of NH<sub>3</sub>–N emission rates after manure application well. Several authors (Sommer & Ersbøll 1994; Søgaard *et al.* 2002; Misselbrook *et al.* 2005) have used this equation to model accumulated NH<sub>3</sub> losses from field-applied manure, which relates equation parameters to measured variables, such as soil properties, manure properties and environmental conditions. In contrast, Sommer *et al.* (2006) found that the Michaelis–Menten equation could not be fitted to NH<sub>3</sub> emissions from slurry measured in dynamic chambers, and concluded that this model was not suitable for laboratory result interpretation. The present experiment gives an operational outcome for the analysis of potential NH<sub>3</sub>–N emissions under constant laboratory

conditions and demonstrates the possibility of fitting the results to the Michaelis–Menten equation if NH<sub>3</sub> emission rates are directly measured.

## Measurement system evaluation

The present investigations highlighted several benefits associated with the P-TGA method to measure NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization. First, the experiment showed that the combination of a photoacoustic infrared gas analyser with dynamic chambers could make reliable assessments of potential NH<sub>3</sub> emissions when compared with the more frequently used acid traps. Second and distinct from the latter, direct NH<sub>3</sub> concentration measurement with a P-TGA allows temporal resolution to improve the assessment of NH<sub>3</sub> emission from manures. Specifically, the measurement system allowed the production of high-quality analyses of good fit, which permitted a good evaluation of the process dynamics. Third, the P-TGA system is less timeconsuming both during dynamic chamber operations and for sample analyses compared with the acid-traps method. Although there is the initial cost of the instrument and scheduled maintenance (once or twice a year), it allows for a drastic reduction in the time and costs of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> determination. Moreover, the measurement time schedule can be easily tested and modified to better match the NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization dynamics, so that NH<sub>3</sub> emissions can be determined for a wide range of fertilizer and soil types.

The Italian Ministry for Agriculture, Food and Forestry Policies research project 'Assessment of the effects of the anaerobic digestion process on nitrogen availability in livestock manures', coordinated by CRPA, Reggio Emilia, financed this research.

# REFERENCES

- AMON, B., KRYVORUCHKO, V., AMON, T. & ZECHMEISTER-BOLTENSTERN, S. (2006). Methane, nitrous oxide and ammonia emissions during storage and after application of dairy cattle slurry and influence of slurry treatment. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* **112**, 153–162.
- BERG, W., BRUNSCH, R., HELLEBRAND, J. & KERN, J. (2006). Methodology for measuring gaseous emissions from agricultural buildings, manure, and soil surfaces. In Workshop on Agricultural Air Quality – State of the Science (Eds V. P. Aneja, W. H. Schlesinger, R. Knighton, G. Jennings, D. Niyogi, W. Gilliam & C. S. Duke), pp. 233–241. Potomac, MD: North Carolina State University.
- BOURLOT, G., BARBERIS, E., DEL VECCHIO, A. & SACCO, D. (2007). Il Suolo Agrario Piemontese. Supplement of Quaderni

della Regione Piemonte – Agricoltura 56. Turin, Italy: Assessorato Agricoltura, Tutela della Flora e della Fauna.

- CHANTIGNY, M. H., ROCHETTE, P., ANGERS, D. A., MASSÉ, D. & CÔTÉ, D. (2004). Ammonia volatilization and selected soil characteristics following application of anaerobically digested pig slurry. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* **68**, 306–312.
- CHANTIGNY, M. H., ANGERS, D. A., ROCHETTE, P., BELÁNGER, G., MASSÉ, D. & CÔTÉ, D. (2007). Gaseous nitrogen emissions and forage nitrogen uptake on soils fertilized with raw and treated swine manure. *Journal of Environmental Quality* **36**, 1864–1872.
- DINUCCIO, E., BERG, W. & BALSARI, P. (2008). Gaseous emissions from the storage of untreated slurries and the fractions obtained after mechanical separation. *Atmospheric Environment* **42**, 2448–2459.
- ERISMAN, J. W., BLEEKER, A. & VAN JAARSVELD, J. A. (1998). Evaluation of ammonia emission abatement on the basis of measurements and model calculations. *Environmental Pollution* **102**, 269–274.
- GOEBES, M. D., STRADER, R. & DAVIDSON, C. (2003). An ammonia emission inventory for fertilizer application in the United States. *Atmospheric Environment* **37**, 2539–2550.
- Hoy, S. (1995). Studies on the use of multi-gas monitoring in animal houses. *Tierärztliche Umschau* **50**, 115–123.
- HUTCHINGS, N., AMON, B., DÄMMGEN, U. & WEBB, J. (2009). Animal Husbandry and Manure Management. EMEP/ EEA Air Pollutant Emission Inventory Guide Book 2009 Chapter 4B. Copenhagen: European Environmental Agency.
- International Organization for Standardization (1984). ISO 6778:1984, Water Quality – Determination of Ammonium – Potentiometric method. Geneva, Switzerland: ISA.
- Le CADRE, E., GENERMONT, S., DECUQ, C., RECOUS, S. & CELLIER, P. (2005). A laboratory system to estimate ammonia volatilization. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development* **25**, 101–107.
- MANTOVI, P., FABBRI, C., SOLDANO, M. & PICCININI, S. (2009). La separazione del digestato aumenta il potere fertilizzante. *L'Informatore Agrario* **43**, 55–58.
- MISSELBROOK, T. H., NICHOLSON, F. A. & CHAMBERS, B. J. (2005). Predicting ammonia losses following the application of livestock manure to land. *Bioresource Technology* **96**, 159–168.
- PAIN, B. F., MISSELBROOK, T. H., CLARKSON, C. R. & REES, Y. J. (1990). Odour and ammonia emissions following the spreading of anaerobically-digested pig slurry on grassland. *Biological Waste* **34**, 259–267.
- PETERSEN, J. & SØRENSEN, P. (2008). Loss of nitrogen and carbon during storage of the fibrous fraction of separated pig slurry and influence on nitrogen availability. *Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge* **146**, 403–413.
- REIDY, B., DAMMGEN, U., DOHLER, H., EURICH-MENDEN, B., VAN EVERT, F. K., HUTCHINGS, N. J., LUESINK, H. H., MENZI, H., MISSELBROOK, T. H., MONTENY, G. J. & WEBB, J. (2008). Comparison of models used for national agricultural ammonia emission inventories in Europe: liquid manure systems. *Atmospheric Environment* **42**, 3452–3464.

- ROCHETTE, P., ANGERS, D. A., CHANTIGNY, M. H., MACDONALD, J. D., BISSONETTE, N. & BERTRAND, N. (2009). Ammonia volatilization following surface application of urea to tilled and no-till soils: A laboratory comparison. *Soil and Tillage Research* **103**, 310–315.
- RUBÆK, G. H., HENRIKSEN, K., PETERSEN, J., RASMUSSEN, B. & SOMMER, S. G. (1996). Effects of application technique and anaerobic digestion on gaseous nitrogen loss from animal slurry applied to ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*). *Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge* **126**, 481–492.
- SØGAARD, H. T., SOMMER, S. G., HUTCHINGS, N. J., HUIJSMANS, J. F. M., BUSSINK, D. W. & NICHOLSON, F. (2002). Ammonia volatilization from field-applied animal slurry – the ALFAM model. *Atmospheric Environment* **36**, 3309– 3319.
- Soil Survey Staff (1998). *Keys to Soil Taxonomy*, 8th edn. Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office.
- SOMMER, S. G. & ERSBØLL, A. K. (1994). Soil tillage effects on ammonia volatilization from surface-applied or injected animal slurry. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 23, 493–498.
- SOMMER, S. G. & JACOBSEN, O. H. (1999). Infiltration of slurry liquid and volatilization of ammonia from surface applied pig slurry as affected by soil water content. *Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge* **132**, 297–303.
- SOMMER, S. G., GENERMONT, S., CELLIER, P., HUTCHINGS, N. J., OLESEN, J. E. & MORVAN, T. (2003). Processes controlling ammonia emission from livestock slurry in the field. *European Journal of Agronomy* **19**, 465–486.
- SOMMER, S. G., HANSEN, M. N. & SØGAARD, H. T. (2004a). Infiltration of slurry and ammonia volatilisation. *Biosystems Engineering* **88**, 359–367.
- SOMMER, S. G., SCHJOERRING, J. K. & DENMEAD, O. T. (2004*b*). Ammonia emission from mineral fertilizers and fertilized crops. *Advances in Agronomy* **82**, 557–622.
- SOMMER, S. G., JENSEN, L. S., CLAUSEN, S. B. & SØGAARD, H. T. (2006). Ammonia volatilization from surface-applied livestock slurry as affected by slurry composition and slurry infiltration depth. *Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge* **144**, 229–235.
- Sposito, G. (1989). *The Chemistry of Soils*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- SUTTON, M. A., LEE, D. S., DOLLARD, G. J. & FOWLER, D. (1998). Introduction atmospheric ammonia emission, deposition and environmental impacts. *Atmospheric Environment* **32**, 269–271.
- THOMPSON, R. B. & MEISINGER, J. J. (2002). Management factors affecting ammonia volatilization from land-applied cattle slurry in the mid-Atlantic USA. *Journal of Environmental Quality* **31**, 1329–1338.
- WATSON, C. J., AKHONZADA, N. A., HAMILTON, J. T. G. & MATTHEWS, D. I. (2008). Rate and mode of application of the urease inhibitor N-(n-butyl) thiophosphoric triamide on ammonia volatilization from surface-applied urea. *Soil Use and Management* **24**, 246–253.
- WULF, S., MAETING, M. & CLEMENS, J. (2002). Application technique and slurry co-fermentation effects on ammonia, nitrous oxide, and methane emissions after spreading:
  I. Ammonia volatilisation. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 31, 1789–1794.