

MULTIPLICATIVE ORDERS IN ORBITS OF POLYNOMIALS OVER FINITE FIELDS

IGOR E. SHPARLINSKI

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of New South Wales,
Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia
e-mail: igor.shparlinski@unsw.edu.au

(Received 26 March 2017; accepted 7 August 2017; first published online 23 October 2017)

Abstract. We show, under some natural restrictions, that orbits of polynomials cannot contain too many elements of small multiplicative order modulo a large prime p . We also show that for all but finitely many initial points either the multiplicative order of this point or the length of the orbit it generates (both modulo a large prime p) is large. The approach is based on the results of Dvornicich and Zannier (*Duke Math. J.* **139** (2007), 527–554) and Ostafe (2017) on roots of unity in polynomial orbits over the algebraic closure of the field of rational numbers.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11T06, 37P05, 37P25.

1. Introduction.

1.1. Background. Let $f(X) \in \mathbb{K}[X]$ be a polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$ over a field \mathbb{K} .

We set $f^{(0)} = X$ and then define the n th iterate of f recursively as $f^{(n)}(X) = f(f^{(n-1)}(X))$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Given $w \in \mathbb{K}$, we define its orbit $\text{Orb}(w)$ with respect to the polynomial f as the set

$$\text{Orb}(w) = \{f^{(n)}(w) : n = 0, 1, \dots\}. \quad (1)$$

We call $w \in \mathbb{K}$ the *initial value* of $\text{Orb}(w)$.

For a prime p and an integer $k \geq 1$, let \mathbb{F}_{p^k} denote the finite field of p^k elements. Clearly, if $\mathbb{K} = \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is the algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}_p , then for any $w \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$, the sequence $f^{(n)}(w)$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, is eventually periodic and if $w \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}$ and $f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k}[X]$, then $\text{Orb}(w)$ is a finite of cardinality

$$T(w) = \#\text{Orb}(w) \leq p^k.$$

The orbit lengths of the reductions of polynomials have recently been studied by different methods and from various points of view, see [1, 3–6, 14] and references therein.

Furthermore, for each $u \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^*$, we define the *multiplicative order* $\tau(u)$ as the smallest integer $\ell \geq 1$ with $u^\ell = 1$ (we also set $\tau(0) = 0$).

Several results about the distribution of multiplicative orders of elements in orbits $\text{Orb}(w)$ have been studied in [13]. In particular, in [13], for a fixed polynomial

$f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ and $w \in \mathbb{F}_p$, various lower bounds are given on the size of the smallest subgroup $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^*$ that contains all non-zero values of the sequence $f^{(n)}(w)$, $n = 0, \dots, N - 1$, for $N \leq T(w)$.

Here, we consider the interplay between the period length and the multiplicative order of points $w \in \mathbb{F}_p$, and obtain several new results in this direction.

Namely, given a positive integer $N \leq T(w)$, we define by $M_w(t; N)$ the number of elements amongst the first N elements of the orbit $\text{Orb}(w)$ or multiplicative order at most t , that is,

$$M_w(t; N) = \#\{n \leq N - 1 : \tau(f^{(n)}(w)) \leq t\}.$$

Using recent results of Ostafe [10] about roots of unity in polynomial orbits over algebraic number fields, we obtain a lower bound on $M_w(t; N)$ when the polynomial f is defined over \mathbb{Q} , and then reduced modulo p (for a sufficiently large prime p). In fact, using the results of [10] in full generality, one can extend this to polynomials over arbitrary algebraic number fields.

We also consider a related question and show that for a natural class of polynomials $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, for all but $O(1)$ points $w \in \mathbb{F}_p$, the dynamical or multiplicative order is large (where the implied constant in $O(1)$ depends only on f). This is based on the result of Dvornicich and Zannier [7, Theorem 2] on the finiteness of algebraic cyclotomic points $w \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, which are preperiodic, that is, for which $\text{Orb}(w)$ is finite.

1.2. Notation, conventions and definitions. We use \cup to denote the set of all roots of unity in \mathbb{C} .

We also use T_d to denote the Chebyshev polynomial of degree d , which is uniquely determined by the equation $T_d(X + X^{-1}) = X^d + X^{-d}$.

We now define the following class of *exceptional polynomials*.

DEFINITION 1.1. We call a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ to be exceptional if for some linear polynomial $L(X) = aX + b \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$, the composition

$$L(f(L^{-1}(X))) = af(a^{-1}(X - b)) + b$$

is either $(\pm X)^d$ or $T_d(\pm X)$.

We recall that the notations $U = O(V)$, $U \ll V$ and $V \gg U$ are all equivalent to the statement that $|U| \leq cV$ holds with some constant $c > 0$. Throughout the paper, any implied constants in the symbols O , \ll and \gg may depend on the polynomial f and the real positive parameter ε but are uniform in the prime p and the point $w \in \mathbb{F}_p$.

1.3. Main results. We start with a bound on the largest multiplicative order amongst the first N iterates.

THEOREM 1.2. Assume that $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ is not exceptional with $\deg f = d \geq 2$. Then, for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$,

(i) for any prime p and $t \leq (\log p)^{1/2-\varepsilon}$ for all initial values $w \in \mathbb{F}_p$, we have

$$M_w(t; N) \ll \max\{N^{1/2}, N/\log \log p\};$$

(ii) for any sufficiently large $P \geq 1$ and $t \leq P^{1/2-\epsilon}$ for all but $o(P/\log P)$ primes $p \leq P$ for all initial values $w \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, we have

$$M_w(t; N) \ll \max\{N^{1/2}, N/\log p\}.$$

We also show that for all but a bounded (only in terms of f) number of $w \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, either $T(w)$ or $\tau(w)$ is large.

THEOREM 1.3. *If $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ is not exceptional with $\deg f = d \geq 2$. Then,*

(i) for any prime p for all but $O(1)$ initial values $w \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, we have

$$d^{T(w)}\tau(w) \gg \log p;$$

(ii) for any sufficiently large $P \geq 1$ and any function $\psi(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$, for all but $o(P/\log P)$ primes $p \leq P$ for all initial values $w \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, we have

$$d^{T(w)}\tau(w) \gg P\psi(P).$$

2. Preliminaries.

2.1. Orbits and roots of unity. We now formulate two very special cases of the results of Dvornicich and Zannier [7] and Ostafe [10].

First, we recall that by [7, Theorem 2], we have the following.

LEMMA 2.1. *If $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ is not exceptional with $\deg f = d \geq 2$, then there are finitely many $u \in \mathbb{U}$ for which $\text{Orb}(u)$ is finite.*

Furthermore, by [10] we also have the following.

LEMMA 2.2. *If $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ is not exceptional with $\deg f = d \geq 2$, then there are finitely many $u \in \mathbb{U}$ for which $f^{(n)}(u) \in \mathbb{U}$ for some integer $n \geq 1$.*

2.2. Heights of polynomials and their iterates. For a polynomial $F \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, we define its *height*, denoted by $h(F)$, as the logarithm of the maximum of the absolute values of its coefficients.

We recall the well-known bound for the height of the composition of polynomials, see, for instance, [8, Lemma 1.2(1.c)], where it is given in a much larger generality for multivariate polynomials.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $F, G \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$. Then,*

$$h(F(G)) \leq h(F) + \deg F (h(G) + (\deg G + 1) \log 2).$$

Hence, by induction on n , we now immediately derive from Lemma 2.3 the following bound on the height of iterations of polynomials (see also [6] for a fully explicit bound in the multivariate case)

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$. be of degree $d \geq 2$. Then,*

$$h(f^{(n)}) = O(d^n).$$

Let Φ_k denote the k th cyclotomic polynomial.

We now recall the following very simplified version of the classical result of Bateman, Pomerance and Vaughan [2].

LEMMA 2.5. For $k \rightarrow \infty$,

$$h(\Phi_k) = k^{o(1)}.$$

Combining Lemmas 2.3 and 2.5 with the trivial upper bound on the Sylvester determinant formula for the resultant $\text{Res}(F, G)$ of two polynomials $F, G \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, we derive.

LEMMA 2.6. For any integers $r, s \geq 1$ and $F \in \mathbb{Z}[U]$, we have

$$\text{Res}(\Phi_r, \Phi_s(F)) = \exp(O(rs(h(F) + \deg F))).$$

2.3. Combinatorial result. We also need the following combinatorial statement that in different forms has been used in a number of works, see [6, 9, 12]. In the form below it is given in [11].

LEMMA 2.7. Let $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{K}$ be an arbitrary subset of a field \mathbb{K} and let $w \in \mathbb{K}$. If for some $\vartheta > 0$, we have

$$\#\{0 \leq n \leq N - 1 : f^{(n)}(w) \in \mathcal{S}\} \geq \vartheta N,$$

then there is a non-negative integer $m \leq 2\vartheta^{-1}$ such that

$$\#\{f^{(m)}(u) = v : u, v \in \mathcal{S}\} \geq \frac{\vartheta^2 N}{8}.$$

3. Proofs of main results.

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.2. As before we use Φ_k to denote the k th cyclotomic polynomial. We fix some positive parameter $\rho < 1$ and consider some $w \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ with

$$M_w(t; N) \geq \rho N. \tag{2}$$

Then there are at least ρN values of $n < N$ with

$$\Phi_\ell(f^{(n)}(w)) = 0$$

for some positive integer $\ell \leq t$. (where the equations are in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$). Hence, by Lemma 2.7, there is some positive integer $m \leq 2\rho^{-1}$ such that for at least $\rho^2 N/8$ distinct values $u \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, we have

$$\Phi_k(u) = \Phi_\ell(f^{(m)}(u)) = 0 \tag{3}$$

for some pair $(k, \ell) \in [1, t]^2$. Denote by $R_{k, \ell, m}$ the resultant of the polynomials $\Phi_k(U)$ and $\Phi_\ell(f^{(m)}(U))$ (considered over \mathbb{Z} , so we have $R_{k, \ell, m} \in \mathbb{Z}$).

By Lemma 2.2, we see that there are only finitely many values of m for which $R_{k,\ell,m} = 0$ is possible for some k and ℓ . Thus, there are at most c_1 values of $u \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ which are solutions to (3), which correspond to such triples, where the constant c_1 depends only on f . Thus, if $\rho^2 N/8 > c_1$, then there is a triple (k, ℓ, m) with $R_{k,\ell,m} \neq 0$ and such that (3) has a solution and therefore

$$p \mid R_{k,\ell,m}. \tag{4}$$

Hence, we now assume that

$$\rho > \sqrt{8c_1/N} \tag{5}$$

and thus (4) holds. Using that by Lemmas 2.4 and 2.6 if $R_{k,\ell,m} \neq 0$, then

$$\log |R_{k,\ell,m}| \ll k\ell d^m \ll t^2 d^{2\rho-1}.$$

We note that $R_{k,\ell,m}$ depends only on k, ℓ, m and f but does not depend on p .

We now see that (4) implies

$$\log p \ll t^2 d^{2\rho-1}.$$

Thus, using the condition $t \leq (\log p)^{1/2-\varepsilon}$, we derive

$$d^{2\rho-1} \gg t^{-2} \log p \geq (\log p)^{2\varepsilon}$$

or

$$\rho \leq c_2 (\log \log p)^{-1}. \tag{6}$$

Hence, taking

$$\rho = \max\{3\sqrt{c_1/N}, 2c_2(\log \log p)^{-1}\}$$

for some constant c_2 that depends only on f satisfies (5) but contradicts this condition (6). This means that the inequality (2) fails for the above value of ρ , which concludes the proof of Part (i).

For Part (ii), we see that if $R_{k,\ell,m} \neq 0$, then the number of primes with (4) is at most

$$\omega(R_{k,\ell,m}) \leq 2 \log |R_{k,\ell,m}| \ll t^2 d^{2\rho-1} \leq P^{1-2\varepsilon} d^{2\rho-1},$$

where, as usual, we use $\omega(r)$ to denote the number of distinct prime divisors of an integer $s \neq 0$. Hence, if

$$\rho \geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon \log d \log P}$$

then $\omega(R_{k,\ell,m}) = o(P/\log P)$, which implies the bound of Part (ii).

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.3. Fix some parameter $t \geq 1$ and assume that for $w \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, we have

$$d^{T(w)} \tau(w) \leq t.$$

Then, we see that for some integers k, ℓ, m with

$$T(w) = k > \ell \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad m = \tau(w), \tag{7}$$

we have

$$f^{(k)}(w) = f^{(\ell)}(w) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_m(w) = 0. \tag{8}$$

Denote by $Q_{k,\ell,m}$ the resultant of the polynomials $f^{(k)}(U) - f^{(\ell)}(U)$ and $\Phi_m(U)$ (considered over \mathbb{Z} , so we have $Q_{k,\ell,m} \in \mathbb{Z}$). We now conclude from (8) that

$$p \mid Q_{k,\ell,m}$$

and if

$$|Q_{k,\ell,m}| < p \tag{9}$$

then $Q_{k,\ell,m} = 0$ and thus the system of equations (8) has a root over \mathbb{C} . This implies that there are only $O(1)$ triples (k, ℓ, m) that satisfy this condition and so, there are only $O(1)$ values of $w \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ that satisfy (8) for some integers k, ℓ, m as in (7) (where the implied constants depend only on f).

We now use Lemma 2.6 with $r = m, s = 1$ and $F(U) = f^{(k)}(U) - f^{(\ell)}(U) + 1$. Recalling the bound $d^k m = d^{T(w)} \tau(w) \leq t$, we derive

$$|Q_{k,\ell,m}| = \exp(O(d^k m)) = \exp(O(t)). \tag{10}$$

Hence, taking $t = c_0 \log p$ for an appropriate constant $c_0 > 0$ that depends only on f , we see that we have (9), which concludes the proof of Part (i).

For Part (ii), we note that if $Q_{k,\ell,m} \neq 0$, then $Q_{k,\ell,m}$ has at most

$$\omega(Q_{k,\ell,m}) \ll \frac{\log |Q_{k,\ell,m}|}{\log \log (|Q_{k,\ell,m}| + 2)}$$

prime divisors (which follows from the trivial inequality $\omega(s)! \leq s$, that holds for any integer $s \geq 1$, and the Stirling formula). Using (10) and also the bound $d^k m = d^{T(w)} \tau(w) \leq t$, we derive

$$\omega(Q_{k,\ell,m}) \ll t / \log t.$$

Taking $t = P\psi(P)$, we conclude the proof of Part (ii).

4. Comments. We note that by using the results of Dvornicich and Zannier [7] and Ostafe [10] in full generality one can easily extend our estimates to polynomials f over algebraic number fields.

On the other hand, as long as multivariate analogues of [7] and [10] are not available we do not see any approaches to extending our results to multivariate polynomials. In fact, the lack of such extensions of [7] and [10] is the only essential obstacle for generalisations to multivariate polynomials as the results of [6] provide an adequate substitute to our resultant-based argument. Finding an alternative approach is also very desirable as it may lead to stronger bounds.

Probably the most challenging question is to obtain versions of our results that are uniform in the polynomial f in (1). In particular, it is important to allow f to be defined over \mathbb{F}_p , or even $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ rather than over \mathbb{Z} .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. This work was supported by the Australian Research Council Grant DP170100786.

REFERENCES

1. A. Akbary and D. Ghioca, Periods of orbits modulo primes, *J. Number Theory* **129** (2009), 2831–2842.
2. P. T. Bateman, C. Pomerance and R. C. Vaughan, On the size of the coefficients of the cyclotomic polynomial, in *Topics in classical number theory, Vol. I, II (Budapest, 1981)*, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, vol. 34 (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984), 171–202.
3. R. L. Benedetto, D. Ghioca, B. Hutz, P. Kurlberg, T. Scanlon and T. J. Tucker, Periods of rational maps modulo primes, *Math. Ann.* **355** (2013), 637–660.
4. M.-C. Chang, On periods modulo p in arithmetic dynamics, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I* **353** (2015), 283–285.
5. M.-C. Chang, C. D’Andrea, A. Ostafe, I. E. Shparlinski and M. Sombra, Orbits of polynomial dynamical systems modulo primes, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, (to appear).
6. C. D’Andrea, A. Ostafe, I. E. Shparlinski and M. Sombra, Reduction modulo primes of systems of polynomial equations and algebraic dynamical systems, *Preprint*, 2015 (see <http://arxiv.org/abs/1505.05814>).
7. R. Dvornicich and U. Zannier, Cyclotomic diophantine problems (Hilbert irreducibility and invariant sets for polynomial maps), *Duke Math. J.* **139** (2007), 527–554.
8. T. Krick, L. M. Pardo and M. Sombra, Sharp estimates for the arithmetic Nullstellensatz, *Duke Math. J.* **109** (2001), 521–598.
9. A. Ostafe, Polynomial values in affine subspaces of finite fields, *J. d’Analyse Math.* (to appear).
10. A. Ostafe, On roots of unity in orbits of rational functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **145** (2017), 1927–1936.
11. A. Ostafe and I. E. Shparlinski, Orbits of algebraic dynamical systems in subfields and subgroups, in *Number theory – diophantine problems, uniform distribution and applications; festschrift in honour of Robert F. Tichy’s 60th Birthday* (Elsholz C. and Grabner P., Editors) (Springer, 2017), 347–368.
12. O. Roche-Newton and I. E. Shparlinski, Polynomial values in subfields and affine subspaces of finite fields, *Quart. J. Math.* **66** (2015), 693–706.
13. I. E. Shparlinski, Groups generated by iterations of polynomials over finite fields, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **59** (2016), 235–245.
14. J. H. Silverman, Variation of periods modulo p in arithmetic dynamics, *New York J. Math.* **14** (2008), 601–616.