# The Journal of Agricultural Science

## cambridge.org/ags

# **Animal Research Paper**

Cite this article: Silva Moura C M, Araújo G G L, Oliveira B Y S, Azevêdo J A G, Pimenta Filho E C, Azevedo P S, Santos E M (2019). Different roughage:concentrate ratios and water supplies to feedlot lambs: carcass characteristics and meat chemical composition. *The Journal of Agricultural Science* 157, 643–649. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859620000076

Received: 7 December 2018 Revised: 31 December 2019 Accepted: 27 January 2020 First published online: 2 March 2020

#### Key words:

Centesimal composition; meat quality; water restriction

#### **Author for correspondence:**

C. M. Silva Moura, E-mail: claudete\_m\_silva@hotmail.com Different roughage:concentrate ratios and water supplies to feedlot lambs: carcass characteristics and meat chemical composition

C. M. Silva Moura<sup>1</sup>, G. G. L. Araújo<sup>2</sup>, B. Y. S. Oliveira<sup>3</sup>, J. A. G. Azevêdo<sup>4</sup>, E. C. Pimenta Filho<sup>5</sup>, P. S. Azevedo<sup>5</sup> and E. M. Santos<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia Baiano, Xique-Xique, Bahia, Brazil; <sup>2</sup>Embrapa Semiárido, 56302-970, Petrolina, Brazil; <sup>3</sup>Federal University of Bahia, 40170-115, Salvador, Brazil; <sup>4</sup>Santa Cruz State University, 34002-035, Ilhéus, Brazil and <sup>5</sup>Department of Animal Science, Federal University of Paraíba, 58397-000, Areia, Brazil

# **Abstract**

The current study evaluated the effects of dietary roughage:concentrate (R:C) ratios and water supply on the carcass characteristics and yield of lambs. Forty Santa Inês crossbred lambs with an average body weight (BW) of 19 ± 2.8 kg were evaluated in a completely randomized design with a 2 × 2 factorial arrangement consisting of two proportions of roughage and concentrate (30:70 and 70:30) and two levels of water supply (ad libitum and restricted to 0.5). The animals were slaughtered at an average weight of  $28 \pm 31$  kg. Centesimal composition, colour parameters ( $L^*$ ,  $a^*$  and  $b^*$ ), shear force, cooking losses and pH were determined on the Longissimus lumborum muscle. There was no interaction effect between the R:C ratio and water supply on the evaluated variables. Total BW gain, average daily gain and final BW were affected by water restriction and R:C ratio. Water restriction reduced total BW gain, average daily gain and final BW. No effect of water restriction was detected on slaughter weight, centesimal composition, colour variations, shear force, pH, weight or yield of carcass. No effect of water restriction and diets was observed on the cuts, except for neck weight. Carcass weight and yield were affected by the R:C ratios. Restricting the water supply to 0.5 does not affect the carcass weight or yield of Santa Inês crossbred lambs or their meat quality characteristics (centesimal composition, colour, shear force and pH measurements). A higher proportion of concentrate in the diet results in heavier hot and cold carcass weights.

# Introduction

The low precipitation in semiarid regions across the globe may be a limiting factor for the possibility of intensifying animal production. On this basis, research is warranted to investigate the water-plant-animal relationships to enable or intensify the productivity of herds in those regions. Wickens (1998) reported that slightly over one-third of the Earth's surface is arid or semiarid, with 49 million km<sup>2</sup> consisting of arid regions and 21 km<sup>2</sup> of semiarid regions. Those areas are home to 1.2 billion people, or 0.2 of the world population, whose food base is typically derived from small-ruminant farming.

The semiarid region is characterized by a varied vegetation composed of tree, cactus and grass species and low annual precipitation (Wickens, 1998). Precipitation in the semiarid region ranges between 380 and 760 mm, and the annual potential evapotranspiration is greater than the annual precipitation.

Soil and climatic conditions of semiarid regions limit the efficiency of extensive animal production systems because of the low availability of feed and water that occurs during the dry season

As a consequence, animals have a deficient feed and water intake, which may affect their growth and body weight (BW) gain (Silva et~al.,~2016). In addition, in the absence of water sources, the animal spends more time and energy in search of water (Ben Salem and Smith, 2008). Jacob et~al.~(2006) found that water deprivation for 48 h in crossbred lambs caused a loss of 0.5 kg ( $\sim$ 2.5%) in standard hot carcass weight, 1 mm of fat and 4% of individual muscle mass.

In regions where water resources are scarce, animals may be subject to water restriction. Increasing the amount of concentrate in the diet improves feed efficiency and animal performance. The feedlot system allows animals to obtain the same nutritional level throughout the year as in pasture-based systems (Parente *et al.*, 2016). However, both adequate feeding management and water supply can improve the performance and health of animals (Lima *et al.*, 2012).

Depending on the type of feed supplied, dehydration may culminate in reduced feed intake. As the nutritional value of the diets increases, the effect of water restriction is reduced (Chedid

© Cambridge University Press 2020



644 C. M. Silva Moura *et al.* 

et al., 2014). Ahmed Muna and El Shafei Ammar (2001) investigated the performance of water-restricted goats fed diets containing high- and low-quality hay and observed lower BW losses in animals under restricted access to water when fed high-quality hay.

Thus, it is possible that higher dietary proportions of concentrate, which increases the energy levels and provides greater amounts of soluble carbohydrates when oxidized in the rumen, will supply a larger amount of metabolic water to animals, whose performance will be maintained despite water restrictions. Based on these considerations, the current study was conducted to evaluate the carcass characteristics and yields of Santa Inês crossbred lambs provided with different proportions of roughage, concentrate and water.

# Materials and methods

# Location, animals and treatments

The experiment was conducted in the *Caatinga* biome, in Petrolina, PE, Brazil (9°23′ S and 40°30′ W; 376 m altitude). The climate in that region is a tropical semiarid type with rainy summers and average annual precipitation of 407.1 mm (APAC, 2017).

Forty uncastrated Santa Inês crossbred lambs with an initial BW of  $19\pm2.8$  kg, at an average age of  $5\pm2.0$  months, were used. The animals were weighed, dewormed, intramuscularly injected with a vitamin-mineral supplement (Modificador Orgânico Vallée\*, Vallée S/A, São Paulo, Brazil) and housed in individual  $1\times2$  m stalls inside a covered shed. The average temperature, humidity and wind/air flow recorded in the shed were 27.7 °C, 38.7% and 0.84 m/s, respectively.

A completely randomized design was adopted with a  $2 \times 2$  factorial arrangement consisting of two roughage:concentrate (R:C) ratios in the diet (30:70 and 70:30) (metabolizable energy (ME): 2.67 and 2.10 Mcal/kg DM, crude protein (CP): 14.08 and 10.58% DM, respectively and 1% mineral salt [Table 1]); and two levels of water supply: *ad libitum* (1.0) and restricted (0.5). The experiment lasted 73 days, consisting of 13 days of adaptation to the feedlot and diets and 60 days of data collection. Diets were formulated to provide weight gains of 200 and 150 g/day, in accordance with the NRC (2007).

Soybean meal, ground maize and Tifton hay (*Cynodon* spp.) were used in the diets. The feed was provided in two daily meals, at 08.00 and 15.30 h, allowing for 5 to 10% orts. Orts were weighed daily to calculate the intakes of dry matter (DM) and other nutrients. The animals were weighed weekly in the morning, after a 16-h feed withdrawal period, to monitor BW gain and feed conversion, using a mobile digital scale for small-and medium-sized animals with a maximum capacity of 300 kg. Feed conversion was calculated as the ratio between DM intake and total BW gain during the experimental period.

The chemical composition of orts, diets and diet ingredients was analysed to determine the DM, organic matter (OM), mineral matter (MM) and ether extract (EE) contents, according to the method described by AOAC (1990); CP, by the Kjeldahl method; and neutral detergent fibre (NDF) corrected for ash and protein (NDFap) and acid detergent fibre (ADF), as proposed by Van Soest *et al.* (1991). The digestible energy (DE) and ME values were estimated by the following equation:  $DE = (TDN/100) \times 4.409$ . The total digestible nutrient (TDN) contents of the feeds and orts were estimated by using the following equation, proposed

	R:C	ratio
Ingredient (g/kg)	30:70	70:30
Soybean meal	138.6	59.4
Tifton hay	297.0	693.0
Ground maize	554.4	237.6
Mineral salt	10.0	10.0
Chemical composition (g/kg DM)		
DM	877.9	898.2
OM	954.2	932.8
MM	45.7	67.2
СР	140.8	105.8
EE	18.3	9.2
NDFap	409.6	553.1
ADF	254.6	508.9
Total carbohydrates	762.4	803
NFC	352.8	249.9
Lignin	10.2	482
Hemicellulose	271.9	29.86
Cellulose	127.5	206.4
TDN	730	560
DE (MJ/kg of DM)	134.7	108.7
ME (MJ/kg of DM)	110.4	891

NDFap, neutral detergent fibre corrected for ash and protein; ADF, acid detergent fibre; NFC, non-fibrous carbohydrates; TDN, total digestible nutrients; DE, digestible energy; ME, metabolizable energy.

by Weiss (1999): TDN (%) =  $(0.98 \times \%NFC) + (0.93 \times \%CP) + 2.25 \times (\%EE - 1) + 0.75 \times (\%NDFap - \%Lig) \times [1 - (\%Lig/\%NDFap) 0.667] - 7$ . And ME = DE × 0.82, according to the NRC, 1989 (Table 1). To be converted to MJ/kg, the obtained results in Mcal/kg were by multiplied by 4.184.

# Water supply

The animals in the *ad libitum* (control) group received 51 of water daily. On the next day, water was weighed to determine the amount consumed by the control group, by difference. For the group under water restriction, the amount of water to be supplied per animal was determined as the daily water intake of the control group, considering a similar BW and receiving similar diets, multiplied by 0.5.

# Slaughter and carcass parameters

Lambs were slaughtered at the end of the 60-day experimental period. Before slaughter, the animals were deprived of feed for 16 h and weighed to determine slaughter weight. Thereafter, the lambs were stunned by brain concussion, hoisted by their hind legs and bled from the jugular vein and carotid artery. Blood was immediately collected and weighed. Next, the animals were skinned, head and hooves were removed and the carcasses were

then eviscerated. The gastrointestinal tract (reticulum, rumen, omasum, abomasum, bladder, gallbladder and intestines) was emptied and washed to determine empty body weight (EBW), which was estimated by subtracting the gastrointestinal tract contents from BW.

The carcasses were chilled in a cold room at 4 °C for 24 h, where they were hung by the calcaneal tendon on proper hooks with a distance of 17 cm between articulations. Hot carcass weight and cold carcass weight (HCW and CCW) were recorded for later calculations of hot carcass yield and cold carcass yield (HCY and CCY), dressing percentage and chilling losses. After the chilling period, the carcasses were divided lengthwise into two halves and six prime cuts were obtained from the left half of the carcass, namely, neck, shoulder, rib, hindquarter (pistola cut), loin and leg.

For the analyses of pH, colour, cooking loss and shear force, the loin was dissected to reveal the *Longissimus lumborum* muscle (LM), which was then divided into two samples: one comprising the anterior (L1–L3) and another the posterior (L3–L6) portion. Two steaks were extracted from the anterior portion of the loin (2.54 cm) in the transverse direction of the LM fibres for analyses of pH, colour, cooking loss and shear force, all in three replicates. The pH was measured before the anterior and posterior portions of the LM muscle were obtained, using a pH meter/thermometer probe (model 205, Testo do Brasil, Campinas, SP, Brazil) calibrated at room temperature (17 °C). One sample was used for analysis of moisture, ash and protein, according to procedures no. 985.41, 920.153 and 928.08, respectively (AOAC 1990); and total lipids, which were extracted according to the method described by Folch *et al.* (1957).

The LL surface colour was measured after a 50-min bloom time at room temperature (17 °C) using a hand-held colour measurement device (Chromameter CR-400, Minolta). Colour measurements were taken in the CIE  $L^*$ ,  $a^*$ ,  $b^*$  colour space [in which  $L^*$  measures the relative lightness (100 white, 0 black);  $a^*$ , relative redness (+ red, – green) and  $b^*$ , relative yellowness (+ yellow, – blue)], with the average of three measurements taken across the same cross-section of muscle. The Chromameter was calibrated on a white calibration plate (Y=87.1, x=0.3158, y=0.3225) before the colour was measured. The measuring head was set to C lighting with 2° standard observer and 8-mm aperture.

Following the colour measurements, the LM samples were grilled in a grill-broiler set in an electric oven (FISCHER, Model Star) at 150 °C, until the internal temperature reached the threshold of 71 °C (monitored by a digital thermometer [TENMARS, Model TM 364] with a type-K thermocouple [IMPAC] introduced in the geometric centre of each sample), and then the steaks were chilled at room temperature until reaching an internal temperature of 24–25 °C.

Cooking loss was analysed by weighing the grill-broiler set with and without samples, before and after cooking them (Wheeler *et al.*, 1995). To determine shear force (Wheeler, Shackelford and Koohmaraie, 1995) in these samples, the LM fibres of at least two 1.27-cm cylinders (specimens) were obtained separately. These cylinders were sheared in a Warner Bratzler shear machine (G-R Manufacturing CO.) with a 25-kgf/cm² load cell and crosshead speed of 20 cm/min.

# Statistical analyses

The experiment was set up as a completely randomized design with a  $2 \times 2$  factorial arrangement consisting of two R:C ratios

in the diet (30:70 or 70:30) and two levels of water supply (*ad libitum* [control] or 0.5 of the amount drunk when it was freely available). Ten replicates were performed for each factor.

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied to analyse data. The analysis was carried out to check whether the assumptions of normal distribution, additivity and homoscedasticity of data were met.

The following statistical model was used in the analysis of data:

$$Y_{ijk} = [\mu + E(X_i - X) + A(X_j - X) + E_A(X_{ij} - X) + \varepsilon_{ijk}],$$
(1)

in which  $Y_{ijk}$  = observed value of the trait;  $\mu$  = overall mean;  $E_i$  = effect relative to the proportions of roughage and concentrate (i = 30:70 and 70:30), considering  $X_i$  = observed value of the co-variable and X = mean of the co-variable;  $A_j$  = effect relative to water availability (j = restricted, ad libitum), considering  $X_j$  = observed value of co-variable and X = mean of the co-variable;  $E_{Aij}$  = effect of the proportions of roughage and concentrate i and water availability j, considering  $X_{ij}$  = observed value of the co-variable and X = mean of the co-variable and  $\epsilon_{ijk}$  = random error associated with each observation  $Y_{iik}$ .

The obtained data were subjected to ANOVA using the GLM procedures of SAS (2002) software (SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC, USA), adopting 0.05 as the critical level of type-I error probability. The initial BW was adopted as a co-variable for final weight, total weight gain, average daily gain and slaughter weight.

## **Results**

There was no interaction effect (P > 0.05) between the R:C ratio and water supply on the evaluated variables (Table 2); thus, the variables will be presented and discussed separately according to the R:C and levels of water supply. Final weight, total weight gain and average daily gain were affected by water restriction and R:C. Slaughter weight was influenced by R:C, but not by water restriction. The higher proportion of concentrate in the diet resulted in a heavier slaughter weight (28.9 kg), whereas the animals which consumed less concentrate had a slaughter weight of 26.4 kg.

Water restriction to 0.5 did not affect (P > 0.05) carcass weight, carcass yield or chilling loss (Table 2). The R:C ratio influenced (P < 0.05) HCW and CCW and HCY and CCY, empty gastrointestinal tract, gastrointestinal tract content weight and EBW (Table 2).

The diet with more concentrate resulted in higher values for HCW and CCW and HCY and CCY, empty gastrointestinal tract weight, EBW and internal fat. The gastrointestinal tract content was heavier in the animals that received the diet with a lower proportion of concentrate.

Carcass dressing percentage was not affected (P > 0.05) by water supply or R:C, although the diet influenced HCY and EBW. EBW differed (P < 0.05) between diets, with the diet containing the smaller amount of concentrate leading to a lower value (21.10 kg) compared to the 24.78 kg obtained with the diet containing a higher proportion of concentrate.

There was no interaction effect (P > 0.05) between R:C and water supply for the weight of the meat cuts (Table 2), except for neck weight, which was influenced by the dietary R:C. These variables were also not affected (P > 0.05) by water restriction.

646 C. M. Silva Moura *et al.* 

Table 2. Animal performance, carcass traits and carcass cuts of Santa Inês crossbred lambs provided with two R:C ratios and water supplies

	R:C R:C		Wate	Water (%)		P Value		
Performance	30:70	70:30	1.0	0.5	R:C	Water	R:C × water	S.E.M.
Total BW gain (kg)	13	9	12	10	<0.001	0.004	NS	5.2
ADG (g)	201	151	195	157	<0.001	0.004	NS	8.2
SW (kg)	29	26	28	27	0.005	NS	NS	5.1
Carcass trait								
HCW (kg)	14	12	13	13	<0.001	NS	NS	3.0
HCY (proportion)	0.49	0.46	0.47	0.48	0.006	NS	NS	0.044
CCW (kg)	13	11	12	12	0.001	NS	NS	3.0
CCY (proportion)	0.46	0.44	0.45	0.45	0.003	NS	NS	0.043
CL (proportion)	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.06	NS	NS	NS	0.027
DP (proportion)	0.57	0.58	0.57	0.58	NS	NS	NS	0.056
FGT (kg)	7	7	7	7	NS	NS	NS	1.6
EGT (kg)	3	2	2	2	<0.001	NS	NS	7.0
GTCW (kg)	4	5	4	4	<0.001	NS	NS	1.5
EBW (kg)	25	21	23	22	<0.000	NS	NS	4.9
IF (g)	370	240	304	307	<0.001	NS	NS	19.1
Carcass cuts (kg)								
Neck	0.65	0.56	0.60	0.61	0.011	NS	NS	0.018
Shoulder	1.18	1.10	1.14	1.14	NS	NS	NS	0.026
Rib	1.12	1.02	1.08	1.05	NS	NS	NS	0.029
Hindquarter (Pistola cut)	0.61	0.57	0.59	0.59	NS	NS	NS	0.022
Loin	0.66	0.60	0.63	0.62	NS	NS	NS	0.019
Leg	2.03	1.85	1.95	1.93	NS	NS	NS	0.054

ADG, average daily gain; SW, slaughter weight; HCW, hot carcass weight; HCY, hot carcass yield; CCW, cold carcass weight; CCY, cold carcass yield; CL, chilling loss; DP, dressing percentage; FGT, full gastrointestinal tract; EGT, empty gastrointestinal tract; GTCW, gastrointestinal tract content weight; EBW, empty body weight; IF, internal fat; SEM = standard error of the mean.

Water supply did not affect (P > 0.05) the percentages of moisture, protein, ash, or total lipids (Table 3). The R:C of the diet only influenced (P < 0.05) the percentage of moisture, which was highest in the meat from the animals fed the diets with less concentrate.

The colour ( $L^*$ ,  $a^*$  and  $b^*$ ), shear force and pH measurements of the meat were not affected by the R:C of the diet or by water supply. Water restriction affected (P < 0.05) cooking loss; the highest values for this variable were seen in the lambs subjected to water restriction (Table 3).

# **Discussion**

The current study proposes to evaluate the effects of two R:C ratios and water restriction on the carcass yield and meat quality of feedlot lambs. The supply of higher proportions of concentrate has been proposed as a way to increase digestibility and consequently increase weight gain and carcass yield. Parente *et al.* (2016) observed that DM digestibility increased linearly with increasing levels of concentrate in lamb diets.

Total BW gain, average daily gain, final BW and slaughter weight were higher in the group that received the larger proportion of concentrate. Diets with a higher proportion of concentrate

lead to increased propionate production in the rumen (Clementino *et al.*, 2007; Agle *et al.*, 2010). Upon being absorbed by the rumen wall, this fatty acid becomes the main substrate for hepatic gluconeogenesis, resulting in increased availability of energy in the form of glucose and, consequently, increased average daily weight gain (Sousa *et al.*, 2012). This response was also due to the higher intakes of CP, EE and ME seen in that group, which led to increased muscle tissue deposition and reduced fibre intake.

The higher CP intake (113.40 g/day higher proportion of concentrate and 85.75 g/day for low, Silva et al., 2016) resulted in increased weight gain and carcass yield. This increased weight gain is a response to the higher TDN intake (679.91 g/day higher proportion of concentrate and 548.45 g/day for low, Silva et al., 2016), since, as stated by Pereira et al. (2015), higher TDN intakes increase microbial protein production. Microbial protein is considered the main source of metabolizable protein (MP) for ruminants (Santos and Mendonça, 2011). Additionally, MP is mentioned by the NRC (1996) as the total absorbable amino acids in the small intestine resulting from the intestinal digestion of microbial protein and rumen-undegradable protein. Thus, it is suggested that the larger the amount of amino acids absorbed in the small intestine, the higher weight gain can be.

Variable	R:C R:C		Water (%)		<i>P</i> Value			
	30:70	70:30	1.0	0.5	R:C	Water	R:C × water	S.E.M.
Moisture (g/kg)	750	759	753	756	0.001	NS	NS	2.0
Ash (g/kg)	11.2	11.1	11.2	11.1	NS	NS	NS	0.10
Protein (g/kg)	216	214	217	213	NS	NS	NS	5.2
Total lipids (g/kg)	29	28	29	28	NS	NS	NS	1.7
L*	37	35	35	37	NS	NS	NS	6.1
a*	15	14	14	15	NS	NS	NS	3.6
b*	8	8	8	8	NS	NS	NS	2.8
SF	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	NS	NS	NS	0.50
CL	27	25	24	28	NS	0027	NS	8.7
mII	-	6	6	6	NC	NC	NC	1.0

**Table 3.** Centesimal composition, colour ( $L^*$ ,  $a^*$  and  $b^*$ ), shear force, cooking loss and pH of meat from Santa Inês crossbred lambs according to the R:C ratio and water supply

s.e.m., standard error of the mean; L, lightness; a, intensity of red; b, intensity of yellow; SF, shear force; CL, cooking loss.

A larger proportion of concentrate in the diet also contributes to a reduction of energy losses as fermentation gases (mainly methane) and to decreased production of dissipated heat originating from the fermentation of fibrous substrates, resulting in a more efficient utilization of ME (Pereira et al., 2010).

Water restriction to 0.5 of the daily requirement affected average daily weight gain, total weight gain and final BW (Table 2). The lower daily DM intake in response to water restriction (724.55 g/day for water restriction and 879.55 g/day for water intake free, Silva et al., 2016) led to a decrease in average daily weight gain, consequently reducing total weight gain and final BW. Jaber et al. (2013) reported that DM intake by ruminants may be influenced by changes in the osmolarity of body fluids such as hypovolemia and hyperosmolality due to saliva and gastric juice production. These mechanisms may stimulate ruminants to ingest water while eating or not to eat when dehydrated. In the current study, water restriction reduced water intake (2.88 and 1.53 kg/day for water intake free and water restriction, respectively (Silva et al., 2016)).

Forbes (2007) stated that water is the most important nutrient and that its intake is usually twice as much as the animal's DM intake. Water is important for the processes of digestion and nutrient metabolism, considering that restricted water supply reduces the secretion of digestive juices. The intake of drinking water by ruminants is affected by the DM content of the feed (Fischer *et al.*, 2017).

Water restriction to 0.5 did not affect carcass weights or yields because of the quality of the feed supplied to the animals. This result conflicts with those observed by Tibin *et al.* (2011), who reported lower slaughter weight, HCW and EBW in animals with restricted access to water, at 2- to 3-day intervals; however, those animals did not receive concentrate supplementation, and hence their lower weight gain. Moreover, the water restriction period in their study was shorter than that tested in the present study.

Higher HCY and CCY were observed in the animals which received the larger amount of concentrate. These findings are explained by the higher intakes of protein, EE and TDN, which led to higher protein synthesis and muscle development in the animals. Carcass yield depends on the visceral content, which

corresponds to the digestive tract, ranging from 8 to 18% of BW (Sainz, 1996), and which varies depending on the nature of the feed, duration of fast and gastrointestinal tract development. This might explain the higher carcass yields found in the group fed the high-concentrate diet.

In the current study, the animals which received the diet with a higher proportion of concentrate exhibited a heavier empty gastrointestinal tract weight (P < 0.05). The higher amount of starch derived from the maize present in the high-concentrate diets can explain this response. Ren *et al.* (2016) evaluated the gastrointestinal tract development of lambs fed diets with high proportions of amylose and amylopectin and noted that the diet with the maize source improved the weight and volume of the gastrointestinal tract as well as accelerated the physical development of the rumen and intestine compartments. The diet with the higher proportion of concentrate contained 352.8 g NFC  $\nu$ . 249.9 g in the high-roughage diet (Table 1). There was probably a higher starch fermentation rate in the gastrointestinal tract and, consequently, higher development of this tissue.

The animals which received the diet with more roughage presented a heavier gastrointestinal tract weight (P < 0.05), which may be explained by the high NDF content of the high-roughage diet. In the current study, the diet with more roughage contained 26% more NDF than the high-concentrate treatment (Table 1). According to Mertens (1997), NDF is a slow and incompletely digestible fraction which has a filling effect on the gastrointestinal tract.

A lower EBW is obtained when diets with lower percentages of concentrate and high percentages of NDF are supplied, because the latter are less digestible and remain a longer period in the gastrointestinal tract during the fasting period (Medeiros et al., 2009). This can be verified by the gastrointestinal tract weight, which was heavier in the animals fed diets with more roughage.

The energy intake level may modify the partitioning of energy utilization for the synthesis of protein and lipids or development of muscle and fat tissues (Oddy, 1993; Hocquette *et al.*, 1998). However, the R:C of the diet had no effect on the weight and yield of cuts, except for neck weight, despite affecting HCW.

648 C. M. Silva Moura *et al.* 

The R:C of the diet affected the percentage of moisture in the LM, which was likely because of the differences in slaughter weight obtained with the diets. Bonagurio *et al.* (2004) stated that a heavier slaughter weight resulted in decreased moisture content. More-advanced ages and heavier weights at slaughter usually result in a larger carcass fat content, and hence the reduced meat moisture content.

Average shear force was 1.00 kgf/cm<sup>2</sup>, which is a very low value, characterizing the meat as tender. According to Cezar and Sousa (2007), lamb meat with shear force values lower than 2.27 kgf/cm<sup>2</sup> can be classified as tender. This value is probably because of the young age at which the animals were slaughtered, which was 8 months, on average. Gularte *et al.* (2000) evaluated the tenderness of meat from lambs slaughtered at different ages and observed that the shear force of the LM of the animals slaughtered at 9 months (3.43 kgf/cm<sup>2</sup>) was greater than that found in lambs slaughtered at 8 months of age (2.31 kgf/cm<sup>2</sup>).

Though not significant, the *ad libitum* water supply led to a higher total lipid content in the meat, which consequently resulted in lower cooking losses. Higher intra- (marbling) and intermuscular fat levels have been reported to provide lower cooking losses and juicier meats, which is explained by the fat present in the meat acting as a barrier against moisture loss (Sañudo *et al.*, 1997).

In the present study, the meat colour values ( $L^*$ ,  $a^*$  and  $b^*$ ) were not influenced by water restriction or feed supply. The meat colour is the first characteristic considered by the consumer when deciding about its quality and acceptability, as it reflects the chemical state and myoglobin content of the muscle. According to Fleck *et al.* (2015), colour represents a very important criterion in the determination of meat quality and is used as a parameter to classify the meat into pale, soft and exudative or dark, firm, dry.

The meat pH was not affected by R:C or water supply. This result contrasts with those published by Asplund and Pfander (1972), who reported lower pH values in the meat from lambs whose water supply was limited, probably as a result of the larger quantities of fatty acids produced. The pH ranged from 5.4 to 5.8, which is within the recommended thresholds of 5.5 to 5.8 for lamb meat (Silva and Sobrinho, 2005).

# **Conclusions**

Water restriction reduces ADG, but does not affect slaughter weight or carcass and meat yields. A higher proportion of concentrate in the diet results in heavier HCW and CCW and HCY and CCY and heavier EBW. Restricting the water supply to 0.5 of the amount consumed *ad libitum* does not affect the centesimal composition, colour, shear force or pH measurements of meat from Santa Inês crossbred lambs.

# Implications/recommendations

In extreme drought conditions, it is recommended to provide soluble carbohydrate sources to the animals, as they will supply metabolic water to the organism upon being oxidized. In the present study, water restriction did not alter the meat characteristics or carcass yield. Therefore, to overcome the effects of water scarcity without affecting animal performance, the water supply can be restricted to up to of the animal's daily requirement in situations of low availability. However, further research should be undertaken to reinforce the effect of water restriction on the carcass yield and meat characteristics of lambs and other ruminants.

**Financial support.** The authors acknowledge the support of CAPES, Embrapa and the Federal University of Paraiba.

Conflict of interest. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

**Ethical standards.** The experiment was approved by the Ethics Committee on Animal Science at the Federal University of Campina Grande (approval no. 105-2013 – UFCG) and, in compliance with those norms, the animals did not suffer during the experimental procedures.

## References

- Agle M, Hristov AN, Zaman S, Schneider C, Ndegwa PM and Vaddella VK (2010) Effect of dietary concentrate on rumen fermentation, digestibility, and nitrogen losses in dairy cows. *Journal Dairy Science* 93, 4211–4222.
- Ahmed Muna MM and El Shafei Ammar I (2001) Effects of water and feed restriction on body weight change and nitrogen balance in desert goats fed high and low quality forages. Small Ruminant Research 41, 19–27.
- AOAC (1990) Official Methods of Analysis, 15th Edn. Washington: Association OF Official Analytical Chemists.
- APAC (2017) Agencia Pernambucana de água e clima. Available at http:// www.apac.pe.gov.br/busca.php.
- Asplund JM and Pfander WH (1972) Effects of water restriction on nutrient digestibility in sheep receiving fixed water: feed ratios. *Journal of Animal Science* 35, 1271–1274.
- Ben Salem H and Smith T (2008) Feeding strategies to increase small ruminant production in dry environments. Small Ruminant Research 77, 174–194.
- Bonagurio S, Pérez JRO, Furusho-Garcia IF, Santos CL and Lima AL (2004) Composição centesimal da carne de cordeiros Santa Inês puros e de seus mestiços com Texel abatidos com diferentes pesos. *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia* 6, 2387–2393.
- Cezar MF and Sousa WH (2007) Carcaças ovinas e caprinas: obtenção, avaliação e classificação. Uberaba: Agropecuária Tropical, 232p.
- Chedid M, Jaber LS, Giger-Reverdin S, Duvaux-Ponter C and Hamadeh SK (2014) Review: water stress in sheep raised under arid conditions. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science* 94, 243–257.
- Clementino RH, Sousa WH, Medeiros NA, Cunha MGG, Gonzaga Neto S, Carvalho FFR and Cavalcante MAB (2007) Influência dos níveis de crescimento sobre os contratos comerciais, os componentes não-carcaça e os componentes da perna de cordeiros confinados. Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia 36, 681–688.
- Fischer A, Kaiser T and Pickert J (2017) Studies on drink water intake of fallow deer, sheep and mouflon inder semi-natural pasture conditions. *Grassland Science* **63**, 46–53.
- Fleck ŽC, Kozačinski L, Njari B, Marenčić D, Mršić G, Špiranec K, Špoljarić D, Čop MJ, Živković M and Popović M (2015) Technological properties and chemical composition of the meat of sheep fed with *Agaricus bisporus* supplement. *Veterinarski Arhiv* 6, 591–600.
- Folch J, Lees M and Sloane Stanley GH (1957) A simple method for the isolation and purification of total lipids from animal tissues. *Journal Biological Chemistry* 226, 497–509.
- Forbes JM (2007) Voluntary Food Intake and Diet Selection in Farm Animals, 2nd Edn. Wallingford, UK: CABI Publishing.
- Gularte MA, Treptow RO, Pouey JLF and Osório JC (2000) Idade e sexo na maciez da carne ovina da raça Corriedale. Ciência Rural 30, 485–488.
- Hocquette JF, Ortigues-Martya I, Pethickb D, Herpinc P and Fernandezd X (1998) Nutritional and hormonal regulation of energy metabolism in skeletal muscles of meat-producing animals. *Livestock Production Science* 56, 115–143.
- Jaber L, Chedid M and Hamadeh S (2013) Water stress in small ruminants.
  In Akıncı Ş (ed.), Responses of Organisms to Water Stress. Rijeka, Croatia:
  INTECH Open Access Publisher, pp. 115–150.
- Jacob RH, Pethick DW, Clark P, D'Souza DN, Hopkins DL and White J (2006) Quantifying the hydration status of lambs in relation to carcass characteristics. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture 46, 429–437.
- Lima MC, Vargas Júnior FM, Martins CF, Santos Pinto G, Nubiato KEZ and Fernandes ARM (2012) Características de carcaça de cordeiros nativos de Mato Grosso do Sul terminados em confinamento. Revista Agrarian 5, 384–392.

- Medeiros GR, Carvalho FFR, Batista AMV, Dutra Junior WM, Santos GRA and Andrade DKB (2009) Efeitos dos níveis de concentrado sobre características de carcaça de ovinos Morada Nova em confinamento. Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia 38, 718–727.
- **Mertens DR** (1997) Creating a system for meeting the fiber requirements of dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **80**, 1463–1481.
- NRC (National Research Council) (1989) Nutrient Requirements of Dairy Cattle, 6th rev. Edn. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- NRC (National Research Council) (2007) Nutrient Requirements of Small Ruminants, 6th rev. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- NRC National Research Council (1996) Nutrient Requirements of Beef Cattle, 7th Edn. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 242p.
- Oddy VH (1993) Regulation of muscle protein metabolism in sheep and lambs: nutritional, endocrine and genetic aspects. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 44, 901–913.
- Parente N, Parente MOM, Gomes RMS, Sodré WJS, Moreira Filho MA, Rodrigues MC and Araújo JS (2016) Increase levels of concentrate on digestibility, performance and ingestibe behavior in lambs. *Revista Brasileira de Saúde e Produção Animal* 17, 186.
- Pereira ES, Pimentel PG, Fontenele RM, Medeiros NA, Regadas Filho JGL and Villarroel ABS (2010) Características e rendimentos de carcaça e de cortes em ovinos Santa Inês, alimentados com diferentes concentrações de energia metabolizável. Acta Scientiarum. Animal Sciences 32. 431–437.
- Pereira ES, Oliveira AP, Heinzen EL, Araújo TLAC and Pereira MWF (2015) Exigências nutricionais e desempenho de ovinos deslanados em crescimento. In 52ª Reunião Anual da Sociedade Brasileira de Zootecnia SBZ. Belo Horizonte- MG. Anais... Belo Horizonte: Sociedade Brasileira de Zootecnia, 2015, pp. 32–34.
- Ren W, Zhao FF, Zhang AZ, Jiang N, Wu Q QIL, Liu XM, Yang K, Liu W, Zhu S, Wang LX and Y UM (2016) Gastrointestinal tract development in fattening lambs fed diets with different amylose to amylopectin ratios. Canadian Journal of Animal Science 96, 425–433.

- Sainz RD (1996) Qualidade de carcaças e da carne bovina. In Reunião Anual Da Sociedade Brasileira De Zootecnia, 33, 1996, Anais... Fortaleza: SBZ, 3–14.
- Santos FAP and Mendonça AP (2011) Metabolismo de proteínas. In Berchielli TT, Pires AV and Oliveira SG (eds), Nutrição De Ruminantes, 2nd Edn. Jaboticabal, SP: FUNEP, pp. 265–292.
- Sañudo C, Sierra I, María GA, Olleta JL and Santolaria P (1997) Breed effect on carcass and meat quality of suckling lambs. *Meat Science* **46**, 357–365.
- SAS (2002) SAS User's Guide Statistics, Version 9.1. Cary, NC, USA: SAS Institute Inc.
- Silva CM, Araújo GGL, Oliveira BYS, Azevêdo JAG and Furtado DA (2016)
  Performance and economic viability of feedlot sheep fed different levels of roughage, concentrate, and water. Semina: Ciências Agrárias 37, 1595–1606.
- Silva Sobrinho AG (2005) Produção de carne ovina com qualidade. In: Simpósio de Qualidade da Carne, v. 2, Jaboticabal. Anais... Jaboticabal: Funep, 2005. pp. 25–27.
- Sousa WH, Cartaxo FQ, Costa RG, Cezar MF, Cunha MGG, Pereira Filho JM and Santos NM (2012) Biological end economic performance of feedlot lambs feeding on diets with different energy densities. Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia 41, 1285–1291.
- Tibin MAM, Bushara I, Elemam MB, Tibin IM and Jadalla JB (2011) Carcass characteristics of desert sheep under range conditions in north kordofan state, Sudan. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 2, 439–444.
- Van Soest PJ, Robertson JB and Lewis BA (1991) Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber, and nonstarch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. *Journal of Dairy Science* 74, 3583–3597.
- Weiss WP (1999) Energy prediction equations for ruminant feeds. In: CORNELL NUTRITION CONFERENCE FEED MANUFACTURES, 61., 1999, Ithaca. Proceedings... Ithaca: Cornell University, 1999, pp. 176–185.
- Wheeler TL, Shackelford SD and Koohmaraie M (1995) Standardized Warner-Bratzler shear force procedures for meat tenderness measurement. Clay Center: Roman L. Hruska U.S. MARC. USDA. 7p.
- Wickens GE (1998) Ecophysiology of Economic Plants in Arid and Semiarid Lands. New York: Springer, p. 335.