Utility of Intraindividual Reaction Time Variability to Predict White Matter Hyperintensities: A Potential Assessment Tool for Clinical Contexts?

David Bunce,^{1,2} Allison A. M. Bielak,³ Nicolas Cherbuin,² Philip J. Batterham,⁴ Wei Wen,^{5,6} Perminder Sachdev,^{5,6} AND Kaarin J. Anstey²

¹Institute of Psychological Sciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom ²Centre for Research on Ageing, Health and Wellbeing, The Australian National University, Canberra, Australia

³Department of Human Development and Family Studies, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado

⁵Neuropsychiatric Institute, Prince of Wales Hospital, Randwick, New South Wales, Australia

⁶Centre for Health Brain Ageing, School of Psychiatry, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia

(RECEIVED December 13, 2012; FINAL REVISION July 1, 2013; ACCEPTED July 1, 2013; FIRST PUBLISHED ONLINE AUgust 8, 2013)

Abstract

Intraindividual variability (IIV) refers to reaction time (RT) variation across the trials of a given cognitive task. Little research has contrasted different measures of IIV or assessed how many RT trials are required to provide a robust measure of the construct. We, therefore, investigated three measures of IIV (raw *SD*, coefficient of variation, and intraindividual *SD* statistically removing time-on-task effects) in relation to frontal white matter hyperintensities (obtained through structural MRI) in 415 cognitively normal community-dwelling adults aged 44 to 48 years. Results indicated the three IIV measures did not differ greatly in predictions of white matter hyperintensities, although it is possible that time-on-task effects were influential. As few as 20 trials taking approximately 52 s to administer provided a reliable prediction of frontal white matter hyperintensities. We conclude that future work should evaluate the comparative utility of different IIV measures in relation to persons exhibiting clear neuropathology. (*JINS*, 2013, *19*, 971–976)

Keywords: Age, Within-person variability, White matter hyperintensities, Frontal cortex lesions, Neuropsychological assessment, Reaction time, Cognition

INTRODUCTION

Intraindividual reaction time (RT) variability refers to the within-person trial-to-trial response time variability of a given cognitive task. It is the focus of considerable research interest in the cognitive and clinical neuropsychology of aging fields as well as several other areas (e.g., schizophrenia, head injury) because it may provide a behavioral marker of neurobiological disturbance (Hultsch, Strauss, Hunter, & MacDonald, 2008; MacDonald, Nyberg, & Backman, 2006). Consistent with this proposition, greater intraindividual variability (IIV) is associated with mild dementia (Bielak, Hultsch, Strauss, MacDonald, & Hunter, 2010; Cherbuin, Sachdev, & Anstey, 2010; Hultsch, MacDonald, Hunter, Levy-Bencheton, & Strauss, 2000; Tales et al., 2012), Parkinson's disease (de Frias,

Dixon, Fisher, & Camicioli, 2007), brain injury (Stuss, Pogue, Buckle, & Bonder, 1994), mild psychopathology (Bunce, Handley, & Gaines, 2008), and it also increases in normal aging (Bielak, Cherbuin, Bunce, & Anstey, 2013; Hultsch, MacDonald, & Dixon, 2002).

As this brief review shows, measures of IIV have considerable potential in clinical contexts as they may aid identification and diagnosis of a range of neurobiological disorders. Additionally, they are quick to administer. However, although there has been considerable research investigating IIV, there is little consensus concerning how to measure the construct. This is evidenced by the literature where several computations of IIV can be found using varying numbers of RT trials derived from a wide selection of neuropsychological tasks. Additionally, the relative merits of the different measures of IIV have received little attention. Three metrics are predominant in the literature; the raw intraindividual *SD* which does not control for any potential confounding influences; the coefficient of variation (i.e., intraindividual

⁴Centre for Mental Health Research, The Australian National University, Canberra, Australia

Correspondence and reprint requests to: David Bunce, Institute of Psychological Sciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK. E-mail: d.bunce@leeds.ac.uk

SD/raw intraindividual M) which adjusts IIV by the mean level of RT performance; and, the intraindividual SD that statistically partials out influences that may artefactually inflate IIV such as time-on-task effects (e.g., Hultsch et al., 2002; additionally, see Hultsch et al., 2008, for a statistical discussion of each method). Few studies have directly contrasted different measures of IIV, and those that have suggest that different IIV metrics produce converging results (e.g., Lovden, Li, Shing, & Lindenberger, 2007). Research also shows that caution should be observed when controlling for influences such as mean RT as the method used can affect IIV associations with, for example, age (Dykiert, Der, Starr, & Deary, 2012b). Importantly though, direct comparisons of the raw intraindividual SD to that adjusted for mean RT indicate that age-related increases in IIV are not accounted for by mean RT (Dykiert, Der, Starr, & Deary, 2012a), a finding suggesting that IIV captures sources of variance other than those attributable to age-related slowing. This is underlined by studies showing that relative to mean RT, IIV is particularly sensitive to mild cognitive impairment (e.g., Dixon et al., 2007) and mild psychopathology (e.g., Bunce et al., 2008).

As there is a need for additional information regarding the measures used to quantify IIV, in the present study we compared the three commonly used measures and asked (1) which measure provides the strongest concurrent prediction of frontal white matter hyperintensities, and (2) how many RT trials are required to achieve this? To answer these questions, we used data from a recent study (Bunce et al., 2010) in a sample of adults aged 44 to 48 years drawn from the population-based PATH Through Life Study. In this investigation, we described the association between within-person variability and left frontal cortex macroscopic white matter lesions (referred to as white matter hyperintensities: WMH) obtained from T1-weighted MRI scans. In other studies, WMH have been associated with cognitive decline in non-demented individuals and particularly in frontal executive function (Jokinen et al., 2011; van der Flier et al., 2005), a variety of histopathological abnormalities (Gouw et al., 2011), and future risk of dementia (Debette & Markus, 2010). These findings suggest WMH have potential as early markers of cerebral ill-health.

There are several features of our earlier study that make it well-suited for the present evaluative purposes. First, although the future clinical status of our participants is currently unclear, the presence of WMH in this sample of apparently healthy middle aged adults is a possible early marker of age-related neuropathology. Second, the narrow age range minimizes the potential confounding influence of the between-subject factor age on the degree of within-person variability. Third, the RTs were drawn from a psychomotor task (choice RT), variations of which are typically used in aging and clinical neuropsychological research. Finally, 40 trials were administered, allowing estimations of the strength of association between WMH and IIV using varying numbers of RT trials to compute the latter variable. Although we also found an association between IIV and temporal WMH in the original investigation (Bunce et al., 2010), here, we focus on the association between IIV and left

frontal WHM as (a) theoretically, it is proposed that IIV is related to executive or attentional control (Bunce, MacDonald, & Hultsch, 2004; Bunce, Warr, & Cochrane, 1993; West, Murphy, Armilio, Craik, & Stuss, 2002) supported by the frontal cortex, and (b) there is functional MRI evidence that IIV is associated with task-related activity in the left middle prefrontal cortex (Bellgrove, Hester, & Garavan, 2004), and neuropsychological research showing frontal lesions are associated with increased IIV (Stuss, Murphy, Binns, & Alexander, 2003).

METHOD

Participants

Data for the present study were drawn from 2530 persons aged 44 to 48 years recruited from the electoral roll in Canberra and surrounding areas in Australia, who were participating in the PATH Through Life Project, a longitudinal populationbased investigation of age, cognition and mental health (see Anstey et al., 2012). A randomly selected subsample of 656 persons was offered an MRI scan, 431 of whom eventually completed. Detailed information on the sample from which the present subsample was drawn, image analysis and methods, can be found in the earlier report (Bunce et al., 2010). Here, 415 persons (*M* age = 46.70; *SD* = 1.43; 227 women; *M* years of education = 14.82; SD = 2.28; non-Caucasian = 16) were included in the analyses. This figure is slightly lower than in the earlier investigation which used an imputation procedure to replace missing data for a minority of cases. Participants with or without left frontal white matter hyperintensities did not differ significantly on any of the demographic variables (ps > .55). All aspects of the project were approved by the Australian National University Human Research Ethics Committee.

Choice RT Task

As part of a wider neuropsychological battery, forty two-choice RT trials were administered using a small response box held with both hands, with left and right buttons at the top that were depressed using the index fingers. The front of the box had two red stimulus lights under the left and right buttons respectively and a green "get-ready" light in the middle beneath these. Following the "get-ready" light, one of the two red stimulus lights randomly illuminated to which participants were instructed to respond as quickly and as accurately as possible by pressing the corresponding response button. The interval between the "get-ready" light and the first light of the trial was 2.3 s, but the interval time for the remaining trials varied.

Computation of Intraindividual Variability Measures

Preprocessing of data for computation of IIV measures followed procedures commonly used in the literature (e.g., Hultsch et al., 2002). Initially, RTs for incorrect trials were removed together with unusually fast responses (<150 ms)

and those greater than the individual mean + 3 individual *SDs*. The resulting missing values (<6.4%) were replaced using a regression substitution procedure where individual regression equations across all valid trials for each participant were computed to predict and replace the missing values. As this approach tends to reduce within-person variability, it represents a conservative approach to the study if IIV.

The raw SD was computed as the standard deviation for each individual across the trials of the task. Additionally, two other commonly used measures of IIV were assessed. In the first, the coefficient of variation (referred to as CV) was computed as raw intraindividual SD/raw intraindividual MRT. For the final measure, a regression procedure was used to compute the intraindividual SDs (referred to as ISD) where residuals were saved having partialed out categorical trial effects (i.e., time-on-task effects). The residuals obtained were then standardized and converted into t scores, and finally an estimate of each individual's standard deviation across the trials was computed. Due to the narrow age range, neither age nor any other between-subject factor was taken into account in this residualization procedure. The three metrics were computed for the first 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, and 40 trials, resulting in 24 different measures for each individual (8 raw SDs; 8 CVs; 8 residualized ISDs).

MRI Image Acquisition and Processing

Full details of the imaging protocol and analysis of WMH can be found elsewhere (Bunce et al., 2010; Wen, Sachdev, Li, Chen, & Anstey, 2009). Briefly, MRI data were collected using a 1.5 Tesla Gyroscan scanner (ACS-NT, Philips Medical Systems, Best, The Netherlands). T1-weighted three-dimensional (3D) structural MRI images were acquired in coronal plane using a Fast Field Echo sequence. The fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) sequence used to estimate WMH was acquired with TR = 11,000 ms, TE = 140 ms, TI = 2,600, number of excitations = 2, matrix size = 256×256 , and the field of view was 230×230 mm. Slice thickness was 4.0 mm with no gap between slices and in-plane spatial resolution was 0.898×0.898 mm/pixel. The FLAIR and 3D T1 structural images of the same subject were co-registered using wellestablished procedures (Wen et al., 2009).

RESULTS

Table 1 presents descriptive data for raw *SD*s, CVs, and ISDs, and the average time to administer the task for the various

numbers of trials. Consideration of mean scores for raw SD and CV measures suggests that IIV marginally declines with increasing numbers of trials. However, for the ISD measure, which controlled for time-on-task effects, it is noticeable that following a lower score for the 5-trial measure, values were relatively stable from 10 trials onward. This may reflect a practice effect for the opening trials. To assess the number of trials required to obtain a stable metric relative to the 40 trials estimate, we conducted a series of t tests that compared the measure obtained for each number of trials with that for 40 trials. Table 2, which details the paired t tests and corresponding bivariate correlations, shows that for raw SD, 30 trials were required before a nonsignificant result was obtained in comparison to the 40 trial metric, and for the CV measure, 35 trials were needed. For the ISD metric, however, only 10 trials were required before nonsignificant comparisons were obtained. This finding suggests that partialing out time on task effects may result in a stable measure of IIV after fewer trials than for the raw SD and CV computations. (Bonferroni corrections to the above t tests only additionally rendered the comparison for raw RT, 10 trials nonsignificant.)

We then formally tested the IIV measures in relation to WMH. As WMH distributions were bimodal, the variability measures computed for varying numbers of RT trials were subjected to logistic regression where left frontal WMH volumes (present or absent) were regressed onto intracranial volume and white matter volume at Step 1 (to control for individual differences in neuroanatomical volumes), and at Step 2 the respective IIV measures. The model was repeated for each of the three versions of the IIV measure computed for the first 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, and 40 RT trials. The statistic *B*, together with the associated standard error and *p* value obtained from the respective logistic regression models is reported in Table 3.

With regard to the strength of association between the respective IIV measures and WMH as indicated by the coefficient *B* obtained from the logistic regression models, it can be seen that for all measures, consistently significant predictions of WMH were obtained from metrics of 20 trials and upward. The ISD measure that controlled for time-on-task effects produced the most conservative estimates. As there are several variables that may influence our findings, we repeated the logistic regressions adjusting for gender, years of education, ethnicity, depression, and history of head injuries. The results from these repeated models did not substantially differ from the original findings. Additionally, rerunning the models

Table 1. Means (SDs) for IIV measures and administration time as a function of number of trials

-								
Trials	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40
M Raw SD	59.54 (22.54)	58.24 (20.86)	59.47 (18.97)	58.22 (18.68)	57.82 (18.02)	56.72 (17.21)	55.95 (16.74)	56.27 (19.77)
M CV	.20 (.07)	.21 (.06)	.21 (.05)	.20 (.05)	.20 (.05)	.20 (.05)	.19 (.04)	.19 (.05)
M ISD ^a	6.76 (3.15)	7.34 (3.24)	7.64 (2.84)	7.63 (2.80)	7.66 (2.66)	7.67 (2.59)	7.68 (2.51)	7.56 (2.94)
M admin time (s)	12.99	25.97	38.96	51.94	64.93	77.91	90.90	103.88

^a*T*-score metric where the effects of trial are removed.

	Raw RT				CV		ISD		
	<i>r</i> with 40	<i>t</i> with 40	p with 40	<i>r</i> with 40	<i>t</i> with 40	p with 40	<i>r</i> with 40	<i>t</i> with 40	<i>p</i> with 40
5 trials	0.57	3.36	.001	0.47	4.27	.000	0.55	-5.68	.000
10 trials	0.71	2.61	.009	0.69	6.65	.000	0.68	-1.82	.070
15 trials	0.77	4.97	.000	0.76	8.67	.000	0.74	0.75	.455
20 trials	0.83	3.52	.000	0.81	7.55	.000	0.82	0.86	.390
25 trials	0.86	3.12	.002	0.85	5.01	.000	0.85	1.34	.181
30 trials	0.87	0.95	.342	0.86	3.26	.001	0.87	1.44	.150
35 trials	0.88	-0.70	.485	0.87	0.21	.835	0.88	1.70	.090

Table 2. Comparisons of each metric calculated with different numbers of trials with 40 trials

Note. r with 40: correlation of IIV estimate at *n* trials with estimate at 40 trials. *t* with 40: paired *t*-test comparing IIV estimate at *n* trials with estimate at 40 trials. *p* with 40: *p*-value from paired *t*-test comparing IIV estimate at *n* trials with estimate at 40 trials.

adjusting for individual mean RT attenuated some of the effects for IIV measures, but they all remained significant.

Next, we statistically compared the IIV metrics (raw *SD vs.* CV *vs.* ISD) by (a) repeating the logistic regressions for each trial number but including all three IIV measures in the models, and (b) computing the area under the curve (AUC) for each logistic regression model. The AUC estimates were then contrasted using the PROC Logistic procedure in SAS v9.3 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC, 2011) to examine whether there were differences in accuracy between the respective measures of IIV in predicting WMH for each number of trials. Neither procedure revealed any significant differences among the respective IIV measures (for AUC comparisons, ps > .34), suggesting the various metrics were similar in predicting WMH.

Finally, it is worth considering the average time taken to administer the IIV measures of different trials numbers (Table 1). For IIV metrics where a significant prediction of frontal WMH was obtained (20 trials upward), the average administration time for this CRT task was between 52 and 104 s. Together, these findings suggest that a behavioral marker of frontal white matter integrity may be obtained in less than 2 min in clinical settings.

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, no previous research has compared the number of trials that contribute to different measures of IIV in relation to neuroanatomical measures of potential clinical significance. Particular strengths of the study were that we investigated a narrow age range (44 to 48 years), thereby removing a major between-subject influence (i.e., age) that may confound computations of within-person variability, and assessed a large community-based sample of 415 persons. Findings suggested that using more trials to compute IIV metrics (i.e., 20 to 40 trials) produced stronger predictions of left frontal WMH. Our findings converged with those elsewhere (e.g., Lovden et al., 2007) in that there was little to distinguish the three IIV measures (raw *SD*, CV, ISD), although analyses suggested that some of the variation contributing to predictions may have stemmed from practice effects and later fatigue inflating the degree of within-person variability.

WMH reflect cerebrovascular disease and may provide an early marker of age-related neurological decline. Although the presence of WMH in this cognitively normal community-based sample is not necessarily indicative of future neurological status, our findings demonstrate that measures of IIV computed from relatively few RT trials are predictive of white matter integrity. Indeed, IIV measures computed from as little as 20 trials provided a significant prediction of WMH burden. As average administration times for the measures ranged from 51.94 s for 20 trials to 103.88 s for 40 trials, the potential use of these measures in clinical settings for assessment purposes is clear, particularly as they are quick to administer. However, there are several considerations in reaching this conclusion.

First, in the main, the strength of association grew with the number of trials administered. As random error reduces as the number of RT trials increase, the present findings suggest

Table 3. Logistic regression coefficients B (SE) for WMH regressed on IIV measures as a function of number of trials

Trials	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40
Raw SD	.015 (.008)	.014 (.007)	.016 (.009)	.019 (.009)	.023 (.009)	.025 (.009)	.024 (.010)	.019 (.007)
CV	5.77 (2.83)	5.73 (2.98)	6.33 (3.34)	6.90 (3.34)	8.64 (3.56)	9.46 (3.66)	9.47 (3.93)	7.95 (3.12)
p value	.041	.055	.058	.039	.015	.010	.016	.011
ISD	.093 (.052)	.089 (.045)	.090 (.055)	.112 (.054)	.137 (.058)	.144 (.060)	.141 (.063)	.119 (.048)
p value	.077	.049	.101	.038	.018	.016	.026	.013

Note. The models adjusted for intracranial and white matter volumes.

caution should be observed in the use of IIV measures computed from fewer than 20 trials. This is particularly true in relation to the raw SD and CV measures where, respectively, 30 and 35 trials were needed to obtain a stable estimate relative to the 40 trial metric. Moreover, it is clear from consideration of raw and partialed ISDs that some of the within-person variability in raw SDs that contributed to the association with WMH may have stemmed from time-ontask effects as estimates for the ISD measures that controlled for this influence, were more conservative. By statistically removing within-person variation related to factors such as practice and fatigue, it is likely that a closer approximation to the construct of interest-the IIV associated with macroscopic white matter lesions in the left frontal cortex-was obtained. Additionally, our findings relate to a psychomotor task, types of which are commonly used in cognitive and clinical neuropsychological research. It is important that future research conduct similar analyses in other typically used cognitive domains such as attention and memory, as well as systematically varying the number and order of trials grouped together in randomized experimental designs. Furthermore, given the potential for clinical screening demonstrated by numerous other investigations of IIV, an important next step for research is to develop a normative database of IIV measures taking into account age, education and neurological status.

A limitation we should acknowledge, however, is that, although the present analyses were based on a cognitively normal sample aged 44 to 48 years and, therefore, minimized the well-established influence of age on IIV, the findings may not generalize to older populations or persons suffering specific neurological disorders. Additionally, we do not know the future neurological status of those persons exhibiting WMH in the sample. However, the PATH Through Life study is a longitudinal investigation and we hope to shed light on these issues as the research progresses. Also, in the present study, we evaluated different numbers of trials relative to a maximum of 40 trials, identifying the minimum number required for each of the IIV measures to obtain a nonsignificant comparison. It is possible that had a greater total number of trials had been administered (e.g., 100), the minimum number of trials required for a nonsignificant comparison would also have been greater. Our conclusions, therefore, should be viewed within the context of the total number of trials administered in this study. Finally, in using a succession of logistic regression models to test the predictive utility of the respective IIV metrics for different numbers of trials, it is possible that the findings are subject to Type I error. However, we have presented the exact p values obtained for each model in Table 3, and the consistency of the findings lead us to believe that this is unlikely.

To conclude, although we do not currently know the future neurological status of our participants, the present findings suggest that measures of IIV that have taken into account the influence of time-on-task effects may have potential in clinical contexts for assessment purposes. Moreover, the findings suggest that statistically significant predictions can be obtained from relatively few RT trials administered in as little as 52 s. Although we do not advocate the use of IIV measures as a stand-alone screening or diagnostic tool, but rather as a possible supplement to existing neuropsychological and biological assessment methods, given the speed of administration, it is important that future research evaluates the comparative utility of IIV measures in relation persons exhibiting clear neuropathology.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

David Bunce was supported by a Leverhulme Trust (UK) Research Fellowship, Kaarin Anstey by National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Research Fellowship No. 366756, Nicolas Cherbuin by NHMRC Early Career Research Fellowship No. 471501 and Philip Batterham by NHMRC Early Career Research Fellowship No. 1035262. The research was also supported by NHMRC of Australia Unit Grant No. 973302, Program Grant No. 179805, Project grant No. 157125. We thank the study participants, and also Anthony Jorm, Bryan Rodgers, Helen Christensen, and PATH interviewers Patricia Jacomb and Karen Maxwell. The information in this manuscript and the manuscript itself has never been published either electronically or in print. The authors do not declare any conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Anstey, K.J., Christensen, H., Butterworth, P., Easteal, S., Mackinnon, A., Jacomb, T., ... Jorm, A.F. (2012). Cohort profile: The PATH Through Life Project. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 41, 951–960.
- Bellgrove, M.A., Hester, R., & Garavan, H. (2004). The functional neuroanatomical correlates of response variability: Evidence from a response inhibition task. *Neuropsychologia*, 42(14), 1910–1916.
- Bielak, A.A.M., Cherbuin, N., Bunce, D., & Anstey, K.J. (2013). Intraindividual variability is a fundamental phenomenon of aging: Evidence from an 8-year longitudinal study across young, middle, and older adulthood. *Developmental Psychology*, [Epub ahead of print].
- Bielak, A.A.M., Hultsch, D.F., Strauss, E., MacDonald, S.W.S., & Hunter, M.A. (2010). Intraindividual variability in reaction time predicts cognitive outcomes 5 years later. *Neuropsychology*, 24, 731–741.
- Bunce, D., Anstey, K.J., Cherbuin, N., Burns, R., Christensen, H., Wen, W., & Sachdev, P.S. (2010). Cognitive deficits are associated with frontal and temporal lobe white matter lesions in middle-aged adults living in the community. *PLoS One*, 5(10), e13567, doi:13510.11371/journal.pone.0013567
- Bunce, D., Handley, R., & Gaines, S.O., Jr. (2008). Depression, anxiety, and within-person variability in adults aged 18 to 85 years. *Psychology and Aging*, 23(4), 848–858.
- Bunce, D., MacDonald, S.W.S., & Hultsch, D.F. (2004). Inconsistency in serial choice decision and motor reaction times dissociate in younger and older adults. *Brain and Cognition*, 56(3), 320–327.
- Bunce, D., Warr, P.B., & Cochrane, T. (1993). Blocks in choice responding as a function of age and physical fitness. *Psychology* and Aging, 8(1), 26–33.
- Cherbuin, N., Sachdev, P., & Anstey, K.J. (2010). Neuropsychological predictors of transition from healthy cognitive aging to

mild cognitive impairment: The PATH Through Life Study. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, *18*(8), 723–733.

- Debette, S., & Markus, H.S. (2010). The clinical importance of white matter hyperintensities on brain magnetic resonance imaging: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *British Medical Journal*, *341*, c3666. doi:10.1136/bmj.c3666
- de Frias, C.M., Dixon, R.A., Fisher, N., & Camicioli, R. (2007). Intraindividual variability in neurocognitive speed: A comparison of Parkinson's disease and normal older adults. *Neuropsychologia*, 45(11), 2499–2507.
- Dixon, R.A., Garrett, D.D., Lentz, T.L., MacDonald, S.W.S., Strauss, E., & Hultsch, D.F. (2007). Neurocognitive markers of cognitive impairment: Exploring the roles of speed and inconsistency. *Neuropsychology*, 21(3), 381–399. doi:10.1037/0894-4105.21.3.381
- Dykiert, D., Der, G., Starr, J.M., & Deary, I.J. (2012a). Age differences in intra-individual variability in simple and choice reaction time: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One*, 7(10), e45759. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045759
- Dykiert, D., Der, G., Starr, J.M., & Deary, I.J. (2012b). Sex differences in reaction time mean and intraindividual variability across the life span. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. *Developmental Psychology*, 48(5), 1262–1276. doi:10.1037/ a0027550
- Gouw, A.A., Seewann, A., van der Flier, W.M., Barkhof, F., Rozemuller, A.M., Scheltens, P., & Geurts, J.J. (2011). Heterogeneity of small vessel disease: A systematic review of MRI and histopathology correlations. *Journal of Neurology*, *Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry*, 82(2), 126–135. doi:10.1136/ jnnp.2009.204685
- Hultsch, D.F., MacDonald, S.W., & Dixon, R.A. (2002). Variability in reaction time performance of younger and older adults. *Journal* of Gerontology B Psychological Sciences, 57(2), P101–P115.
- Hultsch, D.F., MacDonald, S.W., Hunter, M.A., Levy-Bencheton, J., & Strauss, E. (2000). Intraindividual variability in cognitive performance in older adults: Comparison of adults with mild dementia, adults with arthritis, and healthy adults. *Neuropsychol*ogy, 14(4), 588–598.
- Hultsch, D.F., Strauss, E., Hunter, M.A., & MacDonald, S.W.S. (2008). Intraindividual variability, cognition and aging. In: F.I.M. Craik, and

T.A. Salthouse (Eds.), *The handbook of aging and cognition* (3rd ed., pp. 491–556). New York: Psychology Press.

- Jokinen, H., Gouw, A.A., Madureira, S., Ylikoski, R., van Straaten, E.C., van der Flier, W.M., ... Erkinjuntti, T. (2011). Incident lacunes influence cognitive decline: The LADIS study. *Neurology*, 76(22), 1872–1878. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e31821 d752f
- Lovden, M., Li, S.C., Shing, Y.L., & Lindenberger, U. (2007). Within-person trial-to-trial variability precedes and predicts cognitive decline in old and very old age: Longitudinal data from the Berlin Aging Study. *Neuropsychologia*, 45(12), 2827–2838.
- MacDonald, S.W., Nyberg, L., & Backman, L. (2006). Intraindividual variability in behavior: Links to brain structure, neurotransmission and neuronal activity. *Trends in Neuroscience*, 29(8), 474–480.
- Stuss, D.T., Murphy, K.J., Binns, M.A., & Alexander, M.P. (2003). Staying on the job: The frontal lobes control individual performance variability. *Brain*, *126*(Pt 11), 2363–2380. doi:10.1093/brain/awg237
- Stuss, D.T., Pogue, J., Buckle, L., & Bonder, J. (1994). Characterization of stability of performance in patients with traumatic brain injury: Variability and consistency on reaction time tests. *Neuropsychology*, 8, 316–324.
- Tales, A., Leonards, U., Bompas, A., Snowden, R.J., Philips, M., Porter, G., & Bayer, A. (2012) ... Intra-individual reaction time variability in amnestic mild cognitive impairment: A precursor to dementia? *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*, 32, 457–466.
- van der Flier, W.M., van Straaten, E.C., Barkhof, F., Verdelho, A., Madureira, S., Pantoni, L., ... Scheltens, P. (2005). Small vessel disease and general cognitive function in nondisabled elderly: The LADIS study. *Stroke*, *36*(10), 2116–2120. doi:10.1161/ 01.STR.0000179092.59909.42
- Wen, W., Sachdev, P.S., Li, J.J., Chen, X., & Anstey, K.J. (2009). White matter hyperintensities in the forties: Their prevalence and topography in an epidemiological sample aged 44-48. *Human Brain Mapping*, 30(4), 1155–1167.
- West, R., Murphy, K.J., Armilio, M.L., Craik, F.I., & Stuss, D.T. (2002). Lapses of intention and performance variability reveal age-related increases in fluctuations of executive control. *Brain and Cognition*, *49*(3), 402–419.