cambridge.org/pax

Original Article

Cite this article: Jenkelowitz J, Garzon MG, Lynch K, Shuk E, Feindler E, Landau H, Applebaum A (2021). Exploring the caregiver's experience in an innovative homebound hematopoietic stem cell transplantation program. *Palliative and Supportive Care* **19**, 397–404. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S1478951520000954

Received: 23 March 2020 Revised: 7 August 2020 Accepted: 6 September 2020

Key words:

Caregiver burden; Caregivers; Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation; Homebound; Psychosocial support

Author for correspondence:

Allison Applebaum, Assistant Attending Psychologist, Director, Caregivers Clinic, Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, 641 Lexington Avenue, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10022, USA. E-mail: applebaa@mskcc.org

© The Author(s), 2020. Published by Cambridge University Press



Exploring the caregiver's experience in an innovative homebound hematopoietic stem cell transplantation program

Juliet Jenkelowitz, PSY.D.¹, Margaux Genoff Garzon, M.S.², Kathleen Lynch, M.S.², Elyse Shuk, M.A.², Eva Feindler, PH.D.¹, Heather Landau, M.D.²

and Allison Applebaum, PH.D.²

¹Department of Psychology, Clinical Psychology Doctoral Program, Long Island University, Brookville, NY and ²Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY

Abstract

Objective. Home care for hematopoietic stem cell transplants (HSCTs), an alternative to traditional inpatient or outpatient recovery programs, is safe and feasible but may place greater demand on full-time caregivers. The goal of this study was to characterize the experiences of caregivers in a newly piloted homebound HSCT program as a means of identifying unmet needs and ensuring adequate support.

Method. A qualitative approach was utilized. Participants created self-recorded video diaries guided by open-ended prompts at designated time points throughout recovery and participated in a single follow-up interview within four weeks post-discharge. Diaries and interviews were transcribed, analyzed, and coded to identify recurrent ideas and themes.

Results. Data were collected from 12 caregivers of homebound HSCT patients. Thematic content analysis yielded four themes: facilitators (external support, sense of normalcy, and patient wellness), challenges (difficulties with transplant care instructions, managing the patient's physical and emotional health, and caregiver psychological distress), roles in recovery (caregiving responsibilities), and analysis of homebound experience (positive outcomes and suggestions for improvement).

Significance of results. Caregivers perceived the homebound program as offering high-quality medical care in a setting that provided a sense of normalcy, privacy, and greater level of oversight. Unmet needs included lacking preparedness in completing nursing responsibilities and handling caregiver and patient distress. While the homebound program was preferred to routine hospital care, psychotherapeutic support and programming to improve caregiver preparedness in a homebound HSCT recovery program is indicated.

Introduction

Hematopoietic stem cell transplantations (HSCTs) are efficacious in treating hematological malignancies and select autoimmune diseases as a first line of therapy and when all other treatments have been exhausted (Copelan, 2006). In HSCT, the patient's (autologous) or a donor's (allogeneic) stem cells are harvested followed by the administration of high doses of chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy (conditioning therapy) and reinfusion of collected stem cells (Copelan, 2006). After a period of neutropenia (low blood counts), engraftment fosters hematopoietic stability and allows the patient's return to health (Elredge et al., 2006). Historically, an extensive inpatient stay for peri-transplant and post-transplant has been standard practice due to treatment toxicities and high risk for infectious complications (Solomon et al., 2010). The availability of outpatient HSCT, in which the patient receives the preparative regimen, transplant, and subsequent medical care a few times weekly in an outpatient facility, allows patients to achieve comparable, if not superior, care and outcomes in exchange for financial savings, reduced risk of hospital induced infections, and fewer disruptions to daily functioning (McDiarmid et al., 2010; Graff et al., 2015; Gratwohl et al., 2015). Several studies have also demonstrated that at-home HSCT programs, in which patients receive the transplant in an outpatient facility and then subsequent treatment in the home setting, are equally safe and feasible (Svahn et al., 2002; Fernandez-Aviles et al., 2006). One study found lower incidences of infection, shorter durations of fever, increased savings in medical costs, and fewer readmission rates among 50 patients receiving home care in comparison to 50 patients in an in-hospital control group (Fernandez-Aviles et al., 2006). As the cost savings and feasibility of a homebound setting are mediated by the involvement of caregivers (Applebaum et al., 2016), it is critical to thoroughly explore the challenges and potential benefits experienced by caregivers in this treatment setting.

Cancer caregivers, estimated at roughly four million individuals in the United States (Romito et al., 2013) are instrumental in supporting a patient's recovery by fulfilling tasks

that cannot solely be managed by a patient's medical team (Rivera, 2009; Given et al., 2012) and often while concurrently maintaining many responsibilities (Rabow et al., 2004). Providing care for patients undergoing outpatient HSCT can be particularly demanding as caregivers are tasked with assuming their role for a much longer and intensive period of time (i.e., 24 hours a day, seven days a week for at least 100 days posttransplant). They are tasked with monitoring common transplant-related sequela (i.e., nausea, diarrhea, infection) and providing updates on the patient's compliance with prescribed medication, diet, and activity regimens (Solomon et al., 2010). Caregivers are also entrusted with responding to complex emotional needs of patients, likely due to a higher uncertainty of patient prognosis, the possibility of relapse and the lethality of the illness and treatment (Elredge et al., 2006) while attending to their own physical and emotional needs that often go unaddressed (Beattie and Lebel, 2011; Jim et al., 2014).

The demanding nature of caregiving, combined with limited physical and psychological resources, puts caregivers at risk for burden, as is well substantiated by the caregiving literature (Given et al., 2001; Stenberg et al., 2010; Van Ryn et al., 2011; Applebaum and Breitbart, 2013). In a scoping review of caregiver burden in autologous and allogeneic HSCT, researchers corroborated the existing literature that suggests HSCT caregivers are equally susceptible to role strain and unmet needs (Applebaum et al., 2016) and face significant areas of burden such as social isolation, financial demands, and difficulties maintaining employment (Simoneau et al., 2013). With a shift toward the implementation of home-based HSCT in which total care is provided in the home setting following conditioning, caregivers will assume an even larger role in patient care and earlier in the course of treatment. As such, these caregivers will likely have greater unmet needs, resulting in a significant and negative impact on caregiver functioning.

Providing support for caregivers is a core function of transplant programs that aim to treat patients in non-traditional inpatient hospital settings, such as the outpatient clinic or home environment (Beattie and Lebel, 2011). The purpose of the present study was to comprehensively examine the unique experience of caregivers in the outpatient and homebound settings with the long-term goal of developing appropriate and targeted supportive care services. Attention was given to understanding the facilitators and challenges of providing care in a homebound setting for the purposes of addressing unmet needs, a key contributor to caregiver burden (Armoogum et al., 2013).

Method

Participants

Twelve caregiver-patient dyads were recruited for a pilot study examining the feasibility of post-HSCT care delivered in a homebound setting to patients 18–80 years old with diagnoses of plasma cell dyscrasia, a treatment plan of autologous HSCT, caregiver support available 24 hours a day for seven days a week, and residence in a pre-approved homebound setting (Landau et al., 2018) (Table 1).

Procedure

Patients received stem cell reinfusion in the hospital setting and returned to their homebound residents with their caregivers for protocol-specific care once medically cleared (Landau et al., 2018). Caregivers fulfilled basic care requirements, including strict food preparation and oversight of medication administration. Upon enrollment, the advanced practice practitioner (APP) delivered tablets to caregivers to record video diary sessions at four distinct time points: between days 1-5, 6-9, 10-14 of recovery and one to three days following discharge to the original home. Video diary prompts developed by study team members were provided to caregivers upon enrollment. Caregivers participated in a semi-structured focused interview with either the study's qualitative analyst or team member (clinical psychologist or doctoral candidate) by phone within four weeks post-discharge. Both sets of prompts are provided in Supplementary Appendix A. Upon discharge, videos obtained from tablets were uploaded to a research folder housed in MSK's secure shared drive as were transcriptions of digital recordings of video diaries and interviews prepared by a professional transcription service.

Data collection was performed until the point of data saturation (n = 12), or until responses to questions were no longer novel (Braun and Clarke, 2006). Transcripts of 12 interviews and 30 video diaries were obtained as participants completed these tasks. Transcripts of the interviews and video diaries were coded as they were prepared by the professional transcription service. In the process of data analysis, it became apparent that one dyad was prematurely discharged from the study due to transplant-related complications, while another incorrectly completed study tasks, thereby rendering their data unusable.

Analyses

Thematic analysis informed by the perspective of Braun and Clarke (2006) was used to identify recurrent thematic patterns of salient concepts in the narratives based on the frequency of code usage across participants. Themes were considered salient with a minimum frequency of 15 and distribution among half of caregivers who completed qualitative measures (n = 12). Initial codes were created to analyze words, themes, and concepts while memoing facilitated notation of emerging ideas around the data (Birks et al., 2008). Analyses are summarized in Table 2.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Results

Archival demographic information was available for 9 of the 12 enrolled caregivers, who were predominantly White, female, spouses between the ages of 41–50, with variability in current employment (i.e., homemaker, self-employed).

Four core themes emerged from the data analyses: caregiving facilitators, challenges in caregiving, roles in patient recovery, and assessment of the homebound experience (Table 3).

Caregiving facilitators

Caregivers described a range of facilitators of their role, including access to support from family and the medical team, a sense of normalcy, patient wellness, and coping strategies.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics

Participant characteristics (n = 9)		Participant characteristics (n = 9)	
Demographics		Demographics	
Age, mean	50.7	Annual household income	
Female	100%	\$20,000-\$39,999	11.1%
Race/Ethnicity		\$40,000-\$75,000	33.3%
White	88.9%	>\$75,000	55.6%
West Indian`	11.1%	Employment status	
Education		Homemaker	33.3%
Some college	11.1%	Self-employed	22.2%
College	55.6%	Full-time employment	11.1%
Graduate studies	33.3%	Part-time employment	11.1%
Religion		Unemployed	11.1%
Catholic	66.7%	Retired	11.1%
Protestant	22.2%	Live with patient	100%
None	11.1%	Current psychosocial support	
		None	100%

Table 2. Stages of data analysis

Qualitative stage	Stages of thematic analysis
Stage 1	Transcription: • Video diaries and interviews were transcribed verbatim by a professional transcription service • 12 transcripts and 30 video diaries were collected
Stage 2	Reading and familiarization with data: • Two researchers reviewed the transcripts as they were completed by the transcription service • A preliminary codebook was created after reviewing the first set of three transcripts
Stage 3	 Coding: Using complete coding, or looking for anything of relevance to the research question, research staff used ATLAS.Ti to code all transcripts Two researchers coded each transcript independently and then participated in a consensus meeting where they reviewed their coding and came to an agreement on codes that should be applied to the transcript Throughout this stage, the codebook was a living document that was edited as seen appropriate by the research team Coders made memos for notes and preliminary interpretations of the data Once all transcripts were coded, one researcher used the finalized codebook and reviewed previously coded transcripts to ensure all data was coded accurately
Stage 4	Secondary analysis — search for themes: • Once coding was completed, codes and corresponding quotes were pulled from the qualitative software • Researchers reviewed the codes and quotes for patterns in the data that demonstrated similarity and overlap between codes • The team met and discuss the patterns they found and then developed <i>candidate themes and subthemes</i> • Team members discussed observed patterns and developed candidate themes and subthemes
Stage 5	Review and finalizing themes: • Quality control was conducted by reviewing the candidate themes developed from the original transcripts • Themes and subthemes were revised to ensure that themes were driven by the participants' experiences • Themes and subthemes were then finalized by the collective research team

Adapted from Braun and Clark.

External support

Caregivers felt highly supported by the medical team, despite not being housed directly in the hospital, and by family members through the use of technology (i.e., phone) despite lacking in-person contact due to health maintenance efforts.

One caregiver shared her experience of being able to connect with family members using multiple platforms: "Our children ... were able to come

and visit... we've had friends send packages and cards, and that's nice to be able to have that connection with everybody else, too ... nobody that's come to visit has seen him in a clinic or hospital type setting, it's been more relaxed."

Family members were instrumental in helping manage outstanding and diverse role responsibilities.

(Continued)

Table 3. Illustrative caregiver responses

Themes	Illustrative caregiver responses	
Theme 1: Caregiving facilitators	Subthemes 1.1 External support: Caregivers described that being able to access support from family and the treatment team during the patient's recovery process was particularly beneficial to functioning in the caregivi role.	
	"We want to take this opportunity to thank the entire medical team They have been the light in this whole process, they have given us strength, they have listened to our complaints, they've listened to our concerns I don't know how you can ever thank people who made all the difference in the world for us, who took a difficult time and made it palatable and made it bearable, and basically gave us a new lease."	
	Subtheme 1.2 Sense of normalcy: Caregivers described several phenomena related to maintaining a sense of normalcy while caring for the patient in the homebound setting.	
	"This is the bedroom, which is a really very large and lovely space, and on it is — is a quilt we brought from home which was given to my husband when he came home from the Iraqi war. It's important to him, and it's an important sign of his courage, which he needs to call upon now as he fights cancer. So it's really nice to have a nice clean space to put that in. Don't know if I would have brought it into a hospital room because I also don't want it to get wrecked."	
	Subtheme 1.3 Patient wellness: Caregivers often spoke of the patient's optimal (or positive) health status with expressions of gratitude and relief.	
	"Feeling pretty good today, so he still is rather independent. So, it was a very simple and easy day to be a caregiver."	
	Subtheme 1.4 Coping activities: Caregivers described the importance of creating organization strategies and routines for caregiving.	
	Charting fluid and dietary intake "gave me something to do when I didn't know what else to do, and it gave me something to look back on."	
Theme 2: Challenges	Subtheme 2.1 Difficulties with transplant care instructions: Caregivers described tensions or disagreements between them and the patient surrounding any rules that they established to support the patient's recovery.	
	"I'm not letting anyone give him outside food, not even his mother."	
	Subtheme 2.2 Managing patient's physical and emotional health: Caregivers described challenges related to managing the patient's physical complaints and distress.	
	"We're just making sure that he recognizes that this is just temporary and not to get too far ahead of it, to stay in the moment and recognize that it's just a momentary lapse and that in, you know, a couple of weeks it will all be fine and good and we'll be back to a routine that perhaps is something that's more familiar to him."	
	Subtheme 2.3 Caregiver psychological distress: Caregivers often reflected on the psychological distress they experienced in their role as a caregiver in the homebound recovery setting.	
	"There were days where I was probably a little sad and that's just watching him. Like I didn't cry, but I'm looking at him and I was sad to see him suffering like that because there were a few days where he was very, very weak and sick."	
Theme 3: Roles in patient recovery	Subtheme 3.1 Nursing responsibilities: Caregivers engaged in various activities to support patient's wellness.	
	"There is a little bit more pressure on me in that nobody else is checking on him. So it's, like, I really had to make sure that, you know, we did the temperature. We did the fluids. We did everything because no one else was checking to make sure that everything was good."	
	Subtheme 3.2 Cleaning tasks: Caregivers also regularly reflected on their engagement in cleaning or hygiene-related responsibilities.	
	"And I'm cleaning all the surfaces before he sits down and before we eat, really not a challenge, but just something extra that is not typical, normal life."	
	Subtheme 3.3 Dietary responsibilities: Caregivers reflected on the importance of overseeing dietary management as part of their caregiving role.	
	"I was able to cook food in the kitchen that will be healthy for my husband to have as we move forward through this. Food that was in line with his special diet he's supposed to be on."	
Theme 4: Assessment of the homebound experience	Subtheme 4.1 Homebound preferred over hospital setting: Caregivers perceived the homebound setting as preferable compared to a hypothesized or actual experience in the hospital setting.	
	"As I had probably said before, being in the apartment is so much nicer than being in a clinical setting. I mean it just reduces that stress level. You know, we can read a book, read a magazine, watch TV, watch the Yankees play in the series or whatever. It's been good just to be able to do normal stuff the way we would normally do it at home."	
	Subtheme 4.2 Positive outcomes: Caregivers identified positive outcomes related to their well-being, the caregiver- patient relationship, and the patient's health.	
	"I got to talk about what we were going through so that was probably pretty good because you do have a lot inside youwhen you ask me what things help meyou made me realize the things that really helped me cope amazon prime or the toilet wandsounds so silly. It probably is good for the caregiver. It probably does give some anchor to the whole process or sense of movement through it."	

Table 3. (Continued.)

Themes	Illustrative caregiver responses		
	Subtheme 4.3 Suggestions for improvement: Caregivers offered suggestions to improve the homebound recovery program.		
	"I think the most helpful thing you can do for a caregiver is well prepare themthe reality is the person you love gets very sick in front of you and needs an awful lot of care. All of a sudden you have this 230 pound infant that needs you to clean him, and he, unlike an infant who is just glad to have you do it, doesnt really want you to have to do that for him. He's upset that he can't I think there needs to be a little bit of forewarning that the person really deteriorates you age 60 years in six weeks."		

Sense of normalcy

Caregivers agreed that the home environment helped maintain a sense of normalcy. Having increased control over when and for how long one could leave the recovery setting was notable, as was the opportunity to personalize the recovery setting with items brought from home.

One caregiver noted, "we're not sitting in a room cooped up, just waiting ... We can watch a movie, we can take a walk, we can do our work. We just have things to occupy our time ... more life as usual than not ... it's making this process not so huge and overbearing."

Patient wellness

Caregivers expressed gratitude and relief when the patient had an optimal health status. Caregivers highlighted the patient's capacity to engage in routine activities and function independently and regarded their role as "easier" when not having to respond to the patient's declining health or medical emergencies.

Coping activities

Caregivers suggested that organization strategies, including cleaning rituals, organizing medications, ensuring appropriate medication administration, and measuring liquid intake, were psychologically beneficial. Medication organization was regarded as particularly helpful in facilitating a sense of readiness to independently manage this task post-discharge.

Logging patient progress helped facilitate greater perspective on the patient's health trajectory as one caregiver stated, "keeping a log of my daily experiences helps in tracking the progress being made, which are many, and the fallbacks that we're experiencing, which have proven to be short lived as they have predicted. So it helps me to keep both of those things in perspective, the good things and the not so good."

The ability to engage in physical exercise and relaxing activities while homebound benefitted both patient and caregiver wellness and helped relieve a sense of confinement, as one caregiver detailed, "it was nice to get out, have some fresh air and exercise ... and I think that was good for her too to get ... her body moving instead of just laying around ... it was good for both of us." Participation in relaxing self-care activities, including watching television, reading, and napping, was endorsed at a much lower frequency in comparison to other activities.

Challenges in caregiving

Participants indicated that difficulties with ensuring adherence to supportive recovery rules, managing the patient's physical and emotional health, and coping with distress were challenges faced in caregiving.

Difficulties with transplant care instructions

Caregivers described disagreements between themselves and the patient surrounding rules established to support the patient's recovery, including recommended exercise routines and dietary restrictions. Caregivers struggled to strike a balance between allowing patients to preserve a sense of independence and adhering to the caregiver's supportive recovery rules. Caregivers also encountered issues with visitors' (i.e., medical team members) compliance with caregiver established rules for health maintenance efforts. One caregiver stated,

"I was so annoyed with that lady who didn't put on the mask ... it was the fact that the nurses and the medical staff need to realize that the caregiver needs respect because it's hard enough getting the patient...to listen to you."

Managing the patient's physical and emotional health

Managing the patient's physical and psychological functioning was regarded as challenging. Many endorsed feeling anxious and uncertain of how to cope with patient symptoms, including fever, gastrointestinal bleed, and exhaustion. Caregivers struggled with the patient's and their own perception of side effects as setbacks to recovery. A patient's declining health was considered by many caregivers as the most difficult period of transplant. One described, "he has constant diarrhea, and he needs everything done for him right now. It's hard for him. It's hard to see him this way." Caregivers detailed being consumed by tasks such as cleaning the patient following bowel issues and having a patient's disrupted sleep impact their own. Caregivers attempted to cope by focusing on sanitation, the dyad's return to their previous routines and life post-transplant and redirecting the patient's attention to enjoyable activities or assumption of household tasks. One described, "if he got sick or complained about the food. I made a few more beds than I would have ... changed the linens more often. I bought a Swiffer and Swiffed."

Caregiver psychological distress

Caregivers voiced concerns regarding role restraints, patient wellness, and performing caregiving responsibilities without the medical team. Caregivers were burdened by having to manage family issues from afar, such as caring for an elderly parent. Maintaining regular communication with those family members through technological means, as was feasibly achieved in the home setting, helped ease this burden. Caregivers also struggled to perform caregiver-specific tasks, such as cleaning and managing food preparation. Most reported feeling apprehensive at least once during the post-transplant recovery period, and most often in the first week, about performing medical tasks or responding to an emergency due to fear of worsening the patient's current state. One caregiver commented, "it is a little nerve wracking to be the person who ... put the one wrong thing in his body that could make him sick." Symptoms such as nausea and vomiting triggered caregivers' concern regarding the patient's prognosis. Caregivers were also challenged with a homebound-specific issue of the dearth of constant physical presence of the medical team. One caregiver explained, "It's one thing to be able to push a button and have a nurse come. It's another thing to be in a place and you're not ... able to have someone there at a moment's notice." Still, many were comforted by their availability through alternative means (i.e., telehealth). Coping mechanisms included the use of humor, prayer (although at a low frequency), and maintaining a positive mindset.

Roles in patient recovery

Caregivers completed a range of tasks, including medical and nursing responsibilities, hygienic practices, and dietary management.

Nursing responsibilities

Performing nursing-related responsibilities had the highest code frequency among role-based activities. Caregivers engaged in tasks such as regularly taking and charting the patient's temperature, recording liquid intake, organizing medications, and ensuring appropriate administration. Almost all described feeling apprehensive while performing nursing tasks but noted increased comfort once they observed and practiced these duties.

Cleaning tasks

Many caregivers established rules for using masks and gloves to maintain the patient's health, sterilizing equipment and having the patient shower at appropriate times. Most caregivers admitted to cleaning much more diligently.

Dietary responsibilities

Caregivers described their experiences following strict regulations for food preparation, including adherence to a low microbial diet with specific instructions spanning temperature at which to cook food and consumption of leftovers. Some caregivers struggled to identify how certain foods were prepared by venues, achieve a consistent temperature throughout the food (as measured by thermometer), and manage the patient's urge to eat foods that fell outside of dietary restrictions.

Assessment of the homebound experience

Caregivers compared the recovery experience in the home to the hospital setting, described positive outcomes gained from their experiences, and suggested improvements for future homebound HSCT programs.

The homebound setting was preferred over the hospital

The homebound recovery program was preferred over a hypothesized or actual hospital stay by all participants. One caregiver captured the perceived difference between a hospital and home setting, "I find the hospital obviously more depressing, more stressful. You're surrounded by sick people and sad eyes looking over those masks and it's just psychologically much better out of the hospital." Caregivers characterized the hospital as "sterile" and the homebound environment as increasingly comfortable, private and conducive to optimal psychological functioning. The homebound setting allowed for greater control over when and how care was provided (i.e., APP visits to the home), rather than deferring to the hospital's timeline. A sense of comfort was emphasized in connection to having a space decorated with cherished personal items and the opportunity to complete tasks without having to leave the patient's side.

One caregiver conveyed her experience in saying, "Having a very humane space to take care of someone you love when they're sick makes a huge difference. It makes a difference for him because he can see himself as something other than just being sick...He can see his own things. He can see beauty. He has the ability to go outside."

Furthermore, caregivers working remotely were able to maintain employment responsibilities through the availability of an internet connection and a comfortable, designated work space. Of note, caregivers indicated that the homebound setting's close proximity to the hospital in case of an emergency was optimal.

Positive outcomes

Caregivers endorsed positive outcomes that included a strengthening of the caregiver-patient relationship. One explained, "He was able to relinquish some of the control of his own care and that he trusted me to do it. And I think that as a couple, that's a huge thing." Completion of video diaries for the study helped some caregivers gain a greater perspective on parts of the caregiving experience. Moreover, the perception that caregivers were successful in their roles helped strengthen a sense of confidence in being able to achieve other life goals.

Suggestions for improvement

Caregivers suggested that further education and practice of role-specific medical tasks would have been valuable. Multiple caregivers requested more extensive education about role expectations and at an earlier point in the caregiving trajectory. Caregivers posited the idea of first performing tasks with the medical team's assistance to feel more comfortable performing it independently. Moreover, caregivers suggested that periodic offers of psychosocial support throughout the recovery period would have been helpful, though some acknowledged that communicating with a therapist at various points during the recovery period would have been challenging given caregiving responsibilities. Others proposed being informed about what items to bring to the homebound setting from the original home setting, how to obtain coverage if needed, improvements in scheduling appointments, and offered ideas of comfortable furniture for the home setting.

Discussion

This study sought to characterize the experiences of caregivers undertaking a comprehensive and exhaustive role in a trial piloting the use of homebound HSCT. The homebound recovery program offers benefits not easily replicated in an inpatient setting. In the homebound program, patients received routine, high-quality medical care in a sanitary setting without sacrificing privacy, greater risk of infection, control over daily routines and regimented oversight of activities, all of which pose psychological and physical impediments to recovery. Importantly, the home setting offered a sense of normalcy for caregivers, which encompassed the perception of comfort, the freedom to move between the recovery setting and the outside world, and the opportunity to complete role-specific tasks with more oversight and while in close proximity to the patient. Unmet needs were identified as increasing preparedness in handling nursing tasks and managing caregiver and patient distress.

Feelings of apprehension and guilt over the perceived worsening of the patient's medical state call for an increased sense of preparedness for caregivers, which has been linked to lower levels of distress and higher levels of hope and reward (Henriksson and Arestedt, 2013). Caregivers explicitly requested both education and practice in completing medical tasks that might be required of them over the course of patient recovery, which is particularly important given that medical staff were not always physically present.

Our results underscore the burden of managing caregiver and patient psychological wellness. Shifts in patients' mood and distress were linked to post-transplant psychological sequela in caregivers. Difficulty coping with the physical deterioration of the patient's health and doubt in the caregiver's ability to successfully provide emotional and physical care were apparent. Furthermore, engagement in relaxing activities was endorsed at a low frequency, suggesting that caregivers were not engaging in these behaviors as often as needed. It is crucial to support the caregivers' emotional needs as patient needs are often prioritized over those of their caregiver counterparts (Williams, 2007) and more likely in this demanding recovery setting.

Limitations

Limitations of this study impact the generalizability and utility of our findings. While data saturation was reached with 12 participants, a larger sample may have generated more nuanced phenomena. Demographic information was not collected for all participants, and those for whom demographic information exist were predominantly female, white, college educated, spouses of patients and not maintaining full-time employment. Recruiting a diverse sample that more accurately represents the heterogeneous cancer caregiver population would allow for greater generalization of results. Furthermore, it would be beneficial to replicate this study with participants who are housed in their actual home environment and/or with less restrictive geographic criteria to compare findings. Finally, while steps were taken to ensure interrater reliability of coding, inconsistencies in data collection, including variability in interviewing styles, may have influenced the content of qualitative data and availability of particular themes.

Clinical implications

These findings highlight unmet needs related to preparation and training of caregivers and the provision of psychosocial support. Several caregivers described the first days post-transplant as the most taxing, suggesting the importance of providing sufficient support during this time. Assessing caregivers' readiness upon enrollment in the transplant program using empirically supported instruments (i.e., Preparedness for Caregiving Scale (PCS; Archbold et al., 1990)) will identify those at higher risk for negative outcomes. Interviews and/or checklists concerning the transplant process can also be used to acquire a nuanced understanding of what information or training is lacking.

Homebound HSCT caregivers will benefit from training that utilizes a successive approximation model with continued follow up to promote skills generalization and sustained learning. Ideally, caregivers will emerge with the capacity to provide care at an increasingly independent level and without immediate support of hospital staff. Supplemental training modules that are available upon request and through accessible forums (i.e., Internet) to address caregivers' changing needs over the posttransplant trajectory would be of value. Ultimately, intervention techniques and implementation strategies should depend on program goals and incorporate optimizing outcomes for caregivers (Sorensen et al., 2002). Several educational programs have already demonstrated efficacy in reducing caregiver burden and increasing self-efficacy (Hendrix et al., 2011, 2016; Porter et al., 2011; Belgacem et al., 2013). While these programs are correlated with lessened burden, one must consider that participation in these trainings in addition to overseeing caregiving, professional and personal responsibilities, may temporarily increase burden. Attention to this tension will help produce training programs that are tailored to efficiently and effectively meet the needs of

Caregivers will undoubtedly need additional psychosocial support in this recovery structure. Support programs that have shown to reduce caregiver distress through improving the caregiver's selfcare, communication with physicians and the patient, cognitive reframing (Given et al., 2006) and problem solving (Bevans et al., 2010) may be incorporated. Enhancing caregivers' problemsolving skills would benefit those doubting their capacity to successfully accomplishing care tasks (Sorensen et al., 2002). Strengthening these skills may also help caregivers navigate disagreements with others involved in patient care, an identified source of stress found here.

homebound HSCT caregivers.

Initial steps to developing a targeted psychosocial support program include assessing for the feasibility of therapies based on the delivery of service. For instance, telehealth modalities may be more appropriate given significant barriers to participating in in-person or home-based therapies. Studies have shown internet-based services, several of which offer peer-to-peer and/ or professional support with interactive components, remote human support, and/or online exercises (Guay et al., 2017; Applebaum et al., 2018) to be feasible, acceptable, and efficacious in reducing caregiver distress. Participants here voiced their receptivity of communicating with providers and family through technological forums, which the acceptability of this modality. Importantly, due to the ever-changing nature of caregiver distress over the course of the HSCT trajectory (Sabo et al., 2013), considerations should also be made to ensure that the delivery of psychotherapeutic interventions is available continuously across the post-transplant period, as was executed by Laudenslager et al. (2015).

Future directions

Future studies of larger samples of caregivers will allow for the investigation of mediating and/or moderating factors of caregiving burden, such as relationship satisfaction, age, and previous caregiving experience. Furthermore, to establish an increasingly rigorous research methodology, themes generated from the current study may be used to develop a quantitative measure assessing facilitators and barriers to serving as a caregiver in a homebound HSCT program.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1017/S1478951520000954.

Funding. This research was supported by funding from the National Institutes of Health (T32CA009461, PI: Jaime Ostroff, PhD; P30CA008748, PI: Craig Thompson, MD).

Conflict of interest. The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

References

- Applebaum A and Breitbart W (2013) Care for the cancer caregiver: A systematic review. Palliative and Supportive Care 11, 231–252.
- Applebaum A, Bevans M, Son T, et al. (2016) A scoping review of caregiver burden during allogeneic HSCT: Lessons learned and future directions. Bone Marrow Transplantation 51(11), 1416–1422.
- Applebaum A, Buda K, Schofield E, et al. (2018) Exploring the cancer caregiver's journey through meaning-centered psychotherapy. Psycho-Oncology 27, 847–856.
- Archbold P, Stewart B, Greenlick M, et al. (1990) Mutuality and preparedness as predictors of caregiver role strain. Research in Nursing and Health 13, 375–384.
- Armoogum J, Richardson A and Armes J (2013) A survey of the supportive care needs of informal caregivers of adult bone marrow transplant patients. *Supportive Care in Cancer* 21, 977–986.
- Beattie S and Lebel S (2011) The experience of caregivers of hematological cancer patients undergoing a hematopoietic stem cell transplant: A comprehensive literature review. *Psycho-Oncology* 20, 1137–1150.
- Belgacem B, Auclair C, Fedor M, et al. (2013) A caregiver educational program improves quality of life and burden for cancer patients and their caregivers: A randomized clinical trial. European Journal of Oncology Nursing 17, 870–876.
- Bevans M, Castro K, Prince P, et al. (2010) An individualized dyadic problem-solving education intervention for patients and family caregivers during allogeneic HSCT: A feasibility study. Cancer Nursing 33, E24–E32.
- Birks M, Chapman Y and Francis K (2008) Memoing in qualitative research: Probing data and processes. *Journal of Research in Nursing* 13(1), 68–75.
- Braun V and Clarke V (2006) Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology 3(2), 77–101.
- Copelan E (2006) Hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation. New England Journal of Medicine 35(4), 1813–1826.
- Elredge D, Nail L, Maziarz R, et al. (2006) Explaining family caregiver role strain following autologous blood and marrow transplantation. *Journal of Psychosocial Oncology* 24(3), 53–74.
- Fernandez-Aviles F, Carreras E, Urbano-Ispizua A, et al. (2006) Case-control comparison of at-home to total hospital care for autologous stem-cell transplantation for hematologic malignancies. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 24(30), 4855–4861.
- Given B, Kozachik S, Collins C, et al. (2001) Caregiver role strain. In Maas M, Buckwalter K, Hardy M, Tripp-Reimer T, and Titler M (eds.), Nursing Care of Older Adults Diagnosis: Outcome and Interventions. St Louis, MO: Mosby, pp. 679–695.
- Given B, Given C, Sikorskii A, et al. (2006) The impact of providing symptom management assistance on caregiver reaction: Results of a randomized trial. Journal of Pain and Symptom Management 32, 433–443.
- Given B, Given C and Sherwood P (2012) The challenge of quality cancer care for family caregivers. Seminars in Oncology Nursing 28(4), 205-212.
- Graff T, Singavi A, Schmidt W, et al. (2015) Safety of outpatient autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation for multiple myeloma and lymphoma. Bone Marrow Transplantation 50(7), 947–953.
- **Gratwohl A, Pasquini M, Aljurf M, et al.** (2015) One million haemopoietic stem-cell transplants: A retrospective observational study. *Lancet Haematology* **2**(3), e91–e100.

- Guay C, Auger C, Demers L, et al. (2017) Components and outcomes of internet-based interventions for caregivers of older adults: Systematic review. Journal of Medical Internet Research 19(9), e313.
- Hendrix C, Landerman R and Abernethy A (2011) Effects of an individualized caregiver training intervention on self-efficacy of cancer caregivers. Western Journal of Nursing Research 35(5), 590–610.
- Hendrix C, Bailey D, Steinhauser K, et al. (2016) Effects of enhanced caregiver training program on cancer caregiver's self-efficacy, preparedness, and psychological well-being. Supportive Care in Cancer 24(1), 327–336.
- Henriksson A and Arestedt K (2013) Exploring factors and caregiver outcomes associated with feelings of preparedness for caregiving in family caregivers in palliative care: A correlational, cross sectional study. *Palliative Medicine* 27(7), 639–646.
- Jim H, Quinn G, Barata A, et al. (2014) Caregivers' quality of life after blood and marrow transplantation: A qualitative study. *Bone Marrow Transplantation* 49(9), 1234–1236.
- Landau HJ, Shah GL, Reeds M, et al. (2018) Homebound autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation for plasma cell disorders in an urban setting is safe for patients and preferred by patients and caregivers. Blood 132(Suppl. 1), 2258.
- Laudenslager M, Simoneau T, Kilbourn K, et al. (2015) A randomized control trial of a psychosocial intervention for caregivers of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant patients: Effects on distress. Bone Marrow Transplantation 50, 1110–1118.
- McDiarmid S, Hutton B, Atkins H, et al. (2010) Performing allogeneic and autologous hematopoietic SCT in the outpatient setting: Effects on infectious complications and early transplant outcomes. Bone Marrow Transplantation 45(7), 1220–1226.
- Porter L, Keefe F, Garst J, et al. (2011) Caregiver-assisted coping skills training for lung cancer: Results of a randomized clinical trial. Journal of Pain and Symptom Management 2011(41), 1–13.
- Rabow M, Hauser J and Adams J (2004) Supporting family caregivers at the end of life: "They don't know what they don't know". JAMA 291, 483–491.
- Rivera H (2009) Depression symptoms in cancer caregivers. The Clinical Journal of Oncology Nursing 13(2), 195–202.
- Romito F, Goldzweig G, Cormio C, *et al.* (2013) Informal caregiving for cancer patients. *Cancer* **119**, 1–11.
- Sabo B, McLeod D and Couban S (2013) The experience of caring for a spouse undergoing hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Cancer Nursing* 36(1), 29–40.
- Simoneau T, Mikulich-Gilbertson S, Natvig C, et al. (2013) Elevated peritransplant distress in caregivers of allogeneic blood or marrow transplant patients. Psycho-Oncology 22, 2064–2070.
- Solomon S, Matthew R, Barreras A, et al. (2010) Outpatient Myeloblative allo-SCT: A comprehensive approach yields decreased hospital utilization and low TRM. Bone Marrow Transplantation 45, 468–475.
- Sorensen S, Pinquart M, Habil D, et al. (2002) How effective are interventions with caregivers? An updated meta-analysis. The Gerontologist 42(3), 356–372.
- Stenberg U, Ruland C and Miaskowski C (2010) Review of the literature on the effects of caring for a patient with cancer. *Psycho-Oncology* 19, 1013– 1025.
- Svahn B, Remberger M, Myrback K, et al. (2002) Home care during the pancytopenic phase after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation is advantageous compared with hospital care. Blood 100, 4317–4324.
- Van Ryn M, Sanders S, Kahn K, et al. (2011) Objective burden, resources and other stressors among informal cancer caregivers: A hidden quality issue? *Psycho-Oncology* 20(1), 44–52.
- Williams L (2007) Whatever it takes: Informal caregiving dynamics in blood and marrow transplantation. Oncology Nursing Forum 34(2), 379–387.