

# Weighted inequalities for a maximal function on the real line

A. L. Bernardis

PEMA-INTEC, Güemes 3450, (3000) Santa Fe, Argentina  
(bernard@alpha.arcride.edu.ar)

F. J. Martín-Reyes

Dpto. de Análisis Matemático, Facultad de Ciencias,  
Universidad de Málaga, 29071 Málaga, Spain  
(martin@anamat.cie.uma.es)

(MS received 31 August 1999; accepted 11 February 2000)

We consider the maximal operator defined on the real line by

$$M_\alpha f(x) = \sup_{R>0} \frac{1}{(2R)^{1+\alpha}} \int_{R<|x-y|<2R} |f(y)|(|x-y|-R)^\alpha dy, \quad -1 < \alpha \leq 0,$$

which is related to the Cesàro convergence of the singular integrals. We characterize the weights  $w$  for which  $M_\alpha$  is of weak type, strong type and restricted weak type  $(p, p)$  with respect to the measure  $w(x) dx$ .

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we are interested in the study of the boundedness in weighted  $L^p$ -spaces of the maximal operator  $M_\alpha$  acting on measurable functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  and defined by

$$M_\alpha f(x) = \sup_{R>0} \frac{1}{(2R)^{1+\alpha}} \int_{R<|x-y|<2R} |f(y)|(|x-y|-R)^\alpha dy, \quad -1 < \alpha \leq 0.$$

This operator is interesting by itself and it is useful in the study of the Cesàro- $\alpha$  convergence of singular integrals associated to Calderón–Zygmund kernels (see [1]). Furthermore,  $M_\alpha$  is, up to constants, a particular case of the maximal function of positive convolution operators associated with approximations of the identity given by

$$M_\varphi f(x) = \sup_{R>0} \frac{1}{R} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi\left(\frac{x-y}{R}\right) f(y) dy.$$

The operator  $M_\varphi$  was studied in [4], providing access to the study of the Cesàro continuity of order less than one.

On one hand, it follows from [4, theorem 1] that if  $\alpha > -1$ , then  $M_\alpha$  is of restricted weak type  $(1/(1+\alpha), 1/(1+\alpha))$  and, consequently, it is of strong type  $(p, p)$  for  $p > 1/(1+\alpha)$ . On the other hand, it was proved in [1] that if  $w$  is in the Muckenhoupt  $A_{p(1+\alpha)}$  class and  $p > 1/(1+\alpha)$  then  $M_\alpha$  is of strong type

$(p, p)$  with respect to  $w(x) dx$ , while if  $w \in A_1$ , then  $M_\alpha$  is of restricted weak type  $(1/(1 + \alpha), 1/(1 + \alpha))$  with respect to  $w(x) dx$ . The aim of this paper is to characterize the weighted inequalities of restricted weak type, weak type and strong type for  $M_\alpha$ . Our results refer only to the case of equal weights.

The study of the boundedness of  $M_\alpha$  in weighted  $L^p$ -spaces has two main difficulties. The first one is the kernel  $(|x - y| - R)^\alpha$ . The second one is to find a non-centred maximal operator pointwise equivalent to  $M_\alpha$ , as in the case of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator, i.e. as in the case  $\alpha = 0$ .

The paper is organized as follows. We introduce in § 2 a non-centred version of  $M_\alpha$  and we prove that it is pointwise equivalent to  $M_\alpha$ . Sections 3 and 4 are devoted to characterizing the weighted weak- and strong-type  $(p, p)$  inequalities, while the restricted weak-type inequalities with weights are studied in § 5. The main results in the paper are theorems 3.1 and 4.3, where we prove the equivalence for  $p > 1$  of the weighted weak-type  $(p, p)$  inequality, the weighted strong-type  $(p, p)$  inequality for  $M_\alpha$  and the fact that  $w$  satisfies the following condition: there exists  $C > 0$  such that, for any interval  $I$ ,

$$\left( \int_I w(s) ds \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_I w^{1-p'}(s) |s - x|^{\alpha p'} ds \right)^{1/p'} \leq C |I|^{1+\alpha},$$

where  $x$  is the centre of  $I$ ,  $|I|$  is the length of  $I$  and  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ . In the final section we observe some relations between the good weights for  $M_\alpha$  and the Muckenhoupt  $A_p$ -weights.

Throughout the paper, we shall use the following notations. If  $x$  and  $R$  are real numbers with  $R > 0$ , the interval  $(x - R, x + R)$  is denoted by  $I(x, R)$ . If  $I = I(x, R)$  and  $\lambda$  is a positive number, then  $\lambda I = I(x, \lambda R)$ , while  $\partial I$  is the border of  $I$ , i.e. the set  $\{x - R, x + R\}$ . If  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $d(s, t)$  and  $d(s, A)$  are the Euclidean distances from  $s$  to  $t$  and to  $A$ , respectively. By  $|A|$  and  $w(A)$  we denote the measure of  $A$  and the integral  $\int_A w(s) ds$ , respectively. If  $1 < p < \infty$ , then  $p'$  denotes its conjugate exponent. Finally, the letter  $C$  means a positive constant not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

**2. The non-centred maximal function**

Observe first that, with the notations introduced in § 1, we have that

$$M_\alpha f(x) = \sup_{R>0} \frac{1}{|I(x, R)|^{1+\alpha}} \int_{2I(x, R) \setminus I(x, R)} |f(s)| d(s, I(x, R))^\alpha ds.$$

Notice also that  $M_0 f \leq M_\alpha f$  (since  $\alpha \leq 0$ ) and that  $M_0 f$  is pointwise equivalent to the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{R>0} \frac{1}{|I(x, R)|} \int_{I(x, R)} |f(s)| ds.$$

We define the non-centred maximal operator  $N_\alpha$  associated with  $M_\alpha$  as

$$N_\alpha f(x) = \sup_{I: x \in \frac{1}{2}I} \frac{1}{|I|^{1+\alpha}} \int_{2I \setminus I} |f(s)| d(s, I)^\alpha ds,$$

where the supremum is taken over all the bounded intervals such that  $x \in \frac{1}{2}I$ . The next proposition shows that  $M_\alpha$  and  $N_\alpha$  are pointwise equivalent.

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *Let  $-1 < \alpha \leq 0$ . There exists a constant  $C$  depending only on  $\alpha$  such that  $M_\alpha f \leq N_\alpha f \leq CM_\alpha f$ , for all measurable functions  $f$ .*

*Proof.* The first inequality is obvious. Let  $I = I(z, R)$  be an interval such that  $x \in \frac{1}{2}I$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $x \in (z - \frac{1}{2}R, z]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{2I \setminus I} |f(s)|d(s, I)^\alpha ds &= \int_{z-2R}^{z-R} |f(s)|(z - R - s)^\alpha ds + \int_{z+R}^{z+2R} |f(s)|(s - z - R)^\alpha ds \\ &= I + II. \end{aligned}$$

On the one hand, if  $L = x - z + R$ , we have  $\frac{1}{2}R < L \leq R$  and  $x - 2L \geq z - 2R$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq \int_{z-2R}^{x-2L} |f(s)|(z - R - s)^\alpha ds + \int_{x-2L}^{x-L} |f(s)|(x - L - s)^\alpha ds \\ &\leq (\frac{1}{2}R)^\alpha \int_{x-L-R}^{x+L+R} |f(s)| ds + \int_{2I(x,L) \setminus I(x,L)} |f(s)|d(s, I(x, L))^\alpha ds. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if  $T = z + R - x$ , then  $R \leq T < \frac{3}{2}R$  and  $x + 2T \geq z + 2R$ . Therefore,

$$II \leq \int_{x+T}^{x+2T} |f(s)|(s - x - T)^\alpha ds \leq \int_{2I(x,T) \setminus I(x,T)} |f(s)|d(s, I(x, T))^\alpha ds.$$

Putting together the inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|I|^{1+\alpha}} \int_{2I \setminus I} |f(s)|d(s, I)^\alpha ds &\leq C \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I(x, L+R)} |f(s)| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{|I|^{1+\alpha}} \int_{2I(x,L) \setminus I(x,L)} |f(s)|d(s, I(x, L))^\alpha ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{|I|^{1+\alpha}} \int_{2I(x,T) \setminus I(x,T)} |f(s)|d(s, I(x, T))^\alpha ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since the lengths of the intervals  $I$ ,  $I(x, L + R)$ ,  $I(x, L)$  and  $I(x, T)$  are essentially the same, the right-hand side is dominated by  $C[Mf(x) + M_\alpha f(x)] \leq CM_\alpha f(x)$  and we are done. □

### 3. Weighted weak-type inequalities

The first main result of the paper characterizes the weighted weak-type inequalities for the maximal operator  $M_\alpha$  by means of a Muckenhoupt-type condition.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $w$  be a non-negative measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $-1 < \alpha \leq 0$ . If  $1 < p < \infty$ , then the following are equivalent.*

(i)  $M_\alpha$  is of weak type  $(p, p)$  with respect to  $w(x) dx$ , i.e. there exists  $C$  such that

$$w(\{M_\alpha f > \lambda\}) \leq C \lambda^{-p} \int |f|^p w,$$

for all  $\lambda > 0$  and all  $f \in L^p(w)$ .

(ii)  $w$  satisfies  $A_{p,\alpha}$ , i.e. there exists  $C$  such that, for any interval  $I$ ,

$$\left(\int_{\frac{1}{2}I} w\right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{2I \setminus I} w^{1-p'}(s) d(s, I)^{\alpha p'} ds\right)^{1/p'} \leq C |I|^{1+\alpha}.$$

REMARK 3.2. Observe that for  $\alpha < 0$  the weighted weak-type  $(p, p)$  inequality is not possible for  $1 < p \leq 1/(1 + \alpha)$  unless  $w = 0$  almost everywhere, since if  $1 < p \leq 1/(1 + \alpha)$ , then (ii) does not hold. As a consequence, we have that the weighted weak-type  $(1, 1)$  inequality for  $M_\alpha$  with  $\alpha < 0$  never holds. In other words, the weak-type  $(1, 1)$  inequality makes sense only for  $\alpha = 0$ . In this case ( $M_0$  is pointwise equivalent to the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator), the weighted weak-type inequalities are characterized by the well-known Muckenhoupt  $A_p$ -conditions. This is the reason why we do not include the case  $p = 1$  in the statement of the theorem.

*Proof of theorem 3.1.* By 2.1, statement (i) is equivalent to the weighted weak-type  $(p, p)$  inequality for  $N_\alpha$ . Then (ii) follows from (i) by standard arguments, i.e. roughly speaking, applying (i) (with  $N_\alpha$ ) to the functions

$$w^{1-p'}(s) d(s, I)^{\alpha(p'-1)} \chi_{2I \setminus I}(s).$$

In order to prove (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i), we need to know that  $w$  is a doubling weight, i.e. that  $w(2I) \leq Cw(I)$  for all intervals  $I$ .

LEMMA 3.3. *If  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $-1 < \alpha \leq 0$  and  $w$  satisfies  $A_{p,\alpha}$ , then  $w$  is a doubling weight.*

We postpone the proof of lemma 3.3 and continue with the proof of the theorem. Assume that (ii) holds. Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $I$  be any interval with centre  $x$ . By the Hölder inequality and the  $A_{p,\alpha}$ -condition, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{2I \setminus I} |f(s)| d(s, I)^\alpha ds &\leq \left(\int_{2I \setminus I} |f|^p w\right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{2I \setminus I} w^{1-p'}(s) d(s, I)^{\alpha p'} ds\right)^{1/p'} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{2I \setminus I} |f|^p w\right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{\frac{1}{2}I} w\right)^{-1/p} |I|^{1+\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $w$  is a doubling weight (lemma 3.3), we get

$$\frac{1}{|I|^{1+\alpha}} \int_{2I \setminus I} |f(s)| d(s, I)^\alpha ds \leq C \left(\int_{2I} |f|^p w / \int_{2I} w\right)^{1/p}.$$

Therefore,

$$M_\alpha f(x) \leq C [\mathcal{M}_w(|f|^p)]^{1/p}(x),$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}_w g(x) = \sup_{R>0} \left[ \frac{1}{w(I(x, R))} \int_{I(x, R)} |g|w \right].$$

Now (i) follows from the above inequality and the well-known fact that  $\mathcal{M}_w$  is of weak type  $(1, 1)$  with respect to  $w(x) dx$ . □

*Proof of lemma 3.3.* If  $I = I(x, R)$ , we obtain, by  $A_{p,\alpha}$  and the Hölder inequality, that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_{\frac{1}{2}I} w \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_{2I \setminus I} w^{1-p'}(s) d(s, I)^{\alpha p'} ds \right)^{1/p'} \\ & \leq C \int_{x+R}^{x+2R} d(s, I)^\alpha ds \\ & \leq C \left( \int_{x+R}^{x+2R} w \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_{x+R}^{x+2R} w^{1-p'}(s) d(s, I)^{\alpha p'} ds \right)^{1/p'}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(x + R, x + 2R)$  is contained in  $2I \setminus I$ , we have

$$w((x - \frac{1}{2}R, x + \frac{1}{2}R)) \leq Cw((x + R, x + 2R)),$$

for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and all positive  $R$ . Applying this property to the intervals  $(x - 2R, x - R)$  and  $(x - R, x - \frac{1}{2}R)$  instead of  $(x - \frac{1}{2}R, x + \frac{1}{2}R)$ , we obtain that

$$w((x - 2R, x - \frac{1}{2}R)) \leq C[w(\frac{1}{2}I) + w(\frac{1}{4}I)] \leq Cw(\frac{1}{2}I).$$

Analogously, we have

$$w((x + \frac{1}{2}R, x + 2R)) \leq Cw(\frac{1}{2}I).$$

Summing the inequalities, we get that  $w(2I \setminus \frac{1}{2}I) \leq Cw(\frac{1}{2}I)$ . Thus

$$w(2I) \leq Cw(\frac{1}{2}I) \leq Cw(I).$$

□

### 4. Weighted strong-type inequalities

We start by establishing different characterizations of the  $A_{p,\alpha}$ -condition, which are a key step for the study of the strong-type inequalities. In order to state the result, we recall that if  $\mu$  is a Borel measure, then it is said that a non-negative measurable function  $w$  satisfies  $A_p(\mu)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , if there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$\left( \int_I w d\mu \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_I w^{1-p'} d\mu \right)^{1/p'} \leq C\mu(I),$$

for all bounded intervals  $I$  (see, for instance, [5]). (If  $\mu$  is the Lebesgue measure, then  $A_p(\mu)$  is the Muckenhoupt  $A_p$ -condition.)

**PROPOSITION 4.1.** *Let  $-1 < \alpha \leq 0$  and  $p > 1$ . Let  $w$  be a non-negative measurable function. The following statements are equivalent.*

(a)  $w$  satisfies  $A_{p,\alpha}$ .

(b) There exists  $C$  such that, for any interval  $I$ ,

$$\left(\int_I w\right)^{1/p} \left(\int_I w^{1-p'}(s)d(s,x)^{\alpha p'} ds\right)^{1/p'} \leq C|I|^{1+\alpha},$$

where  $x$  is the centre of  $I$ .

(c) The functions  $s \rightarrow w(s)d(s,z)^{-\alpha}$  satisfy  $A_p(\mu_z)$  with a constant independent of  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $d\mu_z = d(s,z)^\alpha ds$ , i.e. there exists  $C$  such that, for any interval  $I$  and all  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\left(\int_I w\right)^{1/p} \left(\int_I w^{1-p'}(s)d(s,z)^{\alpha p'} ds\right)^{1/p'} \leq C \int_I d(s,z)^\alpha ds.$$

*Proof.* It is clear that (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). Therefore, we only have to prove (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) and (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a).

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). Let  $I = (a, b)$ ,  $I^- = (a, x)$  and  $I^+ = (x, b)$ , where  $x$  is the centre of  $I$ . It suffices to establish that

$$\left(\int_I w\right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{I^*} w^{1-p'}(s)d(s,x)^{\alpha p'} ds\right)^{1/p'} \leq C|I|^{1+\alpha}$$

for  $I^* = I^-$  and  $I^* = I^+$ . We shall only prove the inequality for  $I^-$ , since the other one is proved in a similar way. Let  $J$  be the interval with left end point equal to  $x$  and the same length as  $I$ . It is clear that  $I^- \subset 2J \setminus J$  and  $d(s,x) = d(s,J)$  for all  $s \in I^-$ . These properties, together with the fact that  $w$  satisfies  $A_{p,\alpha}$  (and therefore is a doubling weight), give

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_I w\right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{I^-} w^{1-p'}(s)d(s,x)^{\alpha p'} ds\right)^{1/p'} \\ \leq C \left(\int_{\frac{1}{2}J} w\right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{2J \setminus J} w^{1-p'}(s)d(s,J)^{\alpha p'} ds\right)^{1/p'} \leq C|I|^{1+\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c). Let  $I = (a, b)$ . We shall consider the following two cases: (1)  $z \in [a, b]$  and (2)  $z \notin [a, b]$ .

CASE 1. Let  $J \supset I$  be an interval centred at  $z$  such that  $|I| \leq |J| \leq 2|I|$ . Enlarging the interval  $I$  to  $J$  and applying (b), we obtain

$$\left(\int_I w\right)^{1/p} \left(\int_I w^{1-p'}(s)d(s,z)^{\alpha p'} ds\right)^{1/p'} \leq C|J|^{1+\alpha} = C|I|^{1+\alpha}.$$

If  $z = a$  or  $z = b$ , we are done. If  $z \in (a, b)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I|^{1+\alpha} &\leq C[(b-z)^{1+\alpha} + (z-a)^{1+\alpha}] \\ &= C\left[(b-z)^\alpha \int_z^b ds + (z-a)^\alpha \int_a^z ds\right] \\ &\leq C\left[\int_z^b (s-z)^\alpha ds + \int_a^z (z-s)^\alpha ds\right] \\ &= C \int_a^b d(s, z)^\alpha ds. \end{aligned}$$

Putting together the last inequalities, we obtain (c) for  $z \in (a, b)$ .

CASE 2. We shall prove it only for  $z > b$ . First observe that the function

$$g(s) = \left(\frac{d(s, z)}{d(s, b)}\right)^{\alpha p'}$$

is decreasing in the interval  $(a, b)$ . Therefore,

$$\left(\int_I w^{1-p'}(s) d(s, z)^{\alpha p'} ds\right)^{1/p'} \leq \left(\frac{z-a}{b-a}\right)^\alpha \left(\int_I w^{1-p'}(s) d(s, b)^{\alpha p'} ds\right)^{1/p'}.$$

Using case 1 ( $z = b$ ) and the fact that  $\alpha \leq 0$ , we obtain

$$\left(\int_I w\right)^{1/p} \left(\int_I w^{1-p'}(s) d(s, z)^{\alpha p'} ds\right)^{1/p'} \leq C(z-a)^\alpha |I| \leq C \int_I d(z, s)^\alpha ds.$$

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). First we observe that (b) implies that  $w$  is doubling. Now, let  $I = I(x, R)$  be any interval. Applying (b), we have

$$\left(\int_{x-2R}^x w\right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{x-2R}^x w^{1-p'}(s) d(s, x-R)^{\alpha p'} ds\right)^{1/p'} \leq CR^{1+\alpha}.$$

Restricting the interval  $(x-2R, x)$  in the second integral and using the fact that  $w$  is doubling, we obtain

$$\left(\int_{x-R/2}^{x+R/2} w\right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{x-2R}^{x-R} w^{1-p'}(s) d(s, I)^{\alpha p'} ds\right)^{1/p'} \leq CR^{1+\alpha}.$$

Analogously, we get the same inequality changing the interval  $(x-2R, x-R)$  to  $(x+R, x+2R)$ . Finally, (a) follows adding both inequalities.  $\square$

As a consequence of the characterizations obtained in proposition 4.1, we have the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION 4.2.** *Let  $-1 < \alpha \leq 0$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$  and let  $w$  be a non-negative measurable function on the real line. If  $w$  satisfies  $A_{p,\alpha}$ , then there exists  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $0 < \epsilon < p-1$ , such that  $w$  satisfies  $A_{p-\epsilon,\alpha}$ .*

*Proof.* We know by proposition 4.1 that  $w(s)d(s, z)^{-\alpha}$  satisfies  $A_p(\mu_z)$  with an  $A_p(\mu_z)$ -constant independent of  $z$ . Then (see [5]) there exists  $\epsilon > 0$ , depending only on the  $A_p(\mu_z)$ -constant, such that  $w(s)d(s, z)^{-\alpha}$  satisfies  $A_{p-\epsilon}(\mu_z)$ , where the  $A_{p-\epsilon}(\mu_z)$ -constant depends only on the  $A_p(\mu_z)$ -constant and  $\epsilon$ . Applying again proposition 4.1, we obtain that  $w$  satisfies  $A_{p-\epsilon, \alpha}$ .  $\square$

It is clear that Marcinkiewicz’s interpolation theorem and results 3.1, 4.1 and 4.2 give immediately the characterization of the weighted strong-type inequality.

**THEOREM 4.3.** *Let  $-1 < \alpha \leq 0$  and  $p > 1$ . Let  $w$  be a non-negative measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . The following statements are equivalent.*

- (a)  $M_\alpha$  is of strong type  $(p, p)$  with respect to  $w(x) dx$ , i.e. there exists  $C$  such that

$$\int |M_\alpha f|^p w \leq C \int |f|^p w,$$

for all  $f \in L^p(w)$ .

- (b)  $w$  satisfies  $A_{p, \alpha}$  or, equivalently, there exists  $C$  such that, for any interval  $I$ ,

$$\left( \int_I w \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_I w^{1-p'}(s) d(s, x)^{\alpha p'} ds \right)^{1/p'} \leq C |I|^{1+\alpha},$$

where  $x$  is the centre of  $I$ .

### 5. Restricted weak-type inequalities

As we said above, the operator  $M_\alpha$  is not of weak type  $(1/(1 + \alpha), 1/(1 + \alpha))$  with respect to Lebesgue measure if  $\alpha < 0$ , but it is of restricted weak type  $(1/(1 + \alpha), 1/(1 + \alpha))$ ; in other words,  $M_\alpha$  satisfies the weak-type inequality for characteristic functions or, equivalently,  $M_\alpha$  maps the Lorentz space  $L_{1/(1+\alpha), 1}(dx)$  into the Lorentz space  $L_{1/(1+\alpha), \infty}(dx)$ . Therefore, it is interesting to study the weights  $w$  such that  $w(\{x : M_\alpha \chi_E(x) > \lambda\}) \leq C \lambda^{-p} w(E)$  for all  $\lambda > 0$  and all measurable sets  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

**THEOREM 5.1.** *Let  $w$  be a non-negative measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $-1 < \alpha \leq 0$ . If  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then the following are equivalent.*

- (a)  $M_\alpha$  is of restricted weak type  $(p, p)$  with respect to  $w(x) dx$ , i.e. there exists  $C$  such that  $w(\{x : M_\alpha \chi_E(x) > \lambda\}) \leq C \lambda^{-p} w(E)$  for all  $\lambda > 0$  and all measurable  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ .
- (b)  $w$  satisfies  $RA_{p, \alpha}$ , i.e. there exists  $C$  such that, for every interval  $I$  and all measurable  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\left( \int_{\frac{1}{2}I} w \right) \left( \int_{E \cap (2I \setminus I)} d(s, I)^\alpha ds \right)^p \leq C |I|^{(1+\alpha)p} \int_{E \cap (2I \setminus I)} w.$$

*Proof.* Using proposition 2.1, we see that (b) follows from (a), since

$$N_\alpha \chi_{E \cap (2I \setminus I)}(x) \geq \frac{1}{|I|^{1+\alpha}} \int_{E \cap (2I \setminus I)} d(s, I)^\alpha ds,$$



for all  $x \in \frac{1}{2}I$ . Assume now that (b) holds. We shall need the following lemma.

LEMMA 5.2. *If  $-1 < \alpha \leq 0$  and  $w$  satisfies  $RA_{p,\alpha}$ , then  $w$  is a doubling weight.*

We postpone the proof of the lemma and continue with the proof of the theorem. By (b) and the fact that  $w$  is a doubling weight, we have

$$\frac{\int_{E \cap (2I \setminus I)} d(s, I)^\alpha ds}{|I|^{1+\alpha}} \leq C \left( \frac{w(E \cap (2I \setminus I))}{w(2I)} \right)^{1/p}.$$

Therefore,  $M_\alpha \chi_E \leq C(\mathcal{M}_w \chi_E)^{1/p}$ . As in the proof of theorem 3.1, we obtain (a) using the fact that  $\mathcal{M}_w$  is of weak type (1,1) with respect to  $w(x) dx$ . □

*Proof of lemma 5.2.* Let  $I = I(x, R)$  be any interval. Since  $w$  satisfies  $RA_{p,\alpha}$ , taking  $E = (x + R, x + 2R)$ , we obtain

$$\left( \int_{x-R/2}^{x+R/2} w \right) \left( \int_{x+R}^{x+2R} d(s, I)^\alpha ds \right)^p \leq C |I|^{(1+\alpha)p} \int_{x+R}^{x+2R} w,$$

and therefore  $w((x - \frac{1}{2}R, x + \frac{1}{2}R)) \leq Cw((x + R, x + 2R))$ . Now we continue as in the proof of lemma 3.3. □

We can give equivalent formulations of the  $RA_{p,\alpha}$ -condition in the same way as we did with the  $A_{p,\alpha}$ -condition in proposition 4.1. We collect them in the next proposition. We omit the proof, since it is similar to the proof of proposition 4.1.

PROPOSITION 5.3. *Let  $-1 < \alpha \leq 0$  and  $p \geq 1$ . Let  $w$  be a non-negative measurable function. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (a)  $w$  satisfies  $RA_{p,\alpha}$ .
- (b) There exists  $C$  such that, for any interval  $I$  and all measurable  $E \subset I$ ,

$$\left( \int_I w \right) \left( \int_E d(s, x)^\alpha ds \right)^p \leq C |I|^{(1+\alpha)p} \int_E w,$$

where  $x$  is the centre of  $I$ .

- (c) There exists  $C$  such that, for any interval  $I$ , all measurable  $E \subset I$  and all  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\left( \int_I w \right) \left( \int_E d(s, z)^\alpha ds \right)^p \leq C \left( \int_I d(s, z)^\alpha \right)^p \int_E w.$$

## 6. Further results

This section is devoted to establishing several relations among the classes of weights considered in the previous sections. Some of them are proven easily; for instance:

- (a) if  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\alpha \leq \beta$ , then  $A_{p,\alpha} \subset A_{p,\beta}$ ; and
- (b) if  $1 < p \leq q < \infty$ , then  $A_{p,\alpha} \subset A_{q,\alpha}$ .

Others relations appear in the next proposition.

PROPOSITION 6.1. *If  $-1 < \alpha \leq 0$  and  $p(1 + \alpha) > 1$ , then  $A_{p(1+\alpha)} \subset A_{p,\alpha} \subset A_p$  and  $A_{p,\alpha} \neq A_r$  for all  $r > p(1 + \alpha)$ .*

*Proof.* Taking  $\beta = 0$  in (a), we obtain  $A_{p,\alpha} \subset A_p$  and, applying the Hölder inequality, we get that  $A_r \subset A_{p,\alpha}$  for all  $r$  with  $1 < r < p(1 + \alpha)$ . Keeping in mind that  $w \in A_{p(1+\alpha)}$  implies  $w \in A_r$  for some  $r < p(1 + \alpha)$  (see [3, 5]), we have that  $A_{p(1+\alpha)} \subset A_{p,\alpha}$ . In order to see that  $A_{p,\alpha} \neq A_r$  for all  $r > p(1 + \alpha)$ , we consider the functions  $w(x) = |x|^\gamma$ . It is well known (see [3]) that  $w \in A_r$  if and only if  $-1 < \gamma < r - 1$ . On the other hand, if  $w \in A_{p,\alpha}$ , then (proposition 4.1(b))

$$\int_{-a}^a w^{1-p'}(s) d(s, 0)^{\alpha p'} ds = \int_{-a}^a |s|^{\gamma(1-p')+\alpha p'} ds < \infty.$$

This implies that  $\gamma < p(1 + \alpha) - 1$ . Therefore, if  $p(1 + \alpha) - 1 < \gamma < r - 1$ , then  $w \in A_r$  and  $w \notin A_{p,\alpha}$ . □

REMARK 6.2. The same argument in the proof of the above proposition shows that if  $p(1 + \alpha) > 1$ , then  $w(x) = |x|^\gamma \in A_{p,\alpha}$  if and only if  $-1 < \gamma < p(1 + \alpha) - 1$ . However, we do not know if  $A_{p(1+\alpha)}$  is equal to  $A_{p,\alpha}$  for  $\alpha < 0$ .

Now we check the same kind of relations among the classes  $RA_{p,\alpha}$ . Clearly, properties (a) and (b) also hold for the classes  $RA_{p,\alpha}$ . If we denote the classes  $RA_{p,0}$  by  $RA_p$ , we can prove the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 6.3. *If  $-1 < \alpha \leq 0$  and  $p(1 + \alpha) \geq 1$ , then  $RA_{p(1+\alpha)} \subset RA_{p,\alpha} \subset RA_p$  and  $RA_{p,\alpha} \neq RA_r$  for all  $r > p(1 + \alpha)$ .*

*Proof.* The relation  $RA_{p,\alpha} \subset RA_p$  is obvious. Now let  $w \in RA_{p(1+\alpha)}$ . Then (proposition 5.3(b) for  $\alpha = 0$ )

$$\left( \int_I w \right) |E|^{p(1+\alpha)} \leq C |I|^{p(1+\alpha)} \int_E w$$

for all intervals  $I$  and all measurable  $E \subset I = I(x, R)$ . Since

$$\int_E d(s, x)^\alpha ds \leq |E|^{1+\alpha},$$

we obtain that  $w \in RA_{p,\alpha}$ , by proposition 5.3. In order to prove that  $RA_r \neq RA_{p,\alpha}$  for all  $r > p(1 + \alpha)$ , let us consider  $w(x) = |x|^{r-1}$ . It was noticed in [2] that  $w \in RA_r$ . However,  $w$  does not belong to  $RA_{p,\alpha}$  because if  $w \in RA_{p,\alpha}$ , then (proposition 5.3(b))

$$\left( \int_{-a}^a w \right) \left( \int_0^\epsilon d(s, 0)^\alpha ds \right)^p \leq C (2a)^{p(1+\alpha)} \int_0^\epsilon w,$$

for all  $a$  and  $\epsilon$  with  $0 < \epsilon < a$  or, equivalently,  $(a/\epsilon)^r \leq C(a/\epsilon)^{p(1+\alpha)}$ ,  $0 < \epsilon < a$ , which is a contradiction since  $r > p(1 + \alpha)$ . □

REMARK 6.4. With the same arguments as those above, we can easily see that  $w(x) = |x|^\gamma \in RA_{p,\alpha}$  if and only if  $-1 < \gamma \leq p(1 + \alpha) - 1$ . On the other hand, as in the case of the  $A_{p,\alpha}$ -classes, we do not know if  $RA_{p(1+\alpha)}$  is equal to  $RA_{p,\alpha}$  when  $\alpha < 0$ , but the equality holds when  $p$  is the endpoint, i.e. if  $p = 1/(1 + \alpha)$ .

PROPOSITION 6.5.  $RA_{1/(1+\alpha),\alpha} = RA_1 = A_1$ .

*Proof.* First, notice that by proposition 6.3 (with  $p = 1/(1 + \alpha)$ ), we have that  $RA_1 \subset RA_{1/(1+\alpha),\alpha}$ . Second,  $RA_1$  is clearly equivalent to  $A_1$  since the restricted weak type  $(1, 1)$  is equivalent to the weak type  $(1, 1)$ . It only remains to show that  $RA_{1/(1+\alpha),\alpha} \subset A_1$ . Let  $w \in RA_{1/(1+\alpha),\alpha}$  and let  $I$  be any bounded interval. Applying proposition 5.3(c) to  $E = (z - \epsilon, z + \epsilon) \subset I$ , we get

$$w(I) \left( \int_{z-\epsilon}^{z+\epsilon} d(s, z)^\alpha ds \right)^{1/(1+\alpha)} \leq C|I| \int_{z-\epsilon}^{z+\epsilon} w.$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I w \leq C \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \int_{z-\epsilon}^{z+\epsilon} w.$$

If we let  $\epsilon$  go to 0, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I w \leq Cw(z)$$

for almost every  $z \in I$ , i.e.  $w$  is in the class  $A_1$  of Muckenhoupt. □

### Acknowledgments

A.L.B. was supported by CONICET, Prog. CAI+D-UNL and PICT1998 (Codigo: 03-04186). F.J.M.-R. was partly supported by FOMEC, DGES Grant (PB97-1097) and Junta de Andalucía.

### References

- 1 A. L. Bernardis and F. J. Martín-Reyes. Singular integrals in the Cesàro sense. *J. Fourier Analysis Appl.* **6**(2) (2000), 143–152.
- 2 H.-M. Chung, R. A. Hunt and D. S. Kurtz. The Hardy–Littlewood maximal function on  $L(p, q)$  spaces with weights. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **31** (1982), 109–120.
- 3 J. García-Cuerva and J. L. Rubio de Francia. *Weighted norm inequalities and related topics* (Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1985).
- 4 W. Jourkat and J. Troutman. Maximal inequalities related to generalized a.e. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **252**, 1979, 49–64.
- 5 J.-O. Stromberg and A. Torchinsky. *Weighted Hardy inequalities*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1381 (Springer, 1989).

(Issued 27 April 2001)