New insights into the ecology and biology of *Acanthocheilonema reconditum* (Grassi, 1889) causing canine subcutaneous filariosis

EMANUELE BRIANTI¹, GABRIELLA GAGLIO¹, ETTORE NAPOLI¹, SALVATORE GIANNETTO¹, FILIPE DANTAS-TORRES², ODILE BAIN³ and DOMENICO OTRANTO²*

¹ Dipartimento di Sanità Pubblica Veterinaria, Facoltà di Medicina Veterinaria, Università degli Studi di Messina, Messina, Italy

² Dipartimento di Sanità Pubblica e Zootecnia, Università degli Studi di Bari, Valenzano, Bari, Italy

³ Département Systématique et Evolution, UMR 7205 CNRS, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France

(Received 15 September 2011; revised 19 October 2011; accepted 2 November 2011; first published online 16 February 2012)

SUMMARY

In spite of its wide distribution among dogs and the evidence of its implication as a zoonotic agent, scant information is available on the biology of *Acanthocheilonema reconditum* (Spirurida, Onchocercidae). In this study, blood samples from 152 Sicilian dogs were examined for *A. reconditum* microfilariae at the beginning of the study and 1 year later. The periodicity of microfilaraemia was investigated by bleeding 2 highly microfilaraemic dogs twice a day for 10 days and, later on, every 2 weeks for 1 year and a third animal every 3 h for 96 h. Fleas and ticks infesting dogs were collected and dissected for the detection of *A. reconditum* larvae. The prevalence of infestation was $11\cdot2\%$ (17/152) and $13\cdot3\%$ (16/120) at the beginning and at the end of the study, with a 1 year cumulative incidence of $5\cdot9\%$. Although dogs bled twice a day showed a higher number of microfilariae in most of the morning samples, the absence of any circadian rhythm was suggested by data of the third experiment conducted by bleeding a dog every 3 h for 4 days. *A. reconditum* developing forms were detected in $5\cdot1\%$ (4/78) of dissected fleas, but not in any of the 272 ticks. The study provides new insights into the biology and ecology of this dog filarioid in its definitive and intermediate hosts.

Key words: Acanthocheilonema reconditum, epidemiology, ecology, microfilaraemia periodicity, dog.

INTRODUCTION

Domestic dogs represent a preferential food source for many arthropod vectors of pathogens, some of which of zoonotic concern (Otranto and Eberhard, 2011). Among filarioids (superfamily Filarioidea), Dirofilaria immitis and Dirofilaria repens (Spirurida, Onchocercidae) are recognized as major causes of infestations in animals and humans and are often diagnosed in the veterinary practice. Differently, Acanthocheilonema reconditum is less pathogenic, probably due to the fact that adults are beneath the subcutaneous tissues of the limbs and back (Nelson, 1962), seldom of the trunk, hind legs and the fat near the kidney (Grassi and Calandruccio, 1890; Korkejian and Edeson, 1978; Sonin, 1985). Nonetheless, A. reconditum has a global distribution and, in many geographical areas of the Mediterranean Basin, Middle East, South Africa, South America and Oceania, it is the sole (Mazzotti and Chabaud, 1962; Korkejian and Edeson, 1974; Minnaar and Krecek, 2001) or the most prevalent (Pennington

Parasitology (2012), **139**, 530–536. © Cambridge University Press 2012 doi:10.1017/S0031182011002198

and Phelps, 1969; Boreham and Atwell, 1985; Pampiglione et al. 1986; Saleh et al. 1988; Ortega-Mora et al. 1991; Aranda et al. 1998; Alves et al. 1999; Cringoli et al. 2001; Reifur et al. 2004; Giannetto et al. 2007) filarioid species infesting dogs. Differently from other filarioids transmitted by mosquitoes (e.g., D. immitis and D. repens) or ticks (e.g., Cercopithifilaria spp.) to dogs, A. reconditum completes its life cycle in and is vectored by fleas (i.e., Ctenocephalides canis, Ctenocephalides felis, Pulex irritans, P. simulans, Echidnophaga gallinae) or lice (i.e., Heterodoxus spiniger, Linognathus setosus) (Newton and Wright, 1956; Nelson, 1962; Pennington and Phelps, 1969; Bain and Beaucournu, 1974). Nonetheless, a few studies assessed the potential role of Rhipicephalus sanguineus, the brown dog tick, as a vector of this filarioid species (Pennington and Phelps, 1969; Korkejian and Edeson, 1978; Cringoli et al. 2001).

In spite of its wide distribution and its finding in the eye of a human (Huynh *et al.* 2001), scant information is available on the biology of *A. reconditum*. There is evidence indicating that *A. reconditum* blood microfilariae (mfs) are found in peripheral blood after a pre-patent period of 67–101 days, in experimentally infected dogs (Lindsay, 1962; Sawyer *et al.* 1965;

^{*} Corresponding author: Dipartimento di Sanità Pubblica e Zootecnia, Università degli Studi di Bari, Valenzano, Bari, Italy. Tel/Fax: +39 080 4679839. E-mail: d.otranto@ veterinaria.uniba.it

Farnell and Faulkner, 1978; Lindemann and McCall, 1984). Nonetheless, no further information is available on the patent period of the infestation in naturally infested animals as well as on the prevalence and incidence of the infestation in any confined dog population. Moreover, information on the rate of infestation in its intermediate hosts is limited to old reports (Pennington and Phelps, 1969; Korkejian and Edeson, 1978). In this perspective, the aim of the present study was to investigate the prevalence and incidence of A. reconditum in a confined population of dogs living in an endemic area of Sicily (southern Italy). The presence of A. reconditum was also searched for in fleas and ticks collected from the same dog population. Furthermore, the periodicity of microfilaraemia was monitored in highly microfilaraemic dogs to investigate the circadian rhythm of this nematode in its definitive host.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population

The study was carried out in a confined dog population in a municipal shelter of Messina, northern Sicily (38.2416° N, 15.5218° E), an area of A. reconditum endemicity (Giannetto et al. 2007). In this shelter, a total of 371 dogs were housed in single or collective mesh fences, being grouped according to character and gender compatibility. Animals included in the study had a stray origin and had been hosted in the shelter for at least 2 years. Dogs sampled were older than 6 months and in general good health conditions. All dogs were untreated against ectoparasites individually but pyrethroids (flumethrine 6%, 1 ml per litre of water) were sprayed in the environment on late spring (e.g., May 2010) to reduce the level of tick and flea infestation. Dogs were treated against gastrointestinal helminths using commercial compounds (i.e., pyrantel embonate, praziquantel and febantel) once brought to the shelter and, subsequently, twice a year.

Sampling design

In May 2010, 152 clinically healthy dogs (i.e., 85 males and 67 females) aged between 2 and 13 years were bled and the locations of each animal within the fences were recorded. One year later (May 2011) a second blood collection was performed on the same individual dogs to estimate both the duration/persistence of microfilaraemia in animals positive at the first sampling and 1 year cumulative incidence of the infestation in those that were negative. At the second sampling time, dogs were checked for the presence of ticks and fleas by visual inspection and combing, respectively. Ectoparasites collected were stored in labelled vials and transported, within 4 h, to the laboratory for dissection (see below).

Two dogs, namely dog 1 and dog 2, presenting a high level of blood mfs (i.e., about 1000 mfs per ml of blood) at the first sampling were systematically bled since May 2010, twice a day (i.e., 8 a.m. and 8 p.m.) for 10 days and, later on, every 2 weeks for 1 year (May 2010-May 2011). These 2 dogs were treated monthly against ectoparasites (Frontline Combo[®], Merial SAS) to prevent new A. reconditum infestation. In addition, to investigate the existence of a circadian rhythm of microfilaraemia, a high microfilaraemic dog, namely dog 3, was bled every 3 h for 4 days (i.e., a total of 32 blood samples in 96 h). From these dogs only a small amount of blood (i.e., 2 ml) was withdraw. Animals were kept (i.e., housing, food) under their usual housing conditions before, during, and after the study. Clinical signs suggestive of A. reconditum infestation (e.g., dermatitis and/or alopecia) were recorded at every blood collection.

The study design and the experimental procedures were approved and authorized by the Animal Ethics Committee of the University of Messina (Italy).

Laboratory procedures

All blood samples were stored in 3 ml tubes with pre-added anticoagulant (EDTA K3) and examined for the presence of mfs within 5 h post-collection. In particular, 1 ml of blood was processed according to the modified Knott's technique (Balbo and Panichi, 1968) and mfs found in the samples were identified at species level using morphometric criteria, counted and the burden expressed as mfs per ml of blood (mfs/ml). The morphological diagnostic characters were compared with measurements and features of the most common filarioids retrieved in dogs in Italy, namely, *D. immitis*, *D. repens* and *A. reconditum* (Fülleborn, 1912; Bernard and Bausche, 1913; Lent and Freitas, 1937; Olmeda-Garcia and Rodriguez-Rodriguez, 1994).

The collected fleas and ticks were individually identified at species level, dissected in a drop of saline solution under a stereomicroscope and examined for the presence of *A. reconditum* developmental stages. Once dissected, a drop of methylene blue (1%) was added and a coverslip placed on the preparation in order to better visualize larval nematodes (Brianti *et al.* 2011). Larvae found were identified and staged according to the protocols of Pennington and Phelps (1969) and Bain and Beaucournu (1974). A system for image analysis, AxioVision rel. 4.8 (Carl Zeiss AG, Germany) connected with digital camera mounted directly on the microscope was used to take pictures and to measure the larvae.

Data analysis

Cumulative incidence was calculated as the proportion of non-microfilaraemic dogs at the first



Fig. 1. Mean and range of circulating microfilariae of *Acanthocheilonema reconditum* recorded in dog 1 and dog 2 in the morning (i.e., 8:00 am) and in the evening (i.e., 8:00 pm) for 10 days (paired *t*-test=2.970, P=0.008).

sampling (May 2010) that had become microfilaraemic after 1 year, subtracting those dogs who died or that were adopted (Thrusfield, 1996). Differences in the frequencies of infestation between sexes were assessed by chi-square test (Yates corrected) whereas the differences in the number of blood mfs between samples (i.e., morning/evening or May 2010/ May2011) were analysed by paired Student's *t*-test. Variations in the mean number of blood mfs in dog 3 among the 4 days of sampling were analysed using one-way ANOVA. The normality distribution of data was tested by Kolmogorov-Smirnov method (Hawkins, 2005). The critical significance level (α) was set at 5% (0.05) and all tests were performed twosided. Statistical analyses were done using the statistical package SPSS v. 13.0.

RESULTS

Prevalence, incidence and duration of microfilaraemia

At the first blood collection (May 2010), 17 (11.2%) out of 152 sampled dogs were microfilaraemic. Microfilariae were identified as A. reconditum based on their measurements and morphology (i.e., $273 \cdot 1 \pm 9 \cdot 4$ in length and of $5 \cdot 4 \pm 0 \cdot 2$ in width). Positive animals had a mean number of blood mfs of $184.5 \text{ mfs/ml} (\pm 392.4)$ with a range from 3 to 1427. At the 1-year follow-up (May 2011), 16 (13.3%) out of the remaining 120 dogs were positive for A. reconditum mfs with a mean number of 199.1 mfs/ ml (\pm 639.5), ranging from 2 to 2576. In particular, the presence of mfs was persistently detected in 10 (58.8%) out of the 17 dogs positive in May 2010 (Table 1). Three (17.7%) of the initially positive dogs were negative at the second screening. New cases of infestation were recorded in 6 dogs that were negative at the first sampling, thus resulting in a cumulative incidence of 5.9%. All the newly infested dogs were co-housed with A. reconditum microfilaraemic dogs. No significant differences were observed in the rate of infestation between males and females either at the



Fig. 2. One-year variation of the number of circulating microfilariae of *Acanthocheilonema reconditum* in dog 1 and dog 2. Dogs were bled every 2 weeks from May 2010 to May 2011.



Fig. 3. Periodicity of circulating microfilariae of *Acanthocheilonema reconditum* in a dog. The dog was bled every 3 h for 96 h (error bars = s.E.; Red bold line indicates the median value recorded over the 4 days).

first or second blood collections and no clinical signs suggestive of *A*. *reconditum* infestation were observed in positive dogs.

Periodicity of microfilaraemia

In the 2 dogs bled twice a day for 10 days, in 8 out of 10 days of observation, a significantly greater mean number of blood mfs was recorded in the morning samples than in the evening (paired t-test=2.970, P=0.008) (Fig. 1). In these animals, the number of circulating mfs ranged from 610 and 732 mfs/ml up to 1329 and 1269 mfs/ml in dog 1 and dog 2, respectively. Later on, during the 1-year follow-up with blood collection every 2 weeks, both dogs showed a persistence of microfilaraemia with different patterns. In particular, from May 2010 to May 2011, dog 1 maintained a constant high level of circulating mfs, even if with monthly variation ranging from 916 to 5040 mfs/ml; dog 2 showed a progressive decrease in the mean number of mfs from 889 to 2 mfs/ml (Fig. 2). In dog 3, bled every 3 h for a total of 4 days, the number of circulating mfs varied from 2640 to 5524 mfs/ml (median 3684 ± 774) showing different microfilaraemia patterns for each of the fourth days of monitoring (Fig. 3). Briefly, in the first day the microfilaraemia peaked over the median value only in the afternoon (i.e., 5524 mfs/ml at 5 p.m.); in the second day a total of 3 peaks (i.e., 4576 mfs/ml at 2 a.m., 5204 mfs/ml at 5 p.m. and



Fig. 4. Developing larvae of *Acanthocheilonema reconditum* found in dissected fleas. (A) First-stage larva (L1), note the presence of a prominent hook at the cephalic end (head of arrow); (B) Cephalic region of third-stage infective larva (lateral view); (C) Caudal region of third-stage infective larva (lateral view); (D) Caudal region of third-stage infective larva (dorsal view), note the presence of 3 (1 medial and 2 lateral) conical lapplets arising from the caudal end (head of arrow). Scale bars = $50 \,\mu$ m.

5060 mfs/ml at 11 p.m.) were recorded, whereas in the third day 2 peaks, one in the afternoon (i.e., 4562 mfs/ml at 2 p.m.) and one in the evening (i.e., 4816 mf/sml, at 8 p.m.) were recorded. Finally, a total of 3 peaks (i.e., 5192 mfs/ml at 5 a.m., 5152 mfs/ml at 11 a.m. and 4284 mfs/ml at 5 p.m.) were recorded in the fourth day. No statistical difference was recorded between and among each sampling time points in the same day.

Infestation in fleas and ticks

On May 2011, 78 fleas and 272 ticks were collected from a total of 21 and 31 dogs, respectively. With regard to fleas, the predominant species was C. *felis* (41 females and 20 males) followed by C. *canis* (10 females and 7 males), whereas ticks (164 males, 97 females, 11 nymphs) were identified as R. *sanguineus*.

Eleven developing A. reconditum larvae were detected in 4 (i.e., 5.1%) C. felis females (Table 2). Larvae were identified as 7 first-stage (L1) and 4 infective-stage (L3) larvae (Fig. 4). L1 had a mean

Table 1. Number of circulating microfilariae in dogs that have been tested positive for *Acanthocheilonema reconditum* in May 2010 and/or in May 2011 samplings

(Microfilariae were searched by modified Knott's technique on blood samples collected from 152 healthy dogs in May 2010 and on a portion (120) of the same dogs in May 2011.)

| | Sex | Acanthocheilonema reconditum microfilariae/ml of blood | | |
|--------|-----|--|----------|--|
| Dog ID | | May 2010 | May 2011 | |
| 15 | F | 10 | Negative | |
| 28 | М | 13 | Negative | |
| 31 | М | 1427 | 3 | |
| 33 | F | Negative | 2* | |
| 38 | М | Negative | 3* | |
| 39 | М | Negative | 8* | |
| 40 | М | 3 | 7 | |
| 48 | М | Negative | 11* | |
| 49 | F | 207 | 170 | |
| 53 | М | Negative | 4* | |
| 54 | М | 18 | 5 | |
| 64 | F | 144 | 31 | |
| 66 | F | Negative | 6* | |
| 100 | М | 7 | Adopted | |
| 104 | F | 4 | Adopted | |
| 105 | F | 26 | Adopted | |
| 118 | F | 79 | 15 | |
| 122 | М | 4 | 25 | |
| 129 | М | 4 | 2 | |
| 131 | F | 125 | 2576 | |
| 138 | F | 98 | Negative | |
| 139 | М | 954 | 318 | |
| 144 | F | 14 | Adopted | |

* Newly infected dogs.

length of $268.7 \,\mu\text{m}$ and a width of $9.2 \,\mu\text{m}$; L3 were $1148 \,\mu\text{m} \times 24 \,\mu\text{m}$. As much as 3 L3 or 6 L1 were observed in a single specimen of flea. Positive fleas were collected from 3 microfilaraemic and 1 non-microfilaraemic dog. In particular, all fleas bearing L1 were collected from microfilaraemic animals whereas those with L3 were from a non-microfilaraemic one. At the dissection, no tick was found positive for *A. reconditum*. No correlation was found between the numbers of developing *A. reconditum* larvae in the fleas and the number of circulating mfs in flea-infested dogs.

DISCUSSION

The study here presented reports the prevalence of A. reconditum infestation in a confined population of dogs and provides new insights into the biology and ecology of this filarioid in the definitive and intermediate host. Acanthocheilonema reconditum is endemic in the study area with prevalence of micro-filaraemic animals as high as 11.2% and 13.3% in the first and second year, respectively. Information on

| Dog ID | | Flea species | Number and larval stage of <i>Acanthocheilonema reconditum</i> in flea | | |
|--------|---|-----------------------|--|----|----|
| | Microfilaraemia (no. microfilariae/ml) | | L1/DL1 | L2 | L3 |
| 28 | Negative | Ctenocephalides felis | | _ | 1 |
| 31 | Microfilaraemic (3 mfs/ml) | Ctenocephalides felis | _ | _ | 3 |
| 48 | Microfilaraemic (11 mfs/ml) | Ctenocephalides felis | 6 | _ | _ |
| 48 | Microfilaraemic (11 mfs/ml) | Ctenocephalides felis | 1 | | _ |

Table 2. Number and stage of developing *Acanthocheilonema reconditum* larvae found in dissected fleas according to flea species and microfilaraemia status of the infested dogs

this filarioid infestation is scant, with D. immitis and D. repens being considered the most common filarial species parasitizing dogs worldwide. In Italy, there are data indicating that A. reconditum is the most prevalent filarioid species infesting dogs in southern regions (Cringoli et al. 2001; Otranto et al. 2009), as has also been demonstrated by an extensive survey carried out on 2512 dogs in Sicily where this nematode was the most common causative agent of filarial infection with an overall prevalence of 4.5% (95% CI 3.7%-5.4%) (Giannetto et al., 2007). Interestingly, A. reconditum was the only species found in dogs in the study site, as recorded in other geographical areas where it was the only filarioid affecting dogs (Mazzotti and Chabaud, 1962; Korkejian and Edeson, 1978; Minnaar and Krecek, 2001).

In contrast to previous studies (Pennington and Phelps, 1969; Cringoli et al. 2001; Giannetto et al. 2007), no differences in terms of infestation rate between animal genders were found as already recorded by Korkejian and Edeson (1978). This finding could be due to the fact that in the studied shelter dogs were housed in the same environment, thus being exposed and infested by the same population of arthropods. To the best of our knowledge, the cumulative incidence of 5.9% recorded in our study represents the first data on the incidence of A. reconditum infestation in a dog population and could only be compared with the force of infection calculated across European countries for D. immitis and D. repens that was 0-8.4% and 0-3.3%, respectively (Troz-Williams and Trees, 2003). However, the cumulative incidence recorded in this study might be biased by the animal density of our study population in a shelter environment and might not represent what would be observed in other dog population.

Interestingly, new infestations were recorded in dogs sharing the same kennels with at least 1 dog microfilaraemic for A. reconditum in the previous year. This feature suggests the pivotal role of A. reconditum-infested dogs as reservoirs of this filarioid in a confined environment, being the proximity to an infested animal crucial for the occurrence of new infestations. This is probably due to the fact that adult fleas, the only stage capable in transmitting this filarial species (Newton and Wright, 1956; Nelson, 1962; Bain and Beaucournu, 1974), do not drop off from their host, so that, fleas passage between and among individuals is more likely when animals are co-housed (Rust, 1994). This ecological aspect makes the epidemiology of *A. reconditum* very different from that of other filarioids affecting dogs such as *D. immitis* and *D. repens*, since mosquitoes can spread the infestation for long distances (McCall *et al.* 2008; Otranto *et al.* 2009) or as *Cercopithifilaria* sp. where the transstadial passage of larvae in *R. sanguineus* ticks can allow, at the same time, the maintenance in the environment and the transmission to new susceptible hosts (Brianti *et al.* 2011).

The mean number of A. reconditum mfs recorded in our study (i.e., 184.5 mf/ml in 2010 and 199.1 mf/ ml in 2011) is one of the highest reported either in natural (Pennington and Phelps, 1969; Herd, 1978; Cringoli et al. 2001) or experimental infestations (Lindemann et al. 1983; Lindemann and McCall, 1984). However, the number of circulating microfilariae is not always correlated to the number of adult worms (Pennington and Phelps, 1969) but it could be affected, as for other filarial species, by the fertility of females that seems to have a progressive rise followed by a decrease later on (Kihara, 1987). This may explain the reduction of circulating mfs in 7 out of the 10 dogs showing 1-year persistent microfilaraemia. Analogously, a progressive decrease of microfilaraemia (from 889 mf/ml in May 2010 to 2 mf/ml in May 2011) was recorded throughout 1 year in dog 2.

The periodicity of *A. reconditum* mfs was earlier investigated with contrasting results as singular microfilaraemie peaks have been reported diurnal (Newton and Wright, 1956), nocturnal (Korkejian and Edeson, 1978; Bobade *et al.* 1981), or both (Gubler, 1966; Pennington and Phelps, 1969). In our work the periodicity of circulating mfs was evaluated in 3 different experiments. Although in the first experiment a higher number of mfs was recorded during the morning in 8 out of 10 days of study, the absence of any circadian rhythm was confirmed by data of the third experiment conducted by bleeding a high microfilaraemic dog every 3 h for 4 days. Indeed, our results indicate that there is no defined periodicity of *A. reconditum* microfilaraemia in dogs. This is in line with the short periods of flea blood feedings (about 10 min) and the absence of a defined circadian rhythm (Koehler *et al.* 1989).

The rate of A. reconditum infestation in fleas (5.1%)is much lower than that reported in a similar study where developing larvae of A. reconditum were found in 70.5% and 40.3% of C. can is and H. spiniger, respectively (Pennington and Phelps, 1969). However, these differences are more likely due to the different methodologies employed for the collection of fleas since in the present study fleas were collected from infested dogs regardless their microfilaraemic status, whereas in previous studies fleas were collected exclusively from microfilaraemic dogs. Nevertheless, only 1 of the 4 positive fleas found in our study was collected from a non-microfilaraemic dog that, however, shared the same kennel with a microfilaraemic, flea-infested dog. None of the 272 ticks dissected carried developing forms of A. reconditum (data not shown) providing further evidence that R. sanguineus does not act as a vector of A. reconditum, as already suggested by other researchers (Nelson, 1962; Pennington and Phelps, 1969; Bain and Beaucournu, 1974).

No microfilaraemic dog displayed clinical signs suggestive of infestation by *A. reconditum*, which is considered one of the less pathogenic filarioids of dogs (Bobade *et al.* 1981; Hubert, 1985; Chauve, 1990). Accordingly, a study of dogs experimentally infested by *A. reconditum* demonstrated that this nematode is not a parasite of clinical significance (Lindemann *et al.* 1983) even though some of the experimentally infested dogs presented significantly greater counts of leukocytes and eosinophils than control dogs. However, further studies should be addressed to better understand the real pathogenic role of this filarioid in dogs and its potential risk for humans in areas where it is endemic.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The veterinary clinic 'Peloro' and Dr Rosamaria Mirabito are thanked for the support provided in dog hospitalization. The authors wish to thank the veterinarian Dr Marilena Di Pietro and all the personnel of Messina dog shelter for the professional assistance provided during the study.

REFERENCES

Alves, L. C., de Almeida Silva, L. V., Faustino, M. A., McCall, J. W., Supakonderj, P., Labarthe, N. W., Sanchez, M. and Caires, O. (1999). Survey of canine heartworm in the city of Recife, Pernambuco, Brazil. *Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz* 94, 587–590.

Aranda, C., Panyella, O., Eritja, R. and Castella, J. (1998). Canine filariasis. Importance and transmission in the Baix Lobregat area, Barcelona (Spain). *Veterinary Parasitology* **77**, 267–275.

Balbo, T. and Panichi, M. (1968). La filariasi del cane. La Nuova Veterinaria 44, 18-32.

Bain, O. and Beaucournu, J.C. (1974). Larves infestantes de *Dipetalonema* sp. chez des puces récoltées chez des renards du sud-ouest de la France. *Annales de Parasitologie* **49**, 123–125.

Bernard, P. N. and Bausche, J. (1913). Conditions de propagation de la filariose sous-coutaneĭe du chien. - Stegomyia fasciata hôte inermédiaire de Dirofilaria repens. Bulletin de la Société de Pathologie Exotique 6, 89-99.

Bobade, P. A., Ojebuoboh, P. B. and Akinboade, O. (1981). A case of canine filariasis due to *Dipetalonema reconditum* (Grassi 1889) in Nigeria. *Journal of Small Animals Practice* 22, 201–206.

Boreham, P. F. and Atwell, R. B. (1985). Dipetalonema reconditum in dogs with microfilaraemia. Australian Veterinary Journal 62, 27-28.

Brianti, E., Otranto, D., Dantas-Torres, F., Weigl, S., Latrofa, S., Gaglio, G., Napoli, E., Brucato, G., Cauquil, L., Giannetto, S. and Bain, O. (2011). *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* (Ixodida, Ixodidae) as intermediate host of a canine neglected filarial species with dermal microfilariae. *Veterinary Parasitology* doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar. 2011.07.031.

Chauve, C. M. (1990). Dirofilaria repens (Railliet and Henry, 1911), Dipetalonema reconditum (Grassi, 1890), Dipetalonema dracunculoides (Cobbold, 1870) et Dipetalonema grassii (Noè, 1907): quatre filaires méconnues du chien. Pratique Médicale et Chirurgicale de l'Animal de Compagnie 25, 293-304.

Cringoli, G., Rinaldi, L., Veneziano, V. and Capelli, G. (2001). A prevalence survey and risk analysis of filariosis in dogs from the Mt. Vesuvius area of southern Italy. *Veterinary Parasitology* **13**, 243–252.

Farnell, D.R. and Faulkner, D.R. (1978). Prepatent period of *Dipetalonema reconditum* in experimentally-infected dogs. *International Journal for Parasitology* 64, 565–567.

Fülleborn, F. (1912). Zur Morphologie der Dirofilaria immitis Leydi (sic) 1856. Zentralbl Bakt Parasitenk 65, 341-349.

Giannetto, S., Poglayen, G., Gaglio, G. and Brianti, E. (2007). Prevalence and epidemiological aspects of microfilaraemia in dogs in Sicily. Abstract book of the 1st European Dirofilaria days Zagreb, Croatia. Grassi, B. and Calandruccio, S. (1890). Ueber Haematozoon Lewis. Entwicklungcyclus einer Filaria (*Filaria recondita* Grassi) des Hundes. *Centralbl Bacter Parasitenk* 7, 18–26.

Gubler, D. J. (1966). A comparative study on the distribution, incidence and periodicity on the canine filarial worms *Dirofilaria immitis* Ledy and *Dipetalonema reconditum* Grassi in Hawaii. *Journal of Medical Entomology* **3**, 159–167.

Hawkins, D. (2005). Biomeasurement: Understanding, Analysing and Communicating Data in the Biosciences. Oxford University Press Inc., New York, USA.

Herd, R. (1978). High *Dipetalonema reconditum* microfilarial counts in two dogs. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association* **15**, 1430–1431.

Hubert, B. (1985). Cas cliniques: helminthoses canines à manifestaions cutanées. *Point Vétérinaire* 17, 43–48.

Huynh, T., Thean, J. and Maini, R. (2001). Dipetalonema reconditum in the human eye. British Journal of Ophthalmology 85, 1391-1392.

Kihara, S. (1987). Oogenesis, spermatogenesis, fertilization and microfilarial production in canine heartworms. *Journal of the Japan Veterinary Medical Association* **40**, 31–37.

Koehler, P. G., Leppla, N. C. and Patterson, R. S. (1989). Circadian rhythm of cat flea (Siphonaptera: Pulicidae) locomotion unaffected by ultrasound. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 82, 516–518.

Korkejian, A. and Edeson, J.F. (1978). Studies on naturally occurring filarial infections in dogs in Lebanon. I. Dipetalonema reconditum. Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology 72, 65–78.

Lent, H. and Freitas, J. F. T. (1937). Dirofilariose sub-cutânea dos cães no Brasil. *Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz* 32, 443-448.

Lindemann, B. A., Evans, T. L. and McCall, J. W. (1983). Clinical responses of dogs to experimentally induced *Dipetalonema reconditum* infection. *American Journal of Veterinary Research* 44, 2170–2172.

Lindemann, B. A. and McCall, J. W. (1984). Experimental Dipetalonema reconditum infections in dogs. Journal for Parasitology 70, 167–168.

Lindsay, J.R. (1962). Diagnosis of filarial infection in dogs II: Confirmation of microfilariae identification. *Journal for Parasitology* 48, 321-326.

Mazzotti, L. and Chabaud, A.G. (1962). Presence of Dipetalonema reconditum in dogs in Mexico. Annales de Parasitologie Humaine et Comparee 37, 673–674.

McCall, J. W., Genchi, C., Kramer, L. H., Guerrero, J. and Venco, L. (2008). Heartworm disease in animals and humans. *Advances in Parasitology* **66**, 193–285.

Minnaar, W. N. and Krecek, R. C. (2001). Helminths in dogs belonging to people in a resource-limited urban community in Gauteng, South Africa. *Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research* 68, 111–117.

Emanuele Brianti and others

Nelson, G. S. (1962). *Dipetalonema reconditum* (Grassi, 1889) from the dog with a note on its development in the flea, *Ctenocephalides felis* and the louse, *Heterodoxus spiniger. Journal of Helminthology* **36**, 297–308.

Newton, W. L. and Wright, W. H. (1956). The occurence of the dog filariid other than *Dirofilaria immitis* in the United States. *International Yournal for Parasitology* **42**, 246–258.

Olmeda-Garcia, A. S. and Rodriguez-Rodriguez, J. A. (1994). Stagespecific development of a filarial nematode (*Dipelalonema dracunculoides*) in vector ticks. *Journal of Helminthology* **68**, 231–235.

Ortega-Mora, L. M., Gomez-Bautista, M., Rojo-Vazquez, F., Rodenas, A. and Guerrero, J. (1991). A survey of the prevalence of canine filariasis in Spain. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* **11**, 63–68.

Otranto, D., Capelli, G. and Genchi, C. (2009). Changing distribution patterns of canine vector borne diseases in Italy: leishmaniosis vs. dirofilariosis. *Parasites & Vectors* 2, S2.

Otranto, D. and Eberhard, M. L. (2011). Zoonotic helminthus affecting the human eye. *Parasites & Vectors* 23, 4–41.

Pampiglione, S., Poglayen, G. and Capelli, G. (1986). Distribuzione geografica delle filariosis canine in Italia. *Parassitologia* 28, 297–300.

Pennington, N. E. and Phelps, C. A. (1969). Canine filariasis on Okinawa, Ryukyu Islands. *Journal of Medical Entomology* 6, 59–67. Reifur, L., Thomaz-Soccol, V. and Montiani-Ferreira, F. (2004). Epidemiological aspects of filariosis in dogs on the coast of Paraná state, Brazil: with emphasis on *Dirofilaria immitis*. *Veterinary Parasitology* **122**, 273–286.

Rust, M. K. (1994). Interhost movement of adult cat fleas (Siphonaptera: Pulicidae). *Journal of Medical Entomology* **31**, 486–489.

Saleh, F. C., Kirkpatrick, C. E., De Haseth, O. and Lok, J. B. (1988). Occurrence of some blood and intestinal parasites in dogs in Curaßao, Netherlands Antilles. *Tropical Geographical Medicine* **40**, 318–321.

Sawyer, T. K., Rubin, E. F. and Jackson, R. F. (1965). The cephalic hook in microfilariae of *Dipetalonema reconditum* in the differentiation of canine microfilariae. *Proceedings of the Helminthological Society of Washington* **32**, 15–20.

Sonin, M. D. (1985). Filariida of Animals and Man and Diseases Caused by Them. Part III, Filariidae, Onchocercidae. Amerind Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd, New Dehli, India.

Thrusfield, M. (1995). Veterinary Epidemiology. 2nd Edn. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, UK.

Trotz-Williams, L. A. and Trees, A. J. (2003). Systematic review of the distribution of the major vector-borne parasitic infections in dogs and cats in Europe. *Veterinary Record* **157**, 97–105.