

## A NOTE ON A RESIDUAL SUBSET OF LIPSCHITZ FUNCTIONS ON METRIC SPACES

FABIO CAVALLETTI

*Rheinisch-Westfälische Technische Hochschule Aachen University,  
Department of Mathematics, Templergraben 64, 52062 Aachen,  
Germany* (cavalletti@instmath.rwth-aachen.de)

(Received 18 June 2013)

*Abstract* Let  $(X, d)$  be a quasi-convex, complete and separable metric space with reference probability measure  $m$ . We prove that the set of real-valued Lipschitz functions with non-zero pointwise Lipschitz constant  $m$ -almost everywhere is residual, and hence dense, in the Banach space of Lipschitz and bounded functions. The result is the metric analogous to a result proved for real-valued Lipschitz maps defined on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  by Alberti *et al.*

*Keywords:* Lipschitz functions; non-zero gradient; residual sets

2010 *Mathematics subject classification:* Primary 53C23; 30Lxx

### 1. Introduction

In the context of metric spaces, say  $(X, d)$ , it is possible to look at the pointwise variation of a real-valued map by considering

$$\text{Lip } f(x) := \limsup_{y \rightarrow x, y \neq x} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)}, \quad (1.1)$$

which is called the *pointwise Lipschitz constant*. In the smooth framework,  $\text{Lip } f$  corresponds to the modulus of  $\nabla f$ : if  $(X, d)$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  endowed with the Euclidean norm and  $f$  is locally Lipschitz, then  $\text{Lip } f = |\nabla f|$  almost everywhere with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Or, more generally, if  $(X, d, m)$  is a metric measure space admitting a differentiable structure in the sense of Cheeger (see [4, 6] for the definitions) and  $f$  is Lipschitz, then  $\text{Lip } f = |df|$   $m$ -almost everywhere, where  $df$  is the Cheeger differential of  $f$ .

Once the pointwise information is given, we are interested in looking at those points where the ‘differential’ vanishes. Define the singular set of  $f$  as

$$S(f) := \{x \in X : \text{Lip } f(x) = 0\}.$$

The classical Sard theorem states that if  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is sufficiently smooth, then the Lebesgue measure of  $f(S(f))$  is 0. As soon as the regularity assumption on  $f$  is dropped,

the conclusion of Sard's theorem does not hold anymore and one may look for weaker properties to hold.

The question, inspired by a similar problem in [3, §6], is whether it is possible to approximate any Lipschitz function with functions having negligible  $S(f)$  with respect to a given reference measure.

For real-valued Lipschitz functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with the Lebesgue measure playing the role of the reference measure, a positive answer is contained in [1, Proposition 4.10]. We prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume that  $(X, d)$  is a quasi-convex, complete and separable metric space and let  $m$  be a Borel probability measure over it. The set of those  $f \in D^\infty(X)$  such that  $m(S(f)) = 0$  is residual, and therefore dense, in  $D^\infty(X)$ .*

The Banach space  $D^\infty(X)$  will be the space of bounded functions, with bounded pointwise Lipschitz constant, endowed with the uniform norm. (See below for a precise definition.) Recall that a set in a topological space is residual if it contains a countable intersection of open dense sets. By Baire's category theorem, a residual set in a complete metric space is dense.

## 2. Setting

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and let  $m$  be a Borel probability measure over  $X$  so that  $X$  coincides with its support. For  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the *Lipschitz constant* of  $f$  is defined as usual by

$$\text{LIP}(f) := \sup_{x, y \in X, x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)}$$

and we say that  $f$  is Lipschitz if  $\text{LIP}(f)$  is a finite number. Accordingly, denote by  $\text{LIP}^\infty(X)$  the space of bounded Lipschitz functions. The natural norm on  $\text{LIP}^\infty(X)$  is given by

$$\|f\|_{\text{LIP}^\infty(X)} = \|f\|_\infty + \text{LIP}(f),$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  is the uniform norm. The space of bounded Lipschitz functions endowed with  $\|f\|_{\text{LIP}^\infty(X)}$  turns out to be a Banach space. The pointwise version of  $\text{LIP}(f)$  is given by the pointwise Lipschitz constant, as defined in (1.1). The corresponding space of bounded functions with bounded pointwise Lipschitz constant can be considered:

$$D^\infty(X) := \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: \|f\|_\infty + \|\text{Lip } f\|_\infty < \infty\}.$$

A study of  $D^\infty(X)$  and  $\text{LIP}^\infty(X)$  can be found in [5]. The following results are taken from [5].

It is straightforward to note that  $\text{LIP}^\infty(X) \subset D^\infty(X)$  and for a general metric space this is the only valid inclusion. Examples of metric spaces and functions in  $D^\infty(X)$  not satisfying a global Lipschitz bound can be constructed (see [5]). If  $(X, d)$  is quasi-convex, the other inclusion also holds and  $\text{LIP}^\infty(X) = D^\infty(X)$  and the two semi-norms are comparable, i.e. there exists  $C \geq 1$  such that

$$\|\text{Lip } f\|_\infty \leq \text{LIP}(f) \leq C \|\text{Lip } f\|_\infty.$$

Hence,  $D^\infty(X)$ , or equivalently  $LIP^\infty(X)$ , endowed with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty + \|\text{Lip}(\cdot)\|_\infty$  is a Banach space. We will denote this norm by  $\|\cdot\|_{D^\infty(X)}$ .

Recall that a metric space  $(X, d)$  is quasi-convex if there exists a constant  $C \geq 1$  such that for each pair of points  $x, y \in X$  there exists a curve  $\gamma$  connecting the two points such that  $l(\gamma) \leq Cd(x, y)$ , where  $l(\gamma)$  denotes the length of  $\gamma$  defined with the usual ‘affine’ approximation: for  $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow X$  its length  $l(\gamma)$  is defined as

$$l(\gamma) := \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n d(x_i, x_{i+1}) : a = x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_{n+1} = b, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Associated with the length  $l(\gamma)$  there is the distance obtained from minimizing it:

$$d_L(x, y) = \inf \{ l(\gamma) : \gamma_0 = x, \gamma_1 = y \}.$$

Indeed, the function  $d_L$  is a distance on each component of accessibility by rectifiable paths, i.e. those paths having finite  $l$ . By quasi-convexity it follows that

$$d(x, y) \leq d_L(x, y) \leq Cd(x, y)$$

with  $C > 1$ . Hence,  $(X, d_L)$  is a complete and separable metric space that is also a length space. Clearly,  $(X, d_L)$  has the same open sets as  $(X, d)$ . For a more detailed discussion on length spaces see [2].

We will use the following notation. For  $r > 0$  and  $z \in X$ , we will denote by  $B_r(z)$  the ball of radius  $r$  centred on  $z$ . The complement in  $X$  of a set  $A$  will be denoted by  $A^c$  and  $\partial A$  denotes the topological boundary of  $A$ . The closure of  $A$  is  $\text{cl}(A)$  and the interior part  $\text{int}(A)$ . Given a set we can consider the distance from it: for  $x \in X$  and  $A \subset X$

$$d(x, A) := \inf_{w \in A} d(x, w).$$

### 3. The result

**Lemma 3.1.** *For any Borel function  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the function  $\text{Lip } f: X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$  is universally measurable.*

**Proof.** In order to prove the claim we just have to show that the set  $\{x \in X : \text{Lip } f(x) \geq a\}$  is Souslin for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $f$  is a Borel map, it follows that

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ (x, y) \in X \times X : 0 < d(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{n}, \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)} \geq a \right\}$$

is a Borel set. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \{x \in X : \text{Lip } f(x) \geq a\} \\ &= P_1 \left( \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ (x, y) \in X \times X : 0 < d(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{n}, \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)} \geq a \right\} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $P_1: X \times X \rightarrow X$  denotes the projection on the first element. It follows from the definition of Souslin sets that  $\{x \in X : \text{Lip } f(x) \geq a\}$  is Souslin and the claim follows.  $\square$

After Lemma 3.1 it then makes sense to look at those functions  $f$  such that  $m(S(f)) = 0$ . We will need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $K \subset X$  be a closed set and consider the length distance function from  $K$ , that is  $g(x) := d_L(x, K)$ . Then*

$$1 \leq \text{Lip } g(x) \leq C \quad \text{for } x \in K^c.$$

**Proof.**

**Step 1.** Assume that  $d = d_L$  so that  $(X, d)$  is a length space and  $g = d(x, K)$ . Then fix  $x \in K^c$ : for any  $z \in K$  and  $y \in K^c$  it holds that

$$d(x, z) - d(y, z) \leq d(x, y),$$

and hence trivially  $\text{Lip } g(x) \leq 1$ .

Consider now a minimizing sequence  $z_n \in K$  for  $x$ , that is  $g(x) \geq d(x, z_n) - 1/n$ . From the length structure it follows that for any  $n$  there exists  $\gamma^n: [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ , a rectifiable curve starting in  $x$  and arriving in  $z_n$ , such that  $d(x, z_n) \geq l(\gamma^n) - 1/n$ . So for any  $y_n$  in the image of  $\gamma^n$ ,

$$\frac{g(x) - g(y_n)}{d(x, y_n)} \geq \frac{l(\gamma^n) - d(y_n, z_n) - 2/n}{d(x, y_n)}.$$

Since  $l(\gamma^n) \geq d(x, y_n) + d(y_n, z_n)$  it follows that

$$\frac{g(x) - g(y_n)}{d(x, y_n)} \geq \frac{d(x, y_n) - 2/n}{d(x, y_n)}.$$

Since the only constraint made on  $y_n$  was to belong to the image of  $\gamma^n$ , we can choose  $y_n$  such that the previous ratio converges to 1. Hence  $\text{Lip } g(x) = 1$ .

**Step 2.** We now drop the assumption on the length structure of the space. Let  $(X, d)$  be quasi-convex and  $g(x) = d_L(x, K)$ . Since  $(X, d_L)$  is a length space for any  $x \in K^c$ ,

$$\limsup_{y \rightarrow x, y \neq x} \frac{|g(x) - g(y)|}{d_L(x, y)} = 1.$$

Since  $(X, d_L)$  and  $(X, d)$  have the same open sets,  $K^c$  does not depend on the metric. Since  $d \leq d_L \leq Cd$ , the claim follows.  $\square$

We can now prove Theorem 1.1. The proof uses the ideas contained in [1, Proposition 4.10].

**Theorem 3.3.** *Assume that  $(X, d)$  is a quasi-convex, complete and separable space and let  $m$  be a Borel probability measure over it. The set of those  $f \in D^\infty(X)$  such that  $m(S(f)) = 0$  is residual in  $D^\infty(X)$  and is therefore dense.*

**Proof.** Consider the sets

$$G := \{f \in D^\infty(X) : m(S(f)) = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad G_r := \{f \in D^\infty(X) : m(S(f)) < r\}.$$

The claim is then to prove that  $G$  is a residual set. Since  $G = \bigcap G_r$ , where the intersection runs over a sequence of  $r$  converging to 0, the claim is proved once it is proved that each  $G_r$  is open and dense in  $D^\infty(X)$ .

**Step 1.** The set  $G_r$  is open in  $D^\infty(X)$ . Fix  $f \in G_r$ . Then there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$m(\{x \in X : \text{Lip } f(x) \leq \delta\}) < r.$$

Since for any  $g \in D^\infty(X)$  it holds that

$$\text{Lip } f(x) \leq \text{Lip } g(x) + \text{Lip}(f - g)(x),$$

for any  $g \in D^\infty(X)$  such that  $\|g - f\|_{D^\infty(X)} \leq \delta$  it holds that

$$S(g) \subset \{x \in X : \text{Lip } f(x) \leq \delta\}.$$

Therefore,  $m(S(g)) < r$  and consequently  $g \in G_r$ .

**Step 2.** The set  $G_r$  is dense in  $D^\infty(X)$ . Given  $f \in D^\infty(X)$  and  $\delta > 0$ , we have to find  $g \in G_r$  such that  $\|f - g\|_{D^\infty(X)} \leq \delta$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $m(S(f)) \geq r$ .

For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  denote by  $S(f)^\varepsilon$  the  $\varepsilon$ -neighbourhood of the set of singular points of  $f$ , i.e.

$$S(f)^\varepsilon = \{z \in X : d(z, S(f)) < \varepsilon\}.$$

The set  $S(f)^\varepsilon$  is open and denote by  $K$  its complementary in  $X$ . Associated with  $K$  we consider the distance function  $\hat{g}$  as defined in Lemma 3.2, that is  $\hat{g}(x) := d_L(x, K)$ . A rough bound on  $\hat{g}(x)$  can be given in terms of the ‘diameter’ of  $S(f)$ :

$$\hat{g}(x) \leq C \sup\{d(x, z) : \text{cl}(S(f)^\varepsilon)\},$$

where  $\text{cl}(S(f)^\varepsilon)$  stands for the closure of  $S(f)^\varepsilon$ . Since, in approximating with functions in  $G_r$ , we can make an error in measure strictly less than  $r$  and since  $m$  is a probability measure, we can assume  $S(f)$  to have finite diameter and by inner regularity we can even assume it to be closed. Therefore,

$$\|\hat{g}\|_\infty \leq M, \quad M > 0.$$

From Lemma 3.2 we have  $\text{Lip } \hat{g}(x) > 0$  for  $x \in S(f)^\varepsilon$  and clearly  $\text{Lip } \hat{g}(x) = 0$  for  $x \in \text{int}(K)$ , where  $\text{int}(K)$  stands for the interior part of  $K$ .

Note that the boundary of  $S(f)^\varepsilon$  is contained in the set  $\{z : d(z, S(f)) = \varepsilon\}$ . Indeed,  $z \in \partial S(f)^\varepsilon$  if and only if  $d(z, S(f)) \geq \varepsilon$  and for every  $\eta > 0$  there exists a point  $w \in X$  such that

$$d(z, w) \leq \eta \quad \text{and} \quad d(w, S(f)) < \varepsilon.$$

Let  $\eta_n$  be a sequence converging to 0 and let  $w_n$  be the corresponding sequence converging to  $z$ . With each  $w_n$  associate  $x_n \in S(f)$  such that  $d(w_n, x_n) < \varepsilon$ . Then

$$d(z, x_n) \leq d(z, w_n) + d(w_n, x_n) < \eta_n + \varepsilon.$$

Passing to the limit,  $d(z, S(f)) \leq \varepsilon$  and therefore necessarily  $d(z, S(f)) = \varepsilon$ .

Moreover, for  $\varepsilon \neq \varepsilon'$

$$\{z: d(z, S(f)) = \varepsilon\} \cap \{z: d(z, S(f)) = \varepsilon'\} = \emptyset,$$

and hence there exists at most countably many  $\varepsilon$  so that  $m(\{z: d(z, S(f)) = \varepsilon\}) > 0$ . Hence, for any  $r > 0$  there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$m(\{z: d(z, S(f)) = \varepsilon\}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad m(S(f)^\varepsilon \setminus S(f)) < r,$$

where the second expression holds because  $S(f)$  is closed. From what has been said so far, we define  $g := f + (\delta/2M)\hat{g}$  such that

$$\|f - g\|_{D^\infty(X)} \leq \delta.$$

To conclude the proof, observe that  $S(g) \subset S(f)^\varepsilon \setminus S(f)$ , and hence by construction  $g \in G_r$ .  $\square$

## References

1. G. ALBERTI, S. BIANCHINI AND G. CRIPPA, Structure of level sets and Sard-type properties of Lipschitz maps, *Annali Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* **12**(4) (2013), 863–902.
2. D. BURAGO, Y. BURAGO AND S. IVANOV, *A course in metric geometry*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Volume 33 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001).
3. F. CAVALLETTI, Decomposition of geodesics in the Wasserstein space and the globalization property, *Geom. Funct. Analysis* **24**(2) (2014), 493–551.
4. J. CHEEGER, Differentiability of Lipschitz functions on metric measure spaces, *Geom. Funct. Analysis* **9** (1999), 428–517.
5. E. DURAND-CARTEGNA AND J. A. JARAMILLO, Pointwise Lipschitz functions on metric spaces, *J. Math. Analysis Applic.* **363** (2010), 525–548.
6. B. KLEINER AND J. MACKAY, Differentiable structures on metric measure spaces: a primer, preprint (arXiv:1108.1324, 2011).