

and veiling cannot tell us about the pre-modern harem, because the political and economic structures that empowered harem women collapsed in the nineteenth century, when power was “reallocated in a reconfigured public sphere,” leaving women “stranded in a space that became almost purely domestic” (267).

Fay’s introductory literature review and summary of Mamluk history make this work accessible to novice graduate and advanced undergraduate students; however, she overreaches some points. She glosses over the racial hierarchy of Islamic slavery and does not question whether a study of white upper-class freed slaves suffices to represent “the harem.” Others, notably Afaf Marsot, have previously shown the economic power of Mamluk women, and it remains unclear whether these women are exceptions or exemplars. Fay also asserts (against Carl Petry) that women “accumulated” property. While her evidence shows women inheriting, selling, and endowing properties, it does not show that women acquired properties intentionally because of their knowledge of the markets; rather, her evidence suggests accumulation through inheritance and dower. There are also signs of careless editing, such as the use of “nationality” for “ethnicity,” repetitive definitions, and transliteration inconsistencies. Despite these flaws, Fay’s study remains a fascinating, useful contribution to the history of late Mamluk Egypt. ✦

DOI:[10.1017/rms.2016.49](https://doi.org/10.1017/rms.2016.49)

Indira Falk Gesink  
Baldwin Wallace University

**RICHARD GAUVAIN.** *Salafi Ritual Purity: In the Presence of God.* London & New York, NY: Routledge, 2013. x + 383 pages, acknowledgments, notes, bibliography, index. Hardcover US\$155.00 ISBN 978-0-7103-1356-0.

**R**ichard Gauvain’s latest book investigates ritual purity (*tahara*) in Salafi practice through ethnographic material collected in Cairo between 2006 and 2009. Making ritual purity the pivot of his analysis is well grounded. Salafism and purity, as Gauvain writes, are “natural bedfellows”: Contemporary Salafism’s focus on everyday social conduct coupled with Salafis’ emphasis on individual virtue as the key to a pious society make ritual purity a rich topic for analysis.

Gauvain aims to read Salafi purity-related rituals, and specifically *tahara* and *wudu* (minor ablution), which the author sees as often-disregarded aspects of Salafism, as they “absorb, reflect, and generate dominant religio-social concerns” (16). That is, Gauvain reads them as instruments for

practitioners to reach ethical and moral goals and, at the same time, as expressions of social structure.

*Salafi Ritual Purity* is divided in three parts. Part One details the book's theoretical (chapter 1) and methodological (chapter 2) frameworks. Part Two illustrates the results of Gauvain's Cairo fieldwork delving into "the spiritual potential of ritual purity" (17), and the theoretical Sunni discussions about ritual impurities and their practical manifestation in the working class Salafi areas in the city's Shubra district (chapters 3 and 4). In chapter 5 Gauvain elucidates the results of his interviews with a number of Salafi women studying at AUC (American University in Cairo). Finally, Gauvain dedicates Part Three to the post-2011 socio-political developments in Egypt, in which Salafi groups strive to find a new place.

Especially fascinating are Gauvain's analyses of the spiritual meaning and tangible implications of ritual purity and purity rituals as discerned from men's courses in *fiqh* (Islamic jurisprudence) that the author attended. Here Gauvain emphasizes Salafis' correlating the cleansing of the body through *wudu*, and the purification of both *horizontal* impurities (i.e., misconducts towards other human beings that hinder the well-being of the community) and *vertical* wrongs (i.e., immoral acts affecting the believer's relationship with God). In Gauvain's words, the physical purification of *wudu* "is a key strategy for cleansing one's heart of specific moral impurities [...]" (84).

In the last chapter of the book Gauvain extends his analysis to the January 2011 uprising. In particular, Gauvain links Mary Douglas' concept of purity/impurity with the marking of strict boundaries between Muslims and non-Muslims. Thought-provoking also are Gauvain's final remarks about the uncertain future of "the Salafi shaykh" as a "liminal persona" (ostensibly aloof from corruption, politics, and ordinary affairs) at the dawn of Salafi political involvement.

Gauvain's work certainly brings to the forefront a neglected dimension of ritual analysis. Nevertheless, a few analytical weaknesses bear comment. First, Gauvain draws on the "language of heart purity" in Shubra *tahara* lessons to argue for the influence of Sufism on modern Egyptian Salafism. Yet "the language of heart purity," as Gauvain rightly states, originates in the Qur'an and Sunna and is not a uniquely Sufi discourse, although being one of its central concepts. Second, Gauvain's reading of the liminal Salafi shaykh, while intriguing, remains embryonic rather than fully developed. Finally, chapter 5, which contains the narratives of Gauvain's women informants, is admittedly less detailed than the previous two chapters on men's Shubra groups. In the introduction, Gauvain rightly asserts that "[t]he chapter was written in the knowledge that both Salafism and ritual purity are rarely

described in terms that do not imply gender hierarchization” (18). However, given that Gauvain acknowledges the difficulty of accessing Salafi women’s circles in Shubra, its inclusion is puzzling. Readers might have benefitted more from an in-depth analysis of masculinity and gender relations within the Shubra men’s circles.

That said, Gauvain’s book remains an excellent ethnographic report of the practical, everyday working of an underexplored side of Islamic law, the ritual purity code. Moreover, it opens the doors for a more detailed research on the relations between Salafism and Sufism. Finally, Gauvain’s interest in the use of purity language after the 2011 revolution raises interesting questions for scholars in the field of Christian-Muslim relations, as he briefly frames their sometimes hostile interactions in terms of purity-related community boundaries. ✂

DOI:[10.1017/rms.2016.50](https://doi.org/10.1017/rms.2016.50)

Giulia Giubergia  
University of Gothenburg

**T.J. GORTON.** *Renaissance Emir: A Druze Warlord at the Court of the Medici.* Northampton, Massachusetts: Olive Branch Press, 2014. xix + 226 pages, prologue, acknowledgements, abbreviations, notes, bibliography, index. US\$20.00 ISBN 978-1-56656-963-7.

T.J. Gorton tackles an elusive figure in early modern Middle Eastern history, an independent scholar and man of letters, Fakhr al-Dīn ibn Maʿn (1572–1635), prince of Mount Lebanon and a figure of much myth-making in modern times. The author rightly warns against such anachronism and seeks in this work to paint as accurate a portrait of the man as he can with the sources available, which are scattered and sometimes contradictory. Gorton has made good use of everything he was able to find, and he writes clearly and well.

The first chapter lays out the problem of reconstructing Fakhr al-Dīn’s life and origins, and his rise to favor with the Ottomans: “The Ottomans were apparently counting on Fakhr al-Dīn to collect and remit taxes and keep the peace generally, and particularly to keep the country safe from incursions by the Shia, whose loyalty to the Porte was under constant suspicion owing to their Iranian connections” (13). That may have been the case, but the Ottomans, as Stefan Winter has shown, had a repertory of methods to recruit Lebanese Shia landlords to Ottoman political positions even as they battled the Safavids elsewhere. For his part, Fakhr al-Dīn was a man of many faces and very conscious of the opinion of others. His Druze identity is the subject