White matter connectivity disruptions in early and chronic schizophrenia

M. A. Di Biase^{1,2}*, V. L. Cropley^{1,2}, B. T. Baune³, J. Olver^{2,3,4}, G. P. Amminger^{5,6}, C. Phassouliotis^{1,2,7}, C. Bousman^{1,2,7,8}, P. D. McGorry^{9,5,6}, I. Everall^{2,9,7,8,10}, C. Pantelis^{1,2,3,7,8,9,10†} and A. Zalesky^{1,2,11†}

¹ Department of Psychiatry, Melbourne Neuropsychiatry Centre, The University of Melbourne and Melbourne Health, Carlton South, VIC, Australia; ² Department of Psychiatry, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC, Australia; ³ Discipline of Psychiatry, The University of Adelaide, SA, Australia; ⁴ Centre for PET, Austin Hospital, Heidelberg, VIC, Australia; ⁵ Orygen, The National Centre of Excellence in Youth Mental Health, VIC, Australia; ⁶ Centre for Youth Mental Health, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC, Australia; ⁷ Cooperative Research Centre for Mental Health, Carlton, VIC, Australia; ⁸ Florey Institute for Neurosciences and Mental Health, Parkville, VIC, Australia; ⁹ North Western Mental Health, Melbourne Health, Parkville, VIC, Australia; ¹⁰ Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Centre for Neural Engineering, University of Melbourne, Carlton South, VIC, Australia; ¹¹ Melbourne School of Engineering, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC, Australia

Background. White matter disruptions in schizophrenia have been widely reported, but it remains unclear whether these abnormalities differ between illness stages. We mapped the connectome in patients with recently diagnosed and chronic schizophrenia and investigated the extent and overlap of white matter connectivity disruptions between these illness stages.

Methods. Diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance images were acquired in recent-onset (n = 19) and chronic patients (n = 45) with schizophrenia, as well as age-matched controls (n = 87). Whole-brain fiber tracking was performed to quantify the strength of white matter connections. Connections were tested for significant streamline count reductions in recent-onset and chronic groups, relative to separate age-matched controls. Permutation tests were used to assess whether disrupted connections significantly overlapped between chronic and recent-onset patients. Linear regression was performed to test whether connectivity was strongest in controls, weakest in chronic patients, and midway between these extremities in recent-onset patients (controls > recent-onset > chronic).

Results. Compared with controls, chronic patients displayed a widespread network of connectivity disruptions (p < 0.01). In contrast, connectivity reductions were circumscribed to the anterior fibers of the corpus callosum in recent-onset patients (p < 0.01). A significant proportion of disrupted connections in recent-onset patients (86%) coincided with disrupted connections in chronic patients (p < 0.01). Linear regression revealed that chronic patients displayed reduced connectivity relative to controls, while recent-onset patients showed an intermediate reduction compared with chronic patients (p < 0.01).

Conclusions. Connectome pathology in recent-onset patients with schizophrenia is confined to select tracts within a more extensive network of white matter connectivity disruptions found in chronic illness. These findings may suggest a trajectory of progressive deterioration of connectivity in schizophrenia.

Received 7 November 2016; Revised 19 April 2017; Accepted 20 April 2017; First published online 22 May 2017

Key words: Schizophrenia, chronic, first-episode, recent-onset, diffusion, tractography.

Introduction

White matter pathology in patients with schizophrenia and its impact on brain connectivity has been widely reported (Bora *et al.* 2011; Samartzis *et al.* 2014), but whether these deficits remain stable, deteriorate, or improve between illness stages is unclear. Most diffusion imaging studies in schizophrenia have been undertaken in chronic patients, and have found that illness is associated with reduced fractional anisotropy (FA), a measure of myelination, membrane permeability, and fiber density (Song *et al.* 2002; Song *et al.* 2003; Sampaio-Baptista *et al.* 2013). Reduced FA has been most consistently localized to the superior longitudinal fasciculus, uncinate fasciculus, cingulum bundle, and corpus callosum (Abdul-Rahman *et al.* 2011; Fitzsimmons *et al.* 2013; Liu *et al.* 2013; Fujino *et al.* 2014; Holleran *et al.* 2014). Automated fiber tracking, or tractography, is a more direct approach to investigate white matter connectivity with diffusion imaging (Kim *et al.* 2008). This is particularly important in psychiatric disorders such as schizophrenia, where symptoms are thought to result from altered connectivity between distributed brain regions (Friston & Frith,

^{*} Address for correspondence: M. A. Di Biase, Melbourne Neuropsychiatry Centre, The University of Melbourne, Level 3, Alan Gilbert Building, Carlton South, VIC 3053, Australia.

⁽Email: dibiasem@unimelb.edu.au)

⁺ These authors are joint seniors.

1995; Friston, 1998; Stephan *et al.* 2006; Stephan *et al.* 2009). Fiber tracking studies conducted in patients with chronic schizophrenia have reported widespread disruptions in brain connectivity, reflecting disintegrated network architecture (Van Den Heuvel *et al.* 2010; Zalesky *et al.* 2011; Collin *et al.* 2014), whereas others suggest a subtle randomization of network organization (Rubinov *et al.* 2009).

Diffusion imaging studies in early stages of schizophrenia are scarcer, yet they can provide crucial leads into the primary origins of white matter pathology, since confounding epiphenomena owing to medication, illness chronicity, and normal brain degeneration are minimized. Several neuroimaging studies have reported white matter pathology following the initial onset of psychosis, most commonly in frontal, frontotemporal, and frontolimbic connections (Samartzis et al. 2014). However, findings are less consistent in early illness stages, with some studies reporting significant FA reductions (Cheung et al. 2008; Szeszko et al. 2008; Rathi et al. 2011; Lee et al. 2013; Yao et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2014) and other studies reporting no between-group differences (Price et al. 2005; Peters et al. 2008). These inconsistencies might reflect methodological discrepancies related to image acquisition and postprocessing, limitations of FA as a measure of white matter integrity as well as heterogeneity in the sample population, or reflect different points in illness trajectories (Pantelis et al. 2009; Cropley & Pantelis, 2014).

FA has been evaluated in both recently diagnosed and chronic schizophrenia cohorts to investigate potential deterioration in white matter integrity over the illness (Friedman et al. 2008; Kong et al. 2011; White et al. 2011; Wu et al. 2015). These studies have also yielded inconsistent results. For example, Wu et al. (2015a) found that FA did not markedly differ between first-episode and chronic patients, except within callosal fibers interconnecting the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex bilaterally. They concluded that white matter pathology remained largely static after illness onset. In contrast, earlier studies identified widespread FA reductions in chronic illness, while less severe (Friedman et al. 2008) or no alterations (Kong et al. 2011; White et al. 2011) in FA were observed at illness onset. As such, the extent and overlap of connectivity deficits between early and chronic illness remains unclear.

We addressed this by investigating white matter connectivity between recent-onset and chronic patients with schizophrenia, comparing them to separate, agematched controls. Using cross-sectional neuroimaging data, we investigated whether connectivity disruptions in recently diagnosed patients were either comparable in extent and magnitude to chronic patients or significantly more confined and subtle. Tractography was

performed to map a connectome for each individual and quantify the strength of white matter connectivity between all pairs of cortical and subcortical regions. Firstly, all mapped connections were tested for a significant streamline count reduction in recent-onset and chronic groups, relative to separate age-matched control groups, and permutation tests were then used to test whether any reductions significantly overlapped between the two patient groups (overlap model). We hypothesized that connectivity disruptions in recent-onset patients would form a circumscribed subset of a more widespread network of connectivity disruptions found in chronic patients. Secondly, a linear decrease in streamline count as a function of illness stage was tested, with connectivity strongest in controls, weakest in chronic patients, and midway between these two extremities in recent-onset patients (linear decline model).

Methods

Participants

This study was approved by the Melbourne Health (2012.066, 2012.069) and Austin Health (H2012/04525) Human Research Ethics Committees, consistent with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008. Participants provided written informed consent prior to participation. A total of 151 participants were recruited, which comprised 45 patients with chronic schizophrenia-spectrum disorder (illness duration > 10 years), 19 recent-onset patients (within 2 years of a first episode of psychosis), and 87 healthy controls. Controls consisted of two groups that were age-matched to recent-onset and chronic patients: young controls $(n = 27, \text{ age: } 21.8 \pm 1.8)$ and older controls (n = 60, n = 60)age: 39.7 ± 10.4). This enabled accurate age matching and provided an independent control group for each patient group.

Eligible patients were diagnosed with a schizophreniaspectrum disorder according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV) (First & Gibbon, 2004). Diagnoses were confirmed using two instruments: the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders (SCID; First & Gibbon, 2004) or the Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI; Sheehan *et al.* 1998).

Healthy control participants were recruited using online advertisements from the same geographical location as patients. Healthy controls were interviewed to confirm they had no history of psychopathology using the SCID or MINI.

Exclusion criteria for all participants included a history of head injury or seizures, diagnosis of a neurological disorder, pregnancy, and contraindication to MRI scanning. Additional exclusion criteria for healthy controls were personal and first-degree relatives with a history of mental illness and alcohol or drug dependence.

Clinical measures

The following scales were employed within 2 weeks of the MRI scan: the SCID (First & Gibbon, 2004) or MINI (Sheehan *et al.* 1998) to confirm diagnoses and assess exclusion criteria; the Wechsler Test of Adult Reading (Wechsler, 2001) to determine premorbid IQ; the Expanded Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS; Overall & Gorham, 1962) or the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS; Kay *et al.* 1987) to provide a rating for general psychopathology and positive symptoms and the Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms (SANS; Andreasen, 1983) to measure negative symptoms. PANSS scores were converted to BPRS scores (Leucht *et al.* 2013), in order to provide a measure of general psychopathology and positive symptoms.

MRI acquisition

Sixty diffusion-weighted volumes and 10 diffusionunweighted (b0) volumes were obtained with an echo planar imaging sequence (see online Supplement 1 for acquisition parameters, preprocessing, and tractography pipeline). An overview of the preprocessing pipeline and statistical analysis is shown in Fig. 1.

Network construction

White matter connectivity was modeled as an undirected network (connectome) for each individual. Network nodes were based on the 116 cortical, subcortical, and cerebellar regions comprising the automated anatomical labeling atlas (Tzourio-Mazoyer et al. 2002). The Craddock-90 (Craddock et al. 2012) parcelation atlas was used in supplementary analyses (online Fig. S1 in Supplement 1) to evaluate robustness to the choice of nodes and variation in node size (Zalesky et al. 2010b). Each individual's network was represented with a symmetric $N \times N$ connectivity matrix, where N denoted the number of nodes (Fig. 1a). Each element of the connectivity matrix was populated with the number of streamlines between the corresponding pair of regions (streamline count), which served as a measure of inter-regional connection strength. In supplementary analyses, connectivity matrices were populated based on FA averaged over all the voxels traversed by the streamlines interconnecting a pair of regions. Streamlines with one or both of their endpoints terminating in white matter were discarded, as were streamlines with both endpoints terminating in the same node. Streamlines that were <20 mm in length were considered spurious and also discarded. For streamlines that interconnected more than two nodes.

the first node encountered upon leaving white matter was used. In addition, all connectivity matrices were subject to a group threshold, applied across all subjects, to eliminate spurious connections (de Reus & Van Den Heuvel, 2013). This involved eliminating any pair of regions that was not interconnected by one or more streamlines in at least 25% of all individuals. This resulted in a connection density of approximately 30% (see online Fig. S2 in Supplement 1 for analyses using connection densities of 20 and 40%).

Statistical identification of disrupted connections

We tested for streamline count differences in the recent-onset and chronic groups, relative to separate age-matched control groups. Two comparisons were performed using two-sample t tests: recent-onset patients v. younger controls and chronic patients v. older controls. First, the total number of streamlines comprising the connectivity matrices was compared to test for whole-brain differences in the streamline count. Second, the network-based statistic (NBS; Zalesky et al. 2010a) was used to localize differences in the connection strengths (streamline count and FA) to specific networks, while controlling the family-wise error (FWE) rate. The NBS is a widely used, nonparametric method for performing inference on brain networks and has been shown to outperform procedures such as false discovery rate correction (Zalesky et al. 2010a). Foremost, a test statistic is computed independently for each connection and any connection with a test statistic exceeding a predefined threshold is admitted to a set of suprathreshold connections. Connected components (subnetworks) are then identified in the set of suprathreshold connections. For each connected component, a FWE-corrected p value is computed by generating an empirical null distribution of maximal component size with permutation testing. In this way, the null hypothesis can be rejected at the level of each connected component. Here, a primary t-statistic threshold of 3 was used and 5000 permutations were generated to construct the null distribution of maximal component size (see online Fig. S3 in Supplement 1 for analyses using t-statistic thresholds 2.5 and 3.5). For each permutation, group labels were randomized such that some controls were labeled patients and vice versa. Gender was included as a nuisance covariate.

Statistical testing of overlap in connectivity disruptions between illness stages

Overlap model

Permutation testing was used to test whether any connectivity reductions significantly overlapped between



Fig. 1. Schematic of connectome mapping and analysis methods. (a) Automated white matter fiber tracking was used to map whole-brain connectivity matrices for recent-onset (RO) and chronic (CH) patients with schizophrenia as well as age-matched healthy controls. Each cell of an individual's connectivity matrix quantified the number of streamlines identified between a distinct pair of gray-matter regions and provided a measure of inter-regional white matter connectivity strength. Two statistical models were used to investigate the extent of overlap in connectivity disruptions between early and chronic stages of illness. (b) The *overlap model* tested whether connections with a reduced streamline count in RO patients were significantly confined within the network of disrupted connections identified in CH patients. The *linear decline model* tested whether streamline counts satisfied a linear trajectory of decline between the three groups of individuals [black trajectory, (c)]. Note that the linear model was also mildly sensitive to the non-linear pattern of decline [red trajectories, (c)].

the recent-onset and chronic groups (overlap model; Fig. 1b). Specifically, we tested whether connections with significantly altered streamline counts in recent-onset patients formed a circumscribed subset of a more widespread network of connectivity disruptions found in chronic patients. This was achieved by quantifying the proportion of disrupted connections in recent-onset patients that were also disrupted in chronic patients. A permutation test was performed to determine whether the proportion of overlap was greater than attributable to chance. For each of 5000 permutations, the observed set of disrupted connections in recent-onset patients was replaced with a randomly chosen set of connections and the proportion of overlap was then computed for this random sample to construct an empirical null distribution.

Linear decline model

Linear regression was used to test for a linear decrease in connectivity strength as a function of illness stage (linear decline model; Fig. 1*c*). Specifically, it was tested whether connectivity was strongest in controls (younger and older combined), weakest in chronic patients, and midway between these two extremities in recent-onset patients. This model was encoded as a regression with an explanatory variable in which chronic patients were coded with a 1, recent-onset patients with a 2 and controls with a 3. Age was included as a nuisance covariate. The regression was performed independently for each connection and the NBS (Zalesky *et al.* 2010*a*) was used to control for multiple comparisons.

Statistical analysis of demographic and clinical measures

Two-tailed *t* tests were used to assess differences in age, premorbid and current IQ, and number of years educated between patient groups and their respective healthy control groups and for differences in clinical variables between the two patient groups. Partial correlations, with gender as a covariate, were used to test relationships between clinical variables (general psychopathology, positive and negative symptoms) and mean streamline counts. All *p* values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

	Healthy controls		Patients			
	Younger $n = 27$	Older $n = 60$	= 60 Recent-onset $n =$		Chronic $n = 45$	
Gender ^a						
Male	17	39	16		29	
Female	10	21	3		17	
	Mean (S.D.)	Mean (s.D.)	Mean (s.D.)		Mean (s.D.)	
Age	21.8 (1.8)	39.7 (10.4)	21.7 (2.0)		40.6 (8.8)	
Premorbid IQ (WTAR score) ^b	112 (12)	107 (12)	100 (17)		92 (15)	
Current IQ (WASI) ^{b,a}	121 (8)	111(16)	97 (18)		88 (17)	
Education (years) ^b	13 (1)	15 (3)	13 (1)		13 (3)	
Duration of illness (years)	-	-	1 (1)		19 (8)	
Age at symptom onset (years)	-	-	20 (2)		22 (6)	
Antipsychotic medication dose (CPZ-EQ)		1302.6	1442.5 (1895)			
		(2416)				
General psychopathology (BPRS total)	-	-	39.47 (9.65)		39.24 (11.82)	
Positive symptoms (BPRS subset) ^c	-	-	12.79 (4.29)		17.33 (6.29)	
Negative symptoms (SANS total) ^d	-	-	23.63 (14.12)		42 (18.54)	
			Ν	%	N	%
Current medication status						
Any antipsychotics ^d			15	79	43	95.6
Typical antipsychotics only ^d			1	5.3	27	60
Atypical antipsychotics only ^d			14	73.7	2	4.4
Both typical and atypical antipsychotics ^d			0	0	14	31.2
No antipsychotics			4	21	2	4.4
Primary diagnosis						
Schizophrenia ^d			9	47.4	39	86.7
Schizoaffective ^e			8	42.1	6	13.3
Schizophreniform ^e			2	10.5	0	0

 Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of study participants

^a Significant difference between younger healthy controls and recent-onset patients p < 0.01.

^b Significant difference between older healthy controls and chronic patients p < 0.01.

^cSignificant difference between recent-onset and chronic patients p < 0.01.

^d Significant difference between recent-onset and chronic patients p < 0.001.

^eSignificant difference between recent-onset and chronic patients p < 0.05.

Results

Demographics

There were no significant differences in age between patient groups and their respective healthy control groups (Table 1). Chronic patients displayed significantly lower premorbid [t(93) = 5.54, p < 0.01] and current IQ [t(93) = 6.74, p < 0.01] and were educated for fewer years [t(93) = 4.09, p < 0.01] compared with aged-matched healthy controls. In addition, recent-onset patients displayed lower current IQ compared with aged-matched healthy controls [t(31) = 4.05, p < 0.01]. Compared with recent-onset patients, chronic patients exhibited significantly greater positive symptom (BPRS subscale) scores [t(55) = 2.90, p < 0.01] and negative symptom (SANS total) scores [t(55) = 3.87, p < 0.01]. Although IQ and years of education were significantly

different between control and patient groups, disentangling these effects from schizophrenia is generally difficult, given the disorder is characterized by pervasive cognitive impairments (Kahn & Keefe, 2013) and in turn, controlling for IQ and education in statistical analyses may be inappropriate (Miller & Chapman, 2001; Klauser *et al.* 2016).

Disrupted connectivity

Compared with the group of younger controls, a relatively localized network of connections with significantly reduced streamline counts was identified in recent-onset patients. This disrupted network was confined to commissural fibers of the anterior corpus callosum, connecting superior frontal and parietal regions (p < 0.01, FWE; Fig. 2a). The total number of



Fig. 2. White matter connectivity disruptions in early stages of schizophrenia overlap with a more extensive network of disruptions found in chronic stages of illness. Connections with a reduced streamline count in recent-onset (a) and chronic (b) patients. Disrupted connections are represented spatially (axial and sagittal brain views) and topologically (circular display). L, left; R, right; C, central; Mid, middle; Supp, supplementary; Ant, anterior. Connection color reflects *t*-statistic magnitude, ranging from 3 (red) to 5.5 (yellow). Boxplots represent network-averaged streamline counts for recent-onset (RO) patients, younger controls (Young HC), chronic (CH) patients and older controls (Older HC). Boxes represent 25th and 75th percentiles and whiskers represent 10th and 90th percentiles. Surface (beside boxplots) show the proportion of disrupted connections associated with a given region. (c) Disrupted connections in recent-onset patients significantly overlapped with a more extensive network of disrupted connections found in chronic patients. (d) Streamline trajectories representing white matter fiber bundles with a significantly reduced streamline count in both patient groups.

streamlines per individual did not differ between recent-onset patients and younger healthy controls (online Fig. S4 in Supplement 1). Comparing chronic patients to the older control group revealed a widespread network comprising multiple connections with significantly reduced streamline count in chronic patients (p < 0.01, FWE). Significant connectivity reductions affected the majority of brain lobes and included prominent association fibers such as the uncinate and inferior longitudinal fasciculus, cingulum, and corona radiata (Fig. 2b). Furthermore, the total number of streamlines per individual was significantly reduced in chronic patients relative to older controls [t(103) = 3.4, p < 0.01; online Fig. S4 in Supplement 1]. Together, these results indicate widespread reductions in white matter connectivity in chronic illness, which were evident at the scale of the entire cortex, whereas early illness was associated with focal disruption to the anterior fibers of the corpus callosum. This finding was not due to the increased sample size of chronic patient group. The widespread reduction in streamline counts identified in the full sample of chronic patients (n = 45) was replicated for five randomly chosen subsamples of 20 chronic patients and 20 older controls. In particular, significantly more connections were disrupted across the five subnetworks derived from random samples (mean = 62, s. p. = 9.73) compared with the disrupted network observed in recent-onset patients (7, p = 0.01).

Overlap in connectivity disruptions between early and chronic illness

Having qualitatively observed more extensive connectivity reductions in chronic illness compared with early illness stages, we next sought to quantify this observation. Overlap model: A significant proportion of disrupted connections in recent-onset patients (86%) overlapped with disrupted connections in chronic patients than attributable to chance (8%, p < 0.01;Fig. 2*c* and *d*). In other words, connectivity reductions in recently diagnosed patients coincided with disrupted connections in chronic patients. Linear decline model: Compared with controls, chronic patients displayed a 14% reduction, while recent-onset patients displayed a 6% reduction in the mean streamline count for all the connections comprising the significant subnetwork (controls > recent-onset patients > chronic patients, p < 0.01, FWE; Fig. 3). This effect was observed in a widespread network of fiber bundles connecting all brain lobes and remained significant after including gender as a covariate. Alternative linear models were not significant (e.g. 'chronic patients> recent-onset patients > healthy controls'). These results suggest that inter-regional connectivity strength declines from early to chronic stages of illness.

FA-weighted connection strength

Reanalysing the data with FA-weighted connectivity instead of the streamline count revealed largely consistent results (see online Figs S5 and S6 in Supplement 1). In particular, a widespread network of reduced FA was identified in chronic patients compared with controls (p < 0.01), whereas significant FA reductions were circumscribed to frontal, parietal, and temporal regions in recent-onset patients. A significant proportion of disrupted connections in recent-onset patients coincided with disrupted connections in chronic patients (p < 0.05). Furthermore, FA-weighted connection strength also demonstrated a linear decline in white matter connectivity from early to chronic illness stages of schizophrenia.

Clinical measures

Age was significantly and negatively correlated with streamline count in both models (linear: r = -0.17, overlap: r = -0.18; p < 0.05) across all subjects. In the network associated with a linear decline (Fig. 3a), streamline count and positive symptom severity (BPRS-positive subscale) were negatively correlated in recent-onset patients (r = -0.49, p < 0.05; Fig. 4a). In the same network, a trend was evident toward reduced streamline count and increased general psychopathology (BRPS total) in chronic patients (r = -0.3, p =0.05; Fig. 4b). No other relationships were found between streamline count (in the linear and overlap models) and clinical variables, including illness duration, negative symptom scores. No association was detected between antipsychotic chlorpromazineequivalent dose (Woods, 2003) and connection strength defined by streamline count or FA. Further, there was no relationship between IQ and streamline count in patient or control groups.

Discussion

We mapped brain networks representing white matter connectivity in recent-onset and chronic patients with schizophrenia, and two separate groups of agematched healthy controls. Inter-regional white matter connectivity was quantified with both the streamline count and tract-averaged FA, as measured from diffusion-weighted imaging and tractography.

We separately tested for reductions in connectivity strength in the recent-onset and chronic patient groups. The recent-onset patients were compared with a group of age-matched (young) healthy controls, while the chronic group was compared with an independent group of older controls. While significant reductions in connectivity strength were identified in both patient groups, chronic patients were associated with a more widespread network of disruptions (153 connections) compared with the recent-onset group (7 connections). Most importantly, the majority of connections found to be disrupted in recent-onset patients also comprised



Fig. 3. Widespread network of white matter connections satisfying a linear pattern of decline from early to chronic stages of schizophrenia. (a) Network of white matter connections that satisfied a linear pattern of decline whereby connectivity was strongest in controls, weakest in chronic patients and midway between these extremities in recent-onset patients. Connection color reflects *t*-statistic magnitude, ranging from red (low) to yellow (high). (b) The proportion of disrupted connections associated with a given region: fibers connecting the orbital–frontal cortex (OFC) and precentral gyrus were particularly affected. (c) Boxplot of network-averaged streamline counts for controls (HC), recent-onset (RO), and chronic patients (CH). (d) Streamline trajectories representing white matter fiber bundles associated with linear decline. Streamlines are colored red for left–right, green for anterior–posterior, and blue for superior–inferior.

the widespread network of disruptions found in chronic patients. Indeed, the overlap in disrupted connections between the recent-onset and chronic patients was statistically significant (86%, p < 0.01). This is particularly notable given that mutually exclusive control groups were used to identify the disrupted networks associated with both patient groups. In other words, while significant reductions in white matter connectivity strength were circumscribed to frontal and parietal

connections in recent-onset patients, these specific connections formed part of the more widespread disrupted network found in chronic patients.

Furthermore, we tested for a trajectory of greater connectivity disruption from recently diagnosed to chronic illness. As expected, we found evidence of a significant linear reduction in connectivity strength whereby chronic patients showed reduced connectivity relative to the control group, while recent-onset



Fig. 4. Associations between clinical measures and white matter connectivity. Within a network associated with linear decline, reduced streamline count was correlated with increased positive symptom severity in recent-onset patients (a) and increased general psychopathology in chronic patients (b).

patients showed an intermediate reduction in connectivity strength that was approximately halfway in magnitude compared with the chronic patients. This linear model of apparent connectivity decline as a function of illness stage was significant across a widespread network of association and commissural white matter connections that spanned both cerebral hemispheres and all lobes. Therefore, a more widespread network may show reduced connectivity strength in recentonset patients, although not severely enough to reach statistical significance. Together, our findings point to a disintegrated architecture in white matter connectivity (Collin et al. 2014), which may be progressive from the initial (i.e. within 2 years) to later (>10 years) stages of schizophrenia and frontal and parietal fiber bundles are first affected. Alternatively, it may indicate that such abnormalities represent early markers of poor outcome, or a combination of both. Longitudinal studies will be needed to address this issue.

Thus, one possibility is that our results indicate a worsening, or deterioration, of white matter connectivity from early to chronic illness, subject to the limitations of our cross-sectional design. This notion, supported by previous longitudinal work, indicates progressive reductions in white matter volume following illness onset (Molina et al. 2005; Whitford et al. 2007; Walterfang et al. 2008; Andreasen et al. 2011). Of note, a large longitudinal study found white matter volume reductions in patients following psychosis onset, which were more pronounced in early illness (Andreasen et al. 2011). This may suggest that white matter deteriorates at specific stages/times, rather than linearly over the course of illness. Although we show greater severity of white matter abnormalities from early to chronic illness, it is unclear at what illness stage deterioration may be more marked. Furthermore, our pattern of results may not reflect a neurodegenerative trajectory, whereby white matter deteriorates following illness onset. Alternatively, it is possible that recently diagnosed patients experienced delayed or arrested white matter maturation, which would also appear as reduced connectivity compared with controls (Kochunov & Hong, 2014). Therefore, further research with serial imaging is required to clarify precise trajectories of white matter progression prior to and following the onset of psychosis (Cropley & Pantelis, 2014). Despite this limitation, our findings provide novel evidence of connectivity deficits that are more severe at later stages of schizophrenia.

Our findings suggest that specific fiber bundles are selectively vulnerable to white matter deterioration. Specifically, the anterior portion of the corpus callosum was implicated in connectivity disruption across both connection weights (streamline and FA) and models (overlap and linear decline). Interhemispheric processes, mediated by callosal fibers play a crucial role in lateralizing somatosensory, attention, motor, and language processes (Caeyenberghs et al. 2011; Hinkley et al. 2012; Edwards et al. 2014). Due to the wide-ranging functions facilitated by this tract, it is unsurprising that reduced callosal integrity is frequently implicated in schizophrenia pathophysiology and symptomatology (Kubicki et al. 2005; Whitford et al. 2010; Samartzis et al. 2014; Walterfang et al. 2008). Furthermore, the regions connecting these fibers, including the superior frontal gyrus and the pre and postcentral gyri are considered hub regions that are integral for global communication across the brain (Van Den Heuvel & Sporns, 2011) and are disproportionately affected in patients with schizophrenia (Van Den Heuvel et al. 2013; Klauser et al. 2016).

The decreased streamline count and FA found in this study may be due to a range of microstructural changes, including demyelination or axon pathology involving degeneration, packing density, number or diameter (Barrio-Arranz et al. 2015). We found a high degree of convergence across our FA and streamline count analyses. Specifically, both measures were reduced in our patient cohorts and across similar white matter fibers. However, more connections were disrupted in the FA-derived chronic network and were concentrated along intrahemispheric fibers, compared with more diffuse reductions observed in the streamline-derived network. Therefore, streamline count, a measure sensitive to focal white matter pathology, may provide increased sensitivity to pathology that is circumscribed to a limited segment of a longdistance interhemispheric fiber. In contrast, averaging FA over the entire fiber length can obscure circumscribed differences because these differences can be diluted in the average.

To assess the clinical relevance of our findings, we examined relationships between connectivity and symptoms. In white matter connections associated with linear decline (i.e. greater severity at chronic stage compared with early illness stages), reduced streamline count correlated to increased positive symptom severity. Positive symptoms have previously revealed associations with both increased and decreased FA in first-episode patients, depending on the regions/tracts investigated and the method (voxel/ tract-based) applied to examine FA (Canu et al. 2015). However, to our knowledge, no previous studies have tested the relationship between streamline count, a measure sensitive to focal white matter pathology, and positive symptoms, which limits direct comparison to previous FA reports. In chronic patients, reduced streamline count related to greater general psychopathology or symptom severity, which is consistent with previous studies reporting associations of FA with positive, negative, and total symptom severity in established schizophrenia (Canu et al. 2015). However, this relationship only trended toward significance, and thus requires verification.

Connectivity reductions were not associated with illness duration, which may minimize the contribution of illness chronicity on the connectivity profiles. However, it is possible that illness duration affects specific tracts, or that deterioration in axonal connectivity occurs at specific time windows (illness onset). Furthermore, connectivity disruptions may not decline linearly with illness, and hence precludes detection with a correlation analysis. Although reduced connectivity may relate to symptom severity or disease-related processes, other factors such as age, medication, and cohort effects may contribute. Connection strength

was significantly, albeit weakly, associated with age, and elevated age-related FA decline has been observed in schizophrenia (Kochunov et al. 2013; Douaud et al. 2014; Wright et al. 2014; Cropley et al. 2017); however, age-related effects unlikely contributed to our findings given patients were age-matched to separate control groups. Likewise, our results did not find a relationship between antipsychotic treatment and connectivity disruptions; however, cumulative treatment may have played a role, and could not be accounted for in this study. Further research is required to differentiate such effects. Cohort effects, including those related to generational or illness-related factors, may provide an alternative explanation for the observed group differences in connectivity. For instance, given recent-onset patients represent a heterogeneous population with many not developing chronic illnesses, the observed differences between patient groups may be due to a subgroup of recent-onset patients who do not develop chronic illness and in turn, display dissimilar neuropathological profiles to chronic patients. However, previous research suggests only 20% of patients remit following a first episode (De Hert et al. 2007; Emsley et al. 2007). Further, our study only included individuals with a schizophrenia-spectrum disorder (i.e. schizophreniform, schizophrenia, or schizoaffective), and therefore represented a more homogeneous patient population. Conversely, a subgroup of chronic patients may have driven the differences between the patient groups. As such, the connectivity deficits found in this study may represent early markers of later illness chronicity or poor outcome. However, given the connectivity changes occurred in overlapping fiber bundles between the patient groups, it is likely that our findings support the notion of white matter progression in schizophrenia.

Some limitations are worth consideration. The primary limitation of this study is the cross-sectional design, which cannot predict clinical outcomes based on connectivity profiles or elucidate specific trajectories of white matter progression. In addition, while our sample size was sufficient to demonstrate impaired and declining connectivity, a larger sample size would enable examination of subgroups defined by symptom profiles, predictors of clinical outcome (e.g. duration of untreated illness; Whitty et al. 2008; Díaz-Caneja et al. 2015), or additional illness stages to better characterize connectivity disturbances over the course of illness. Third, we were unable to comprehensively investigate the impact of medication class (typical or atypical), given that the majority of chronic patients were treated with both typical and atypical medications, whereas the recent-onset patients were treated only with atypical antipsychotics, and thus medication class predicted group membership. It is currently unclear whether typical and atypical drugs differentially affect connectivity, and thus requires clarification in future studies. Finally, FA and streamline count are associated with several caveats when used as measures of connectivity. Specifically, diffusion tensor measures, such as FA, cannot resolve fiber populations with complex architecture – limiting interpretation of FA-derived connectivity under such conditions (Tuch et al. 2003). Although tractography provides a more direct estimate of underlying connectivity, this method is still susceptible to noise (Jones et al. 2013) and suffers from various spatial biases that preclude detection of all white matter connections (Thomas et al. 2014; Zalesky et al. 2016). Furthermore, the potential influence of morphometric differences on our fiber-tracking results cannot be entirely excluded, although our use of non-linear normalization is suggested to reasonably control for such variation (Andersson et al. 2007).

In summary, we found evidence for a trajectory of more severe white matter connectivity deficits from early to chronic stages of schizophrenia. Connectivity disruptions in recently diagnosed patients were confined to frontal and parietal regions, and were contained within the more widespread network of connectivity disruptions found in chronic patients. Future studies should examine connectivity profiles in a longitudinal design to confirm progressive deterioration of connectivity disturbances over the course of illness and to understand the mechanisms involved. In doing so, therapeutic targets aimed at halting white matter progression may be identified.

Supplementary Material

The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291717001313.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to gratefully acknowledge all participants for taking part in this study. In addition, the authors kindly thank Steven Tahtalian, Annabel Burnside, Sarah Gale, and Hannah Cross for assisting with data collection. Lastly, the authors are also grateful to Melbourne Health and Austin Health for providing access to participant information.

This study was supported by the National Health and Medical Research Council (1065742; C.P. 628386, 1105825; V.C. 628880); the Cooperative Research Centre for Mental Health, funded by the Commonwealth of Australia, Department of Innovation, Industry, Science and Research (20100104); Australian Rotary Health (M.A.D. Ian Scott Ph.D. Scholarship in Mental Health), the Brain and Behaviour Research Foundation (C.P. 18722; V.C. 21660; C.B. 20526) and the University of Melbourne. A.Z. was supported by a NHMRC Career Development Fellowship (GNT1047648).

Declaration of Interest

None.

References

- Abdul-Rahman MF, Qiu A, Sim K (2011). Regionally specific white matter disruptions of fornix and cingulum in schizophrenia. *Public Library of Science* **6**, 1–11.
- Andersson J, Jenkinson M, Smith S (2007). Non-linear registration, aka spatial normalisation Technical Report TR07JA2, Oxford Centre for Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging of the Brain, Department of Clinical Neurology, Oxford University, Oxford, UK. Available at http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/analysis/techrep for downloading.
- Andreasen NC (1983). Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms. University of Iowa: Iowa City, vol. 155, 53–58.
- Andreasen NC, Nopoulos P, Magnotta V, Pierson R, Ziebell S, Ho B-C (2011). Progressive brain change in schizophrenia: a prospective longitudinal study of first-episode schizophrenia. *Biological Psychiatry* **70**, 672–679.
- Barrio-Arranz G, de Luis-García R, Tristán-Vega A, Martín-Fernández M, Aja-Fernández S (2015). Impact of MR acquisition parameters on DTI scalar indexes: a tractography based approach. *Public Library of Science* 10, 1–19.
- Bora E, Fornito A, Radua J, Walterfang M, Seal M, Wood SJ, Yücel M, Velakoulis D, Pantelis C (2011). Neuroanatomical abnormalities in schizophrenia: a multimodal voxelwise meta-analysis and meta-regression analysis. *Schizophrenia Research* 127, 46–57.
- Caeyenberghs K, Leemans A, Coxon J, Leunissen I, Drijkoningen D, Geurts M, Gooijers J, Michiels K, Sunaert S, Swinnen SP (2011). Bimanual coordination and corpus callosum microstructure in young adults with traumatic brain injury: a diffusion tensor imaging study. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 28, 897–913.
- Canu E, Agosta F, Filippi M (2015). A selective review of structural connectivity abnormalities of schizophrenic patients at different stages of the disease. *Schizophrenia Research* 161, 19–28.
- Cheung V, Cheung C, McAlonan GM, Deng Y, Wong JG, Yip L, Tai KS, Khong PL, Sham P, Chua SE (2008). A diffusion tensor imaging study of structural dysconnectivity in never-medicated, first-episode schizophrenia. *Psychological Medicine* 38, 877–885.
- Collin G, Kahn RS, de Reus MA, Cahn W, Van Den Heuvel MP (2014). Impaired rich club connectivity in unaffected siblings of schizophrenia patients. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 40, 438–448.
- Craddock RC, James GA, Holtzheimer PE, Hu XP, Mayberg HS (2012). A whole brain fMRI atlas generated via spatially constrained spectral clustering. *Human Brain Mapping* 33, 1914–1928.
- Cropley VL, Klauser P, Lenroot RK, Bruggemann J, Sundram S, Bousman C, Pereira A, Di Biase MA,

Weickert TW, Weickert CS, Pantelis C, Zalesky A (2017). Accelerated gray and white matter deterioration with age in schizophrenia. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **174**, 286–295.

Cropley VL, Pantelis C (2014). Using longitudinal imaging to map the 'relapse signature' of schizophrenia and other psychoses. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences* 23, 219–225.

De Hert M, van Winkel R, Wampers M, Kane J, van Os J, Peuskens J (2007). Remission criteria for schizophrenia: evaluation in a large naturalistic cohort. *Schizophrenia Research* 92, 68–73.

de Reus MA, Van Den Heuvel MP (2013). Estimating false positives and negatives in brain networks. *Neuroimage* **70**, 402–409.

Díaz-Caneja CM, Pina-Camacho L, Rodríguez-Quiroga A, Fraguas D, Parellada M, Arango C (2015). Predictors of outcome in early-onset psychosis: a systematic review. *Nature Partner Journals: Schizophrenia* 1, 14005.

Douaud G, Groves AR, Tamnes CK, Westlye LT, Duff EP, Engvig A, Walhovd KB, James A, Gass A, Monsch AU (2014). A common brain network links development, aging, and vulnerability to disease. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **111**, 17648–17653.

Edwards TJ, Sherr EH, Barkovich AJ, Richards LJ (2014). Clinical, genetic and imaging findings identify new causes for corpus callosum development syndromes. *Brain* 137, 1579–1613.

Emsley R, Rabinowitz J, Medori R, Early Psychosis Global Working Group (2007). Remission in early psychosis: rates, predictors, and clinical and functional outcome correlates. *Schizophrenia Research* **89**, 129–139.

First MB, Gibbon M (2004). The Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders (SCID-I) and the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis II Disorders (SCID-II). In *Comprehensive Handbook of Psychological Assessment* (eds. MJ Hilsenroth and DL Segal), pp. 134–143. John Wiley & Sons Inc: Hoboken, NJ, USA.

Fitzsimmons J, Kubicki M, Shenton ME (2013). Review of functional and anatomical brain connectivity findings in schizophrenia. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry* 26, 172–187.

Friedman JI, Tang C, Carpenter D, Buchsbaum M, Schmeidler J, Flanagan L, Golembo S, Kanellopoulou I, Ng J, Hof PR, Harvey PD, Tsopelas ND, Stewart D, Davis KL (2008). Diffusion tensor imaging findings in first-episode and chronic schizophrenia patients. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 165, 1024–1032.

Friston KJ (1998). The disconnection hypothesis. Schizophrenia Research 30, 115–125.

Friston KJ, Frith CD (1995). Schizophrenia: a disconnection syndrome. *Journal of Clinical Neuroscience* 3, 89–97.

Fujino J, Takahashi H, Miyata J, Sugihara G, Kubota M, Sasamoto A, Fujiwara H, Aso T, Fukuyama H, Murai T (2014). Impaired empathic abilities and reduced white matter integrity in schizophrenia. *Progress in*

Neuro-Psychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry 48, 117–123. Hinkley LBN, Marco EJ, Findlay AM, Honma S, Jeremy RJ,

Strominger Z, Bukshpun P, Wakahiro M, Brown WS, Paul LK, Barkovich AJ, Mukherjee P, Nagarajan SS, Sherr EH (2012). The role of corpus callosum development in functional connectivity and cognitive processing. *Public Library of Science* 7, e39804. Holleran L, Ahmed M, Anderson-Schmidt H, McFarland J, Emsell L, Leemans A, Scanlon C, Dockery P, McCarthy P, Barker GJ, McDonald C, Cannon DM (2014). Altered interhemispheric and temporal lobe white matter microstructural organization in severe chronic schizophrenia. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **39**, 944–954.

Jones DK, Knösche TR, Turner R (2013). White matter integrity, fiber count, and other fallacies: the do's and don'ts of diffusion MRI. *Neuroimage* **73**, 239–254.

Kahn RS, Keefe RS (2013). Schizophrenia is a cognitive illness: time for a change in focus. *JAMA Psychiatry* **70**, 1107–1112.

Kay SR, Flszbein A, Opfer LA (1987). The Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) for schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **13**, 261–276.

Kim D-J, Kim J-J, Park J, Lee S, Kim I, Kim S, Park H-J (2008). Quantification of thalamocortical tracts in schizophrenia on probabilistic maps. *NeuroReport* 19, 399–403.

Klauser P, Baker ST, Cropley VL, Bousman C, Fornito A, Cocchi L, Fullerton JM, Rasser P, Schall U, Henskens F, Michie PT, Loughland C, Catts SV, Mowry B, Weickert TW, Shannon Weickert C, Carr V, Lenroot R, Pantelis C, Zalesky A (2016). White matter disruptions in schizophrenia are spatially widespread and topologically converge on brain network hubs. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 43, 425–435.

Kochunov P, Glahn DC, Rowland LM, Olvera RL, Winkler A, Yang Y-H, Sampath H, Carpenter WT, Duggirala R, Curran J, Blangero J, Hong LE (2013). Testing the hypothesis of accelerated cerebral white matter aging in schizophrenia and major depression. *Biological Psychiatry* 73, 482–491.

Kochunov P, Hong LE (2014). Neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative models of schizophrenia: white matter at the center stage. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* **40**, 721–728.

Kong X, Ouyang X, Tao H, Liu H, Li L, Zhao J, Xue Z, Wang F, Jiang S, Shan B, Liu Z (2011). Complementary diffusion tensor imaging study of the corpus callosum in patients with first-episode and chronic schizophrenia. *Journal of Psychiatry & Neuroscience* **36**, 120–125.

Kubicki M, McCarley RW, Shenton ME (2005). Evidence for white matter abnormalities in schizophrenia. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry* **18**, 121–134.

Lee SH, Kubicki M, Asami T, Seidman LJ, Goldstein JM, Mesholam-Gately RI, McCarley RW, Shenton ME (2013). Extensive white matter abnormalities in patients with first-episode schizophrenia: a Diffusion Tensor Imaging (DTI) study. *Schizophrenia Research* **143**, 231–238.

Leucht S, Rothe P, Davis J, Engel R (2013). Equipercentile linking of the BPRS and the PANSS. *European Neuropsychopharmacology* 23, 956–959.

Liu X, Lai Y, Wang X, Hao C, Chen L, Zhou Z, Yu X, Hong N (2013). Reduced white matter integrity and cognitive deficit in never-medicated chronic schizophrenia: a diffusion tensor study using TBSS. *Behavioural Brain Research* **252**, 157–163.

Miller GA, Chapman JP (2001). Misunderstanding analysis of covariance. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology* **110**, 40.

Molina V, Reig S, Sanz J, Palomo T, Benito C, Sanchez J, Sarramea F, Pascau J, Desco M (2005). Increase in gray matter and decrease in white matter volumes in the cortex during treatment with atypical neuroleptics in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research* **80**, 61–71.

Overall JE, Gorham DR (1962). The brief psychiatric rating scale. *Psychological Reports* **10**, 799–812.

Pantelis C, Yücel M, Bora E, Fornito A, Testa R, Brewer WJ, Velakoulis D, Wood SJ (2009). Neurobiological markers of illness onset in psychosis and schizophrenia: the search for a moving target. *Neuropsychology Review* 19, 385–398.

Peters BD, de Haan L, Dekker N, Blaas J, Becker HE, Dingemans PM, Akkerman EM, Majoie CB, van Amelsvoort T, den Heeten GJ, Linszen DH (2008). White matter fibertracking in first-episode schizophrenia, schizoaffective patients and subjects at ultra-high risk of psychosis. *Neuropsychobiology* 58, 19–28.

Price G, Bagary MS, Cercignani M, Altmann DR, Ron MA (2005). The corpus callosum in first episode schizophrenia: a diffusion tensor imaging study. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry* **76**, 585–587.

Rathi Y, Kubicki M, Bouix S, Westin C-F, Goldstein J, Seidman L, Mesholam-Gately R, McCarley RW, Shenton ME (2011). Statistical analysis of fiber bundles using multi-tensor tractography: application to first-episode schizophrenia. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging* 29, 507–515.

Rubinov M, Knock SA, Stam CJ, Micheloyannis S, Harris AW, Williams LM, Breakspear M (2009). Small-world properties of nonlinear brain activity in schizophrenia. *Human Brain Mapping* **30**, 403–416.

Samartzis L, Dima D, Fusar-Poli P, Kyriakopoulos M (2014). White matter alterations in early stages of schizophrenia: a systematic review of diffusion tensor imaging studies. *Journal of Neuroimaging* 24, 101–110.

Sampaio-Baptista C, Khrapitchev AA, Foxley S, Schlagheck T, Scholz J, Jbabdi S, DeLuca GC, Miller KL, Taylor A, Thomas N, Kleim J, Sibson NR, Bannerman D, Johansen-Berg H (2013). Motor skill learning induces changes in white matter microstructure and myelination. *Journal of Neuroscience* 33, 19499–19503.

Sheehan DV, Lecrubier Y, Sheehan KH, Amorim P, Janavs J, Weiller E, Hergueta T, Baker R, Dunbar GC (1998). The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI): the development and validation of a structured diagnostic psychiatric interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* **12**, 224–231.

Song S-K, Sun S-W, Ju W-K, Lin S-J, Cross AH, Neufeld AH (2003). Diffusion tensor imaging detects and differentiates axon and myelin degeneration in mouse optic nerve after retinal ischemia. *Neuroimage* 20, 1714–1722.

Song S-K, Sun S-W, Ramsbottom MJ, Chang C, Russell J, Cross AH (2002). Dysmyelination revealed through MRI as increased radial (but unchanged axial) diffusion of water. *Neuroimage* 17, 1429–1436.

Stephan KE, Baldeweg T, Friston KJ (2006). Synaptic plasticity and dysconnection in schizophrenia. *Biological Psychiatry* **59**, 929–939.

Stephan KE, Friston KJ, Frith D (2009). Dysconnection in schizophrenia: from abnormal synaptic plasticity to failures of self-monitoring. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 35, 509–527.

Szeszko PR, Robinson DG, Ashtari M, Vogel J, Betensky J, Sevy S, Ardekani BA, Lencz T, Malhotra AK, McCormack J, Miller R, Lim KO, Gunduz-Bruce H, Kane JM, Bilder RM (2008). Clinical and neuropsychological correlates of white matter abnormalities in recent onset schizophrenia. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **33**, 976–984.

Thomas C, Frank QY, Irfanoglu MO, Modi P, Saleem KS, Leopold DA, Pierpaoli C (2014). Anatomical accuracy of brain connections derived from diffusion MRI tractography is inherently limited. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 111, 16574–16579.

Tuch DS, Reese TG, Wiegell MR, Van JW (2003). Diffusion MRI of complex neural architecture. *Neuron* 40, 885–895.

Tzourio-Mazoyer N, Landeau B, Papathanassiou D, Crivello F, Etard O, Delcroix N, Mazoyer B, Joliot M (2002). Automated anatomical labeling of activations in SPM using a macroscopic anatomical parcellation of the MNI MRI single-subject brain. *NeuroImage* **15**, 273–289.

Van Den Heuvel MP, Mandl RCW, Stam CJ, Kahn RS, Hulshoff Pol HE (2010). Aberrant frontal and temporal complex network structure in schizophrenia: a graph theoretical analysis. *Journal of Neuroscience* 30, 15915–15926.

Van Den Heuvel MP, Sporns O (2011). Rich-club organization of the human connectome. *Journal of Neuroscience* 31, 15775–15786.

Van Den Heuvel MP, Sporns O, Collin G, Scheewe T, Mandl RC, Cahn W, Goñi J, Pol HEH, Kahn RS (2013). Abnormal rich club organization and functional brain dynamics in schizophrenia. JAMA Psychiatry 70, 783–792.

Walterfang M, McGuire PK, Yung AR, Phillips LJ,
Velakoulis D, Wood SJ, Suckling J, Bullmore ET, Brewer
W, Soulsby B, Desmond P, McGorry PD, Pantelis C
(2008). White matter volume changes in people who develop psychosis. *The British Journal of Psychiatry* 193, 210–215.

Walterfang M, Wood AG, Reutens DC, Wood SJ, Chen J, Velakoulis D, McGory PD, Pantelis C (2008). Morphology of the corpus callosum at different stages of schizophrenia: cross-sectional study in first-episode and chronic illness. *British Journal of Psychiatry* **192**, 429–434.

Wechsler D (2001). Wechsler Test of Adult Reading: WTAR. Psychological Corporation: Harcourt, New York.

White T, Magnotta VA, Bockholt HJ, Williams S, Wallace S, Ehrlich S, Mueller BA, Ho BC, Jung RE, Clark VP, Lauriello J, Bustillo JR, Schulz SC, Gollub RL, Andreasen NC, Calhoun VD, Lim KO (2011). Global white matter abnormalities in schizophrenia: a multisite diffusion tensor imaging study. *Schizophrenia Bulletin* 37, 222–232.

Whitford TJ, Grieve SM, Farrow TF, Gomes L, Brennan J, Harris AW, Gordon E, Williams LM (2007). Volumetric white matter abnormalities in first-episode schizophrenia: a longitudinal, tensor-based morphometry study. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 164, 1082–1089.

Whitford TJ, Kubicki M, Schneiderman JS, O'Donnell LJ, King R, Alvarado JL, Khan U, Markant D, Nestor PG, Niznikiewicz M, McCarley RW, Westin C-F, Shenton ME (2010). Corpus callosum abnormalities and their association with psychotic symptoms in patients with schizophrenia. *Biological Psychiatry* 68, 70–77.

Whitty P, Clarke M, McTigue O, Browne S, Kamali M, Kinsella A, Larkin C, O'Callaghan E (2008). Predictors of outcome in first-episode schizophrenia over the first 4 years of illness. *Psychological Medicine* **38**, 1141–1146.

- Woods SW (2003). Chlorpromazine equivalent doses for the newer atypical antipsychotics. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* 64, 478–667.
- Wright SN, Kochunov P, Chiappelli J, McMahon RP, Muellerklein F, Wijtenburg SA, White MG, Rowland LM, Hong LE (2014). Accelerated white matter aging in schizophrenia: role of white matter blood perfusion. *Neurobiology of Aging* 35, 2411–2418.
- Wu C-H, Hwang T-J, Chen Y-J, Hsu Y-C, Lo Y-C, Liu C-M, Hwu H-G, Liu C-C, Hsieh MH, Chien Y-L, Chen C-M, Isaac Tseng W-Y (2015). Primary and secondary alterations of white matter connectivity in schizophrenia: a study on first-episode and chronic patients using whole-brain tractography-based analysis. *Schizophrenia Research* **169**, 54–61.
- Yao L, Lui S, Liao Y, Du MY, Hu N, Thomas JA, Gong QY (2013). White matter deficits in first episode schizophrenia: an activation likelihood estimation meta-analysis. *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry* **45**, 100–106.

- Zalesky A, Fornito A, Bullmore ET (2010*a*). Network-based statistic: identifying differences in brain networks. *NeuroImage* **53**, 1197–1207.
- Zalesky A, Fornito A, Cocchi L, Gollo LL, Van Den Heuvel MP, Breakspear M (2016). Connectome sensitivity or specificity: which is more important? *NeuroImage* **142**, 407–420.
- Zalesky A, Fornito A, Harding IH, Cocchi L, Yücel M, Pantelis C, Bullmore ET (2010b). Whole-brain anatomical networks: does the choice of nodes matter? *NeuroImage* 50, 970–983.
- Zalesky A, Fornito A, Seal ML, Cocchi L, Westin CF, Bullmore ET, Egan GF, Pantelis C (2011). Disrupted axonal fiber connectivity in schizophrenia. *Biological Psychiatry* 69, 80–89.
- Zhang R, Wei Q, Kang Z, Zalesky A, Li M, Xu Y, Li L, Wang J, Zheng L, Wang B, Zhao J, Zhang J, Huang R (2014). Disrupted brain anatomical connectivity in medication-naive patients with first-episode schizophrenia. *Brain Structure and Function* **220**, 1145–1159.