www.cambridge.org/wet

# **Research Article**

**Cite this article:** Sanders TL, Bond JA, Lawrence BH, Golden BR, Allen TW Jr., Famoso A, Bararpour T (2020) Response of acetyl-CoA carboxylase-resistant rice cultivars and advanced lines to florpyrauxifen-benzyl. Weed Technol. **34**: 814–817. doi: 10.1017/ wet.2020.64

Received: 16 April 2020 Revised: 8 June 2020 Accepted: 9 June 2020 First published online: 16 June 2020

### Associate Editor:

Eric Webster, Louisiana State University AgCenter

### Nomenclature:

Florpyrauxifen-benzyl; quizalofop; rice; *Oryza* sativa L.

### **Keywords:**

Cultivar; differential susceptibility; herbicide tolerance; herbicide-resistant; Provisia™ rice

### Author for correspondence:

Jason A. Bond, Research/Extension Professor, Delta Research and Extension Center, Mississippi State University, P.O. Box 197, Stoneville, MS 38776. E-mail: jbond@drec.msstate.edu

© Weed Science Society of America, 2020.



# Response of acetyl-CoA carboxylase-resistant rice cultivars and advanced lines to florpyrauxifen-benzyl

Tameka L. Sanders<sup>1</sup>, Jason A. Bond<sup>2</sup>, Benjamin H. Lawrence<sup>3</sup>, Bobby R. Golden<sup>4</sup>, Thomas W. Allen Jr.<sup>5</sup>, Adam Famoso<sup>6</sup> and Taghi Bararpour<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Associate, Delta Research and Extension Center, Mississippi State University, Stoneville, MS, USA; <sup>2</sup>Research/Extension Professor, Delta Research and Extension Center, Mississippi State University, Stoneville, MS, USA; <sup>3</sup>Assistant Extension/Research Professor, Delta Research and Extension Center, Mississippi State University, Stoneville, MS, USA; <sup>4</sup>Associate Extension/Research Professor, Delta Research and Extension Center, Mississippi State University, Stoneville, MS, USA; <sup>5</sup>Extension/Research Professor, Delta Research and Extension Center, Mississippi State University, Stoneville, MS, USA; <sup>6</sup>Assistant Professor, Rice Research Station, Louisiana State University AgCenter, Crowley, LA, USA and <sup>7</sup>Assistant Research/Extension Professor, Delta Research and Extension Center, Mississippi State University, Stoneville, MS, USA; Massistant Research/Extension Professor, Delta Research and Extension Center, Mississippi State University, Stoneville, MS, USA; <sup>6</sup>Assistant Research/Extension Professor, Delta Research and Extension Center, Mississippi State University, Stoneville, MS, USA; <sup>6</sup>Assistant Research/Extension Professor, Delta Research and Extension Center, Mississippi State University, Stoneville, MS, USA

# Abstract

Florpyrauxifen-benzyl and quizalofop were available for POST applications in 2018; however, little is known about the response of acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACCase)–resistant rice cultivars and advanced lines to POST herbicides. A field study was conducted in 2017 and 2018 at Stoneville, MS, to characterize the response of ACCase-resistant rice cultivars and advanced lines to POST applications of florpyrauxifen-benzyl. The imidazolinone-resistant (IR) rice cultivars 'CL163' and 'CLXL 745', and ACCase-resistant rice cultivars 'PVL01', 'PVL013', 'PVL024-B', 'PVL038', 'PVL080', and 'PVL081'were treated with florpyrauxifen-benzyl at 0 (nontreated control for each cultivar) and 58 g ai ha<sup>-1</sup> at the four-leaf to one-tiller (LPOST) growth stage. At 14 d after treatment (DAT), PVL01 was injured 5% to 6% greater than CLXL 745, PVL013, and PVL081; however, injury was  $\leq 10\%$  at that evaluation for all cultivars. Similarly, injury was  $\leq 13\%$  for all cultivars 28 DAT. Mature heights were reduced for all cultivars except PVL013 and PVL081. Rough rice yield was  $\geq 100\%$  of the control for all cultivars except PVL081, PVL013, and CL163. Results suggest that florpyrauxifen-benzyl can safely be applied POST to rice cultivars grown in Mississippi as well as ACCase-resistant cultivars that are currently under development.

# Introduction

Acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACCase)-resistant rice technology (Provisia<sup>¬¬</sup>) was first evaluated for its potential use in rice-producing states in the midsouthern United States in 2014 (Lancaster et al. 2018). The Provisia technology allows POST applications of quizalofop, which blocks fatty acids synthesis through ACCase inhibition (Anonymous 2017). Quizalofop was first registered for use in soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr] in the late 1980s, followed by registration in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) in the early 1990s (Shaner 2014). Quizalofop controls non-ACCase-resistant red rice [*Oryza sativa* (L.) Lombardy], as well as volunteer conventional rice, hybrid rice, IR rice types; and other common annual and perennial grasses such as barnyardgrass [*Echinochloa crus-galli* (L.) P. Beauv.] (Anonymous 2017). However, quizalofop does not control sedges or broadleaf weeds.

Florpyrauxifen-benzyl is a POST herbicide developed by Corteva Agrisciences for control of broadleaf, grass, and sedge weeds, and it is a member of the synthetic auxin herbicide family, the arylpicolinates (Epp et al. 2016). Herbicides classified as synthetic auxins mimic the naturally occurring plant hormone indole-3-acetic acid. Synthetic auxins are commonly used to control dicot weed species in grass crops (Grossmann 2010); however, florpyrauxifen-benzyl also controls some monocot weeds (Epp et al. 2016). Therefore, florpyrauxifen-benzyl could be utilized as a management option for monocot and dicot weed species resistant to acetolactate synthase inhibitors, photosystem II inhibitors, and quinclorac.

Rice cultivars can vary in tolerance to herbicides (Ampong-Nyarko and De-Datta 1991). Variability in cultivar tolerance has been documented based on differences in cultivar growth rate, growth stage, morphology, and physiology (Ampong-Nyarko and De-Datta 1991; Bond and Walker 2011; Griffin and Baker 1990; Zhang and Webster 2002; Zhang et al. 2005). Previous research has indicated that long-grain cultivars exhibit greater herbicide tolerance than medium-grain or hybrid cultivars (Bond and Walker 2011, 2012; Bond et al. 2007; Scherder et al. 2004; Willingham et al. 2008; Zhang and Webster 2002; Zhang et al. 2004). 'Jodon' was injured

13% when data were pooled over triclopyr rates, growth stages, and years; however, 'Bengal', 'Cypress', and 'Kaybonnet' were injured 8% (Jordan et al. 1998). Bond and Walker (2012) reported variable tolerance among rice cultivars to postflood quinclorac applications, with rough rice yields of the inbred 'Cheniere' and the hybrid 'XL723' reduced more than that of the inbred cultivar 'Bowman'.

Florpyrauxifen-benzyl and quizalofop were available for POST applications to rice in 2018 (Anonymous 2017, 2018b). Previous research in Mississippi has demonstrated that rice cultivars respond differently to florpyrauxifen-benzyl (Corban et al. 2018). Additionally, little is known about the response of ACCase-resistant rice cultivars and advanced lines to POST herbicides. Therefore, research was conducted to evaluate growth and yield of two IR rice cultivars and six ACCase-resistant rice cultivars and advanced lines following POST applications of florpyrauxifenbenzyl.

# **Materials and Methods**

A field study was conducted at the Mississippi State University Delta Research and Extension Center in Stoneville, MS, in 2017 (33.44°N, 90.90°W) and 2018 (33.44°N, 90.90°W) to characterize the response of ACCase-resistant rice cultivars and advanced lines to POST applications of florpyrauxifen-benzyl. Soil both years was a Commerce silty clay loam (Fine-silty, mixed, superactive, nonacid, thermic Fluvaquentic Endoaquepts) with a pH of 7.1 and an organic matter content of approximately 1.7%. Clomazone (Command 3 ME, 498 g ai ha<sup>-1</sup>; FMC Corp., Philadelphia, PA) plus saflufenacil (Sharpen 2.85 SC, 4.5 g ai ha<sup>-1</sup>; BASF Crop Protection, Research Triangle Park, NC) was applied PRE each year for residual weed control. Bispyribac-sodium (Regiment 80 WP, 28 g ai ha<sup>-1</sup>; Valent U.S.A. Corp., Walnut Creek, CA) plus halosulfuron (Permit 75 DF, 12 g ai ha<sup>-1</sup>; Gowan Co., Yuma, AZ) plus a proprietary blend of methylated seed oil/organosilicon/ureaammonium nitrate (MSO/OSL/UAN) (Dyne-A-Pak, proprietary blend of polyalkyleneoxide-modified polydimethylsiloxane, nonionic emulsifiers, methylated vegetable oils, and nitrogen fertilizer solution; Helena Chemical Co., Collierville, TN) at 1% (v/v) was applied to rice in the two- to three-leaf (EPOST) growth stage to maintain experimental sites weed-free.

Rice was drill-seeded on May 9, 2017, and May 2, 2018, to a depth of 2 cm using a small-plot grain drill (Great Plains 1520; Great Plains Manufacturing, Inc., Salina, KS). Inbred rice cultivars were seeded at 356 seeds  $m^{-2}$  each site-year; however, because of heterosis, CLXL 745 was seeded at 161 seeds  $m^{-2}$ , as recommended by the manufacturer (Anonymous 2018a). Plots consisted of eight rows of rice spaced 20 cm apart and 4.6 m in length and were flooded to an approximate depth of 6 to 10 cm when rice reached the one- to two-tiller stage and within 5 d of treatment application. Treated plots were bordered on either end by a 1.5-m fallow alley. Nitrogen fertilizer was applied at 168 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as urea (46-0-0) immediately prior to flood establishment (Norman et al. 2013). Rice was managed throughout the growing season utilizing local guidelines to optimize yield (Buehring 2008).

Treatments were arranged as a two-factor factorial within a randomized complete block design and four replications. Factor A was rice cultivar and consisted of IR rice cultivars CL163 and CLXL 745, and ACCase-resistant rice cultivars PVL01, PVL013, PVL024-B, PVL038, PVL080, and PVL081. Factor B was florpyrauxifen-benzyl application rates of 0 (nontreated control for each cultivar) and 58 g ai ha<sup>-1</sup> applied to rice in the four-leaf to

**Table 1.** Visible estimations of injury 14 and 28 d after treatment (DAT) for eight rice cultivars treated with florpyrauxifen-benzyl at 58 g ai  $ha^{-1}$  at Stoneville, MS, in 2017 and 2018.<sup>a</sup>

Cultivar	14 DAT	28 DAT
	9/	6
CL163	7 ab	11 ab
CLXL 745	4 c	6 c
PVL01	10 a	10 abc
PVL013	5 bc	8 bc
PVL024-B	7 ab	13 a
PVL038	7 ab	7 bc
PVL080	8 ab	10 abc
PVL081	5 bc	8 bc

<sup>a</sup>Data were pooled over two experiments. Means within a column followed by the same letter are not different at P  $\leq$  0.05.

one-tiller (LPOST) growth stage. Applications of florpyrauxifenbenzl were made at twice the labeled rate to evaluate herbicide tolerance and included the addition of methylated seed oil (MSO with Leci-Tech, 100% methylated vegetable oil; Loveland Products, Greeley, CO) at 0.83% (v/v) (Anonymous 2018b).

Visible estimates of aboveground rice injury were recorded 3, 7, 14, 21, and 28 d after treatment (DAT) on a scale of 0 to 100%, where 0 indicated no visible effect of herbicide treatment and 100% indicated complete plant death. Plant heights were determined at maturity by measuring from the soil surface to the uppermost extended leaf and calculating the mean height of five randomly selected plants in each plot. The number of days to 50% heading was recorded as an indication of rice maturity by calculating the time from seedling emergence until 50% of rice plants in an individual plot had visible panicles. Rice was harvested with a small-plot combine (Wintersteiger Delta; Wintersteiger, Inc., Salt Lake City, UT) at a moisture content of approximately 20% and subsamples were collected for milling. Whole and total milled rice yields were determined from cleaned 120-g subsamples of rough rice using the procedure outlined by Adair et al. (1972). Rough rice was mechanically hulled, milled in a Grainman No. 2 miller (Grain Machinery Manufacturing Corp., Miami, FL) for 30 s, and size-separated with a No. 12, 4.76-mm screen. Whole and total milled rice yield were calculated as a mass fraction of the original 120-g sample of rough rice. Final rough rice grain yield was adjusted to 12% moisture content.

Because of inherent differences in plant height, maturity, and yield potential among the rice cultivars, data for number of days to 50% heading, height, and rice yield (rough, whole, and total milled rice) were converted to a percentage of the control (florpyrauxifenbenzyl at 0 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) for the respective cultivar in each replication. Percentage of control data were calculated by dividing data from the treated plot by that in the control plot in each replication of the same cultivar and multiplying by 100.

Arcsine transformations of the square roots of visible injury estimates were performed to improve homogeneity of variances. The transformation did not improve homogeneity of variance based on visual inspection of plotted residuals; therefore, nontransformed data were used in analyses. Data from control plots for each cultivar were deleted prior to analysis of rice injury estimates to stabilize variance. Nontransformed data were subjected to the Mixed Procedure (Statistical software Release 9.3, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) with year and replication (nested within year) as random-effect parameters (Blouin et al. 2011). Type III statistics were used to test the fixed effect of rice cultivar. Least square means were calculated, and mean separation ( $P \le 0.05$ ) was produced

**Table 2.** Days to 50% heading, mature plant height, and rough, whole, and total milled rice yield for eight rice cultivars treated with florpyrauxifen-benzyl at 58 g ai  $ha^{-1}$  at Stoneville, MS, in 2017 and 2018.<sup>a-c</sup>

Cultivar	Days to 50% heading	Mature plant height		Rice yield		
			Rough	Whole	Total milled	
		%	of control			
CL163	101 a (88)	97 bc (109)	93 d (8,900)	100 ab (55)	99 abc (73)	
CLXL 745	100 a (79)	98 bc (122)	100 bcd (8,900)	101 a (49)	101 a (76)	
PVL01	101 a (86)	98 bc (102)	106 ab (7,400)	104 a (52)	100 abc (71)	
PVL013	101 a (78)	102 a (103)	95 cd (7,700)	98 ab (67)	96 bc (51)	
PVL024-B	100 a (88)	96 c (107)	104 abc (7,200)	101 a (59)	102 a (77)	
PVL038	100 a (77)	98 bc (100)	113 a (7,500)	103 a (46)	100 abc (70)	
PVL080	101 a (76)	98 bc (105)	111 a (6,700)	91 c (40)	95 c (77)	
PVL081	101 a (88)	100 ab (109)	98 bcd (7,200)	92 bc (44)	98 abc (67)	

<sup>a</sup>Data were pooled over two experiments. Means within a column followed by the same letter are not different at P  $\leq$  0.05.

<sup>b</sup>Percentage of control data were calculated by dividing data from the treated plot by that in the control plot of the same cultivar and multiplying by 100. <sup>c</sup>Numbers in parentheses represent days to 50% heading (days after emergence), mature plant height (cm), rough rice yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), whole milled rice yield (%), and total milled rice yield (%) for each cultivar.

using PDMIX800, which is a macro from SAS for converting mean separation output to letter groupings (Saxton 1998).

# **Results and Discussion**

Injury symptoms appeared as minor stunting, swelling near the base, and leaf twirling. No differences in injury were detected at 3, 7, and 21 DAT. At 14 DAT, injury to PVL01 was 5% to 6% greater than for CLXL 745, PVL013, and PVL081; however, injury was  $\leq 10\%$  14 DAT for all cultivars (Table 1). By 28 DAT, florpyrauxifen-benzyl injury to CL163 and PVL024-B was 11% to 13%, and this injury was greater than that of CLXL 745.

No differences in maturity (number of days to 50% heading) were detected among the cultivars (Table 2). Although mature plant heights for the other cultivars except PVL081 were less than that for PVL013 (102% of the control), mature plant heights for all cultivars were  $\geq$ 96% of the control. Therefore, differences in plant height were of little consequence even though they were statistically significant.

Rough rice yield was  $\geq 100\%$  of the control for all cultivars except PVL081, PVL013, and CL163 (Table 2). Florpyrauxifenbenzyl application reduced rough rice yield of CL163 more than PVL01, PVL024-B, PVL038, and PVL080. Even though differences in rough rice yields were detected, rough rice yields for all cultivars were  $\geq 93\%$  of the control. An explanation for some cultivars producing rough rice yield  $\geq 100\%$  of the control was not apparent from the data collected in the current study. Additional investigation into the response of the rice plant following application of florpyrauxifen-benzyl is warranted. Whole milled rice yield for PVL080 and PVL081 were 91% and 92% of the control, respectively, and less than the other cultivars. Although differences were detected, total milled rice yield was  $\geq 95\%$  of the controls for all cultivars.

Previous research has reported different results for rice cultivar tolerance to herbicides. Glufosinate applications to glufosinateresistant cultivars delayed maturity 7 to 15 d for medium-grain and only 3 to 5 d for long-grain cultivars (Lanclos et al. 2003). In the current study, florpyrauxifen-benzyl applications did not delay maturity for any of the cultivars evaluated. Scherder et al. (2004) evaluated 14 commercial long- and medium-grain cultivars and 4 experimental cultivar lines, and observed that the experimental 'RU961096' was less tolerant to clomazone than other cultivars. Additionally, hybrid cultivars were reported to be less tolerant to preflood applications of saflufenacil and postflood applications of imazamox and quinclorac (Bond and Walker 2011, 2012; Montgomery et al. 2014). Days to 50% heading, mature plant height, and rice yield (rough, whole, and total milled rice) were  $\geq$ 98% of the control for CLXL 745 following florpyrauxifen-benzyl (Table 2), indicating that the hybrid cultivar was tolerant. Based on visible-injury estimations, the experimental PVL024-B was the least tolerant cultivar 28 DAT (Table 1). Differences among parent lines may explain differential tolerance between inbred and hybrid cultivars or commercial cultivars and experimental lines. Despite observed injury, yields (rough, whole milled, and total milled) were all  $\geq$ 91% of the control for all cultivars.

Zhang and Webster (2002) and Zhang et al. (2004) reported that differences in herbicide tolerance among rice cultivars were more easily distinguished when twice the registered rate of the herbicide was used for screening tolerance. In IR rice, Bond and Walker (2011) observed that CLXL 745 was less tolerant than other hybrid cultivars to imazamox at two times the labeled use rate, and even labeled rates of imazamox reduced rough rice yield of CLXL 745. Corban et al. (2018) reported that two applications of florpyrauxifen-benzyl at twice the labeled rate injured CL163 and CLXL 745 more than other cultivars 14 and 28 d after LPOST application. Current labeling only allows application of florpyrauxifen-benzyl at 29 g ha<sup>-1</sup>. However, in commercial fields, irregularities in herbicide application such as overlapping sprays could occur that would make the florpyrauxifen-benzyl rate from this research possible under some commercial field situations. Additionally, Corban et al. (2018) reported that rice maturity (number of days to 50% heading) was delayed 2 to 3 d for CL163 and CLXL745 following applications of florpyrauxifen-benzyl at 58 g ha<sup>-1</sup>. Although differences in rice injury and agronomic parameters were observed in the current study, no patterns in response were detected that would confirm one cultivar as being consistently more sensitive to florpyrauxifen-benzyl among the cultivars evaluated.

The current research demonstrates that florpyrauxifen-benzyl can safely be applied POST to rice cultivars grown in Mississippi as well as ACCase-resistant cultivars that are currently under development. Screenings for tolerance as new cultivars are commercialized should continue to monitor for potential damage with florpyrauxifen-benzyl.

Acknowledgments. This publication is a contribution of the Mississippi Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station. Material is based on work

supported by the National Institute of Food and Agriculture, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Hatch project under accession number 199080. The authors would like to thank the Mississippi Rice Promotion Board for partially funding this research. We thank personnel at the Mississippi State University Delta Research and Extension Center for their assistance. No conflicts of interest have been declared.

## References

- Adair CR, Bollich CN, Bowman DH, Jodon NE, Johnston TH, Webb BD, Atkins JG (1972) Rice breeding and testing methods in the United States. Pages 25–75 *in* Rice in the United States: Varieties and Production. USDA– Agricultural Research Service Agricultural Handbook 289. Washington, DC: USDA–Agricultural Research Service. 124 p
- Ampong-Nyarko K, De-Datta SK (1991) A Handbook for Weed Control in Rice. Manila, Philippines: International Rice Research Institute
- Anonymous (2017) Provisia herbicide label. http://www.cdms.net/Label-Database. Accessed: June 29, 2020
- Anonymous (2018a) RiceTec management guidelines. https://www.ricetec. com/grower-resources/management-guidelines/. Accessed: April 1, 2019
- Anonymous (2018b) Loyant herbicide label. http://www.cdms.net/ldat/ ldE6F000.pdf. Accessed: February 19, 2019
- Blouin DC, Webster EP, Bond JA (2011) On the analysis of combined experiments. Weed Technol 25:165–169
- Bond JA, Walker TW (2011) Differential tolerance of Clearfield cultivars to imazamox. Weed Technol 25:192–197
- Bond JA, Walker TW (2012) Effect of postflood quinclorac application on commercial rice cultivars. Weed Technol 26:183–188
- Bond JA, Walker TW, Webster EP, Buehring NW, Harrell DL (2007) Rice cultivar response to penoxsulam. Weed Technol 21:961–965
- Buehring N (2008) Mississippi Rice Grower's Guide. Starkville, MS: Mississippi State University Extension Service. 80 p
- Corban NG, Bond JA, Golden BR, Sanders TL, Lawrence BH, Edwards HM (2018) Rice cultivar tolerance to florpyrauxifen-benzyl. Page 114 *in* Proceedings of the 37th Rice Technical Working Group, February 19–22, Long Beach, CA
- Epp JB, Alexander AL, Balko TW, Buysse AM, Brewster WK, Bryan K, Daeuble JF, Fields SC, Gast RE, Green RA, Irvine NM, Lo WC, Lowe CT, Renga JM, Richburg JS, Ruiz JM, Satchivi NM, Schmitzer PR, Siddall TL, Webster JD,

Weimer MR, Whiteker GT, Yerkes CN (2016) The discovery of Arlyex<sup>TM</sup> active and Rinskor<sup>TM</sup> active: two novel auxin herbicides. Bioorgan Med Chem 24:362–371

- Griffin JL, Baker JB (1990) Tolerance of rice (*Oryza sativa*) cultivars to fenoxaprop, sethoxydim, and haloxyfop. Weed Sci 38:528–531
- Grossmann K (2010) Auxin herbicides: current status of mechanism and mode of action. Pest Manag Sci 66:113–120
- Jordan DL, Sanders DE, Linscombe SD, Williams BJ (1998) Response of four rice (*Oryza sativa*) cultivars to triclopyr. Weed Technol 12:254–257
- Lancaster ZD, Norsworthy JK, Scott RC (2018) Evaluation of quizalofopresistant rice for Arkansas rice production systems. Int J Agron 2018:1–8, 10.1155/2018/6315865
- Lanclos DY, Webster EP, Zhang W, Linscombe SD (2003) Response of glufosinate-resistant rice (*Oryza sativa*) to glufosinate application timings. Weed Technol 17:157–160
- Montgomery GB, Bond JA, Golden BR, Gore J, Edwards HM, Eubank TW, Walker TW (2014) Response of commercial rice cultivars to postemergence applications of saflufenacil. Weed Technol 28:679–684
- Norman RJ, Slaton NA, Roberts TL (2013) Soil fertility. Pages 69–102 *in* Hardke TJ, ed, Rice Production Handbook. University of Arkansas Division of Agriculture Cooperative Extension Service MP192. Fayetteville, AR: Univ. of Arkansas
- Saxton AM (1998) A macro for converting mean separation output into letter grouping in ProcMixed. Pages 1243–1246 in Proceedings of the 23rd SAS users Group International. Cary, NC: SAS Institute
- Scherder EF, Talbert RE, Clark SD (2004) Rice (Oryza sativa) cultivar tolerance to clomazone. Weed Technol 18:140–144
- Shaner DL, ed (2014) Herbicide Handbook. 10th ed. Lawrence, KS: Weed Science Society of America. Pp 401–402
- Willingham SD, McCaulet GN, Senseman SA, Chandler JM, Richburg JS, Lassiter RB, Mann RK (2008) Influence of flood interval and cultivar on rice tolerance to penoxsulam. Weed Technol 22:114–118
- Zhang W, Webster EP (2002) Shoot and root growth of rice (*Oryza sativa*) in response to V-10029. Weed Technol 16:768–772
- Zhang W, Webster EP, Blouin DC, Linscombe SD (2004) Differential tolerance of rice (*Oryza sativa*) varieties to clomazone. Weed Technol 18:73–76
- Zhang WP, Webster EP, Leon CT (2005) Response of rice cultivars to V-10029. Weed Technol 19:307–311