Palliative and Supportive Care

cambridge.org/pax

Original Article

Cite this article: Philippkowski S, O'Connor M, Eisma MC, Willmott L, Johnson AR, Breen LJ (2021). Does voluntary assisted dying cause public stigma for the bereaved? A vignette-based experiment. *Palliative and Supportive Care* 19, 558–562. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1478951520001352

Received: 2 September 2020 Revised: 26 November 2020 Accepted: 5 December 2020

Kev words:

Euthanasia; Grief; Physician-assisted suicide; Stigma; Voluntary assisted dying

Author for correspondence: Moira O'Connor, School of Psychology, Curtin University, GPO Box U1987 Perth, Western Australia 6102, Australia. E-mail: m.oconnor@curtin.edu.au

Does voluntary assisted dying cause public stigma for the bereaved? A vignette-based experiment

Sarah Philippkowski, B.P.S.Y.C.H. (HONS)¹, Moira O'Connor, PH.D., M.S.C., B.A. (HONS)^{1,2},

Maarten C. Eisma, Ph.D.³, Lindy Willmott, Ph.D., L.L.M., L.L.B. (HONS), B.COM.⁴,

Andrew R. Johnson, Ph.D., M.BIOSTAT., B.P.S.Y.C.H. (HONS)¹ and

Lauren J. Breen, PH.D., F.T., B.SC. (HONS)¹

¹School of Psychology, Curtin University, Perth, WA 6102, Australia; ²WA Cancer Prevention Research Institute (WACPRU), School of Psychology, Perth, WA 6102, Australia; ³Faculty of Behavioural and Social Sciences, University of Groningen, Groningen, 9701, The Netherlands and ⁴Faculty of Law, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, QLD 4000, Australia

Abstract

Objective. Studies in countries where assisted dying is legal show that bereaved people express concern over the potential for social disapproval and social stigma because of the manner of death. There are indications that voluntary assisted dying is judged as less acceptable if the deceased is younger. A vignette-based experiment was used to determine whether public stigma (i.e., negative emotional reactions and desired social distance) and expected grief symptoms are higher for conjugally bereaved people through voluntary assisted dying (vs. long-term illness), when the deceased is a young adult (vs. older adult).

Method. A 2×2 randomized factorial design was conducted with 164 Australian adults (130 women, 34 men, $M_{\rm age} = 37.69$ years). Each participant was randomized online to read one of four vignettes and completed measures of anger, fear, prosocial emotions, desire for social distance, and expectations of grief symptomatology.

Results. A multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) was conducted. Death at a young age (28 years) was significantly associated with stronger negative emotional reactions of fear ($\eta_p^2 = 0.04$, P = 0.048) and anger ($\eta_p^2 = 0.06$, P = 0.010). There were no differences in outcomes associated with the mode of death, nor was there an interaction between mode of death and age group.

Significance of results. Concerns that voluntary assisted dying elicits public stigma appear unfounded. The fact that participants reported significantly higher anger and fear in response to bereaved people experiencing loss at a younger (vs. older) age, irrespective of cause of death, indicates that young people who lose their spouse might benefit from additional support.

Voluntary assisted dying allows access to medication with the purpose of ending a person's life, enabling them to make a decision about the timing and manner of their death (Government of the State of Victoria, 2017). Countries such as Switzerland, Belgium, Canada, Netherlands, and Luxembourg, some states in the United States and Australia have various forms of assisted dying, with different legislative frameworks (Government of the State of Victoria, 2017; The Federal Assembly the Swiss Confederation, 2018). This issue is contentious and stimulates discussion in the wider community (Blendon et al., 1992; White and Willmott, 2018).

One issue for consideration is stigmatization, defined as disapproval of, and discrimination against, those in society who are perceived to be different (Link and Phelan, 2006). Public stigma is seen in labeling, stereotyping, and discrimination of others, loss of status, an "us" and "them" mentality (Link and Phelan, 2006), and is influenced by prevailing norms in society (Goffman, 1968). Self-stigma occurs when individuals internalize the stereotypes, prejudices, and discriminations of others, which has a negative effect on their self-perceptions (Corrigan, 2004). In studies of people with mental health issues, self-stigma has been shown to be associated with an increased risk of suicide and reduced help seeking behavior and to lead to low self-esteem and a loss of social opportunities (Cryer et al., 2018).

Circumstances of death may influence stigmatization and offers of support following bereavement (Logan et al., 2018a). People bereaved by voluntary assisted dying, often report perceived social disapproval and stigma; they hide the manner in which the death occurred (Gamondi et al., 2015) and are reluctant to have assisted dying on death certificates, fearing disapproval (Downie and Oliver, 2016). People bereaved by assisted dying may withdraw from others, report feelings of shame, rejection, and abandonment (Gamondi et al., 2015), and are fearful of being judged by others (Gamondi et al., 2018). People are also careful

© The Author(s), 2020. Published by Cambridge University Press





about whom they disclose information to and are hesitant to put themselves in a situation where they have to defend their or the deceased's decision for assisted dying (Srinivasan, 2019). This secrecy management around voluntary assisted dying may prevent people expressing their grief, increase their risk of complications of grief, and lead to less social support and greater stigma (Gamondi et al., 2015). In the context of bereavement, indicators of public stigma include emotional responses to the person and social distance desired from them (Eisma et al., 2019).

Public expectations of grief symptomatology are used to identify recognition of support need in some studies, alongside measures of stigma (Penman et al., 2014; Logan et al., 2018b). Social support is a complex process in that a potential supporter must recognize the need for support, the support must be available, sufficient, and extended, and then perceived as helpful by the recipient (Logan et al., 2018b). Additionally, the usefulness can depend on the source, type, and timeliness of the support offered (Aoun et al., 2018). Social support is a key protective factor for grieving people and is readily amenable to intervention (Logan et al., 2018b), yet bereaved people often do not receive the quantity or quality of social support that they would like (Aoun et al., 2015). Public stigma and expectations of grief symptomatology have been observed in vignette-based experimental studies of bereavement (Penman et al., 2014; Eisma, 2018; Logan et al., 2018b; Eisma et al., 2019) but is yet to be investigated in studies of bereavement through assisted dying.

Another issue to consider is age of death. Findings from the United Kingdom and Austria have found that the age of an individual accessing assisted dying is a contributing factor in attitudes surrounding assisted dying (Lamers and Williams, 2016), with both the public and health professionals more comfortable with elderly people utilizing assisted dying than younger adults (Lamers and Williams, 2016) or children (Frileux et al., 2003; Bevacqua and Kurpius, 2013; Stolz et al., 2015). One study found that the public considered assisted dying to be more acceptable for older adults (85 years) than for middle-aged (60 years) or younger adults (35 years) (Frileux et al., 2003). Similarly, counseling students indicated more support and social approval for older (77 years) than younger (25 years) people requesting assisted dying (Bevacqua and Kurpius, 2013).

The current study

Concern that being bereaved by assisted dying will elicit public stigma has not been tested experimentally. This study aimed to investigate experimentally the effects of cause of death (death as a result of voluntary assisted dying vs. death after a long-term illness) and age of the deceased (28 vs. 80 years) on emotional responses to the bereaved, desire for social distance from the bereaved, and expectations of the bereaved person's grief symptomatology. We predict that participants will report greater negative emotions, a stronger desire for social distance, and expect more intense grief symptomatology for people bereaved through voluntary assisted dying (rather than long-term illness) and by the loss of a younger person (than by the loss of an older person). Furthermore, we will also investigate whether there are interactions between the cause of death and age of the deceased on the variables of interest.

Method

Research design

A 2 (cause of death: voluntary assisted dying vs. long-term illness) \times 2 (age of bereaved: 28 or 80 years) randomized

between-groups, vignette-based experiment was used to test the effects of cause of death and age of the deceased on multiple indicators of public stigma (feelings of anger, fear, prosocial emotions; desire for social distance) and expectations of grief symptomatology. The ages of the deceased chosen represent the youngest and oldest stages of adulthood (Bevacqua and Kurpius, 2013).

Participants

A G^* power *a priori* analysis (Faul et al., 2007) with a Bonferroni-adjusted alpha level of 0.01 (two-tailed), estimated 127 participants would be required to achieve 80% power to detect a moderate ($f^2 = 0.15$) effect. One hundred sixty-four Australian adults (130 women, 34 men, $M_{\rm age} = 37.69$ years, SD = 10.295) were recruited using convenience sampling through social media and snowball sampling, to represent the general population. Respondents were highly educated, with 48.8% completing a tertiary degree and an additional 20.1% completing post-graduate studies. Of the sample, 61.6% engaged in religious services at least once a year.

Procedure

Ethics approval was granted by the Human Research Ethics Committee at Curtin University (HRE2019-0286). The vignettes and associated questionnaires were loaded onto Qualtrics. The Qualtrics link was shared on social media with a statement encouraging those who see it to share it. Participants were asked to read a detailed information sheet and provided informed consent by clicking a consent box. Participants were then randomly assigned to read one of four vignettes. The survey comprised the outcomes measures (which were presented in random order), followed by demographic questions and the postmanipulation check. A prize draw to win one of five \$20 gift cards was available upon completion of the questionnaire. Participants indicating interest were redirected to a separate survey to provide their information. The whole survey took 10-15 min and participants were thanked for their time and provided with information about services they could contact should they have difficult or uncomfortable reactions to the survey.

Measures

Demographic questions

This included age in years, gender, level of education, religious engagement (never, daily, weekly, fortnightly, and yearly).

Vignettes

Vignettes allow the gathering of responses as an analog to real-life behavior (Price and Neijens, 1998). Vignettes were developed using Logan et al. (2018b) example which allows for responses which would best evaluate how a participant may react in real-life situations. Each vignette described an individual who had experienced spousal bereavement, for example: "W.G.'s spouse of 10 years died after a long term illness at the age of 28." Age of the deceased (28 or 80) and mode of death (voluntary assisted dying or long-term illness) were the only elements to change from one vignette to the next; the vignettes remained gender neutral and free of unnecessary, distracting information.

Emotional reactions scale

Emotional reactions toward a person are a component of stigma (Link and Phelan, 2006). Common reactions to people who are

560 Sarah Philippkowski *et al*.

perceived as different are anger, fear, and prosocial emotions (Eisma et al., 2019). An adapted Emotional Reactions Scale was used, comprising three subscales: anger, fear, and prosocial emotions (Eisma et al., 2019). The scale is a 13-item Likert-type interval scale. Participants score 1–4 their level of agreement with the statements from 1 (Strongly disagree) to 4 (Strongly agree) on, for example, "When I read about W.G. I feel annoyed." Each subscale has demonstrated good internal consistency in the general population with $\alpha = 0.85$ (fear), $\alpha = 0.82$ (anger), and $\alpha = 0.75$ (prosocial behaviour; Eisma et al., 2019), and in the current study, $\alpha = 0.83$ (fear), $\alpha = 0.86$ (anger), and $\alpha = 0.64$ (prosocial behavior).

Social distance scale

Preferred social distance from the bereaved person in the vignettes were measured with the scale first developed by Link et al. (1987). The statements determined to what degree a participant was willing to interact with W.D.; the bereaved person in the vignettes (Eisma et al., 2019). For example, "How would you feel having someone like W.D. as a neighbour?." The measure is a 7-item Likert scale, where participants score 1 (Definitely willing) to 4 (Definitely unwilling) to interact with the person in each situation. Revisions made to the original measure included changing the word "child" to "relative" in one item in order to make the scale relevant to a broader audience (Penman et al., 2014) and simplifying "Worker on the same job" to "colleague" (De Ruddere et al., 2016). We followed recent changes in scoring statements 1-4 rather than using the original 0-3 scoring (Penman et al., 2014; Eisma, 2018). All versions of the scale had good internal consistency as did the current study $\alpha = 0.84$.

Expectations of grief symptomatology scale

This 12-item scale determines a participant's expectations of the intensity of another's grief (Penman et al., 2014). Participants rate expected grief symptoms on a Likert scale of 1 (Never) to 5 (Always); higher scores indicated that more intense grief was expected (Logan et al., 2018b). For example, "How often do you think the person in the scenario would be experiencing trouble accepting loss?." The scale displays acceptable internal consistency with $\alpha = 0.87$ (Penman et al., 2014), current study $\alpha = 0.88$.

Post-manipulation check

A post-manipulation check asked "How old was the loved one described?" and "How was the death described?" to confirm participants attended to the manipulations (Kane and Barabas, 2019).

Analyses

Only 12 cases were missing responses (0.6%). Given the very small amount of missingness, missing data were replaced using Expectation Maximization (Tabachnick and Fidell, 2013).

Only 6.1% responded to manipulation checks incorrectly. Removal of these cases did not alter results, and therefore, the analysis from the full sample are reported. The hypotheses were tested using a two-way multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA). Mode of death (voluntary assisted dying, long-term illness) and age of the deceased (28, 80), as well as their interaction, were entered as fixed factors. Anger, fear, prosocial emotions, social distance, and expectations of grief symptomatology were entered as outcome variables. If any significant multivariate effects were identified, these were followed-up with univariate ANOVAs to identify which outcome variables differed between the group(s) and in which direction. All *P*-values for these

univariate analyses were adjusted using the Bonferroni–Holm correction to account for the multiple comparisons.

For all results, partial eta squared (η_p^2) is presented as a measure of effect. A $\eta_p^2 > 0.06$ is considered a "medium" effect, and $\eta_p^2 > 0.14$ considered a "large" effect (Cohen, 2013).

Results

Multivariate differences

The MANOVA identified a statistically significant main effect of age group of the deceased spouse, with a medium-large effect size $(F(5, 156) = 3.38, P = 0.006, \eta_p^2 = 0.99)$. This relationship did not significantly change depending on the mode of death $(F(5, 156) = 0.77, P = 0.572, \eta_p^2 = 0.02)$, nor was there a significant main effect of mode of death $(F(5, 156) = 1.16, P = 0.330, \eta_p^2 = 0.04)$. This implies that there were differences between age groups of the deceased (28 or 80) on these measures, but that there were no differences between the modes of death (voluntary assisted dying vs. long-term illness). Table 1 shows the mean and standard deviation of results. The univariate ANOVAs were then used to identify which of the measures differed between the age groups.

Univariate differences

The Univariate ANOVAS identified that Anger and Fear significantly differed between the age groups (see Table 2). On average, those bereaved of younger-aged spouses elicited more anger (0.29 points higher) and fear (0.20 points higher) than those bereaved of older-aged spouses.

Discussion

This is the first study to include voluntary assisted dying in an experimental investigation of cause of death on public stigma and expectations of grief symptomatology. Contrary to expectations, there were no interaction effects between age and mode of death, and no main effect for mode of death, demonstrating no difference in emotional reactions, desire for social distance, or expectations of grief symptomatology for those bereaved by assisted dying over long-term illness. While studies show that people bereaved by assisted dying express concerns about stigma (Gamondi et al., 2015; Downie and Oliver, 2016; Gamondi et al., 2018; Srinivasan, 2019), our data demonstrate that these concerns may be unfounded. If those bereaved via assisted dying engage in secrecy management due to these concerns about stigma, they could risk receiving less social support, which could exacerbate their grief (Wagner et al., 2012). That is, people bereaved via assisted dying might experience self-stigma due to perceiving the potential for public stigma. Thus, our findings may have educative value in encouraging people bereaved in this circumstance to talk about their loss and seek support from others.

Participants demonstrated more anger and fear toward people whose family members died at a young age, regardless of mode of death. Our finding of stigma in the form of anger and fear directed toward the bereaved person, when a younger person died, is in line with many studies that show age-related stigma is prevalent within western society (Widrick and Raskin, 2010). Perceptions that the elderly are needy, fragile, and severely impaired remain (Widrick and Raskin, 2010), and those who are younger benefit from positive perceptions (Hummert, 1990), such that they deserve more time to live (Stolz et al., 2015).

Table 1. Means and standard deviations for grief expectations, anger, fear, prosocial emotions, and social distance

Measure	Range	M (SD)	LTI 28	LTI 80	VAD 28	VAD 80
			M (SD)	M (SD)	M (SD)	M (SD)
Expectations of Grief						
Symptomatology Scale	[1.58, 4.83]	3.12 (0.63)	2.97 (0.63)	3.23 (0.60)	3.10 (0.64)	3.21 (0.63)
Emotional Reactions Scale						
Anger	[1.00, 3.50]	1.65 (0.59)	1.78 (0.60)	1.37 (0.47)	1.80 (0.59)	1.64 (0.59)
Fear	[1.00, 3.00]	1.76 (0.51)	1.84 (0.54)	1.54 (0.48)	1.89 (0.53)	1.79 (0.45)
Prosocial emotions	[1.50, 4.00]	3.00 (0.48)	2.97 (0.50)	3.07 (0.49)	2.92 (0.51)	3.02 (0.43)
Social Distance Scale	[1.00, 3.29]	1.75 (0.49)	1.67 (0.50)	1.71 (0.45)	1.84 (0.59)	1.79 (0.43)

Note. N = 164. LTI, long-term illness; VAD, voluntary assisted dying.

Table 2. Age group main effect from univariate ANOVAs

Outcome	F(1, 159)	BH-Corrected	
		<i>P</i> -value	η_p^2
Grief Expectations	3.37	0.192	0.02
Social Distance	0.010	0.947	0.00
Anger	9.89	0.010	0.06
Prosocial Behaviour	1.74	0.408	0.01
Fear	6.44	0.044	0.04

Age of the deceased was not a factor determining desire for social distance from those who are bereaved by either mode of death. Although participants had negative emotional reactions toward those bereaved by the death of a 28-year-old spouse, it seems that they are not inclined to desire social distance from the bereaved person. Our finding that negative emotional reactions were not corollary of a desire for social distance, deviates from previous studies (e.g., Eisma et al., 2019). Furthermore, there was no difference in participants' emotional reactions toward, or desire for social distance from, those bereaved by assisted dying and those bereaved by long-term illness. Findings also indicate that there was no difference in participants' expectations of grief according to mode of death or age of the deceased.

Limitations

Limitations that should be considered in interpreting the results include that only one Australian state had legalized assisted dying at the time of gathering data (Government of the State of Victoria, 2017), meaning that participants likely had no direct experience with someone who is bereaved by assisted dying. However, this study provides findings of stigma and expectations of grief symptomatology before assisted dying becomes legal in other states, which is useful in measuring public stigma over time. Conducting research with those who have experienced loss to assisted dying in the future, would give insight to any changes in public perceptions. Additionally, including more participants in future studies is recommended, so that small effects of voluntary assisted dying versus other death types on public stigma can be detected.

The proportion of the sample with a university qualification is higher than the figure of 24% found across the Australian

population (Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2017) and may limit generalizability, although education level had little effect on the outcome variables. There were also more women than men in our sample which, although common to studies about stigma and supportive behaviors following bereavement (Logan et al., 2018a), potentially affects generalizability of findings. Finally, we focussed only on spousal bereavement, future studies could explore the potential for stigma across different losses and relationships (Hirooka et al., 2017).

Conclusion

This is the first study to examine experimentally public stigma and expectations of grief of those bereaved of assisted dying. Stigma elicited by those bereaved of assisted dying does not differ from stigma elicited by those who experienced a death due to another cause. This does not rule out that some bereaved by assisted dying will experience stigma and the same is true for those bereaved by long-term illness. Findings highlighted that public stigma exists in the form of negative emotional reactions toward someone who is bereaved through the death of a person in early adulthood (28 years old). However, the public did not desire greater social distance from, nor have expectations of an increase in grief symptomatology for someone bereaved from assisted dying compared to long-term illness. Findings highlighted that there is no evidence for more severe stigma toward those bereaved of assisted dying and suggest that concerns about public stigma toward those bereaved via assisted dying may be unfounded.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

Aoun SM, Breen LJ, Howting DA, et al. (2015) Who needs bereavement support? A populated based survey of bereavement risk and support need. *PLoS ONE* **10**, 1–14. doi:10.1371/journal.pone

Aoun SM, Breen LJ, White I, *et al.* (2018) What sources of bereavement support do bereaved people perceive to be helpful and why? Empirical evidence

562 Sarah Philippkowski *et al*.

for the compassionate communities approach. *Palliative Medicine* **32**, 1378–1388. doi:10.1177/0269216318774995

- Australian Bureau of Statistics. (2017). Australians pursuing higher education in record numbers. Available at: https://www.abs.gov.au/AUSSTATS/abs@. nsf/mediareleasesbyReleaseDate/1533FE5A8541D66CCA2581BF00362D1D
- Bevacqua F and Kurpius SER (2013) Counseling students' personal values and attitudes toward euthanasia. *Journal of Mental Health Counseling* 35 (2), 172–188.
- Blendon RJ, Szalay US and Knox RA (1992) Should physicians aid their patients in dying?: The public perspective. JAMA 267(19), 2658–2662. doi:10.1001/jama.1992.03480190102042
- Cohen J (2013) Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences. New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Corrigan P (2004) How stigma interferes with mental health care. American Psychologist 59(7), 614–625. doi:10.1037/0003-066X.59.7.614
- Cryer REM, Calear AL, Batterham PJ, et al. (2018) Suicide, mental, and physical health condition stigma in medical students. Death Studies, 1–7. doi:10.1080/07481187.2018.1539049
- De Ruddere L, Bosmans M, Crombez G, et al. (2016) Patients are socially excluded when their pain has no medical explanation. *Journal of Pain* 17 (9), 1028–1035. doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2016.06.005
- **Downie J and Oliver K** (2016) Medical certificates of death: First principles and established practices provide answers to new questions. *Canadian Medical Association Journal* **188**(1), 49–52.
- Eisma MC (2018) Public stigma of prolonged grief disorder: An experimental study. Psychiatry Research 261, 173–177. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2017.12.064
- Eisma MC, te Riele B, Overgaauw M, et al. (2019) Does prolonged grief or suicide bereavement cause public stigma? A vignette-based experiment. *Psychiatry Research* 272, 784–789. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2018.12.122
- Faul F, Erdfelder E, Lang AG, et al. (2007) Statistical power analyses using G*Power 3.1: Tests for correlation and regression analyses. Behaviour Research Methods 41, 1149–1160. doi:10.3758/BRM.41.4.1149
- Frileux S, Lelièvre C, Muñoz Sastre MT, et al. (2003) When is physician assisted suicide or euthanasia acceptable? *Journal of Medical Ethics* 29(6), 330. doi:10.1136/jme.29.6.330
- Gamondi C, Pott M, Forbes K, et al. (2015) Exploring the experiences of bereaved families involved in assisted suicide in southern Switzerland: A qualitative study. BMJ Supportive & Palliative Care 5(2), 146. doi:10.1136/bmjspcare-2013-000483
- Gamondi C, Pott M, Preston N, et al. (2018) Family caregivers' reflections on experiences of assisted suicide in Switzerland: A qualitative interview study. Journal of Pain and Symptom Management 55(4), 1085–1094. doi:10.1016/ j.jpainsymman.2017.12.482
- **Goffman E** (1968) Stigma: Notes on the Management of Spoiled Identity. Harmondsworth: Penguin.
- Government of the State of Victoria (2017) Parliament of Victoria voluntary assisted dying act 2017. No 61 of 2017. Available at: http://www.legislation.vic.gov.au/Domino/Web_Notes/LDMS/PubStatbook.nsf/f932b66241ecf1b7ca256e92000e23be/B320E209775D253CCA2581ED00114C60/\$FILE/17-061aa%20authorised.pdf

- Hirooka K, Fukahori H, Ozawa M, et al. (2017) Differences in posttraumatic growth and grief reactions among adolescents by relationship with the deceased. *Journal of Advanced Nursing* 73(4), 955–965. doi:10.1111/jan.13196
- Hummert ML (1990) Multiple stereotypes of elderly and young adults: A comparison of structure and evaluations. Psychology and Aging 5(2), 182–193. doi:10.1037/0882-7974.5.2.182
- Kane JV and Barabas J (2019) No harm in checking: Using factual manipulation checks to assess attentiveness in experiments. American Journal of Political Science 63(1), 234–249. doi:10.1111/ajps.12396
- Lamers CPT and Williams RR (2016) Older people's discourses about euthanasia and assisted suicide: A foucauldian exploration. *The Gerontologist* 56 (6), 1072–1081. doi:10.1093/geront/gnv102
- Link BG and Phelan JC (2006) Stigma and its public health implications. The Lancet 367(9509), 528–529. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(06)68184-1
- Link BG, Cullen FT, Frank J, et al. (1987) The social rejection of former mental patients: Understanding why labels matter. American Journal of Sociology 92(6), 1461–1500.
- Logan EL, Thornton JA and Breen LJ (2018a) What determines supportive behaviors following bereavement? A systematic review and call to action. *Death Studies* 42, 104–114. doi:10.1080/07481187.2017.1329760
- Logan EL, Thornton JA, Kane RT, et al. (2018b) Social support following bereavement: The role of beliefs, expectations, and support intentions. Death Studies 42(8), 471–482. doi:10.1080/07481187.2017.1382610
- Penman EL, Breen LJ, Hewitt LY, et al. (2014) Public attitudes about normal and pathological grief. Death Studies 38(8), 510–516. doi:10.1080/ 07481187.2013.873839
- Price V and Neijens P (1998) Deliberative polls: Toward improved measures of "informed" public opinion? *International Journal of Public Opinion Research* 10(2), 145–176. doi:10.1093/ijpor/10.2.145
- Srinivasan EG (2019) Bereavement and the oregon death with dignity Act: How does assisted death impact grief? *Death Studies* 43(10), 647–655. doi:10.1080/07481187.2018.1511636
- Stolz E, Burkert N, Großschädl F, et al. (2015) Determinants of public attitudes towards euthanasia in adults and physician-assisted death in neonates in Austria: A national survey. PLoS ONE 10(4). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0124320
- Tabachnick BG and Fidell LS (2013) Using Multivariate Statistics, 6th ed. New York, NY: Pearson/Allyn & Bacon.
- The Federal Assembly the Swiss Confederation (2018). Swiss criminal code. Available at: https://www.admin.ch/opc/en/classified-compilation/19370083/201803010000/311.0.pdf
- Wagner B, Keller V, Knaevelsrud C, et al. (2012) Social acknowledgement as a predictor of post-traumatic stress and complicated grief after witnessing assisted suicide. International Journal of Social Psychiatry 58(4), 381–385. doi:10.1177/0020764011400791
- White B and Willmott L (2018) Future of assisted dying reform in Australia. Australian Health Review 42(6), 616–620. doi:10.1071/AH18199
- Widrick RM and Raskin JD (2010) Age-related stigma and the golden section hypothesis. Aging & Mental Health 14(4), 375–385. doi:10.1080/13607860903167846