

# Locations of interior transition layers to inhomogeneous transition problems in higher -dimensional domains

**Zhuoran Du**

School of Mathematics, Hunan University, Changsha 410082, PR China  
 (duzr@hmu.edu.cn)

(Received 15 August 2021; accepted 14 February 2022)

We consider the following inhomogeneous problems

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon^2 \operatorname{div}(a(x)\nabla u(x)) + f(x, u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a smooth and bounded domain in general dimensional space  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$  is a small parameter and function  $a$  is positive. We respectively obtain the locations of interior transition layers of the solutions of the above transition problems that are  $L^1$ -local minimizer and global minimizer of the associated energy functional.

*Keywords:* Local minimizer; interior transition layer; interface location; inhomogeneous transition problems

2020 *Mathematics subject classification* Primary: 35B25; 35J20; 35J61

## 1. Introduction

We study the following inhomogeneous transition problems

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon^2 \operatorname{div}(a(x)\nabla u(x)) + f(x, u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\Omega$  is a smooth and bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $\nu$  is the outer unit normal to  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$  is a small parameter and function  $a \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$  is positive. The nonlinear term  $f$  satisfies

- (f<sub>1</sub>)  $f(x, \cdot)$  has two zeros  $b_1(x), b_2(x)$  such that  $b_1, b_2 \in C^1(\Omega)$  and  $b_1(x) < b_2(x)$  for all  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ ;
- (f<sub>2</sub>)  $\partial_2 f(x, b_1(x)) < 0$  and  $\partial_2 f(x, b_2(x)) < 0$  for all  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ ;
- (f<sub>3</sub>) For any given  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ ,  $F(x, \cdot) \geq 0$ . The function  $\sqrt{a(\cdot)F(\cdot, \cdot)}$  is Lipschitz continuous. Here  $F(x, u) := -\int_{b_1(x)}^u f(x, \tau) d\tau$ .

© The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Royal Society of Edinburgh

A typical example of a function  $f$  satisfying  $(f_1)$ – $(f_3)$  is

$$f(x, \tau) = V(x)\tilde{f}(\tau), \tag{1.2}$$

where  $V$  is a strictly positive function and  $\tilde{f}$  has precisely three zeros  $\tilde{b}_1 < 0 < \tilde{b}_2$ , and  $\int_{\tilde{b}_1}^{\tilde{b}_2} \tilde{f}(\tau)d\tau = 0$ , moreover,  $\tilde{f}(\tau)/\tau > \tilde{f}'(\tau)(\tau \neq 0)$ .

Another typical example of a function  $f$  satisfying  $(f_1)$ – $(f_3)$  is

$$f(x, \tau) = -(\tau - b_1(x))(\tau - b(x))(\tau - b_2(x)), \tag{1.3}$$

where  $b_1(x) < b(x) < b_2(x)$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ .

The above two examples are related to inhomogeneous Allen–Cahn problem, which has its origin in the theory of phase transitions, see [5].

The corresponding energy functional of (1.1) is

$$\bar{J}_\epsilon(u) = \int_\Omega \frac{\epsilon}{2}a(x)|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon}F(x, u)dx.$$

For a smooth  $(N - 1)$ -dimensional closed hypersurface  $\Sigma$  contained in  $\Omega$ , we denote the domain enclosed by  $\Sigma$  as  $\Omega_\Sigma$ . We denote by  $\chi(A)$  the characteristic function related to set  $A$ .

DEFINITION 1.1. A family  $u_\epsilon$  of solutions to (1.1) is said to develop an interior transition layer, as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , with interface at some  $(N - 1)$ -dimensional closed hypersurface  $\Sigma_0 \subset \Omega$  if

$$u_\epsilon \rightarrow u_0 := b_1\chi(\bar{\Omega}_{\Sigma_0}) + b_2\chi(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \bar{\Omega}_{\Sigma_0}) \text{ in } L^1(\Omega) \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0. \tag{1.4}$$

We introduce the set

$$\Omega_- := \left\{ x \in \Omega : \int_{b_1(x)}^{b_2(x)} f(x, \tau)d\tau = 0 \right\}.$$

We call that  $f$  satisfies the equal-area condition at the points in  $\Omega_-$ . Note that  $\Omega_- = \{x \in \Omega : F(x, b_2(x)) = 0\}$ . It is well known that if  $\Sigma_0$  is the interface of a family of solutions to (1.1) developing interior transition layer, then  $\Sigma_0 \subset \Omega_-$  (see [12]). Plainly, if  $f$  is given by (1.2) then  $\Omega_- = \Omega$ . If  $f$  is given by (1.3), we have  $F(x, \tau) = \frac{1}{4}[(b_1(x) - b(x))^2 - (\tau - b(x))^2]^2$  for those  $x$  satisfying  $2b(x) = b_1(x) + b_2(x)$ , and so  $\Omega_- = \{x \in \Omega : b(x) = \frac{1}{2}(b_1(x) + b_2(x))\}$ . We denote  $\Omega_+ := \Omega \setminus \Omega_-$ .

The following quantity plays an important role in determining location of interior layer

$$\Lambda(x) := \int_{b_1(x)}^{b_2(x)} \sqrt{a(x)F(x, \tau)}d\tau. \tag{1.5}$$

We first recall some known results of transition layers of (1.1). For the case that  $a(x) \equiv 1$  and  $f$  is given by (1.2), in one-dimensional case, [25] shows that for an arbitrary subset of the local minimum points of  $\Lambda(x)$ , (1.1) admits a solution which has one layer near each point in the subset. Du and Gui [13] generalized the results of [25] to a two-dimensional case. Precisely, for a closed, non-degenerate geodesic

$\Sigma_0$  relative to the integral  $\int_{\Sigma} \Lambda$ , (1.1) admits a solution whose layer locates near  $\Sigma_0$ . The corresponding results in general dimensional cases are established in [15, 16, 21, 32, 33]. For the corresponding fractional Laplacian, layer solutions are constructed in [14]. For  $a(x) \equiv 1$  and  $f$  given by (1.3), there are many known existence results of transition layer solutions, see [2–4, 6–12, 17, 18, 22, 31].

To construct layer solutions of a differential equation, the information of the location of the interface of a family of solutions is obviously very important and, in general, is not an easy task to find it.

In the homogeneous case, namely  $a(x) \equiv 1$  and  $f(x, u) \equiv f(u)$ , classical theory of  $\Gamma$ -convergence developed in the 1970s and 1980s, showed a deep connection between this problem and the theory of minimal surfaces. By  $\Gamma$ -convergence theory, Modica [23] (see also [20, 24]) proved that a family  $\{u_\epsilon\}$  of local minimizers of the energy functional with uniformly bounded energy must converge as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , up to subsequences, in  $L^1$ -sense to a function of the form  $\chi_E - \chi_{E^c}$ , where  $\chi_E$  denotes characteristic function of a set  $E$ , and also that  $\partial E$  has minimal perimeter.

For the inhomogeneous case, such as  $a(x) \equiv 1$  and  $f$  is given by (1.2), in one-dimensional case, transition layers of solutions to (1.1) can appear only near extremum points of  $\Lambda(x)$  [26], and, in higher-dimensional cases, the authors in [21] establish a necessary condition for a closed hypersurface in  $\Omega$  to support layers. For  $a(x) \equiv 1$ ,  $\Omega_- = \Omega$  and general  $f$  satisfying assumptions  $(f_1)$ – $(f_3)$ , in one-dimensional case, among other things, the authors in [27] proved the existence of solutions to (1.1) with interior transition layer and that the layer occurs only near some extremum point of  $\Lambda(x)$ .

Recently, in one-dimensional domain case ( $\Omega = (0, 1)$ ), for general  $a(x)$  and  $f$  satisfying conditions  $(f_1)$ – $(f_3)$ , [28] obtains the following results.

**PROPOSITION 1.2.** *Suppose that a family  $u_\epsilon$  of solutions to (1.1) develop an interior transition layer at  $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{Q}$ , where  $\mathcal{Q} \subset \Omega_-$  is the connected component of  $\Omega_-$  that  $\bar{x}$  belongs to. Then*

- (i) *if  $u_\epsilon$  is a family of  $L^1$ -local minimizer of  $\hat{J}_\epsilon$ ,  $\bar{x}$  is a local minimum point of  $\Lambda(x)$  in  $\mathcal{Q}$ , where*

$$\hat{J}_\epsilon(u) := \begin{cases} \bar{J}_\epsilon(u), & u \in H^1(0, 1), \\ \infty, & u \in L^1(0, 1) \setminus H^1(0, 1). \end{cases}$$

- (ii) *if  $u_\epsilon$  is a family of global minimizer of  $\bar{J}_\epsilon$ ,  $\Lambda(\bar{x}) = \min\{\Lambda(x) : x \in \mathcal{Q}\}$ .*

What is the location of interior transition layer of minimizer ( $L^1$ -local or global) of the associated functional in general dimensional space? We will give a definite answer in this paper.

For small positive constant  $\delta_0$ , we define

$$S := \{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, \Sigma_0) < 2\delta_0\}, \quad \Upsilon := [-2\delta_0, 2\delta_0].$$

We parameterize elements  $x \in S$  using their closest point  $z$  in  $\Sigma_0$  and their distance  $t$  (with sign, negative in the dilation of  $\Omega_{\Sigma_0}$ ). Precisely, we choose a system of coordinates  $z$  on  $\Sigma_0$ , and denote by  $\mathbf{n}(z)$  the unique unit normal vector to  $\Sigma_0$

(at the point with coordinates  $z$ ) pointing towards  $\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_0}$ . Define the diffeomorphism  $\Gamma : \Sigma_0 \times \Upsilon \rightarrow S$  by

$$\Gamma(z, t) = z + t\mathbf{n}(z).$$

We let the upper-case indices  $I, J, \dots$  run from 1 to  $N$ , and the lower-case indices  $i, j, \dots$  run from 1 to  $N - 1$ . Using some local coordinates  $(z_i)_{i=1, \dots, N-1}$  on  $\Sigma_0$ , and letting  $\varphi$  be the corresponding immersion into  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial z_i}(z, t) = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z_i}(z) + t\kappa_i^j(z) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z_j}(z) & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, N - 1, \\ \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial t}(z, t) = \mathbf{n}(z), \end{cases}$$

where  $(\kappa_i^j)$  are the coefficients of the mean-curvature operator on  $\Sigma_0$ . Let also  $(\bar{g}_{ij})_{ij}$  be the coefficients of the metric on  $\Sigma_0$  in the above coordinates  $z$ . Then, letting  $g$  denote the metric on  $\Omega$  induced by  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , we have

$$g_{IJ} = \begin{pmatrix} \{g_{ij}\} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ij} &= \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z_i}(z) + t\kappa_i^m(z) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z_m}(z), \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z_j}(z) + t\kappa_j^n(z) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z_n}(z) \right) \\ &= \bar{g}_{ij} + t(\kappa_i^m \bar{g}_{mj} + \kappa_j^n \bar{g}_{in}) + t^2 \kappa_i^m \kappa_j^n \bar{g}_{mn}. \end{aligned}$$

We have, formally

$$\det g = \det \bar{g}[1 + t\text{Tr}(\bar{g}^{-1}\alpha)] + o(t),$$

where

$$\alpha_{ij} = \kappa_i^m \bar{g}_{mj} + \kappa_j^n \bar{g}_{in}.$$

There holds

$$(\bar{g}^{-1}\alpha)_{il} = \bar{g}^{lj} \alpha_{ij} = \bar{g}^{lj} (\kappa_i^m \bar{g}_{mj} + \kappa_j^n \bar{g}_{in}),$$

and hence

$$\text{Tr}(\bar{g}^{-1}\alpha) = \bar{g}^{ij} (\kappa_i^m \bar{g}_{mj} + \kappa_j^n \bar{g}_{in}) = 2\bar{g}^{ij} \kappa_i^m \bar{g}_{mj} = 2\kappa_i^i.$$

We recall that the quantity  $\kappa_i^i$  represents the mean curvature of  $\Sigma_0$ , we abbreviate  $\kappa_i^i$  as  $\kappa$ , and in particular it is independent of the choice of coordinates.

We have

$$dV_g = \sqrt{\det g} dz dt = (1 + t\kappa + o(t))\sqrt{\det \bar{g}} dz dt = (1 + t\kappa + o(t))dV_{\bar{g}} dt.$$

For  $h$  satisfying  $\|h\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_0)} \leq 2\delta_0$ , we define the perturbed closed  $(N - 1)$ -dimensional hypersurface of  $\Sigma_0$  as

$$\Sigma_h := \{\Gamma(z, h(z)) : z \in \Sigma_0\}.$$

We also introduce

$$J_\epsilon(u) = \begin{cases} \bar{J}_\epsilon(u), & u \in H^1(\Omega), \\ \infty, & u \in L^1(\Omega) \setminus H^1(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

We call that  $u_\epsilon$  is a  $L^1$ -local minimizer of  $J_\epsilon$  if there exists  $\mu > 0$  such that  $J_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) \leq J_\epsilon(u)$  for any  $u$  satisfying  $\|u_\epsilon - u\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \mu$ . Each  $L^1$ -local minimizer of  $J_\epsilon$  is a  $H^1$ -local minimizer of  $\bar{J}_\epsilon$  as well, that is to say, it is a weak solution of (1.1). By the theory of regularity, it is a classical solution of (1.1).

Our main results are the followings.

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Suppose that a family  $u_\epsilon$  of solutions to (1.1) develop an interior transition layer at  $\Sigma_0 \subset \mathcal{Q}$ , where  $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \Omega_-$  is the connected component of  $\Omega_-$  that  $\Sigma_0$  belongs to. If  $u_\epsilon$  is a family of  $L^1$ -local minimizer of  $J_\epsilon$ , then  $\Sigma_0$  is a ‘local minimum’ surface of  $\int_{\Sigma_h} \Lambda(x)$  in  $\mathcal{Q}$  in the sense that there exists a  $0 < \sigma (\leq 2\delta_0)$  such that*

$$\int_{\Sigma_0} \Lambda = \min \left\{ \int_{\Sigma_h} \Lambda : \|h\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_0)} \leq \sigma \text{ and } \|\nabla_{\bar{g}} h\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_0)} = o(\epsilon^{1/4}) \right\}.$$

**THEOREM 1.4.** *Besides the conditions of theorem 1.3, furthermore if  $u_\epsilon$  is a family of global minimizer of  $\bar{J}_\epsilon$ , then*

$$\int_{\Sigma_0} \Lambda = \min \left\{ \int_{\Sigma} \Lambda : \text{for any closed smooth } (N - 1)\text{-dimensional nontrivial surface } \Sigma \subset \mathcal{Q} \text{ with } \Omega_+ \setminus \Omega_\Sigma = \Omega_+ \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_0} \right\}.$$

**REMARK 1.5.** If  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a simply connected domain, then, for any closed  $(N - 1)$ -dimensional surface  $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{Q}$ , both  $\Omega_+ \setminus \Omega_\Sigma$  and  $\Omega_+ \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_0}$  are equal to  $\Omega_+$ , so the result of theorem 1.4 becomes

$$\int_{\Sigma_0} \Lambda = \min \left\{ \int_{\Sigma} \Lambda : \text{for any closed smooth nontrivial surface } \Sigma \subset \mathcal{Q} \right\}.$$

## 2. Preliminaries

We first recall definition of functions with bounded variation and a property to be used. The interested reader is referred to [19].

DEFINITION 2.1. A function  $\phi \in L^1(\Omega)$  is said to have bounded variation in  $\Omega$  if

$$\int_{\Omega} |D\phi| := \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \phi \operatorname{div} v dx : v = (v_1, \dots, v_N) \in C_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N), \right. \\ \left. |v(x)| \leq 1 \text{ for } x \in \Omega \right\} < \infty.$$

We define  $BV(\Omega)$  as the space of all functions in  $L^1(\Omega)$  with bounded variation. If  $\phi \in BV(\Omega)$ , then for any positive continuous function  $v$ , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} v(x) |D\phi| = \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \phi \operatorname{div} w dx : w = (w_1, \dots, w_N) \in C_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N), \right. \\ \left. |w(x)| \leq v(x) \text{ for } x \in \Omega \right\}. \tag{2.1}$$

Consider the following initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{\tau} W(x, \tau) = \sqrt{\frac{2F(x, W(x, \tau))}{a(x)}}, \\ W(x, 0) = W_0(x), \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

where  $W_0 \in C^1(\mathcal{Q})$  satisfies  $b_1(x) \leq W_0(x) \leq b_2(x)$  for  $x \in \mathcal{Q}$ . This problem admits a unique solution  $W(x, \tau)$  in  $\mathcal{Q} \times \mathbb{R}$  and

$$b_1(x) \leq W(x, \tau) \leq b_2(x), \quad \forall (x, \tau) \in \mathcal{Q} \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Moreover,  $|\nabla_x W(x, \tau)| \in L^{\infty}(\mathcal{Q} \times \mathbb{R})$  and  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow -\infty} W(x, \tau) = b_1(x)$ ,  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} W(x, \tau) = b_2(x)$ . More precisely, there exists positive constants  $q, \alpha$  depending on  $F$  such that

- (W<sub>1</sub>) for  $\tau$  large enough,  $|W(x, \tau) - b_2(x)| \leq qe^{-\alpha\tau}$ ;
- (W<sub>2</sub>) for  $-\tau$  large enough,  $|W(x, \tau) - b_1(x)| \leq qe^{\alpha\tau}$ .

The above properties of  $W$  can be seen in [29] (see also [1, 30]).

### 3. Local minimum

We first establish a lower bound for  $J_{\epsilon}(u_{\epsilon})$ .

LEMMA 3.1. Suppose that a family  $u_{\epsilon}$  of solutions to (1.1) develop an interior transition layer at  $\Sigma_0 \subset \mathcal{Q}$ , where  $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \Omega_-$  is the connected component of  $\Omega_-$ . If  $u_{\epsilon}$  is a family of  $L^1$ -local minimizer of  $J_{\epsilon}$ , then

$$J_{\epsilon}(u_{\epsilon}) \geq \sqrt{2} \int_{\Sigma_0} \Lambda dV_{\bar{g}} + \int_{\Omega_+ \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_0}} \frac{1}{\epsilon} F(x, b_2(x)) dx + o(1), \tag{3.1}$$

where  $o(1)$  means a quantity with limit 0 as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

*Proof.* First we assume that  $\mathcal{Q}$  is simply connected. We have

$$\begin{aligned} J_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) &= J_\epsilon(u_\epsilon, \Omega_+) + J_\epsilon(u_\epsilon, \Omega_-) \geq J_\epsilon(u_\epsilon, \Omega_+) + J_\epsilon(u_\epsilon, \mathcal{Q}) \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega_+} \frac{1}{\epsilon} F(x, b_2(x)) dx + J_\epsilon(u_\epsilon, \mathcal{Q}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Set

$$\mathbb{U} := \{v \in C_0^1(\mathcal{Q}, \mathbb{R}^N) : |v(x)| \leq 1\},$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_\epsilon(u_\epsilon, \mathcal{Q}) &= \int_{\mathcal{Q}} \frac{\epsilon}{2} a(x) |\nabla u_\epsilon|^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} F(x, u_\epsilon) dx \\ &\geq \sqrt{2} \int_{\mathcal{Q}} |\nabla u_\epsilon| \sqrt{a(x) F(x, u_\epsilon)} \\ &= \sup_{v \in \mathbb{U}} \left\{ \sqrt{2} \int_{\mathcal{Q}} \nabla u_\epsilon \cdot v \sqrt{a(x) F(x, u_\epsilon)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If we denote

$$\psi_\epsilon(x) := \int_{b_1(x)}^{u_\epsilon(x)} \sqrt{a(x) F(x, \tau)} d\tau,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} &J_\epsilon(u_\epsilon, \mathcal{Q}) \\ &\geq \sup_{v \in \mathbb{U}} \left\{ \sqrt{2} \int_{\mathcal{Q}} \left[ \nabla \psi_\epsilon \cdot v - \int_{b_1(x)}^{u_\epsilon(x)} \nabla \left( \sqrt{a(x) F(x, \tau)} \right) \cdot v d\tau \right] dx \right\} \\ &= \sup_{v \in \mathbb{U}} \left\{ -\sqrt{2} \int_{\mathcal{Q}} \int_{b_1(x)}^{u_\epsilon(x)} \left[ \sqrt{a(x) F(x, \tau)} \operatorname{div} v \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \nabla \left( \sqrt{a(x) F(x, \tau)} \right) \cdot v \right] d\tau dx \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the limit  $u_\epsilon \rightarrow u_0$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$  and the  $L^\infty$  boundedness of the several quantities  $\sqrt{a(x) F(x, \tau)}$ ,  $\nabla(\sqrt{a(x) F(x, \tau)})$ ,  $v$ ,  $\operatorname{div} v$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} J_\epsilon(u_\epsilon, \mathcal{Q}) \\ &\geq \sup_{v \in \mathbb{U}} \left\{ -\sqrt{2} \int_{\mathcal{Q}} \int_{b_1(x)}^{u_0(x)} \left[ \sqrt{a(x) F(x, \tau)} \operatorname{div} v \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \nabla \left( \sqrt{a(x) F(x, \tau)} \right) \cdot v \right] d\tau dx \right\} \\ &= \sup_{v \in \mathbb{U}} \left\{ -\sqrt{2} \int_{\mathcal{Q}} \chi(u_0(x) = b_2(x)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \int_{b_1(x)}^{b_2(x)} \left[ \sqrt{a(x) F(x, \tau)} \operatorname{div} v + \nabla \left( \sqrt{a(x) F(x, \tau)} \right) \cdot v \right] d\tau dx \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sup_{v \in \mathbb{U}} \left\{ -\sqrt{2} \int_{\mathcal{Q}} \chi(u_0(x) = b_2(x)) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times \operatorname{div} \left[ \int_{b_1(x)}^{b_2(x)} \sqrt{a(x)F(x, \tau)} v d\tau \right] dx \right\} \\
 &= \sqrt{2} \int_{\mathcal{Q}} \int_{b_1(x)}^{b_2(x)} |\nabla \chi(u_0(x) = b_2(x))| \sqrt{a(x)F(x, \tau)} d\tau dx \\
 &= \sqrt{2} \int_{\Sigma_0} \int_{b_1(x)}^{b_2(x)} \sqrt{a(x)F(x, \tau)} d\tau dV_{\bar{g}} \\
 &= \sqrt{2} \int_{\Sigma_0} \Lambda(x) dV_{\bar{g}}. \tag{3.3}
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\Omega_+ \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_0} = \Omega_+$ , since  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a simply connected domain. From this and (3.2), (3.3), we obtain (3.1).

For the case that  $\mathcal{Q}$  is multiply connected, (3.2) becomes

$$J_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) \geq \int_{\Omega_+ \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_0}} \frac{1}{\epsilon} F(x, b_2(x)) dx + J_\epsilon(u_\epsilon, \mathcal{Q}),$$

and the same argument as that of the simply connected domain case gives the desired inequality (3.1). □

We further establish an upper bound for  $J_\epsilon(u_\epsilon)$ .

**LEMMA 3.2.** *Under the conditions of lemma 3.1, then for any  $h$  satisfying  $\|h\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_0)} \leq \sigma$  for some  $\sigma \leq 2\delta_0$  and  $\|\nabla_{\bar{g}} h\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_0)} = o(\epsilon^{1/4})$ , we have*

$$J_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) \leq \sqrt{2} \int_{\Sigma_h} \Lambda dV_{\bar{g}} + \int_{\Omega_+ \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_0}} \frac{1}{\epsilon} F(x, b_2(x)) dx + o(1). \tag{3.4}$$

*Proof.* First we also assume that  $\mathcal{Q}$  is simply connected. We borrow the idea of [30] (see also [28]) to define a sequence of functions  $b_\epsilon(z, t; \tau) : \Sigma_0 \times \Upsilon \times \Upsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$b_\epsilon(z, t; \tau) = \begin{cases} b_2(z, t), & 2\sqrt{\epsilon} \leq \tau < 2\delta_0, \\ [b_2(z, t) - W(z, t; 1/\sqrt{\epsilon})] \frac{\tau - 2\sqrt{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} + b_2(z, t), & \sqrt{\epsilon} < \tau < 2\sqrt{\epsilon}, \\ W(z, t; \tau/\epsilon), & |\tau| \leq \sqrt{\epsilon}, \\ [W(z, t; -1/\sqrt{\epsilon}) - b_1(z, t)] \frac{\tau + 2\sqrt{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} + b_1(z, t), & -2\sqrt{\epsilon} < \tau < -\sqrt{\epsilon}, \\ b_1(z, t), & -2\delta_0 < \tau \leq -2\sqrt{\epsilon}, \end{cases}$$

where  $W$  is the solution of (2.2). Given  $h$  satisfying  $\|h\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_0)} \leq \sigma$  and  $\|\nabla_{\bar{g}} h\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_0)} = o(\epsilon^{1/4})$ , we define  $\rho_\epsilon : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\rho_\epsilon(x) = \begin{cases} b_2(x), & x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{\delta_0}}, \\ b_\epsilon(z, t; t - h(z)), & x = \varphi(z) + \mathbf{t}\mathbf{n}(z) \in \Omega_{\Sigma_{\delta_0}} \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{-\delta_0}}, \\ b_1(x), & x \in \Omega_{\Sigma_{-\delta_0}}. \end{cases}$$



Claim: For any given  $\mu > 0$ , there exist  $\epsilon_0(\mu) > 0$  and  $\sigma(\mu) > 0$ , such that for all  $\epsilon < \epsilon_0$  we have  $\|u_\epsilon - \rho_\epsilon\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \mu$ .

Indeed, if we introduce

$$\rho_0 := b_1\chi(\bar{\Omega}_{\Sigma_h}) + b_2\chi(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \bar{\Omega}_{\Sigma_h}),$$

then we know that there exists  $\sigma(\mu)$  less than  $2\delta_0$  such that for  $\|h\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_0)} \leq \sigma(\mu)$ , the following inequality holds  $\|u_0 - \rho_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} < \frac{\mu}{2}$ . Hence, to prove the claim it is only need to show that  $\rho_\epsilon \rightarrow \rho_0$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

By the definitions of  $\rho_\epsilon$  and  $\rho_0$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\rho_\epsilon(x) - \rho_0(x)| dx &= \int_{\Omega_{\Sigma_{h+2\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h-2\sqrt{\epsilon}}}} |\rho_\epsilon(x) - \rho_0(x)| dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega_{\Sigma_{h+2\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h+\sqrt{\epsilon}}}} |\rho_\epsilon(x) - \rho_0(x)| + \int_{\Omega_{\Sigma_{h-\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h-2\sqrt{\epsilon}}}} |\rho_\epsilon(x) - \rho_0(x)| \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega_{\Sigma_{h+\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h-\sqrt{\epsilon}}}} |\rho_\epsilon(x) - \rho_0(x)| dx. \end{aligned}$$

For the first integral of the right hand side we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega_{\Sigma_{h+2\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h+\sqrt{\epsilon}}}} |\rho_\epsilon(x) - \rho_0(x)| dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_{\sqrt{\epsilon}}^{2\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_{\Sigma_0} [b_2(z, h(z) + \mu) - W(z, h(z) + \mu; 1/\sqrt{\epsilon})] \\ &\quad \times |\mu - 2\sqrt{\epsilon}| [1 + (h(z) + \mu)\kappa + o(h(z) + \mu)] dV_{\bar{g}} d\mu \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_{\sqrt{\epsilon}}^{2\sqrt{\epsilon}} (2\sqrt{\epsilon} - \mu) d\mu \\ &= O(\sqrt{\epsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

Analogously,

$$\int_{\Omega_{\Sigma_{h-\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h-2\sqrt{\epsilon}}}} |\rho_\epsilon(x) - \rho_0(x)| dx = O(\sqrt{\epsilon}).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega_{\Sigma_{h+\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h-\sqrt{\epsilon}}}} |\rho_\epsilon(x) - \rho_0(x)| dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega_{\Sigma_{h+\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_h}} |\rho_\epsilon(x) - \rho_0(x)| dx + \int_{\Omega_{\Sigma_h} \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h-\sqrt{\epsilon}}}} |\rho_\epsilon(x) - \rho_0(x)| dx \\ &= \int_0^{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_{\Sigma_{h+\mu}} |b_2(z, h(z) + \mu) - W(z, h(z) + \mu; \mu/\epsilon)| dV_{\bar{g}} d\mu \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ \int_{-\sqrt{\epsilon}}^0 \int_{\Sigma_{h+\mu}} |b_1(z, h(z) + \mu) - W(z, h(z) + \mu; \mu/\epsilon)| dV_{\bar{g}} d\mu \\
 &= O(\sqrt{\epsilon}).
 \end{aligned}$$

All in all we obtain that  $\rho_\epsilon \rightarrow \rho_0$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

We decompose

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_\epsilon(\rho_\epsilon) &= J_\epsilon(\rho_\epsilon, \Omega \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h+2\sqrt{\epsilon}}}) + J_\epsilon(\rho_\epsilon, \Omega_{\Sigma_{h+2\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h+\sqrt{\epsilon}}}) \\
 &\quad + J_\epsilon(\rho_\epsilon, \Omega_{\Sigma_{h+\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h-\sqrt{\epsilon}}}) + J_\epsilon(\rho_\epsilon, \Omega_{\Sigma_{h-\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h-2\sqrt{\epsilon}}}) \\
 &\quad + J_\epsilon(\rho_\epsilon, \Omega_{\Sigma_{h-2\sqrt{\epsilon}}}).
 \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of  $\rho_\epsilon$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_\epsilon(\rho_\epsilon, \Omega \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h+2\sqrt{\epsilon}}}) &= \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h+2\sqrt{\epsilon}}}} \frac{\epsilon}{2} a(x) |\nabla b_2|^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} F(x, b_2(x)) dx \\
 &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h+2\sqrt{\epsilon}}}} F(x, b_2(x)) dx + O(\epsilon) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega_+} F(x, b_2(x)) dx + O(\epsilon), \tag{3.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we used the facts that  $F(x, b_2(x)) = 0$  in  $\Omega_-$ , and  $\Omega_+ \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h+2\sqrt{\epsilon}}} = \Omega_+$  in virtue of the simply connectedness of  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

Similarly, we have

$$J_\epsilon(\rho_\epsilon, \Omega_{\Sigma_{h-2\sqrt{\epsilon}}}) = O(\epsilon). \tag{3.6}$$

We have

$$J_\epsilon(\rho_\epsilon, \Omega_{\Sigma_{h+2\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h+\sqrt{\epsilon}}}) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega_{\Sigma_{h+2\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h+\sqrt{\epsilon}}}} F(x, \rho_\epsilon(x)) dx + o(\epsilon).$$

Recalling that  $F(x, b_2(x)) = 0 = F_u(x, b_2(x))$  and  $F_{uu}(x, b_2(x)) > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega_{\Sigma_{h+2\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h+\sqrt{\epsilon}}}} F(x, \rho_\epsilon(x)) dx \\
 &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\sqrt{\epsilon}}^{2\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_{\Sigma_{h+\mu}} F\left(z, h(z) + \mu, \left[b_2(z, h + \mu) - W\left(z, h + \mu; \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}\right)\right]\right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times \frac{\mu - 2\sqrt{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} + b_2(z, h + \mu)\right) dV_{\bar{g}} d\mu \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\sqrt{\epsilon}}^{2\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_{\Sigma_{h+\mu}} F\left(z, h + \mu, qe^{-\alpha/\sqrt{\epsilon}} + b_2(z, h + \mu)\right) dV_{\bar{g}} d\mu \\
 &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\sqrt{\epsilon}}^{2\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_{\Sigma_{h+\mu}} \left[F\left(z, h + \mu, qe^{-\alpha/\sqrt{\epsilon}} + b_2(z, h + \mu)\right)\right. \\
 &\quad \left.- F(z, h + \mu, b_2(z, h + \mu))\right] dV_{\bar{g}} d\mu
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\sqrt{\epsilon}}^{2\sqrt{\epsilon}} e^{-\alpha/\sqrt{\epsilon}} \gamma_\epsilon d\mu \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon}}{\epsilon} e^{-\alpha/\sqrt{\epsilon}} \gamma_\epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_\epsilon := q_1 \sup \left\{ \int_{\Sigma_t} F_u(z, t, \tau) dV_{\bar{g}} : \right. \\ \left. h(z) + \sqrt{\epsilon} < t < h(z) + 2\sqrt{\epsilon}, b_2(z, t) < \tau < b_2(z, t) + qe^{-\alpha/\sqrt{\epsilon}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\gamma_\epsilon$  is uniformly bounded in  $\epsilon$ . Therefore we have

$$J_\epsilon(\rho_\epsilon, \Omega_{\Sigma_{h+2\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h+\sqrt{\epsilon}}}) = o(\epsilon). \tag{3.7}$$

Similarly we have

$$J_\epsilon(\rho_\epsilon, \Omega_{\Sigma_{h-\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h-2\sqrt{\epsilon}}}) = o(\epsilon). \tag{3.8}$$

Finally, we consider the integral  $J_\epsilon(\rho_\epsilon, \Omega_{\Sigma_{h+\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h-\sqrt{\epsilon}}})$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} &J_\epsilon(\rho_\epsilon, \Omega_{\Sigma_{h+\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h-\sqrt{\epsilon}}}) \\ &= \int_{\Omega_{\Sigma_{h+\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h-\sqrt{\epsilon}}}} \frac{\epsilon}{2} a \left| \nabla_g W \left( z, t, \frac{t-h(z)}{\epsilon} \right) \right|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\epsilon} F \left( z, t, W \left( z, t, \frac{t-h(z)}{\epsilon} \right) \right) dV_{\bar{g}} dt \\ &= \int_{\Omega_{\Sigma_{h+\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h-\sqrt{\epsilon}}}} \frac{\epsilon}{2} a \left\{ \left| \nabla_{\bar{g}} W \left( z, t, \frac{t-h(z)}{\epsilon} \right) \right|^2 (1 + O(t)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[ \partial_2 W + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \partial_3 W \right]^2 \right\} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} F \left( z, t, W \left( z, t, \frac{t-h(z)}{\epsilon} \right) \right) dV_{\bar{g}} dt, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the formula  $|\nabla_g v(z, t)|^2 = |\nabla_{\bar{g}} v(z, t)|^2 (1 + O(t)) + (\partial_t v(z, t))^2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} &J_\epsilon(\rho_\epsilon, \Omega_{\Sigma_{h+\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h-\sqrt{\epsilon}}}) \\ &= \int_{\Omega_{\Sigma_{h+\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h-\sqrt{\epsilon}}}} \frac{\epsilon}{2} a(z, t) \left\{ \left| \nabla_{\bar{g}} W \left( z, t, \frac{t-h(z)}{\epsilon} \right) \right|^2 (1 + O(t)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[ \partial_2 W + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sqrt{\frac{2F \left( z, t, W \left( z, t, \frac{t-h(z)}{\epsilon} \right) \right)}{a(z, t)}} \right]^2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{1}{\epsilon} F \left( z, t, W \left( z, t, \frac{t - h(z)}{\epsilon} \right) \right) dV_{\bar{g}} dt \\
 = & \int_{\Omega_{\Sigma_{h+\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h-\sqrt{\epsilon}}}} \frac{1}{2} \epsilon a \left[ \left| \nabla_{\bar{g}} W \left( z, t, \frac{t - h(z)}{\epsilon} \right) \right|^2 (1 + O(t)) + (\partial_2 W)^2 \right] \\
 & + \partial_2 W \sqrt{2aF \left( z, t, W \left( z, t, \frac{t - h(z)}{\epsilon} \right) \right)} \\
 & + \frac{2}{\epsilon} F \left( z, t, W \left( z, t, \frac{t - h(z)}{\epsilon} \right) \right) dV_{\bar{g}} dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\int_{\Omega_{\Sigma_{h+\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h-\sqrt{\epsilon}}}} \frac{1}{2} \epsilon a \left[ \left| \nabla_{\bar{g}} W \left( z, t, \frac{t - h(z)}{\epsilon} \right) \right|^2 (1 + O(t)) + (\partial_2 W)^2 \right] = o(1),$$

in virtue of the properties of the solution  $W$  of (2.2) and the fact that  $\|\nabla_{\bar{g}} h\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_0)} = o(\epsilon^{1/4})$ . The term  $\partial_2 W \sqrt{2aF}$  is bounded in  $\Omega_{\Sigma_{h+\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h-\sqrt{\epsilon}}}$ . Now, letting  $\mu = (t - h(z))/\epsilon$  and so  $t = t(z, \mu) = h(z) + \epsilon\mu$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & J_\epsilon(\rho_\epsilon, \Omega_{\Sigma_{h+\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h-\sqrt{\epsilon}}}) \\
 & = \int_{\Omega_{\Sigma_{h+\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h-\sqrt{\epsilon}}}} \frac{2}{\epsilon} F \left( z, t, W \left( z, t, \frac{t - h(z)}{\epsilon} \right) \right) dV_{\bar{g}} dt + o(1) \\
 & = \int_{-1/\sqrt{\epsilon}}^{1/\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_{\Sigma_0} 2F(z, h(z) + \epsilon\mu, W(z, h(z) + \epsilon\mu, \mu)) \\
 & \quad \times (1 + (h(z) + \epsilon\mu)\kappa + o(h(z) + \epsilon\mu)) dV_{\bar{g}} d\mu + o(1). \tag{3.9}
 \end{aligned}$$

One has

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{d\mu} \int_{\Sigma_0} \int_{b_1(z, h+\epsilon\mu)}^{W(z, h+\epsilon\mu; \mu)} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} a(z, h + \epsilon\mu) F(z, h(z) + \epsilon\mu, \tau)} \\
 & \quad \times (1 + (h(z) + \epsilon\mu)\kappa + o(h(z) + \epsilon\mu)) d\tau dV_{\bar{g}} \\
 & = \int_{\Sigma_0} \int_{b_1(z, h+\epsilon\mu)}^{W(z, h+\epsilon\mu; \mu)} \frac{d}{d\mu} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} a(z, h + \epsilon\mu) F(z, h(z) + \epsilon\mu, \tau)} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \times (1 + (h(z) + \epsilon\mu)\kappa + o(h(z) + \epsilon\mu)) \right] d\tau dV_{\bar{g}} \\
 & \quad + \int_{\Sigma_0} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} a(z, h + \epsilon\mu) F(z, h(z) + \epsilon\mu, W(z, h + \epsilon\mu; \mu))} \\
 & \quad \times (\epsilon \partial_2 W + \partial_3 W) (1 + (h(z) + \epsilon\mu)\kappa + o(h(z) + \epsilon\mu)) dV_{\bar{g}} \tag{3.10}
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}a(z, h + \epsilon\mu)F(z, h(z) + \epsilon\mu, W(z, h + \epsilon\mu; \mu))\partial_3W(z, h + \epsilon\mu; \mu)} \\ &= F(z, h(z) + \epsilon\mu, W(z, h + \epsilon\mu; \mu)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

By (3.10) and (3.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-1/\sqrt{\epsilon}}^{1/\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_{\Sigma_0} 2F(z, h(z) + \epsilon\mu, W(z, h(z) + \epsilon\mu, \mu)) \\ & \quad \times (1 + (h(z) + \epsilon\mu)\kappa + o(h(z) + \epsilon\mu))dV_{\bar{g}}d\mu \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_0} \int_{b_1(z, h+\sqrt{\epsilon})}^{W(z, h+\sqrt{\epsilon}; 1/\sqrt{\epsilon})} \sqrt{2a(z, h + \sqrt{\epsilon})F(z, h(z) + \sqrt{\epsilon}, \tau)} \\ & \quad \times (1 + (h(z) + \sqrt{\epsilon})\kappa + o(h(z) + \sqrt{\epsilon}))d\tau dV_{\bar{g}} \\ & \quad - \int_{\Sigma_0} \int_{b_1(z, h-\sqrt{\epsilon})}^{W(z, h-\sqrt{\epsilon}; -1/\sqrt{\epsilon})} \sqrt{2a(z, h - \sqrt{\epsilon})F(z, h(z) - \sqrt{\epsilon}, \tau)} \\ & \quad \times (1 + (h(z) - \sqrt{\epsilon})\kappa + o(h(z) - \sqrt{\epsilon}))d\tau dV_{\bar{g}} \\ & \quad - 2 \int_{-1/\sqrt{\epsilon}}^{1/\sqrt{\epsilon}} I_{1,\epsilon}d\mu - 2 \int_{-1/\sqrt{\epsilon}}^{1/\sqrt{\epsilon}} I_{2,\epsilon}d\mu, \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1,\epsilon} &= \int_{\Sigma_0} \int_{b_1(z, h+\epsilon\mu)}^{W(z, h+\epsilon\mu; \mu)} \frac{d}{d\mu} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}a(z, h + \epsilon\mu)F(z, h(z) + \epsilon\mu, \tau)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times (1 + (h(z) + \epsilon\mu)\kappa + o(h(z) + \epsilon\mu)) \right] d\tau dV_{\bar{g}}, \\ I_{2,\epsilon} &= \epsilon \int_{\Sigma_0} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}a(z, h + \epsilon\mu)F(z, h(z) + \epsilon\mu, W(z, h + \epsilon\mu; \mu))\partial_2W} \\ & \quad \times (1 + (h(z) + \epsilon\mu)\kappa + o(h(z) + \epsilon\mu))dV_{\bar{g}}. \end{aligned}$$

Plainly

$$\int_{-1/\sqrt{\epsilon}}^{1/\sqrt{\epsilon}} I_{2,\epsilon}d\mu = O(\sqrt{\epsilon}). \tag{3.13}$$

Recalling  $t = t(z, \mu) = h(z) + \epsilon\mu$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{d\mu} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}a(z, h + \epsilon\mu)F(z, h(z) + \epsilon\mu, \tau)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times (1 + (h(z) + \epsilon\mu)\kappa + o(h(z) + \epsilon\mu)) \right] \\ &= \epsilon \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}a(z, t)F(z, t, \tau)}(1 + t\kappa + o(t)) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_{-1/\sqrt{\epsilon}}^{1/\sqrt{\epsilon}} I_{1,\epsilon} d\mu = O(\sqrt{\epsilon}). \tag{3.14}$$

From (3.9), (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} J_\epsilon(\rho_\epsilon, \Omega_{\Sigma_{h+\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h-\sqrt{\epsilon}}}) \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_0} \int_{b_1(z,h(z))}^{b_2(z,h(z))} \sqrt{2a(z,h)F(z,h(z),\tau)(1+h(z)\kappa + o(h(z)))} d\tau dV_{\bar{g}} \\ &= \sqrt{2} \int_{\Sigma_0} \Lambda(z,h(z))(1+h(z)\kappa + o(h(z))) dV_{\bar{g}} \\ &= \sqrt{2} \int_{\Sigma_h} \Lambda dV_{\bar{g}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Combining the above claim and the assumption that  $u_\epsilon$  is a family of  $L^1$ -local minimizer of  $J_\epsilon$ , we obtain

$$J_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) \leq J_\epsilon(\rho_\epsilon). \tag{3.16}$$

The upper bound estimate (3.4) follows from (3.16), (3.5), (3.6), (3.7), (3.8) and (3.15), where the relation  $\Omega_+ \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_0} = \Omega_+$  is used again, since  $\mathcal{Q}$  is simply connected.

For the case that  $\mathcal{Q}$  is multiply connected, (3.5) becomes

$$J_\epsilon(\rho_\epsilon, \Omega \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h+2\sqrt{\epsilon}}}) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega_+ \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_0}} F(x, b_2(x)) dx + O(\epsilon),$$

and the same argument as that of the simply connected domain case gives the upper bound estimate (3.4). □

*Proof of theorem 1.3.* Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 give the desired results of theorem 1.3 after a simple proof by contradiction. □

#### 4. Global minimum

Given another smooth closed hypersurface  $\tilde{\Sigma} \subset \mathcal{Q}$ , similarly as the geometric ground in § 1, for some  $\tilde{\delta} > 0$ , we define

$$\tilde{S} = \{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, \tilde{\Sigma}) < 2\tilde{\delta}\}, \quad \tilde{\Upsilon} = [-2\tilde{\delta}, 2\tilde{\delta}].$$

We parameterize elements  $x \in \tilde{S}$  using their closest point  $z$  in  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  and their distance  $t$ . Define the diffeomorphism  $\tilde{\Gamma} : \tilde{\Sigma} \times \tilde{\Upsilon} \rightarrow \tilde{S}$  by

$$\tilde{\Gamma}(z, t) = z + t\tilde{\mathbf{n}}(z).$$

Letting  $\tilde{\varphi}$  be the corresponding immersion into  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \tilde{\Gamma}}{\partial z_i}(z, t) = \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial z_i}(z) + t\tilde{\kappa}_i^j(z) \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial z_j}(z) & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, N-1, \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{\Gamma}}{\partial t}(z, t) = \tilde{\mathbf{n}}(z). \end{cases}$$

Let also  $(\bar{g}_{ij})_{ij}$  be the coefficients of the metric on  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  in the above coordinates  $z$ . Then, letting  $\tilde{g}$  denote the metric on  $\Omega$  induced by  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , we have

$$\tilde{g}_{IJ} = \begin{pmatrix} \{\tilde{g}_{ij}\} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\tilde{g}_{ij} = \bar{g}_{ij} + t(\tilde{\kappa}_i^m \bar{g}_{mj} + \tilde{\kappa}_j^n \bar{g}_{in}) + t^2 \tilde{\kappa}_i^m \tilde{\kappa}_j^n \bar{g}_{mn}.$$

We have also

$$\det \tilde{g} = \det \bar{g}[1 + 2t\tilde{\kappa}_i^i] + o(t) =: \det \bar{g}[1 + 2t\tilde{\kappa}] + o(t),$$

and

$$dV_{\tilde{g}} = \sqrt{\det \tilde{g}} dz dt = (1 + t\tilde{\kappa} + o(t))\sqrt{\det \bar{g}} dz dt = (1 + t\tilde{\kappa} + o(t))dV_{\bar{g}} dt.$$

For  $h$  satisfying  $\|h\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{\Sigma})} \leq 2\tilde{\delta}$ , we define the perturbed closed hypersurface

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_h := \{\tilde{\Gamma}(z, h(z)) : z \in \tilde{\Sigma}\}.$$

LEMMA 4.1. *Assume that  $u_\epsilon$  is a family of global minimizer of  $\bar{J}_\epsilon$ , we have*

$$\bar{J}_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) \leq \sqrt{2} \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \Lambda dV_{\tilde{g}} + \int_{\Omega_+ \setminus \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}}} \frac{1}{\epsilon} F(x, b_2(x)) dx + o(1). \tag{4.1}$$

*Proof.* First, we also assume that  $\mathcal{Q}$  is simply connected. Similar to that of § 3 we define  $\tilde{\rho}_\epsilon : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\tilde{\rho}_\epsilon(x) = \begin{cases} b_2(x), & x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{\tilde{\delta}}}, \\ b_\epsilon(z, t; \tilde{\rho}_\epsilon), & x = \tilde{\varphi}(z) + t\tilde{\mathbf{n}}(z) \in \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{\tilde{\delta}}} \setminus \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{-\tilde{\delta}}}, \\ b_1(x), & x \in \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{-\tilde{\delta}}}. \end{cases}$$

Decompose

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{J}_\epsilon(\tilde{\rho}_\epsilon) &= \bar{J}_\epsilon(\tilde{\rho}_\epsilon, \Omega \setminus \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{2\sqrt{\epsilon}}}) + \bar{J}_\epsilon(\tilde{\rho}_\epsilon, \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{2\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{\sqrt{\epsilon}}}) \\ &\quad + \bar{J}_\epsilon(\tilde{\rho}_\epsilon, \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{-\sqrt{\epsilon}}}) + \bar{J}_\epsilon(\tilde{\rho}_\epsilon, \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{-\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{-2\sqrt{\epsilon}}}) \\ &\quad + \bar{J}_\epsilon(\tilde{\rho}_\epsilon, \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{-2\sqrt{\epsilon}}}). \end{aligned}$$

Similar to that of (3.5), we have

$$\bar{J}_\epsilon(\tilde{\rho}_\epsilon, \Omega \setminus \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{2\sqrt{\epsilon}}}) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega_+} F(x, b_2(x)) dx + O(\epsilon), \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\bar{J}_\epsilon(\tilde{\rho}_\epsilon, \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{-2\sqrt{\epsilon}}}) = O(\epsilon). \tag{4.3}$$

We have

$$\bar{J}_\epsilon(\tilde{\rho}_\epsilon, \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{2\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{\sqrt{\epsilon}}}) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{2\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{\sqrt{\epsilon}}}} F(x, \tilde{\rho}_\epsilon(x)) dx + o(\epsilon).$$

Using that  $F(x, b_2(x)) = 0 = F_u(x, b_2(x))$  and  $F_{uu}(x, b_2(x)) > 0$  again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{2\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{\sqrt{\epsilon}}}} F(x, \tilde{\rho}_\epsilon(x)) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\sqrt{\epsilon}}^{2\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}_t} F\left(z, t, \left[b_2(z, t) - W\left(z, t; \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}\right)\right] \frac{t - 2\sqrt{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + b_2(z, t)\right) dV_{\tilde{g}} dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\sqrt{\epsilon}}^{2\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}_t} F\left(z, t, q_1 e^{-\alpha/\sqrt{\epsilon}} + b_2(z, t)\right) dV_{\tilde{g}} dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\sqrt{\epsilon}}^{2\sqrt{\epsilon}} q_1 e^{-\alpha/\sqrt{\epsilon}} \tilde{\gamma}_\epsilon dt \\ &= o(\epsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\gamma}_\epsilon := \sup \left\{ \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}_\mu} F_u(z, \mu, \tau) dV_{\tilde{g}} : \sqrt{\epsilon} < \mu < 2\sqrt{\epsilon}, \right. \\ \left. b_2(z, \mu) < \tau < b_2(z, \mu) + q_1 e^{-\alpha/\sqrt{\epsilon}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\bar{J}_\epsilon(\tilde{\rho}_\epsilon, \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{2\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{\sqrt{\epsilon}}}) = o(\epsilon). \tag{4.4}$$

Similarly we have

$$\bar{J}_\epsilon(\tilde{\rho}_\epsilon, \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{-\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{-2\sqrt{\epsilon}}}) = o(\epsilon). \tag{4.5}$$

For the integral  $\bar{J}_\epsilon(\tilde{\rho}_\epsilon, \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{-\sqrt{\epsilon}}})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{J}_\epsilon(\tilde{\rho}_\epsilon, \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{-\sqrt{\epsilon}}}) \\ &= \int_{\Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{-\sqrt{\epsilon}}}} \frac{\epsilon}{2} a \left| \nabla_{\tilde{g}} W\left(z, t, \frac{t}{\epsilon}\right) \right|^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} F\left(z, t, W\left(z, t, \frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)\right) \\ &= \int_{\Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{-\sqrt{\epsilon}}}} \frac{\epsilon}{2} a \left\{ \left| \nabla_{\tilde{g}} W\left(z, t, \frac{t}{\epsilon}\right) \right|^2 (1 + O(t)) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left[ \partial_2 W + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \partial_3 W \right]^2 \right\} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} F\left(z, t, W\left(z, t, \frac{t}{\epsilon}\right)\right) dV_{\tilde{g}} dt \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_{\Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{-\sqrt{\epsilon}}}} \frac{\epsilon}{2} a \left\{ \left| \nabla_{\tilde{g}} W \left( z, t, \frac{t}{\epsilon} \right) \right|^2 (1 + O(t)) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left[ \partial_2 W + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sqrt{\frac{2F}{a}} \right]^2 \right\} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} F \left( z, t, W \left( z, t, \frac{t}{\epsilon} \right) \right) \\
 &= \int_{\Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{-\sqrt{\epsilon}}}} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \epsilon a \left[ \left| \nabla_{\tilde{g}} W \left( z, t, \frac{t}{\epsilon} \right) \right|^2 (1 + O(t)) + (\partial_2 W)^2 \right] \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\epsilon a \left[ \left| \nabla_{\tilde{g}} W \left( \tilde{z}, \tilde{t}, \frac{\tilde{t}}{\epsilon} \right) \right|^2 (1 + O(t)) + (\partial_2 W)^2 \right]$$

is bounded in  $\Omega_{\Sigma_{h+\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_{h-\sqrt{\epsilon}}}$  in virtue of the properties of the solution  $W$  of (2.2). Hence, letting  $\mu = \frac{t}{\epsilon}$  and so  $t = t(\mu) = \epsilon\mu$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\bar{J}_\epsilon(\tilde{\rho}_\epsilon, \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{-\sqrt{\epsilon}}}) \\
 &= \int_{-1/\sqrt{\epsilon}}^{1/\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}} 2F(z, \epsilon\mu, W(z, \epsilon\mu, \mu)) (1 + \epsilon\mu\tilde{\kappa} + o(\epsilon\mu)) dV_{\tilde{g}} d\mu + O(\sqrt{\epsilon}). \tag{4.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

One has

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{d}{d\mu} \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \int_{b_1(z, \epsilon\mu)}^{W(z, \epsilon\mu; \mu)} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} a(z, \epsilon\mu) F(z, \epsilon\mu, \tau) (1 + \epsilon\mu\tilde{\kappa} + o(\epsilon\mu))} d\tau dV_{\tilde{g}} \\
 &= \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \int_{b_1(z, \epsilon\mu)}^{W(z, \epsilon\mu; \mu)} \frac{d}{d\mu} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} a(z, \epsilon\mu) F(z, \epsilon\mu, \tau) (1 + \epsilon\mu\tilde{\kappa} + o(\epsilon\mu))} \right] d\tau dV_{\tilde{g}} \\
 &\quad + \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} a(z, \epsilon\mu) F(z, \epsilon\mu, W(z, \epsilon\mu; \mu))} \\
 &\quad \times (\epsilon\partial_2 W + \partial_3 W) (1 + \epsilon\mu\tilde{\kappa} + o(\epsilon\mu)) dV_{\tilde{g}} \tag{4.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} a(z, \epsilon\mu) F(z, \epsilon\mu, W(z, \epsilon\mu; \mu))} \partial_3 W(z, h + \epsilon\mu; \mu) \\
 &= F(z, \epsilon\mu, W(z, \epsilon\mu; \mu)). \tag{4.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

By (4.7) and (4.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{-1/\sqrt{\epsilon}}^{1/\sqrt{\epsilon}} \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}} 2F(z, \epsilon\mu, W(z, \epsilon\mu, \mu)) (1 + \epsilon\mu\tilde{\kappa} + o(\epsilon\mu)) dV_{\tilde{g}} d\mu \\
 &= \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \int_{b_1(z, \sqrt{\epsilon})}^{W(z, \sqrt{\epsilon}; 1/\sqrt{\epsilon})} \sqrt{2a(z, \sqrt{\epsilon}) F(z, \sqrt{\epsilon}, \tau) (1 + \sqrt{\epsilon}\tilde{\kappa} + o(\sqrt{\epsilon}))} d\tau dV_{\tilde{g}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \int_{b_1(z, -\sqrt{\epsilon})}^{W(z, -\sqrt{\epsilon}; -1/\sqrt{\epsilon})} \sqrt{2a(z, -\sqrt{\epsilon})F(z, -\sqrt{\epsilon}, \tau)} (1 - \sqrt{\epsilon}\tilde{\kappa} + o(\sqrt{\epsilon})) d\tau dV_{\tilde{g}} \\
 & - 2 \int_{-1/\sqrt{\epsilon}}^{1/\sqrt{\epsilon}} \tilde{I}_{1,\epsilon} d\mu - 2 \int_{-1/\sqrt{\epsilon}}^{1/\sqrt{\epsilon}} \tilde{I}_{2,\epsilon} d\mu, \tag{4.9}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{I}_{1,\epsilon} &= \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \int_{b_1(z, \epsilon\mu)}^{W(z, \epsilon\mu; \mu)} \frac{d}{d\mu} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}a(z, \epsilon\mu)F(z, \epsilon\mu, \tau)} (1 + \epsilon\mu\tilde{\kappa} + o(\epsilon\mu)) \right] d\tau dV_{\tilde{g}}, \\
 \tilde{I}_{2,\epsilon} &= \epsilon \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}a(z, \epsilon\mu)F(z, \epsilon\mu, W(z, \epsilon\mu; \mu))} \partial_2 W (1 + \epsilon\mu\tilde{\kappa} + o(\epsilon\mu)) dV_{\tilde{g}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Plainly

$$\int_{-1/\sqrt{\epsilon}}^{1/\sqrt{\epsilon}} \tilde{I}_{2,\epsilon} d\mu = O(\sqrt{\epsilon}). \tag{4.10}$$

Recalling  $t = t(\mu) = \epsilon\mu$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{d\mu} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}a(z, \epsilon\mu)F(z, \epsilon\mu, \tau)} (1 + \epsilon\mu\tilde{\kappa} + o(\epsilon\mu)) \right] \\
 &= \varepsilon \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}a(\tilde{z}, \tilde{t})F(z, t, \tau)} (1 + t\tilde{\kappa} + o(t)) \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\int_{-1/\sqrt{\epsilon}}^{1/\sqrt{\epsilon}} \tilde{I}_{1,\epsilon} d\mu = O(\sqrt{\epsilon}). \tag{4.11}$$

From (4.6), (4.9), (4.10) and (4.11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \bar{J}_\epsilon(\tilde{\rho}_\epsilon, \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{\sqrt{\epsilon}}} \setminus \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{-\sqrt{\epsilon}}}) \\
 &= \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \int_{b_1(z, 0)}^{b_2(z, 0)} \sqrt{2a(z, 0)F(z, 0, \tau)} d\tau dV_{\tilde{g}} \\
 &= \sqrt{2} \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \Lambda(z, 0) dV_{\tilde{g}}. \tag{4.12}
 \end{aligned}$$

The upper bound estimate (4.1) follows from (4.2), (4.3), (4.4), (4.5), (4.12) and the assumption that  $\bar{J}_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) \leq \bar{J}_\epsilon(\tilde{\rho}_\epsilon)$ , where the relation  $\Omega_+ \setminus \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}} = \Omega_+$  is used, since  $\mathcal{Q}$  is simply connected.

For the case that  $\mathcal{Q}$  is multiply connected, (4.2) becomes

$$\bar{J}_\epsilon(\tilde{\rho}_\epsilon, \Omega \setminus \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}_{2\sqrt{\epsilon}}}) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega_+ \setminus \Omega_{\tilde{\Sigma}}} F(x, b_2(x)) dx + O(\epsilon),$$

and the same argument as that of the simply connected domain case gives the desired result. □

On the other hand, from lemma (3.1) we have

$$\bar{J}_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) \geq \sqrt{2} \int_{\Sigma_0} \text{Ad}V_{\bar{g}} + \int_{\Omega_+ \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_0}} \frac{1}{\epsilon} F(x, b_2(x)) dx + o(1). \quad (4.13)$$

*Proof of theorem 1.4.* Recall the assumption that  $\Omega_+ \setminus \Omega_\Sigma = \Omega_+ \setminus \Omega_{\Sigma_0}$  for any closed smooth  $(N - 1)$ -dimensional nontrivial surface  $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{Q}$ . Combining this, lemma 4.1 and (4.13) we obtain the desired results of theorem 1.4.  $\square$

To find the locations of the interfaces of interior layers to  $L^1$ -local and global maximizers of the associated energy functional, or even to general layer solutions, seems to be an interesting question. What about  $H^1$ -local and global minimizers or maximizers is also deserved to be studied.

## References

- 1 S. Ahmad and A. Ambrosetti. *A textbook on ordinary differential equations*, 2nd Ed. (Switzerland: Springer International Publishing, 2015).
- 2 N. Alikakos and P. W. Bates. On the singular limit in a phase field model of phase transitions. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **5** (1988), 141–178.
- 3 N. Alikakos, P. W. Bates and X. Chen. Periodic traveling waves and locating oscillating patterns in multidimensional domains. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **351** (1999), 2777–2805.
- 4 N. Alikakos and H. C. Simpson. A variational approach for a class of singular perturbation problems and applications. *Proc. R. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **107** (1987), 27–42.
- 5 S. Allen and J. W. Cahn. A microscopic theory for antiphase boundary motion and its application to antiphase domain coarsening. *Acta Metall.* **27** (1979), 1085–1095.
- 6 E. N. Dancer and S. Yan. Multi-layer solutions for an elliptic problem. *J. Differ. Eqns* **194** (2003), 382–405.
- 7 E. N. Dancer and S. Yan. Construction of various types of solutions for an elliptic problem. *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.* **20** (2004), 93–118.
- 8 M. del Pino. Layers with nonsmooth interface in a semilinear elliptic problem. *Commun. Partial Differ. Equ.* **17** (1992), 1695–1708.
- 9 M. del Pino. Radially symmetric internal layers in a semilinear elliptic system. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **347** (1995), 4807–4837.
- 10 M. del Pino, M. Kowalczyk and J. Wei. Resonance and interior layers in an inhomogeneous phase transition model. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **38** (2007), 1542–1564.
- 11 A. S. Do Nascimento. Stable transition layers in a semilinear diffusion equation with spatial inhomogeneities in  $N$ -dimensional domains. *J. Differ. Eqns* **190** (2003), 16–38.
- 12 A. S. Do Nascimento and M. Sónego. The roles of diffusivity and curvature in patterns on surfaces of revolution. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **412** (2014), 1084–1096.
- 13 Z. Du and C. Gui. Interior layers for an inhomogeneous Allen–Cahn equation. *J. Differ. Eqns* **249** (2010), 215–239.
- 14 Z. Du, C. Gui, Y. Sire and J. Wei. Layered solutions for a fractional inhomogeneous Allen–Cahn equation. *Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.* **23** (2016), 29.
- 15 Z. Du and B. Lai. Transition layers for an inhomogeneous Allen–Cahn equation in Riemannian manifolds. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., A* **33** (2013), 1407–1429.
- 16 Z. Du and L. Wang. Interface foliation for an inhomogeneous Allen–Cahn equation in Riemannian manifolds. *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.* **47** (2013), 343–381.
- 17 Z. Du and J. Wei. Clustering layers for the Fife–Greenlee problem in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh A* **146** (2016), 107–139.
- 18 P. C. Fife and W. M. Greenlee. Interior transition layers for elliptic boundary value problems with a small parameter. *Russ. Math. Surveys* **29**: **4** (1974), 103–131.
- 19 E. Giusti. *Minimal surfaces and functions of bounded variation* (Birkhäuser, Australia, 1984).

- 20 R. V. Kohn and P. Sternberg. Local minimizers and singular perturbations. *Proc. R. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **11** (1989), 69–84.
- 21 F. Li and K. Nakashima. Transition layer for a spatially inhomogeneous Allen–Cahn equation in multi-dimensional domains. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., A* **32** (2012), 1391–1420.
- 22 F. Mahmoudi, A. Malchiodi and J. Wei. Transition layer for the heterogeneous Allen–Cahn equation. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **25** (2008), 609–631.
- 23 L. Modica. The gradient theory of phase transitions and the minimal interface criterion. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **98** (1987), 123–142.
- 24 L. Modica and S. Mortola. Un esempio di  $\Gamma$ -convergenza. *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. Sez.* **14B** (1977), 285–299.
- 25 Kimie Nakashima. Stable transition layers in a balanced bistable equation. *Differ. Integr. Equ.* **13** (2000), 1025–1038.
- 26 Kimie Nakashima. Multi-layered stationary solutions for a spatially inhomogeneous Allen–Cahn equation. *J. Differ. Eqns* **191** (2003), 234–276.
- 27 K. Nakashima and K. Tanaka. Clustering layers and boundary layers in spatially inhomogeneous phase transition problems. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **20** (2003), 107–143.
- 28 M. Sônego. On the internal transition layer to some inhomogeneous semilinear problems: interface location. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **502** (2021), 125266.
- 29 J. Sotomayor. *Lições de equações diferenciais ordinárias* (IMPA: Rio de Janeiro, 1979).
- 30 P. Sternberg. The effect of a singular perturbation on nonconvex variational problems. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **101** (1988), 209–260.
- 31 J. Wei and J. Yang. Toda system and cluster phase transitional layers in an inhomogeneous phase transitional model. *Asympt. Anal.* **69** (2010), 175–218.
- 32 J. Yang and X. Yang. Clustered interior phase transition layers for an inhomogeneous Allen–Cahn equation on higher dimensional domain. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **12** (2013), 303–340.
- 33 A. Zúñiga and O. Agudelo. A two end family of solutions for the inhomogeneous Allen–Cahn equation in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . *J. Differ. Eqns* **256** (2014), 157–205.