

ON FREE SUBALGEBRAS OF VARIETIES

RENATO FEHLBERG JÚNIOR¹  AND JAVIER SÁNCHEZ² 

¹*Department of Mathematics, Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo, Vitória, Espírito Santo, Brazil* (renato.fehlberg@ufes.br)

²*Department of Mathematics, IME, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, 05508-090, Brazil* (jsanchez@ime.usp.br)

(Received 22 January 2021; first published online 22 November 2021)

Abstract We show that some results of L. Makar-Limanov, P. Malcolmson and Z. Reichstein on the existence of free-associative algebras are valid in the more general context of varieties of algebras.

Keywords: free subalgebras; non-associative algebras; Lie algebras; commutative algebras; anticommutative algebras; special Jordan algebras; Schreier variety; non-commutative Poisson algebras

2020 *Mathematics subject classification* Primary: 17A50; 17A01; 17A30; 08B20

Introduction

As stated in [9, Conjecture 1.1], L. Makar-Limanov made the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1. *Let K be a field, A be an associative K -algebra and F be a field extension of K . If $F \otimes_K A$ contains a free K -algebra on at least two free generators, then A also contains a free K -algebra on the same number of free generators.*

In [6, Theorem 1(b)], Z. Reichstein proved that Makar-Limanov's conjecture holds true when the field K is uncountable:

Theorem 2. *Let K be an uncountable field, A an associative K -algebra and F a field extension of K . If $F \otimes_K A$ contains a copy of a free (non-commutative) associative K -algebra, then so does A .*

In his proof, Z. Reichstein made essential use of the following result by L. Makar-Limanov and P. Malcolmson [4, Lemma 1]:

Lemma 1. *Suppose that K is a field with prime subfield K_0 and A is an associative K -algebra. Then $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$ are the free generators of a non-commutative free K -subalgebra if, and only if, they are the free generators of a free K_0 -subalgebra.*

On the other hand, A. Smoktunowicz proved in [9, Theorem 1.2] that this conjecture fails when K is a countable field. More precisely, she showed that for every countable field K , there is an associative K -algebra A without free subalgebras on at least two free generators and a field extension F of K such that the algebra $F \otimes_K A$ contains a free K -algebra on at least two free generators.

The main aim of this paper is to illustrate the fact that similar phenomena about the existence of free algebras hold true in the context of varieties of (not necessarily associative) algebras.

Before giving more details, we fix some notation that will be used throughout. For unexplained terminology, the reader is referred to [11, Chapter 1].

Let Y be a set and K be a field. Let X be a countable set of symbols $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ and let \mathfrak{M} be a variety of K -algebras with defining identities $I \subseteq K\{X\}$.

By $K\{Y\}$, we denote the free (non-associative) K -algebra on Y . Thus, for any K -algebra A and map $\theta: Y \rightarrow A$ there exists a unique homomorphism $\Theta: K\{Y\} \rightarrow A$ which extends θ .

We will denote by $K_{\mathfrak{M}}\{Y\}$ the free K -algebra in the variety \mathfrak{M} with set of free generators Y . Thus, for any K -algebra $A \in \mathfrak{M}$ and map $\theta: Y \rightarrow A$, there exists a unique homomorphism of K -algebras $\Theta: K_{\mathfrak{M}}\{Y\} \rightarrow A$ which extends θ .

Let $K \subseteq F$ be a field extension. By \mathfrak{M}_F , we denote the variety of F -algebras with defining identities I .

We will only consider homogeneous varieties \mathfrak{M} of K -algebras. Hence, if $A \in \mathfrak{M}$, then the K -algebra $F \otimes_K A \in \mathfrak{M}$. Moreover, $F \otimes_K K_{\mathfrak{M}}\{Y\}$ is the free F -algebra of \mathfrak{M}_F with set of free generators $\{1 \otimes y_1, \dots, 1 \otimes y_n\}$, if $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$.

Let K be a field and \mathfrak{M} be a homogeneous variety of K -algebras. We say that \mathfrak{M} is an *MLM variety* if for any field extension $K \subseteq F$, any $A \in \mathfrak{M}_F$, and subset of at least two elements $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subseteq A$ such that the K -subalgebra of A generated by Y is the free K -algebra in the variety \mathfrak{M} with set of free generators Y , then the F -subalgebra of A generated by Y is the free F -algebra in the variety \mathfrak{M}_F with set of free generators Y . The name MLM stands for Makar-Limanov and Malcolmson. Note that Lemma 1 states that the variety of associative K -algebras is MLM.

Suppose that K is an uncountable field and \mathfrak{M} is a homogeneous variety of K -algebras. We say that \mathfrak{M} is a *Reichstein variety* if for any $A \in \mathfrak{M}$ and field extension F of K such that $F \otimes_K A$ contains a free K -algebra in the variety \mathfrak{M} on at least two free generators, then A contains a free K -algebra in the variety \mathfrak{M} on the same number of free generators. Note that Theorem 2 shows that the variety of associative K -algebras is Reichstein.

In § 1, we prove that if K is an uncountable field, MLM varieties of K -algebras are Reichstein. Also, as an easy consequence of [9], we show that if K is a countable field and \mathfrak{M} is either the variety of K -algebras generated by the special Jordan K -algebras or the variety of Lie K -algebras, then Smoktunowicz’s result holds. That is, there exist a K -algebra A in \mathfrak{M} and a field extension F of K such that A does not contain a free K -algebra in \mathfrak{M} on at least two free generators but $F \otimes_K A$ contains a free K -algebra in \mathfrak{M} on at least two free generators.

In § 2, we show that if K is a field, the following homogeneous varieties of K -algebras are MLM:

- The variety \mathcal{A}_K of all K -algebras
- The variety \mathcal{L}_K of all Lie K -algebras
- The variety \mathcal{C}_K of all commutative K -algebras
- The variety \mathcal{AC}_K of all anticommutative K -algebras
- The variety \mathcal{SJ}_K generated by the special Jordan K -algebras.

We end this introduction by showing that not all homogeneous varieties of K -algebras are MLM. For example, the variety $\mathcal{T}riv_K$ of K -algebras which satisfy the identity $x_1x_2 = 0$ is not MLM. This variety can be identified with the class of K -vector spaces, and the K -basis of these vector spaces with free set of generators. Let now F be a non-trivial field extension of K , and consider A , a one-dimensional F -algebra with basis $\{z\} \subset A$. Note that if $f_1, f_2 \in F$ are K -linearly independent, then the K -subalgebra of A generated by $Y = \{f_1z, f_2z\}$ is free on Y of rank two. On the other hand the F -algebra generated by Y is not free on Y because f_1z, f_2z are F -linearly dependent. Another example of a variety that is not MLM is the variety of commutative and associative K -algebras. Indeed, consider the field of fractions F of the polynomial ring in two variables $K[x, y]$. Clearly, F contains a free K -algebra on two generators, but F does not contain a free F -algebra on $\{x, y\}$.

1. MLM varieties are Reichstein

In the first part of this section, we prove results analogous to the ones in [6] in the context of varieties of algebras. The proofs are natural adaptations of the ones by Z. Reichstein.

The proof of the following result can be found in [6, Lemma 1].

Lemma 2. *Let K be an uncountable field and let X_1, X_2, \dots be a countable number of Zariski closed subsets of K^n . If $\cup_{i=1}^\infty X_i = K^n$, then $X_i = K^n$ for some $i \geq 1$.*

Let K be a field and F be a field extension of K . Suppose that \mathfrak{M} is a homogeneous variety of K -algebras.

Let $A \in \mathfrak{M}$. If $z \in F$ and $a \in A$, we shall denote $za \in F \otimes_K A$ instead of $z \otimes a$. Let $(a_{11}, \dots, a_{1r_1}) \in A^{r_1}, \dots, (a_{n1}, \dots, a_{nr_n}) \in A^{r_n}$. For $z_1 = (z_{11}, \dots, z_{1r_1}) \in F^{r_1}, \dots, z_n = (z_{n1}, \dots, z_{nr_n}) \in F^{r_n}$, set

$$a_{z_i} = \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} z_{ij}a_{ij} \in F \otimes_K A, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Lemma 3. *Let $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$, $n \geq 2$, be a finite set. Let $f_1, \dots, f_m \in K\{Y\}$ be polynomials in n variables. Then the n -tuples $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in F^{r_1+\dots+r_n}$ such that $f_1(a_{z_1}, \dots, a_{z_n}), \dots, f_m(a_{z_1}, \dots, a_{z_n}) \in F \otimes_K A$ are F -linearly dependent, form a Zariski closed subset of $F^{r_1+\dots+r_n}$ defined over K .*

Proof. Let d be the maximum of the degrees of f_1, \dots, f_m and let e_1, e_2, \dots, e_s be a basis of the K -vector subspace of A spanned by all the possible evaluations in $\{a_{ij}\}_{i,j}$

of the monomials in $K\{Y\}$ of degree $\leq d$. Notice that e_1, \dots, e_s are also F -linearly independent in $F \otimes_K A$. Then, for $k = 1, \dots, m$, we can write

$$f_k(a_{z_1}, \dots, a_{z_n}) = \sum_{t=1}^s p_{kt}(z_1, \dots, z_n)e_t,$$

where each p_{kt} is an associative and commutative polynomial in $r_1 + \dots + r_n$ variables with coefficients in K .

If $m > s$, then the set consisting of the $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in F^{r_1 + \dots + r_n}$ such that $f_1(a_{z_1}, \dots, a_{z_n}), \dots, f_m(a_{z_1}, \dots, a_{z_n})$ are F -linearly dependent equals $F^{r_1 + \dots + r_n}$.

Suppose now that $m \leq s$. Then $f_1(a_{z_1}, \dots, a_{z_n}), \dots, f_m(a_{z_1}, \dots, a_{z_n})$ are F -linearly dependent if, and only if, the $m \times s$ matrix $(p_{kt}(z_1, \dots, z_n))_{k,t}$ has rank $\leq m - 1$. This is equivalent to the vanishing of the $m \times m$ minors of this matrix. Each minor is a commutative and associative polynomial in $z_{11}, \dots, z_{1r_1}, \dots, z_{n1}, \dots, z_{nr_n}$ with coefficients in K . □

With these lemmas, we can prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 3. *Let $Q \subseteq K \subseteq F$ be field extensions with K uncountable, \mathfrak{M} be an MLM variety of Q -algebras and $A \in \mathfrak{M}_K$. If $F \otimes_K A$ contains a free Q -algebra in the variety \mathfrak{M} with a finite set of free generators greater or equal than two, then so does A . As a consequence, if \mathfrak{M} is an MLM variety of K -algebras, then \mathfrak{M} is a Reichstein variety of K -algebras.*

Proof. Suppose that the elements

$$a_{u_i} = \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} u_{ij}a_{ij} \in F \otimes_K A, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

are the free generators of a free Q -algebra in the variety \mathfrak{M} for some $(u_{11}, \dots, u_{1r_1}) \in F^{r_1}, \dots, (u_{n1}, \dots, u_{nr_n}) \in F^{r_n}$ and $a_{ij} \in A$. Since \mathfrak{M} is MLM, these elements also generate a free F -algebra in the variety \mathfrak{M}_F with free set of generators $\{a_{u_1}, \dots, a_{u_n}\}$.

Let $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ be a finite set. Consider the free K -algebra $K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ and the free algebra $K_{\mathfrak{M}}\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ in the variety \mathfrak{M} with free set of generators $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$. Consider the natural homomorphism of K -algebras

$$\Phi: K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \rightarrow K_{\mathfrak{M}}\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}, \quad y_i \mapsto y_i.$$

For each $d \geq 1$, fix monomials m_{d1}, \dots, m_{dt_d} of degree d in the free algebra $K\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ such that $\bigcup_{d \geq 1} \{\Phi(m_{d1}), \dots, \Phi(m_{dt_d})\}$ is a K -basis of $K_{\mathfrak{M}}\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$.

For $p \geq 1$, let $X_p \subseteq F^{r_1 + \dots + r_n}$ be the set of all n -tuples

$$((z_{11}, \dots, z_{1r_1}), \dots, (z_{n1}, \dots, z_{nr_n}))$$

such that $m_{dl}(a_{z_1}, \dots, a_{z_n}), l = 1, \dots, t_d, d \leq p$, are F -linearly dependent. We will write $r_1 + \dots + r_n := r$. By Lemma 3, X_p is a closed subset of F^r defined over K .

In order to prove the existence of the free algebra $K_{\mathfrak{M}}\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ in A , we must show that all $m_{d_j}(a_{z_1}, \dots, a_{z_n})$ are K -linearly independent for some $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in K^r$. Assume the contrary: for every $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in K^r$, the elements a_{z_1}, \dots, a_{z_n} are such that there exists $p \geq 1$ with

$$\sum_{d \leq p, 1 \leq l \leq t_d} \lambda_{d_l} m_{d_l}(a_{z_1}, \dots, a_{z_n}) = 0, \quad \text{for some } \lambda_{d_l} \in K.$$

In other words, $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in X_p$. Hence $K^r = \bigcup_{p \geq 1} X_p(K)$, where

$$X_p(K) = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in K^r : m_{d_l}(a_{z_1}, \dots, a_{z_n}), \quad l = 1, \dots, t_d, d \leq p, \text{ are } K\text{-l.d.}\}.$$

By Lemma 2, $X_p(K) = K^r$ for some integer p . Note that $X_p(K) \subseteq X_p$. Now, since K^r is dense in F^r , we get that $X_p = F^r$. A contradiction because a_{u_i} generate a free algebra $F_{\mathfrak{M}_F}\{a_{u_1}, \dots, a_{u_n}\}$.

Now, if $Q = K$, one obtains the last assertion of the theorem. □

We end this section by showing that Theorem 2 does not hold for the variety of Lie K -algebras and the variety generated by the special Jordan K -algebras when the field K is countable.

Suppose that K is any countable field. By [9, Theorem 1.4], there exists a field extension F of K and a nil associative K -algebra A such that the associative algebra $F \otimes_K A$ contains a non-commutative free K -algebra on a set of free generators of at least two elements.

Consider the special Jordan K -algebra $A^{(+)}$. It is known that $A^{(+)}$ is a special Jordan nil K -algebra. Hence $A^{(+)}$ does not contain a copy of $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{J}_K(Y)$, the free special Jordan K -algebra with set of free generators Y , where Y possesses at least two elements. Now since, $F \otimes_K A$ contains a non-commutative free K -algebra on a set of free generators of at least two elements, the special Jordan algebra $F \otimes_K A^{(+)}$ contains a copy of $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{J}_K(Y)$ where Y has at least two elements.

Now consider the Lie K -algebra $A^{(-)}$. It is known that $A^{(-)}$ is a Lie Engel K -algebra. Hence $A^{(-)}$ does not contain a copy of $\mathcal{L}_K(Y)$ where Y possesses at least two elements. Now, since $F \otimes_K A$ contains a non-commutative free K -algebra on a set of free generators of at least two elements, the Lie algebra $F \otimes_K A^{(-)}$ contains a copy of $\mathcal{L}_K(Y)$, the free Lie K -algebra with set of free generators Y , where Y has at least two elements.

2. Examples of MLM varieties

Our aim in this section is to show that some important varieties of algebras are MLM. Hence, when the ground field is uncountable, these varieties are Reichstein by Theorem 3,

To avoid repetitive arguments, we establish here a Setup that will be used at the beginning of the proofs as a standard text.

Setup 4. Let $K \subseteq F$ be a field extension and \mathfrak{A} be a variety of K -algebras. Let A be an F -algebra in \mathfrak{A}_F . Suppose that $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subseteq A$ is a set of at least two elements such that the K -subalgebra of A generated by Y is $K_{\mathfrak{A}}\{Y\}$, the free K -algebra in the variety \mathfrak{A} with free set of generators Y .

Consider the homomorphism of F -algebras

$$\begin{aligned} \mu: F \otimes_K K_{\mathfrak{A}}\{Y\} &\longrightarrow A \\ c \otimes p &\mapsto cp \end{aligned}$$

If we want to prove that \mathfrak{A} is an MLM variety, we must show that μ is injective. We proceed as in the proof of [4, Lemma 1]. Clearly $\mu(c \otimes p) = 0$ if, and only if, c is zero or p is zero. Either way, $c \otimes p$ is zero. Hence suppose that

$$\mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \otimes p_i \right) = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

where $n > 1$ is minimal. Note that the minimality of n implies that the c_i 's and p_i 's are linearly independent over K .

2.1. Variety of all K -algebras

The homogeneous variety \mathcal{A}_K of all K -algebras has the empty set of defining relations. Consider $K\{Y\}$, the free K -algebra on a set Y . It is well known that every non-associative word w of degree at least two has unique representation in the form of a product of two non-associative words. Hence, one can introduce a total ordering in the set $V(Y)$ of non-associative words as follows. Order the words of length one (variables) arbitrarily. Assuming that the words of length n , $n \geq 1$, have been already ordered in such a way that words of smaller length precede words of greater length, then given two words $w_1, w_2 \in V(Y)$ of length $n + 1$ and represented as a product of two non-associative words of lesser length $w_1 = u_1v_1, w_2 = u_2v_2$ we define $w_1 < w_2$ if, and only if, $u_1 < u_2$ or $u_1 = u_2$ and $v_1 < v_2$. Observe that this total ordering of the non-associative words satisfies that if $w_1 < w_2$ then $ww_1 < ww_2$ for all $w \in V(Y)$.

Theorem 5. *The variety \mathcal{A}_K is MLM.*

Proof. Consider $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{A}_K$ and $\mathfrak{A}_F = \mathcal{A}_F$ in Setup 4.

Suppose p_n is the p_i of greatest degree ≥ 1 and with the greatest word in the support among the p_i 's.

If $\mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \otimes p_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i p_i = 0$, then,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i p_i \right) p_n - p_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i p_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i (p_i p_n - p_n p_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i (p_i p_n - p_n p_i) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i \otimes (p_i p_n - p_n p_i) \right) = 0.$$

By the minimality of n , we have $0 = p_i p_n - p_n p_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. This equality implies that p_i and p_n have the same maximal word in its support. Thus, there exists $\lambda_i \in K$ such that $p_i - \lambda_i p_n$ has a lesser maximal word in its support. Since

$$(p_i - \lambda_i p_n)p_n - p_n(p_i - \lambda_i p_n) = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n,$$

we obtain that $p_i = \lambda_i p_n$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. This contradicts the fact that the p_i 's are K -linear independent. □

2.2. Variety of commutative K -algebras

The homogeneous variety \mathcal{C}_K of commutative K -algebras has the defining relation $x_1 x_2 - x_2 x_1$. The free commutative K -algebra on a set Y will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}_K\{Y\}$. We will need a result and a definition from [8].

Let Y be a non-empty set. Consider the words on Y . Words of length one will be called *regular* and ordered arbitrarily. Assuming that regular words of length less than n , $n > 1$, have been already defined and ordered in such a way that words of smaller length precede words of greater length, a word w of length n will be called *regular* if

- (1) $w = uv$ where u and v are regular words;
- (2) $u \geq v$.

We order the regular words of length n defined in this way, declaring that $w_1 = u_1 v_1 < w_2 = u_2 v_2$ if either $u_1 < u_2$ or $u_1 = u_2$ and $v_1 < v_2$. Then we declare the regular words of length n to be greater than regular words of smaller length. By [8, Theorem 1], the collection of all regular words form a basis of $\mathcal{C}_K\{Y\}$.

Theorem 6. *The variety \mathcal{C}_K is MLM.*

Proof. Consider $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{C}_K$ and $\mathfrak{A}_F = \mathcal{C}_F$ in Setup 4.

Suppose p_n is the p_i of greatest degree ≥ 1 and with the greatest word in the support among the p_i 's.

If $\mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \otimes p_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i p_i = 0$, then, for all regular words w ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i p_i \right) w \right) p_n - (p_n w) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i p_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \left((p_i w) p_n - (p_n w) p_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i \left((p_i w) p_n - (p_n w) \cdot p_i \right) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i \otimes \left((p_i w) p_n - (p_n w) p_i \right) \right) = 0,$$

for all regular words w . By the minimality of n ,

$$0 = (p_i w) p_n - (p_n w) p_i \text{ for all regular words } w \text{ and for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

From the commutativity of the product and the definition of regular words, this equality implies that p_i and p_n have the same maximal regular word in its support. Thus, there exists $\lambda_i \in K$ such that $p_i - \lambda_i p_n$ has a lesser maximal regular word in its support. Since $((p_i - \lambda_i p_n)w)p_n - (p_n w)(p_i - \lambda_i p_n) = 0$ for all regular words w and $i = 1, \dots, n$, we obtain that $p_i = \lambda_i p_n$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, a contradiction. \square

2.3. Schreier varieties satisfying $x^2 = 0$

Let \mathfrak{M} be a variety of K -algebras. We say that \mathfrak{M} is a *Schreier variety* if any subalgebra of a free algebra in \mathfrak{M} is a free algebra in \mathfrak{M} . The main examples of homogeneous Schreier varieties are: the varieties of all algebras [2], commutative and anticommutative algebras [8], Lie algebras [7, 10], and algebras with zero multiplication.

Let A be a free K -algebra in \mathfrak{M} . Let $(x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in A^n$. Let V and W be the K -subspaces of A generated by (x_1, \dots, x_n) and (y_1, \dots, y_n) , respectively. We say that a transformation $\tau: (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (\tau(x_1) = y_1, \dots, \tau(x_n) = y_n)$ is an *elementary transformation* if either:

- (1) τ induces a non-singular K -linear transformation between V and W , or
- (2) $y_1 = x_1, y_2 = x_2, \dots, y_{n-1} = x_{n-1}$ and $y_n = x_n + u_n$ where u_n belongs to the K -subalgebra of A generated by x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} .

Observe that inverses of elementary transformations are also elementary transformations.

It is known that homogeneous Schreier varieties \mathfrak{M} are *Nielsen*, see, for example, [3] or [5, Chapter 11]. In other words, if A is a free algebra of \mathfrak{M} , one can transform any finite set of elements $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ to a free set of generators of the free subalgebra generated by a_1, \dots, a_n by using a finite number of elementary transformations and cancelling possible zero elements.

Lemma 4. *Suppose that \mathfrak{M} is a homogeneous Schreier variety of K -algebras that satisfies the identity $x^2 = 0$ and does not satisfies the identity $x_1 x_2 = 0$. Let Y be a set of at least two elements and denote by $K_{\mathfrak{M}}\{Y\}$ the free algebra in the variety \mathfrak{M} with set of free generators Y . Suppose that $p, q \in K_{\mathfrak{M}}\{Y\}$, $q \neq 0$, such that $pq = 0$. Then $p = \lambda q$ for some $\lambda \in K$.*

Proof. Since \mathfrak{M} is a Schreier variety, the subalgebra B of $K_{\mathfrak{M}}\{Y\}$ generated by p, q is a free subalgebra of $K_{\mathfrak{M}}\{Y\}$. There exist elementary transformations

$$(p, q) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow (x, y),$$

such that x, y (and y may be zero) are free generators of B . If the rank of B is two, then there exist elementary transformations (the inverses of the previous ones)

$$(x, y) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow (p, q).$$

Since B is free on x, y , the elementary transformations induce automorphisms of K -algebras. Hence, there exists an isomorphism of B that sends $x \mapsto p, y \mapsto q$. Hence

p, q are free generators of B . Since $pq = 0$, and $p^2 = q^2 = 0$, it implies that the free algebra in the variety \mathfrak{M} on two free generators has zero product. Therefore, all the algebras in \mathfrak{M} satisfy the identity $x_1x_2 = 0$, a contradiction. It implies that B is a free algebra of rank one. Since $x^2 = 0$, the free subalgebra generated by one non-zero element is of dimension 1. Now B is generated by q , as desired. \square

Between the homogeneous Schreier varieties that satisfy the conditions of Lemma 4, we highlight the following:

- The variety of Lie K -algebras \mathcal{L}_K . Its defining relations are: x_1^2 and $(x_1x_2)x_3 + (x_2x_3)x_1 + (x_3x_1)x_2$.
- The variety of anticommutative K -algebras \mathcal{AC}_K . It has the defining relation: $x_1x_2 + x_2x_1$.

Theorem 7. *Let \mathfrak{M} be a Schreier variety of K -algebras that satisfies the identity $x^2 = 0$ and does not satisfy the identity $x_1x_2 = 0$. Then \mathfrak{M} is MLM. In particular, the varieties \mathcal{L}_K and \mathcal{AC}_K are MLM.*

Proof. Consider $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{M}$ and $\mathfrak{A}_F = \mathfrak{M}_F$ in Setup 4. Then

$$\mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i \otimes p_i p_n \right) = \mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \otimes p_i p_n \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i p_i p_n = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i p_i \right) p_n = 0 \cdot p_n = 0.$$

By the minimality of n , $p_i p_n = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. By Lemma 4, and the fact that $p_n \neq 0$, we get that $p_i = \lambda_i p_n$, where $\lambda_i \in K$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, a contradiction. \square

2.4. Variety generated by special Jordan algebras

Let K be a field. If A is an associative K -algebra, we define on the K -vector space A a new multiplication \circ , which is connected with the associative multiplication by the formula $x \circ y = \frac{1}{2}(xy + yx)$. In this way, a new K -algebra is obtained and it is denoted by $A^{(+)}$. The K -algebra $A^{(+)}$ is a Jordan algebra (that is, satisfies $x_1x_2 = x_2x_1$ and $(x_1^2x_2)x_1 = x_1^2(x_2x_1)$). If J is a K -subspace of A which is closed with respect to the operation \circ , then J together with \circ is a subalgebra of $A^{(+)}$, and consequently a Jordan algebra. Such a Jordan algebra is called special Jordan algebra. The variety generated by all special Jordan K -algebras will be denoted by \mathcal{SJ}_K and it consists of all K -algebras which can be obtained as homomorphic images of special Jordan K -algebras.

Let Y be a set. Consider $K\langle Y \rangle$, the free-associative K -algebra on the set Y . The K -subalgebra $\mathcal{SJ}_K(Y)$ of the algebra $K\langle Y \rangle^{(+)}$ generated by the set Y is the free special Jordan K -algebra on Y and it is the free K -algebra on the set Y in the variety \mathcal{SJ}_K .

Theorem 8. *Let K be a field of characteristic not two. Then \mathcal{SJ}_K is MLM.*

Proof. Consider $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{SJ}_K$ and $\mathfrak{A}_F = \mathcal{SJ}_F$ in Setup 4.

Suppose p_n is the p_i of the greatest degree. If it is of degree zero, then all p_i are of degree zero and the result follows from the case $n = 1$ because there exist $a_i \in K$ such that

$$\mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \otimes p_i \right) = \mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i a_i \otimes 1 \right) = 0$$

From now on, we suppose that p_n is of positive degree.

Claim 1: p_i and p_n commute as elements of $K\langle Y \rangle$.

If $\mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \otimes p_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i p_i = 0$, then, for all $z \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{J}_K(Y)$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i p_i \right) \circ z \right) \circ p_n - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i p_i \right) \circ (z \circ p_n) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i ((p_i \circ z) \circ p_n - (p_i \circ (z \circ p_n))) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i ((p_i \circ z) \circ p_n - (p_i \circ (z \circ p_n))). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i \otimes \left((p_i \circ z) \circ p_n - (p_i \circ (z \circ p_n)) \right) \right) = 0.$$

By the minimality of n , $0 = (p_i \circ z) \circ p_n - p_i \circ (z \circ p_n)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Using that $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{J}_K(Y)$ is a subalgebra of $K\langle Y \rangle^{(+)}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (p_i \circ z) \circ p_n - p_i \circ (z \circ p_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}((zp_i + p_i z) \circ p_n - p_i \circ (zp_n + p_n z)) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(zp_i p_n + p_i z p_n + p_n z p_i + p_n p_i z - (p_i z p_n + p_i p_n z + z p_n p_i + p_n z p_i)) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}[z(p_i p_n - p_n p_i) - (p_i p_n - p_n p_i)z]. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $p_i p_n - p_n p_i$ commutes with any variable $z \in Y \subseteq \mathcal{S}\mathcal{J}_K(Y)$. Hence $p_i p_n - p_n p_i$ is in the centre of $K\langle Y \rangle$, that is, $p_i p_n - p_n p_i \in K$. But this is only possible if $p_i p_n - p_n p_i = 0$, because the term of zero degree in $p_i p_n - p_n p_i$ is zero. Therefore, p_i and p_n commute in $K\langle Y \rangle$ and the claim is proved.

Claim 2: There exists $\lambda_i \in K$ such that $p_i = \lambda_i p_n$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. A contradiction with the fact that the p_i 's are K -linear independent.

By [1, Corollary 6.7.7] and Claim 1, there exists a polynomial $u \in K\langle Y \rangle$ of degree at least one such that $p_i \in k[u]$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

From (2.1), $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i p_i = 0$. Thus, for all $z \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{J}_K(Y)$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i p_i \right) \circ (z \circ p_n^2) - \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i p_i \right) \circ p_n \right) \circ (z \circ p_n) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \left((p_i \circ (z \circ p_n^2)) - (p_i \circ p_n) \circ (z \circ p_n) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i \left((p_i \circ (z \circ p_n^2)) - (p_i \circ p_n) \circ (z \circ p_n) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $(z \circ p_n^2) \circ p_n = p_n^2 \circ (z \circ p_n)$ in the last equality.

Hence

$$\mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i \otimes [(p_i \circ (z \circ p_n^2)) - (p_i \circ p_n) \circ (z \circ p_n)] \right) = 0.$$

By the minimality of n , and using Step 1 and $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{J}_K(Y) \subseteq K\langle Y \rangle^{(+)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= p_i \circ (z \circ p_n^2) - (p_i \circ p_n) \circ (z \circ p_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} p_i \circ (z p_n^2 + p_n^2 z) - \frac{1}{4} (p_i p_n + p_n p_i) \circ (z p_n + p_n z) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (p_i z p_n^2 + p_i p_n^2 z + z p_n^2 p_i + p_n^2 z p_i) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} (p_i p_n z p_n + p_i p_n^2 z + z p_n p_i p_n + p_n z p_i p_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (p_i z p_n^2 + p_n^2 z p_i - p_i p_n z p_n - p_n z p_i p_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (p_i (z p_n - p_n z) p_n - p_n (p_n z - z p_n) p_i). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $p_i (z p_n - p_n z) p_n = p_n (z p_n - p_n z) p_i$ for all $z \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{J}_K(Y) \subseteq K\langle Y \rangle^{(+)}$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Let now $x \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{J}_K(Y)$ such that x does not commute with u . By [1, Corollary 6.7.4], u and x form a free set over K . Then, rewriting the last equality, we obtain $p_i(u)(x p_n(u) - p_n(u)x) p_n(u) = p_n(u)(x p_n(u) - p_n(u)x) p_i(u)$.

Suppose that the degree on u of $p_i(u)$ is smaller than the degree of $p_n(u)$. Considering the lexicographic order in $K\langle x, u \rangle$ with $x < u$, we obtain that the greatest monomial on the right-hand side of the equation is obtained from $-p_n(u)^2 x p_i(u)$, which cannot be obtained from any other monomial on the left-hand side of the equality. A contradiction.

Hence p_i and p_n are polynomials on u of the same degree. Thus, there exists $\lambda \in K$ such that $p_i(u) - \lambda p_n(u)$ has degree smaller than the degree of p_n and

$$(p_i(u) - \lambda p_n(u))(x p_n(u) - p_n(u)x) p_n(u) = p_n(u)(x p_n(u) - p_n(u)x)(p_i(u) - \lambda p_n(u)).$$

By the foregoing, the only possibility is that $p_i = \lambda_i p_n$ for some $\lambda_i \in K$. □

2.5. Variety of non-commutative poisson K -algebras

In this subsection, we deviate from our notation and consider a variety of algebras endowed with more than one product. More precisely, the variety \mathcal{NCP}_K of all non-commutative Poisson K -algebras.

A vector space P over a field K endowed with two bilinear operations $x \cdot y$ (a multiplication) and $\{x, y\}$ (a Poisson bracket) is called a non-commutative Poisson algebra if P is an associative algebra under $x \cdot y$, P is a Lie algebra under $\{x, y\}$, and P satisfies the Leibniz identity: $\{x \cdot y, z\} = \{x, z\} \cdot y + x \cdot \{y, z\}$ for all $x, y, z \in P$.

Let Y be a set. The free non-commutative Poisson K -algebra $\mathcal{P}_K(Y)$ is constructed as follows. Let $\mathcal{L}_K(Y)$ be the free Lie K -algebra on Y and suppose that $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ is a K -basis of $\mathcal{L}_K(Y)$. Then $\mathcal{P}_K(Y)$ is the free associative algebra on the set of free generators X . Using the Leibniz identity one can uniquely extend the Lie bracket $\{x, y\}$ of $\mathcal{L}_K(Y)$ to a Poisson bracket $\{x, y\}$ on $\mathcal{P}_K(Y)$, and $\mathcal{P}_K(Y)$ becomes a Poisson algebra.

Corollary 1. *The variety \mathcal{NCP}_K is MLM.*

Proof. Let $K \subseteq F$ be a field extension and let $A \in \mathcal{NCP}_F$. Suppose that A contains a free non-commutative Poisson K -algebra on a free set of generators $Y \subseteq A$ of at least two elements. The free Lie K -algebra (with respect to $\{x, y\}$) generated by Y is the free Lie K -algebra $\mathcal{L}_K(Y)$. By Theorem 7, the Lie F -subalgebra of A generated by Y is the free Lie F -algebra $\mathcal{L}_F(Y)$ with set of free generators Y . Note that we can pick the same basis \mathcal{B} for $\mathcal{L}_K(Y)$ and $\mathcal{L}_F(Y)$. Now, by Lemma 1, the associative F -subalgebra generated by \mathcal{B} is the free associative F -algebra on \mathcal{B} , as desired. \square

We would like to finish noting that one can mimic the proof of Theorem 3 to show that the variety \mathcal{NCP}_K is Reichstein when K is an uncountable field.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo and Universidade de São Paulo for the hospitality and support during respective short-term visits. Also, the authors would like to thank professor Ivan Sheshtakov for helpful email conversations. He suggested studying the problem for the variety of Poisson algebras.

The second author was partially supported by grant #2015/09162-9, São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) – Brazil, and CNPq-Brazil Grant 305773/2018-6 .

References

1. P.M. COHN, *Free ideal rings and localization in general rings* (Cambridge University Press, 2006).
2. A. KUROSH, Non-associative free algebras and free products of algebras, *Rec. Math. [Mat. Sbornik] N.S.* **20** (1947), 239–262.
3. J. LEWIN, On Schreier varieties of linear algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **132** (1968), 553–562.
4. L. MAKAR-LIMANOV AND P. MALCOLMSON, Free subalgebras of enveloping fields, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **111** (1991), 315–322.
5. A.A. MIKHALEV, V. SHPILRAIN AND J. YU, *Combinatorial methods: free groups, polynomials, and free algebras*, CMS Books in Mathematics, Volume 19 (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003).

6. Z. REICHSTEIN, On a question of Makar-Limanov, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1996), 17–19.
7. A.I. SHIRSHOV, Subalgebras of free Lie algebras, *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* **33**(75) (1953), 441–452.
8. A.I. SHIRSHOV, Subalgebras of free commutative and free anticommutative algebras, *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* **34**(76) (1954), 81–88.
9. A. SMOKTUNOWICZ, Makar-Limanov's conjecture on free subalgebras, *Adv. Math.* **222** (2009), 2107–2116.
10. E. WITT, Die Unterringe der freien Lieschen ring, *Math. Z.* **64** (1956), 195–216.
11. K.A. ZHEVLAKOV, A.M. SLIN'KO, I.P. SHESTAKOV AND A.I. SHIRSHOV, *Rings that are nearly associative*, Pure and Applied Mathematics (Elsevier Science, 1982).