

13 Under pressure

Jewish art music, 1925–1945

LILY E. HIRSCH

The musicologist Anneliese Landau (1903–91) lectured on Jewish composition for Jewish audiences in Nazi Germany, within the Berlin Jewish Culture League (*Jüdischer Kulturbund*), which supported theater and music performances by and for Jews from 1933–41. Her focus was in many ways dictated by the Nazi context, and, more specifically, the Nazi in charge of the League, Hans Hinkel, who enforced the organization's national/racial orientation. In 1977, after her emigration and eventual work to establish serious engagement with classical music in Southern California,¹ she was asked to write a history of the Jewish contribution to music, a return in some ways to her work in Germany. She understandably hesitated: "I had just freed myself from evaluating composers and performers according to their birth and inheritance in a national sense – and now I should go back again and limit my outlook only [to] Jewishness."²

Landau's reluctance points to the problem of category in discussions of Jewish art music, 1925–45, underscored in blood by the Nazis. How do we explore music connected to Jewishness during an era disgraced by the Nazi regime's own circumscription of Jewish music – a musical persecution that went hand in hand with the segregation and extermination of Jewish people? Not only that, more recently the Holocaust itself has been viewed as a methodological pitfall, with the ability to overshadow or taint how we think about Jewish music. In *Historians of the Jews and the Holocaust*, David Engel examines a traditional separation between Jewish studies and the history of the Holocaust in academia. He credits this division in part to academic concerns about the Holocaust's power to divert "attention from how Jews themselves lived and what they created to the awful circumstances of their death."³ Ismar Schorsch, former chancellor of the Jewish Theological Seminary of America, has voiced similar unease. The Holocaust, for him, represents a "stain of passivity and submissiveness" within Jewish history.⁴ How can we reconstruct Jewish musical activities with direct connections to the Nazi era and thus this perceived overwhelming specter of murder?

With an awareness of these larger issues, this chapter traces moments of Jewish art music, 1925–45. I first outline the roles of Jewishness in musical activities immediately preceding the Nazi era, in Germany, the United States,

[201]

and Russia. I then focus on the operation of the Jewish Culture League during the Third Reich and its debate on Jewish music. To close, I highlight the evolution of several composers' musical relationship to Jewishness during the Holocaust, in exile and internment. Essentialized thinking about Jews, and arguably Jewish music, worked toward murderous ends from 1925 to 1945. This period thus supports and extends Landau's concern about category while, yes, forcing us to confront Jewish victimization in the musical realm. And yet, in the moments I highlight, this period brings to the fore the impossibility of any fixed definition of Jewish music in practice, underlining the negotiation surrounding Jewish music in this particular time and place. As I will show, it also offers examples of agency and choice for individuals composing in an evolving reality of extremes.

Degrees of choice

The Weimar era in Germany (1918–33) witnessed unprecedented innovation in the arts, propelled by prominent musicians with varying degrees of Jewish connection and self-identification. Arguably the greatest pianist and cellist of the early twentieth century, Artur Schnabel (1882–1951) and Emanuel Feuermann (1902–42), respectively, taught in Berlin during the late 1920s. Bruno Walter (1876–1962), who was famous across Europe, conducted the Leipzig Gewandhaus Orchestra, and Otto Klemperer (1885–1973) championed new music at Berlin's Kroll Opera. Arnold Schoenberg (1874–1951) and Kurt Weill (1900–50) composed in accordance with their distinct thinking about music and its purposes.

Berlin was the epicenter of much of this musical activity. Indeed, "Weimar was Berlin. Berlin Weimar."⁵ But in Berlin, German Jews could also choose to contribute to Jewish-only endeavors – part of what some have termed a Jewish Renaissance.⁶ During the 1920s, Jewish leaders in Berlin established the United Synagogue Choirs, the Society of the Friends of Jewish Music, and the Juwal Publishing Company for Jewish Music.⁷ And there were also those individuals who worked to bridge these two porous circles, entangling Jewish with more mainstream trends, through the reform of synagogue music and reevaluation of the Jewish prayer service.⁸ To this end, Heinrich Schalit (1886–1976), who took the position of music director and organist at the Munich synagogue in 1927, produced music both modern and anti-modern, building on tradition while responding to the groundbreaking compositional ideas of the time. Arno Nadel (1878–1943), composer, writer, and choir director of the Kottbusser Ufer Synagogue, saw Schoenberg's atonality as the perfect model in this respect. In 1923, he wrote, the "new music (especially Schoenberg!) attempts to free itself

from the harmonic basis and to proceed in new contexts in ways similar to what we assumed of the ancient.”⁹ Weill and Weimar-era *Zeitoper* – opera that incorporated contemporary themes, popular music, and technology – served as similar inspiration for Hugo Adler (1894–1955), the chief cantor in Mannheim, in the composition of his Instructional Cantatas.¹⁰

But this musical invention was not without opposition. Anti-Semitism grew alongside Jewish involvement in cultural as well as commercial spheres. This hate was not of a single variety. For some, socioeconomic concerns inspired anti-Jewish attitudes.¹¹ For others, anti-Semitism was a cultural code. Jews were seen as a threat to social status, prestige, and cultural hegemony.¹² Even amid increasing anti-Semitism, though, German Jews enjoyed success and freedom, a freedom of choice. German Jews could participate in the era’s general cultural creativity, embrace Jewish undertakings, or both, depending on their ideals.

German Jews enjoyed this tenuous freedom for slightly longer than Jews in Russia. James Loeffler, in this volume, has highlighted the contribution of the Society for Jewish Folk Music, founded in St. Petersburg in 1908 (see Chapter 11). Government support of Jewish national culture, however, began to fade in the late 1920s. By 1931, the state exercised total control of Soviet cultural life. At this time, private organizations, such as the Society’s Moscow branch, were officially dissolved.¹³ Individual artists were then subject to accusations of political crimes. Mikhail Gnesin (1883–1957), an original member of the Society, eventually lost close colleagues and even his own brother to arrest and execution. Gnesin himself sought a certain protection in composition centered on the folk music of other Soviet minorities, for a time shifting his musical agenda away from Jewish music.¹⁴

In the United States, on the other hand, attitudes toward Jewish identity in music were less overt. During the 1920s, a substantial block from within New York’s International Composers’ Guild (ICG, 1921), which supported modern American composition, left to join the newly formed League of Composers (1923), including Louis Gruenberg (1884–1964), who grew up in America though he was Russian-born, and Lazare Saminsky (1882–1959), another former member of the Society in St. Petersburg. Though historical explanations of the split have focused on the first American performance of Schoenberg’s *Pierrot lunaire*, musicologist Rachel Mundy has recently brought to light the role of Jewishness in this rupture. She cites Virgil Thomson’s reference to the new organization as “the League of Jewish Composers,” given the prominence of Jewish composers among the founding group.¹⁵ She ties this perception to a general xenophobia at the time. Though the US was heralded as the so-called land of immigrants, its government had actually sought to legislate against immigration in the early

1920s, particularly with the Immigration Act of 1924. Discourses surrounding anti-immigration in conjunction with a latent rhetoric of anti-Semitism played an implicit, if not explicit, role in American musical life during the 1920s and early 1930s. Aaron Copland (1900–90), who aligned with the League, was often depicted then as a “shrewd” commercial composer, recycling stereotypes of Jewish music making from the past.¹⁶ For many during and after the Nazi era, the United States would seem a haven from such discrimination. The reality was far more complex.

Negotiation in segregation

In 1933, the Weimar era’s promise of choice ended for those termed Jewish. On January 30, Adolf Hitler was officially appointed chancellor. His party, the National Socialist German Worker’s Party, or NSDAP, continued to grow in power during the early months of 1933. With the burning of the Reichstag on February 27, Hitler had the state of emergency he needed to demolish parliamentary government. One of the earliest results was the Law for the Reconstitution of the Civil Service of April 7, 1933, passed six days after a boycott of Jewish businesses. By means of the Law’s Aryan paragraph, “civil servants who are not of Aryan ancestry” were to be dismissed. This measure effectively prevented so-called non-Aryans – defined at that time as any person descended from a Jewish parent or grandparent – from holding positions in the public sphere, at state-run music conservatories, opera houses, concert halls, and theaters.

Even before this legislation, there were high-profile acts to exclude “non-Aryan” musicians. On March 16, Bruno Walter arrived for rehearsal at the Gewandhaus only to find himself locked out. Fearing he might have similar problems at an upcoming concert in Berlin with the Philharmonic Orchestra, Walter requested police protection for the event. His request was denied and it was made clear that his safety was in jeopardy. Walter Funk, the secretary in the Propaganda Ministry, explained that the concert could only take place with an Aryan conductor. And it did, with Richard Strauss in Walter’s place.¹⁷ Walter canceled his German engagements and eventually emigrated from Austria to the United States.

At this time, Schoenberg was similarly forced to resign from his position in Berlin at the Prussian Academy and leave Germany. Many composers, including Schoenberg, ended up in the United States, mostly in New York or Southern California: Erich Wolfgang Korngold (1897–1957), Kurt Weill, Paul Hindemith (1895–1963), Ernst Toch (1887–1964), Ernst Křenek (1900–91), Paul Dessau (1894–1979), and Alexander von Zemlin-sky (1871–1942), among others. Some, like Korngold and Weill, in many

ways prospered there.¹⁸ Korngold enjoyed success composing for films in Hollywood while Weill thrived with work on Broadway. (Both have, however, paid a price in reception, with condemnations of their participation in “mass culture” and accusations of “selling out.”)¹⁹ Others, such as Schoenberg, who earned a formidable reputation as a teacher if not a composer, endured a notoriously contentious professional relationship with the University of California, Los Angeles, and the city of Los Angeles in general.²⁰ Landau recalls visiting him during those years: “No kindness or any form of hospita[lity] expected me at Schoenberg’s house. I felt like an intruder into the sanctuary of an embittered man.”²¹ Hanns Eisler (1898–1962), a former student of Schoenberg, struggled for different reasons in the United States. He emigrated only to fall victim to a comparable climate of intolerance, encouraged by Senator Joseph McCarthy’s Communist witch-hunts. In 1948, he decided to return to the newly formed German Democratic Republic.²²

Though I stress the United States, displaced Jews were hardly confined to a single destination; they found themselves exiled in and out of Germany, far and wide, including, in one example, Shanghai, as the ethnomusicologist Tang Yating has recorded. After Kristallnacht in 1938, Jewish refugees arrived as a third wave to the Chinese city and brought with them musical traditions from the Reform service that would prove influential.²³ Ethnomusicologist Philip V. Bohlman identifies the publication of books of Jewish repertory in the 1930s as an essential means for emigrants from Germany to reestablish and reconstruct cultural activities. He specifically notes their importance in Israel as well as North and South America.²⁴

The Nazi regime generally banned the music left behind by composers termed Jewish as well as music by those deceased, such as Felix Mendelssohn (1809–47), Giacomo Meyerbeer (1791–1864), and Gustav Mahler (1860–1911). With some degree of error, given a certain measure of disorganization and competition within Nazi offices, anything that could be called Jewish music disappeared from “Aryan” concert venues. Accepted Germans were only allowed a glimpse into the rich world of forbidden music in 1938, at the propaganda exhibition “Entartete Musik” (Degenerate Music), presented in conjunction with the first “Reichsmusiktag” (Reich Music Days) in Düsseldorf by Hans Severus Ziegler, the director of the German National Theatre at Weimar. Modeled after Munich’s “Degenerate Art” exhibit, the music exhibition reused the German term “Entartung,” which blends in definition a Darwinian sense of the decline of the species with an immoral quality of degeneration or pollution.²⁵ Emblematic of the event was its booklet cover, emblazoned with what Michael H. Kater has described as “a monkeylike Negro,” wearing the Star of David and playing the saxophone.²⁶ This image hinted, not so subtly, at Ernst Křenek’s opera *Jonny spielt auf!*

(*Johnny Strikes Up*), a 1927 hit that incorporated the composer's understanding of jazz. Nationalistic writers at the time despised jazz for its link with Africans or African-Americans and the United States, its sexual power, and the unsuitability of jazz rhythms for marching. When Nazi scientists concluded that Jews had large proportions of "negroid blood," enemies of jazz also had enough justification to link jazz with the Jews, connecting in some ways the non-Jewish *Křenek* with Jewish music.²⁷

Much of the music featured in Düsseldorf did have one other platform in Germany, beyond the exhibition: the Jewish Culture League. This organization, in which Landau worked until her emigration, was the musical and theatrical home for Jewish musicians, actors, and audience members that had remained for a variety of reasons. Michael Haas compares the League to four Austrian musical groups: the Hakoah Orchestra, Jewish Song Society, Symphony Orchestra of the League of Jewish Austrian Front Soldiers, and Society for the Promotion of Jewish Music, which eventually led the repertoire programming of the other three ensembles, though it was established last, in 1927. These groups were created before the League in Germany, with ties to the goals of the St. Petersburg Society. As Haas notes, however, all five organizations performed a restricted repertoire. Until its dissolution in 1939, a year after Austria's Annexation, the Society for the Promotion of Jewish Music also met in protected circles in private homes, which were likewise venues of choice and necessity in Germany.²⁸ But the League in Germany, given its direct cooperation from the start with the Nazi government – on matters large and small, from repertoire to venue and promotion – offers a unique lens onto notions of Jewish music at the time, as well as an extreme example of the mediation surrounding Jewish music during this time of tremendous stress.

Kurt Baumann (1907–83), a former director's assistant in Berlin, and Kurt Singer (1885–1944), a physician, musicologist, and the director of the Doctors' Chorus in Berlin, developed the initial plan for the League, to be set in Berlin, in the early months of 1933.²⁹ The Nazi administrator Hans Hinkel supported the plan for several reasons: the League operated within the Nazi propaganda machine;³⁰ the League functioned as a mechanism of local social control; and, with the requirement that the League perform Jewish works – backed by the censorship of so-called Aryan composers – the League represented a means for the regime to end Jewish cultural appropriation and perceived degradation of the German masterworks.

The assimilated League leaders, however, hoped simply to offer unemployed Jewish musicians a means of income and a place of solace. They thus did not initially focus on a Jewish repertoire. To some, such a repertoire was, in fact, at odds with their sense of Germanness and threatened to turn their Jewish organization into a ghetto. From the very start, German Zionist

writers demanded that the League confront the changing situation of Jews in Germany and the need for a repertoire connected to Jewishness. The conflict here, which the heterogeneous Jewish public only compounded, in part, explains why League leaders did not follow the example of other organizations dedicated to Jewish music, such as the St. Petersburg Society. The League lacked support for similar work but also the time. In September 1936, after three years of debate about their repertoire and Jewish music, Singer convened, at the Nazi regime's insistence, the Jewish Culture League Conference. Though League branches had been established in most major cities in Germany by this time, the conference took place in Berlin, given the centrality of the original branch in repertoire programming.

In his presentation at the conference on Jewish liturgical music and Jewish folk song, Arno Nadel insisted that "authentic Jewish music" (*echte jüdische Musik*) was Jewish folk song, but especially music for the synagogue.³¹ Synagogue music was privileged above Jewish folk song, in part, because the authenticity of Jewish folk music, in the absence of a common Jewish nation, was questionable. Karl Adler (1890–1973), a leader in the artistic community in Stuttgart,³² in his speech on Jewish choral music, also confronted this absence when he argued that the only logical criteria for Jewish choral music were "the religious [tradition], the language, the land" (*das Religiöse, die Sprache, das Land*).³³ In so doing, he further indexed a Zionist position that Jewish music could not exist outside Palestine, a position that could have effectively rendered impossible the League's immediate performance needs. But Joachim Prinz (1902–88), a Zionist rabbi, in his speech at the conference on Jewish theater, had offered a solution: he contended that without a common land, the League could only have a "national-pedagogical" function – building "a bridge from a denationalized Jewry, living remote from Jewish prime sources, to Jewish life."³⁴ In other words, the League could not perform authentic Jewish art, but could encourage Jewish awareness, which could foster future Jewish cultural activities in Palestine. In his presentation, Adler did not advance the same conclusion. Though he restated Prinz's basis for such a conviction, he also explained that he could "feel something" in the creations of Jews³⁵ – an insinuation that implies a sweeping definition of Jewish music as the composition of Jewish composers.

Anneliese Landau's speech on Jewish art song reiterated this Zionist position that Jewish music did not yet exist. But she also offered a practical course of action by accepting all art songs created by composers with Jewish roots as Jewish music. She then betrayed both of these positions by suggesting a sliding scale of Jewishness, as she discussed the songs of Mendelssohn, Meyerbeer, and Jacques Offenbach (1819–80). She explained, "These songs have nothing to do with the Jewishness of their composers. They grow from

the atmosphere of the country in which they were written.”³⁶ In contrast she listed composers such as Joel Engel (1868–1927), Heinrich Schalit, Darius Milhaud (1892–1974), and Ernest Bloch (1880–1959), and explained that, within the twentieth-century art song tradition, these composers created Jewish *Lieder* “in complete consciousness by Jews for Jews” (im völligen Bewusstsein von Juden für Juden).³⁷

Hans Nathan (1910–89), a professor of musicology and music critic,³⁸ in his speech, similarly distinguished between the music of various Jewish composers through the organization of his speech in two parts: “Jewish orchestra and chamber music” and “General literature.” Under the category of “Jewish orchestra and chamber music,” he again recognized Bloch and Schalit. Under “general literature,” he discussed composers of Jewish origin such as Mendelssohn and Offenbach, who he did not believe displayed Jewish musical inclinations.³⁹ Of Mendelssohn, he stated simply that the composer was the “purest German classicist” (reinsten deutscher Klassicist).⁴⁰

Neither Landau nor Nathan explained the grounds for their separate categorization of Jewish composers – why for example the music of Mendelssohn, the grandson of the great Jewish philosopher Moses Mendelssohn (1729–86), was not seen as Jewish art at the time – and the Jewish Culture League Conference ended with no definitive criteria of Jewish music. What the League ultimately performed then was the result of compromise. And in practice, the League actually performed and adopted certain works by non-Jewish composers as though they were Jewish, including, for example, several Handelian oratorios based on Old Testament texts and Schubert’s setting of Psalm 92 to the Hebrew text.⁴¹

As conditions worsened for Jews in Germany, League leaders shifted their attention from notions of a national repertoire to a repertoire of entertainment and diversion. The debate on Jewish music would have to continue or begin anew elsewhere – as the German Jewish composer Stefan Wolpe (1902–72), for one, would ensure with his lecture “What is Jewish Music?” on February 29, 1940, at the invitation of the Jewish Music Forum of the Society for the Advancement of Jewish Musical Culture in New York. In March 1939, Wolpe had given a talk to the same organization, which was then called the MAILAMM (an acronym for the Hebrew for America-Palestine Institute of Musical Sciences). The MAILAMM was founded in 1931 by Joseph Achron (1886–1943), Lazare Saminsky, Solomon Rosowsky (1878–1962), Jacob Weinberg (1879–1956), and Joseph Yasser (1893–1981), and had some ties to the World Centre for Jewish Music in Palestine, which existed between 1936–40.⁴² The group was reorganized and renamed the Jewish Music Forum in November 1939. In “What is Jewish Music?” Wolpe challenged those who even asked the title’s question, accusing them of

attempting to justify their status as Jewish composers. He then explored a tension between spontaneous and formulaic approaches to the development of national music, based in part on his experience in Palestine after his emigration from Germany.⁴³ The debate on Jewish music had thereby shifted in an evolving context. But, within the League, this debate represents a unique look at ideas of Jewish music under pressure. This pressure worked in unique ways on the level of the individual as well.

Shifting focus

Just as repertoire negotiations changed during the early years of the Third Reich, so too did the compositional program of individual composers. During the Holocaust, we see evidence of this evolution in internment and exile. The composer Viktor Ullmann (1898–1944), for example, turned inward by composing according to a more personal musical aesthetic during the early 1940s, while imprisoned in Terezín, along with the composers Pavel Haas (1899–1944), Gideon Klein (1919–45), and Hans Krása (1899–1944), the latter of whom composed the children’s opera *Brundibár* in 1938, performed forty-four times in internment. Showcasing its artistic activities, among other techniques, the Nazi regime used Terezín, renamed Theresienstadt, as a “show camp,” to deceive foreign visitors, including the Red Cross, by artificially staging a better life for prisoners. The Nazis took this strategy to the next level in the propaganda film centered in Terezín, *Der Führer schenkt den Juden eine Stadt* (The Führer Gives the Jews a City, 1944).⁴⁴

Born in the Czech Republic in 1898, Ullmann studied law at Vienna University. During his student days, however, he also enrolled in Schoenberg’s composition seminar. After ending his career as a law student, he worked with Zemlinsky at the Neues Deutsches Theater in Prague. He went on to excel as a conductor and composer, incorporating into his compositions a multitude of styles and ideas – the atonality of Schoenberg and exploration of the fringes of functional tonal harmony. After he arrived in Terezín on September 8, 1942, however, he became increasingly aware of his Jewish identity and arranged Hebrew and Yiddish songs. He also wrote the one-act opera *Der Kaiser von Atlantis*. Composed to a libretto by the poet and fellow inmate Peter Kien, the work presents the evil Emperor Überall (a stand-in for Hitler) and his manipulation of Death. Ullmann made the connections between life and music explicit with the inclusion of various musical quotations, including the Nazi anthem “Deutschland, Deutschland über alles.”⁴⁵ Complementing, in some ways, the strangely positive American reception of Terezín,⁴⁶ Death ultimately ends the rule of Überall himself – a triumph for all in art, if not life.

For our purposes, Ullmann's seven piano sonatas are perhaps the most instructive. Ullmann wrote the first four before his imprisonment. The composer described the first, of 1936, as follows: "The principal subjects in three tonalities . . . [but] what apparently is happening is the linking of the twelve tonalities and their related minor keys. It seems that I was always striving for a 12-tone system on a tonal basis, similar to the merging of major and minor keys."⁴⁷ The following three sonatas, which were similarly complex, were dedicated, respectively, to Hans Büchenbucher, the president of the Anthroposophical Society in Germany at the time; the Hungarian pianist Juliette Arányi; and Alice Herz-Sommer, an active pianist in Czechoslovakia and Germany before the war. The fifth sonata was composed in Terezín. This work, dedicated to Ullmann's wife, is joyful and, unlike the earlier sonatas, achieves a new tonal clarity. Ullmann completed the final sonata, dated August 22, 1944, just a few weeks before he was sent to Auschwitz on October 16 and murdered. The piece, dedicated to his children, has an uncharacteristic amount of autobiographical allusions, including references to Czech and Slovak national songs, Ullmann's earlier work, and arguably the composer's Jewish heritage with a Hebrew folk song.⁴⁸

Another composer who seemed to respond to a new, radically altered existence was Rosebery d'Arguto (1890–1943). This Polish Jewish composer and choir director, born Martin Rosenberg, had changed his name professionally, effectively distancing himself from his Jewish roots. In 1939, he was sent to the Sachsenhausen concentration camp, which supported diverse musical activities, including an orchestra (most of the larger camps did – Buchenwald, Dachau, Flossenbürg, Mauthausen, and Auschwitz).⁴⁹ Though musicians in Terezín performed works by Jewish composers openly, Aleksander Kulisiewicz (1918–82), an invaluable collector of music from Sachsenhausen, maintained that works by Jewish and Polish composers were smuggled into the orchestra's repertoire in Sachsenhausen.⁵⁰ While it seems unlikely that the authorities would have been unaware of this effort, Kulisiewicz's recollection points to differences between the musical activities in the various concentration camps and ghettos as well as the different functions of music therein – positive and negative.⁵¹ After all, there was no uniform Nazi organization of music during the final years of the Third Reich.

Before his transport to Auschwitz at the end of 1942, D'Arguto acted as a choir director in Sachsenhausen, continuing in some ways his previous work. For his group, he composed "Juedischer Todessang" (Jewish Death Song), based on an old Yiddish song "Tsen brider" (Ten Brothers), which recounts the death of all the brothers but one. Though d'Arguto died in Auschwitz, Kulisiewicz survived and later made d'Arguto's song central to his performance career.⁵² Musicologist Shirli Gilbert notes the significance

of the song within d'Arguto's oeuvre: "It is interesting that the experience of incarceration led someone like d'Arguto – a non-practicing Jew who had gone so far as to de-Judaize his name – to write an explicitly Jewish lament."⁵³

An even more complicated example of personal response is Gnesin's Piano Trio, op. 63, "In Memory of Our Murdered Children" of 1943. Loeffler calls the piece, which was composed in Russia, the "earliest and certainly the most significant Soviet wartime composition about the Holocaust."⁵⁴ But the Jewishness of "our murdered children" remains enigmatic. Gnesin may have been aware of the Nazi mass murder of Soviet Jews in the Ukraine, which was at the time entering the collective consciousness of Soviet society.⁵⁵ The titular children, however, must have also included Gnesin's own son, Fabi, who had recently died. Musically, Gnesin did not incorporate elements stereotypically associated with Jewishness, remaining true in some ways to his earlier move away from Jewish music. But he did quote a well-known Yiddish folk song "Amol iz geven a yidele" (There Once Was a Little Jew). Loeffler ultimately insists, in this work, "Gnesin encoded his Jewish suffering inside the Soviet war experience."⁵⁶

Other composers in the 1930s and 1940s, in varying states of exile in the United States, confronted Jewishness and/or their Jewish roots, or reached for music as some form of personal response or constructed comment. In Chapter 16, Amy Lynn Wlodarski discusses Schoenberg's *A Survivor from Warsaw* (1947), which, along with his *Kol Nidre* (1938), could be explored along these lines. Kurt Weill offers another case in point. America had always figured in Weill's compositional imagination. Though he could probably have remained in Paris, where he moved in 1933, he eventually relocated to the United States in 1935. Weill had considered himself German and was generally secular, and had even been critical of the Jewish diaspora in central Europe, and Germany especially.⁵⁷ Though Nazi persecution forced Weill to reflect upon his Jewish ancestry, he did not return to his family's religion, as Schoenberg did in 1933. He did, however, begin work on *The Eternal Road*, along with the dramatist Franz Werfel (1890–1945), the producer Max Reinhardt (1873–1943), and the American impresario Meyer Weisgal (1894–1977). All four men agreed in May 1934 that the work was to be "a musical biblical morality play to express the spiritual origin, the earliest mythical history and the eternal destiny of the Jewish people to whom they belong."⁵⁸ The piece also in some ways mirrored the Jews' situation during the early years of the Third Reich: at the start of the play, a rabbi warns his congregation that they are about to be expelled from the country they had long since called home. In the music, Weill was true to traditions of Hebrew cantillation in instructions for the rabbi's scriptural recitation, a compositional strategy absent from his previous work in Germany. The

piece premiered in January 1937 at the Manhattan Opera House and lasted, according to the perhaps unreliable *New York Times* critic Brooks Atkinson, until three o'clock in the morning.⁵⁹

Toward the end of his life, Weill also contributed to commemorations of the Holocaust.⁶⁰ A famous result, *We Will Never Die*, was a memorial to Hitler's Jewish victims, with music by Weill, staged in Madison Square Garden in March 1943. The climax was a recitation of the Mourner's Kaddish, in memory of the departed.⁶¹

The intention of composers with works pointing to the Holocaust or their own Jewish roots is rarely clear, complicated by an insurmountable distance between a composer's biography and his music. But composers at this time did respond in various ways to a rapidly changing context. This response was hardly passive or inevitable, but often an active choice. I will not recycle here clichés of resistance, which often pepper discussions of music during the Holocaust. Notions of resistance, after all, often serve contemporary agendas rather than accurate historical reconstruction.⁶² But the compositional decisions I have featured, at the same time, cannot support simplistic accounts of victimization. Like the debate on Jewish music in the League, composers' compositional shifts at this time underscore variety, change, and contestation in music connected to Jewishness. Jewish art music, 1925–45, bears the burden of its horrific historical context while undermining the essential thinking on which so much of it was based.

Notes

- 1 Dorothy Lamb Crawford outlines the challenges to serious music making faced by the many composers who immigrated to the United States and eventually settled in Southern California. Chief among these was a general climate of anti-intellectualism, created by several factors: isolation encouraged by the climate, no established operatic or instrumental concert life, and political and social control of the city in the hands of white Republican Protestants from the Midwest and rural South, with little background in the arts. Dorothy Lamb Crawford, *A Windfall of Musicians: Hitler's Émigrés and Exiles in Southern California* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 2009), 25–8.
- 2 Anneliese Landau, Memoirs, donated to the author (unpublished), 150.
- 3 David Engel, *Historians of the Jews and the Holocaust* (Stanford University Press, 2010), 30.
- 4 *Ibid.*, 31.
- 5 Eric D. Weitz, *Weimar Germany: Promise and Tragedy* (Princeton University Press, 2007), 79.
- 6 See, for example, Michael Brenner, *The Renaissance of Jewish Culture in Weimar*

Germany (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1996).

- 7 *Ibid.*, 161. The Juwal Publishing Company, which can be traced back to the Society for Jewish Folk Music in St. Petersburg, published a number of Jewish folk song arrangements. See Abraham Zvi Idelsohn, *Jewish Music in Its Historical Development* (New York: Henry Holt, 1929), 463–4; Jascha Nemtsov, *Die Neue Jüdische Schule in der Musik* (Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 2004), ch. 5.
- 8 Brenner, *Renaissance of Jewish Culture*, 155.
- 9 Arno Nadel, "Jüdische Musik," *Der Jude*, 7 (1923): 227–36 (235), translated in Brenner, *Renaissance of Jewish Culture*, 157.
- 10 Brenner, *Renaissance of Jewish Culture*, 157.
- 11 Amos Elon, *The Pity of It All: A History of the Jews in Germany, 1743–1933* (New York: Metropolitan Books, 2002), 356.
- 12 Oded Heilbronner, "From Antisemitic Peripheries to Antisemitic Centres: The Place of Antisemitism in Modern German History," *Journal of Contemporary History*, 35.4 (October 2000): 559–76 (563).

- 13 James Loeffler, *The Most Musical Nation: Jews and Culture in the Late Russian Empire* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 2010), 205.
- 14 *Ibid.*
- 15 Rachel Mundy, "The 'League of Jewish Composers' and American Music," *Musical Quarterly*, 96.1 (Spring 2013): 50–99 (56).
- 16 *Ibid.*, 62–4.
- 17 Erik Levi, *Music in the Third Reich* (New York: St. Martin's Press, 1994), 42.
- 18 Albrecht Dümling, "The Target of Racial Purity: The 'Degenerate Music' Exhibition in Düsseldorf, 1938," in Richard A. Etlin (ed.), *Art, Culture, and Media under the Third Reich* (University of Chicago Press, 2002), 43–72 (62).
- 19 Bryan Gilliam, "A Viennese Opera Composer in Hollywood: Korngold's Double Exile in America," in Reinhold Brinkmann and Christoph Wolff (eds.), *Driven into Paradise: The Musical Migration from Nazi Germany to the United States* (Berkeley and Los Angeles: University of California Press), 223–42 (223–4). This negative reception may have been exacerbated by European anti-Americanism. See Reinhold Brinkmann, "Reading a Letter," in Brinkmann and Wolff (eds.), *Driven into Paradise*, 3–20 (11).
- 20 Sabine Feisst, *Schoenberg's New World: The American Years* (Oxford University Press, 2011), 232.
- 21 Landau, *Memoirs*, 128.
- 22 Michael H. Kater, *The Twisted Muse: Musicians and Their Music in the Third Reich* (Oxford University Press, 1997), 109.
- 23 Tang Yating, "Reconstructing the Vanished Musical Life of the Shanghai Jewish Diaspora: A Report," *Ethnomusicology Forum*, 13.1 (January 2004): 101–18 (105–6).
- 24 Philip V. Bohlman, *Jewish Music and Modernity* (Oxford University Press, 2008), 92.
- 25 Albrecht Dümling, "Nationalism as Racism: Nazi Policies Towards Music," in *Banned by the Nazis: Entartete Musik*, program (Los Angeles Philharmonic Association, 1991), 5–6. Thank you to Steven Lacoste, archivist of the Los Angeles Philharmonic, for sending a copy of this program to the author.
- 26 Michael H. Kater, *Different Drummers: Jazz in the Culture of Nazi Germany* (Oxford University Press, 1992), 32.
- 27 *Ibid.*, 32.
- 28 Michael Haas, *Forbidden Music: The Jewish Composers Banned by the Nazis* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 2013), 235–7.
- 29 See Julius Bab's 1939 *Leben und Tod des deutschen Judentums*, ed. Klaus Siebenhaar (Berlin: Argon, 1988), 106. See also Margaret Limberg and Hubert Rübsaat (eds.), *Germans No More: Accounts of Jewish Everyday Life, 1933–1938*, trans. Alan Nothnagle (New York: Berghahn Books, 2006), 183.
- 30 See Kater, *Twisted Muse*, 98; Levi, *Music in the Third Reich*, 51; and Herbert Freeden, *Jüdisches Theater in Nazideutschland* (Tübingen: J. C. B. Mohr, 1964), 51.
- 31 *Geschlossene Vorstellung: Der Jüdische Kulturbund in Deutschland 1933–1941*, ed. Akademie der Künste (Berlin: Akademie der Künste, 1992), 285. See also Arno Nadel, "Die Renaissance der synagogalen Musik," *Jüdische Rundschau*, September 28, 1928, 545. In this publication, he wrote, "Die synagogale Musik, das ist die Hauptmusik der Juden." Likewise, in "Jüdische Musik," he wrote, "jüdische Musik, das ist vorerst synagogale Musik" (227).
- 32 Adler assumed the leadership of the *Stuttgarter Jüdische Kunstgemeinschaft* after he was dismissed from his post at the Stuttgart Conservatory of Music in March 1933. Hannah Caplan and Belinda Rosenblatt (eds.), *International Biographical Dictionary of Central European Émigrés 1933–1945*, 3 vols. (Munich: K. G. Sauer, 1983), i:11.
- 33 *Geschlossene Vorstellung*, 289.
- 34 Quoted in Herbert Freeden, "A Jewish Theatre under the Swastika," *Year Book of the Leo Baeck Institute*, 1 (1956): 142–62 (149).
- 35 *Geschlossene Vorstellung*, 289.
- 36 "Diese Lieder haben gar nichts mit dem Judentum ihres Komponisten zu tun. Sie wachsen aus der Atmosphäre ihres Land, in dem sie geschrieben werden." *Geschlossene Vorstellung*, 291–2.
- 37 *Ibid.*, 292.
- 38 Caplan and Rosenblatt (eds.), *International Biographical Dictionary*, ii:845.
- 39 *Geschlossene Vorstellung*, 286.
- 40 Quoted in *ibid.*, 288.
- 41 See Lily E. Hirsch, *A Jewish Orchestra in Nazi Germany: Musical Politics and the Berlin Jewish Culture League* (Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 2010).
- 42 Irene Heskes, "Shapers of American Jewish Music: Mailamm and the Jewish Music Forum, 1931–62," *American Music*, 15.3 (Autumn 1997): 305–20 (307). See also Philip V. Bohlman, *The World Centre for Jewish Music in Palestine 1936–1940: Jewish Musical Life on the Eve of World War II* (Oxford University Press, 1992).
- 43 Austin Clarkson, "What is Jewish Music?," *Contemporary Music Review*, 27.2/3 (April/June 2008): 179–92.
- 44 Eckhard John, "Music and Concentration Camps: An Approximation," *Journal of Musicological Research*, 20 (2001): 269–323 (286–9).

- 45 Joža Karas, *Music in Terezín, 1941–1945* (New York: Pendragon, 1985), 33–6.
- 46 Terezín has exercised a certain fascination in the United States, with redemptive narratives that stress the positive role of music within the camp, despite the negative memories of survivors. See Amy Wlodarski, “Musical Memories of Terezín in Transnational Perspective,” in Tina Frühauf and Lily E. Hirsch (eds.), *Dislocated Memories: Jews, Music, and Postwar German Culture* (Oxford University Press, 2014), 57–72.
- 47 Ullmann to Karel Reiner, August 1938, quoted in John Paul Healey, *The Solo Piano Music of Viktor Ullmann: From Prague to the Holocaust*, D.M.A. thesis, University of Cincinnati (2001), 110–11.
- 48 See Karas, *Music in Terezín*, 111–17, 120; and Healey, *Solo Piano*, 224. This evolution was highlighted in Kristof Boucquet’s presentation in London, England, on April 10, 2008, at the conference “The Impact of Nazism on Musical Development in the 20th Century.”
- 49 Shirli Gilbert, *Music in the Holocaust: Confronting Life in the Nazi Ghettos and Camps* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2005), 130.
- 50 *Ibid.*, 131.
- 51 See, for example, John, “Music and Concentration Camps,” 279.
- 52 See Barbara Milewski, “Remembering the Concentration Camps: Aleksander Kulisiewicz and His Concerts of Prisoners’ Songs in the Federal Republic of Germany,” in Frühauf and Hirsch (eds.), *Dislocated Memories*, 141–60 (144–6).
- 53 Gilbert, *Music in the Holocaust*, 139.
- 54 James Loeffler, “‘In Memory of Our Murdered (Jewish) Children’: Hearing the Holocaust in Soviet Jewish Culture,” *Slavic Review*, 73.3 (Fall 2014): 585–611 (588).
- 55 *Ibid.*, 597.
- 56 *Ibid.*, 601.
- 57 Michael H. Kater, *Composers of the Nazi Era: Eight Portraits* (Oxford University Press, 2000), 80; Magnar Breivik, “From Surabaya to Ellis Island: On Two Versions of Kurt Weill’s ‘Surabaya-Johnny,’” in Erik Levi (ed.), *The Impact of Nazism on Twentieth-Century Music* (Vienna: Böhlau, 2014), 77–90 (77).
- 58 Quoted in Kater, *Composers of the Nazi Era*, 81.
- 59 Alexander L. Ringer, “Strangers in Strangers’ Land: Werfel, Weill, and *The Eternal Road*,” in Brinkmann and Wolff (eds.), *Driven into Paradise*, 243–60 (254–8).
- 60 Kater, *Composers of the Nazi Era*, 82.
- 61 *Ibid.*, 82.
- 62 See the introduction to Gilbert, *Music in the Holocaust*.