

# Fruit and vegetable intake and bone mass in Chinese adolescents, young and postmenopausal women

Jing-Jing Li<sup>1</sup>, Zhen-Wu Huang<sup>2</sup>, Ruo-Qin Wang<sup>1</sup>, Xiao-Ming Ma<sup>1</sup>, Zhe-Qing Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Zen Liu<sup>1</sup>, Yu-Ming Chen<sup>1,\*</sup> and Yi-Xiang Su<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Statistics & Epidemiology, Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Food, Nutrition and Health, School of Public Health, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510080, People's Republic of China:

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Nutrition and Food Safety, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing, People's Republic of China

Submitted 22 July 2011: Final revision received 22 February 2012: Accepted 6 March 2012: First published online 17 April 2012

## Abstract

**Objective:** Previous studies showed an inconsistent association of fruit and vegetable consumption with bone health. We assessed the associations in Chinese adolescents, young and postmenopausal women.

**Design:** A cross-sectional study conducted in China during July 2009 to May 2010.

**Setting:** Bone mineral density (BMD) and content (BMC) at the whole body, lumbar spine and left hip were measured with dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry. Dietary intakes were assessed using an FFQ. All these values were separately standardized into Z-scores in each population subgroup.

**Subjects:** One hundred and ten boys and 112 girls (11–14 years), 371 young women (20–34 years, postpartum within 2 weeks) and 333 postmenopausal women (50–70 years).

**Results:** After adjustment for potential covariates, analysis of covariance showed a significantly positive association between fruit intake and BMD and BMC in all participants combined (*P*-trend: < 0.001 to 0.002). BMD Z-score increased by 0.25 (or 2.1% of the mean), 0.22 (3.5%), 0.23 (3.0%) and 0.25 (3.5%), and BMC Z-score increased by 0.33 (5.7%), 0.25 (5.8%), 0.34 (5.9%) and 0.29 (4.7%), at the total body, lumbar spine, total hip and femoral neck in participants belonging to the top tertile compared with the bottom tertile of fruit intake (all *P* < 0.05), respectively. There was no significant association between vegetable intake and bone mass at all bone sites studied except for total body BMD (*P* = 0.030). Relatively more pronounced effects were observed in boys and postmenopausal women.

**Conclusion:** Our findings add to the existing evidence that fruits and vegetables may have a bone sparing effect.

## Keywords

Fruit  
Vegetable  
Bone mineral density  
Bone mineral content  
Dietary intake

Osteoporosis is a major growing public health problem that affects one in three postmenopausal women and the majority of the elderly population<sup>(1)</sup>. It is affected by genetic, endocrine, mechanical, nutritional and other lifestyle factors or health behaviours, with extensive interactions between the different factors<sup>(2)</sup>. Nutritional factors are considered to be of particular importance to bone health because they are potentially modifiable<sup>(3)</sup>. Many studies have shown that some nutrients, such as alkaline ions (K<sup>+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup>), vitamin K and vitamin C, found abundantly in fruit and vegetables, are associated with bone mass and urinary Ca excretion<sup>(3,4)</sup>. These findings have led researchers to examine the linkages between fruit and vegetable consumption and bone health.

Many previous studies have examined the association between fruit and vegetable consumption and bone health as summarized in a recent review<sup>(4)</sup>. New *et al.*<sup>(5)</sup> first reported the positive association of fruit and vegetable intake with bone mass in human populations. They found that bone mineral density (BMD) at the lumbar spine, femoral neck, trochanter and Ward's triangle was significantly lowered by 3.4 to 4.8% in middle-aged (44–50 years) women who reported a low fruit intake in early adulthood compared with those who reported a medium or high intake. Similar positive associations between fruit and vegetable intake and BMD, bone mineral content (BMC) or lower fracture rates were also observed in most<sup>(6–10)</sup>, but not all<sup>(11)</sup> studies. Therefore, the hypothesis of a beneficial effect of fruit and

\*Corresponding authors: E-mail chenyum@mail.sysu.edu.cn; suyx@mail.sysu.edu.cn

vegetables on bone health remains unclear<sup>(12)</sup>, and little is known about the effects of them in Asian populations who have traditionally consumed a plant-based diet.

Osteoporosis is determined by the storage of bone mass (or peak bone mass) accumulated before 30–35 years of age and the subsequent rate of bone loss. Adolescence, pregnancy and postmenopause are three important stages for bone health across the life cycle. During the 3–4 years of puberty in adolescents, BMD increases by about 50% and BMC by about 200%<sup>(13)</sup>. Excess bone loss may occur among pregnant and lactating women because of substantial Ca transfers from them to their fetus or infant<sup>(14,15)</sup>. Increased rate of bone loss occurs in women after menopause due to rapidly declining concentrations of circulating oestrogen<sup>(16)</sup>. Therefore, it is important to assess the determinants of bone mass in these life stages.

Typical Chinese diets comprise higher components of vegetables, and lower intakes of protein, than their Western counterparts<sup>(17,18)</sup>. A Chinese national survey found a higher intake of fruit and vegetables (320 g/d) among Chinese<sup>(18)</sup> compared with American women at 52 years of age (3.5 (SD 1.8) servings; ~280 g/d)<sup>(19)</sup>. It is still uncertain whether greater fruit and vegetable intake is associated with better bone mass in Chinese populations<sup>(10)</sup>. The present cross-sectional study aimed to examine the associations between fruit and vegetable consumption and bone mass in adolescents, postpartum women and postmenopausal women.

## Participants and methods

### Participants

Participants included in the present cross-sectional study were composed of three age groups: (i) 222 early adolescent boys and girls aged 11–14 years; (ii) 371 parturient women (within 2 weeks postpartum) aged 20–34 years; and (iii) 333 postmenopausal women aged 50–70 years. The adolescent boys and girls were recruited from Year 1 students at four typical secondary schools in Guangzhou city in November 2009. Their parents were required to fill in a form for the study registration and eligibility screening. Potential adolescents were enrolled based on the returned registration forms. The parturient women (volunteers) were recruited from in-patients in Guangdong Women and Children's Hospital and Health Institute during July 2009 to May 2010, and the postmenopausal women were enrolled from communities in urban Guangzhou by local post between July and November 2009. The girls were required to have menarche, and the postmenopausal women to have natural menopause for at least 12 months. Exclusion criteria included hormonal replacement therapy, malabsorption, lactose intolerance, chronic liver or kidney diseases, parathyroid and thyroid diseases, gastric operation or cancer, oophorectomy and/or hysterectomy.

After initial screening for eligibility, we invited the potential participants to the Guangdong Women and Children's Hospital and Health Institute for face-to-face questionnaire interviews and measurements of bone mass and anthropometric indices after further confirming their eligibility. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants (or their legal guardians for the adolescents) prior to the final enrolment. The ethical committee of the School of Public Health of Sun Yat-sen University approved the study.

### Data collection

#### *Anthropometric and bone mineral status measurements*

Height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm and weight to the nearest 0.1 kg with the participant in light clothing and no shoes standing motionless and straight in the centre of the scale. BMI was calculated as weight (in kilograms) divided by the square of height (in metres).

Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DPX-L instrument; GE Lunar, Waukesha, WI, USA) was used to measure BMD (g/cm<sup>2</sup>) and BMC (g) at the whole body, lumbar spine (L1–L4) and left hip (including total hip and femoral neck). The *in vivo* reproducibility of the machine was 1.92%, 1.48% and 0.68% for the BMD tests at the femoral neck, lumbar spine and whole body, respectively.

#### *General information and dietary assessments*

Face-to-face interviews based on a structured questionnaire were used to collect general information on sociodemographic data, years since menarche (for girls) or menopause (for postmenopausal women) and physical activities. The metabolic equivalent for task (MET) was calculated for daily physical activities.

The dietary assessment of intakes of fruit, vegetables, Ca and protein was based on a quantitative FFQ that included seventy-nine food groups/items as validated in previous studies<sup>(20)</sup>. The mean intake of food per day, week or month was reported at the face-to-face interview, using the past 12 months (in postmenopausal women) or 3 months (in adolescents and parturient women) prior to the interview as the reference period. Food photographs in the reference portion sizes and some household measures used in the FFQ as serving size were provided for aids. Fruit intake was estimated based on ten main fruit items/groups: (i) orange, grapefruit and lemon; (ii) apple, pear, peach, pineapple and plum; (iii) banana; (iv) grapes; (v) lychee and longan; (vi) mango and persimmon; (vii) papaya; (viii) water melons and various muskmelons; (ix) durian; and (x) other fruits; Vegetable intake was estimated based on thirteen main vegetable groups/subgroups: (i) dark-green leafy vegetables (four subgroups, including nineteen common vegetables); (ii) light-green leafy vegetables (e.g. broccoli, cabbage, cauliflower, celery); (iii) onion, garlic; (iv) turnip and various vegetable melons (e.g. Chinese waxgourd, pumpkin, cucumber, towel gourd, bitter melon,

luffa-smooth loofah, eggplants); (v) tomato; (vi) peppers; (vii) carrots; (viii) starchy vegetables (e.g. yam and potato, taro, other tubers); (ix) fresh corn; (x) fresh beans; and (xi) mushrooms and edible fungi. Pickled vegetables were excluded in the calculation of vegetable intake. Dietary energy and nutrient intakes were calculated from the China Food Composition Table<sup>(21)</sup>. The correlation coefficients for the short-term reproducibility of fruit and vegetable intakes were  $r=0.65$  ( $P<0.0001$ ) and  $r=0.58$  ( $P<0.0001$ ), respectively, in the study participants.

### Statistical analyses

We examined the associations between intake of vegetables, intake of fruit and total intake of fruit and vegetables with BMD and BMC among all participants combined due to limited sample size in each age and sex group. To ensure the comparability of the values for dietary intakes of fruit and vegetables, total energy, Ca and protein, BMD and BMC, BMI and MET of physical activity, these variables were separately standardized into normal Z-scores in each subgroup of girls, boys, young women and postmenopausal women. The Z-scores were then used in the following analyses. Participants were classified into tertiles according to the Z-score of fruit or vegetable intake in each of the four subgroups. One-way ANOVA and analysis of covariance were used to compare the mean difference between Z-scores of BMD and BMC among the fruit or vegetable tertiles. In the analysis of covariance we adjusted for age (years), BMI (Z-score), dietary intakes (Z-score) of energy, protein and Ca, physical activity (Z-score of MET), use of vitamin supplements (yes/no), use of Ca

supplements (yes/no), sex and menopause status (yes/no). Pair-wise comparisons were done by the Bonferroni method. Multivariate regression was conducted to assess the independent associations between total intake of fruit and vegetables and BMD and BMC in each of the sex and age groups. The enter method was used for the intake of fruit and vegetables, and the stepwise method was used for the covariates of age (years), BMI (Z-score), dietary intakes (Z-score) of energy, protein and Ca, physical activity (Z-score of MET), use of vitamin supplements (yes/no), use of Ca supplements (yes/no), sex and Tanner stage (adolescents), and years since menopause (postmenopausal women). Starchy vegetables were excluded from the calculation of total fruit and vegetable intake in the above analyses.

A two-sided  $P$  value less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. We used winsorization to replace outliers that were at least 3 sd away from the group mean with the next most extreme value, as the few outliers might extremely affect the mean values and the associations<sup>(22)</sup>. All data analyses were conducted using the SPSS for Windows statistical software package version 13 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

### Results

#### Participants' characteristics and dietary intakes

Table 1 shows the general information of the participants, including age, body weight, height, BMI, age at menarche, years since menopause, physical activity, Ca and vitamin supplement use, and the summary (mean and sd) of BMD

**Table 1** Characteristics of the study participants, Guangdong, China, July 2009 to May 2010

| Variable                   | Boys<br>( <i>n</i> 110) |       | Girls<br>( <i>n</i> 112) |       | Young women<br>( <i>n</i> 371) |       | Postmenopausal women<br>( <i>n</i> 333) |       |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------|--------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|-------|---|-------|
|                            | Mean or %               | SD    | Mean or %                | SD    | Mean or %                      | SD    | Mean or %                               | SD    |
| Age (years)                | 12.9                    | 0.4   | 12.9                     | 0.4   | 27.1                           | 2.9   | 57.4                                    | 3.9   |
| Weight (kg)                | 47.7                    | 11.6  | 45.4                     | 6.2   | 58.7                           | 7.9   | 56.0                                    | 9.0   |
| Height (cm)                | 156.8                   | 8.6   | 155.3                    | 5.0   | 158.3                          | 4.7   | 156.0                                   | 6.0   |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )   | 19.2                    | 3.5   | 18.8                     | 2.5   | 23.4                           | 2.9   | 23.1                                    | 3.1   |
| Age at menarche (years)    | –                       | –     | 11.4                     | 0.8   | 13.5                           | 3.2   | 13.9                                    | 1.7   |
| Years since menopause      | –                       | –     | –                        | –     | –                              | –     | 7.3                                     | 4.5   |
| Ca supplement use (%)      | 30.9                    | –     | 26.8                     | –     | 81.9                           | –     | 30.9                                    | –     |
| Vitamin supplement use (%) | 19.1                    | –     | 17.9                     | –     | 62.5                           | –     | 16.8                                    | –     |
| Energy intake‡ (kJ/d)      | 6756                    | 1703  | 8267                     | 2691  | 9263                           | 2444  | 6128                                    | 1536  |
| Dietary Ca intake (mg/d)   | 721                     | 258   | 701                      | 234   | 845                            | 344   | 676                                     | 301   |
| Physical activity (MET/d)  | 36.3                    | 3.2   | 35.9                     | 2.8   | 33.6                           | 4.8   | 34.7                                    | 5.2   |
| BMD (g/cm <sup>2</sup> )   |                         |       |                          |       |                                |       |   |       |
| Whole body                 | 0.954                   | 0.069 | 1.000                    | 0.081 | 1.092                          | 0.068 | 1.050                                   | 0.090 |
| Lumbar spine L1–L4         | 0.799                   | 0.113 | 0.938                    | 0.137 | 1.082                          | 0.117 | 0.970                                   | 0.144 |
| Total hip                  | 0.891                   | 0.116 | 0.890                    | 0.115 | 0.940                          | 0.107 | 0.879                                   | 0.123 |
| Femoral neck               | 0.870                   | 0.115 | 0.870                    | 0.110 | 0.916                          | 0.108 | 0.824                                   | 0.109 |
| Femoral shaft              | 0.995                   | 0.144 | 1.018                    | 0.143 | 1.119                          | 0.136 | 1.059                                   | 0.149 |
| Trochanter                 | 0.765                   | 0.103 | 0.727                    | 0.097 | 0.729                          | 0.096 | 0.698                                   | 0.104 |
| Ward's area                | 0.814                   | 0.118 | 0.791                    | 0.135 | 0.836                          | 0.130 | 0.652                                   | 0.125 |

MET, metabolic equivalent for task; BMD, bone mineral density.

Data are presented as means and standard deviations or as percentages.

‡Cooking oil was not included in the calculation of energy intake due to poor accuracy.

**Table 2** Intakes of vegetables and fruit (g/d), and the percentage contribution of subtypes to total intake, among the study participants, Guangdong, China, July 2009 to May 2010

|   | Boys<br>(n 110) |            |            | Girls<br>(n 112) |            |            | Young women<br>(n 371) |            |            | Postmenopausal women<br>(n 333) |            |            |
|---|-----------------|------------|------------|------------------|------------|------------|------------------------|------------|------------|---------------------------------|------------|------------|
|   | Mean            | SD         | %          | Mean             | SD         | %          | Mean                   | SD         | %          | Mean                            | SD         | %          |
| <b>Vegetable groups</b>   |                 |            |            |                  |            |            |                        |            |            |                                 |            |            |
| Leafy vegetables‡   | 200             | 119        | 65.2       | 181              | 77         | 63.9       | 150                    | 126        | 43.7       | 243                             | 124        | 54.8       |
| Melons§, carrot and radish, tomato, peppers, and onion and garlic | 50              | 40         | 17.2       | 60               | 49         | 19.9       | 109                    | 89         | 31.5       | 131                             | 85         | 28.6       |
| Starchy tubers   and fresh corn                                   | 19              | 19         | 7.0        | 20               | 24         | 6.8        | 52                     | 52         | 15.7       | 45                              | 42         | 10.0       |
| Fresh beans   | 9               | 8          | 3.1        | 12               | 27         | 3.7        | 22                     | 25         | 6.6        | 14                              | 55         | 2.9        |
| Mushrooms   | 26              | 57         | 7.5        | 16               | 18         | 5.7        | 9                      | 12         | 2.5        | 17                              | 21         | 3.8        |
| <b>Total</b>  | <b>303</b>      | <b>158</b> | <b>100</b> | <b>289</b>       | <b>117</b> | <b>100</b> | <b>344</b>             | <b>186</b> | <b>100</b> | <b>435</b>                      | <b>204</b> | <b>100</b> |
| <b>Fruit groups</b>   |                 |            |            |                  |            |            |                        |            |            |                                 |            |            |
| Apple, pear, peach, pineapple, plum                               | 68              | 70         | 45.8       | 72               | 91         | 41.6       | 151                    | 181        | 38.3       | 73                              | 103        | 40.3       |
| Orange, grapefruit, lemon   | 41              | 72         | 23.6       | 53               | 78         | 26.5       | 72                     | 103        | 19.7       | 35                              | 45         | 19.3       |
| Banana  | 15              | 20         | 12.9       | 14               | 19         | 10.0       | 30                     | 43         | 8.2        | 20                              | 28         | 12.0       |
| Grapes, lychee, longan  | 11              | 23         | 7.9        | 17               | 31         | 9.2        | 42                     | 61         | 11.2       | 19                              | 42         | 10.5       |
| Papaya, water melons, various muskmelons                          | 8               | 17         | 7.9        | 10               | 19         | 7.1        | 48                     | 88         | 11.7       | 16                              | 21         | 10.7       |
| Mango, persimmon  | 1               | 3          | 0.8        | 4                | 14         | 3.2        | 4                      | 17         | 0.9        | 2                               | 4          | 1.3        |
| Durian and other fruits   | 3               | 16         | 1.1        | 3                | 13         | 1.6        | 41                     | 83         | 10.0       | 10                              | 17         | 5.9        |
| <b>Total</b>  | <b>185</b>      | <b>165</b> | <b>100</b> | <b>206</b>       | <b>182</b> | <b>100</b> | <b>380</b>             | <b>251</b> | <b>100</b> | <b>174</b>                      | <b>157</b> | <b>100</b> |

‡Leafy vegetables: pak choi, choi sum, lettuce, spinach, Chinese spinach, water spinach, Chinese kale, mustard, broccoli, cabbage, cauliflower, celery, etc.

§Melons: Chinese waxgourd, pumpkin, cucumber, towel gourd, bitter melon, luffa-smooth loofah, etc.

||Starchy tubers: yam, potato, sweet potato, taro, lotus root, etc.

and dietary intakes of energy and Ca. Mean vegetable intake was 303, 289, 344 and 435 g/d and mean fruit intake was 185, 206, 380 and 174 g/d in boys, girls, young women and postmenopausal women, respectively. About 40% of the fruit intake was from the group of apple, pear, peach, pineapple and plum, and 20% from the group of orange, grapefruit and lemon. About half of all vegetables consumed were leafy vegetables, followed by various vegetable melons, carrot and radish (Table 2).

#### **Association of fruit and vegetable intakes and bone mass in adolescents and adults**

In general, ANOVA showed that fruit and vegetable intakes were significantly and positively associated with BMD and BMC at the majority of the studied bone sites. Fruit intake had a much more significant association with BMD or BMC than did vegetable intake and the total intake of fruit and vegetables. BMD Z-score increased by 0.30 (or 2.6% of the mean), 0.25 (3.9%), 0.27 (3.5%) and 0.29 (4.0%), and BMC Z-score increased by 0.44 (7.6%), 0.31 (7.2%), 0.44 (7.5%) and 0.36 (5.9%), at the total body, lumbar spine, total hip and femoral neck in participants belonging to the top tertile compared with the bottom tertile of fruit intake (all  $P < 0.01$ ), respectively. Small differences in BMD Z-score (0.13–0.19) and BMC Z-score (0.10–0.18) were observed between the top and bottom tertiles of vegetable intake. The mean difference in BMD Z-score ranged from 0.13 to 0.25 and in BMC Z-score from 0.20 to 0.35 between the highest and lowest tertiles of total intake of fruit and vegetables (Table 3).

After adjustment for potential confounding factors, such as age, BMI, dietary intakes of energy, protein and Ca, physical activity, use of vitamin supplements, use of

Ca supplements and menopause status, significant associations between fruit intake and BMD/BMC remained, although the associations were slightly attenuated. The  $P$  values for trend ranged between  $<0.001$  and 0.002. Mean differences in Z-score ranged between 0.22 and 0.25 (BMD) and 0.25 and 0.34 (BMC) between the top and bottom tertiles of fruit intake (all  $P < 0.05$ ). However, only a marginally significant association between vegetable intake and total body BMD ( $P = 0.030$ ) was observed. There was no significant association of vegetable intake with either BMD or BMC at any other bone site. The effect of total intake of fruit and vegetables on BMD and BMC was in the range between those of fruit and vegetable intake separately (Table 4).

#### **Association of fruit and vegetable intakes and bone mass in subgroups**

To explore the potential sensitive populations, we conducted subgroup-stratified analyses by age and sex groups. Among the four age and sex groups, boys had the strongest associations of total intake of fruit and vegetables with BMD and BMC, followed by girls and postmenopausal women. Multivariate regression analyses showed a marginally significant positive association of total intake of fruit and vegetables with BMD at the hip site in boys, at the trochanter in girls and at the whole body in postmenopausal women ( $P$  values: 0.014–0.081), but no significant association was observed at all bone sites except for the whole body BMC ( $P = 0.043$ ) in young women (Table 5).

#### **Sensitivity analysis**

Almost the same results were observed when the original values of the outliers were included (data not shown).

**Table 3** Z-scores of BMD and BMC at various sites by fruit and vegetable intake tertiles of the study participants, Guangdong, China, July 2009 to May 2010†

|  | Tertile 1 (n 307) |       | Tertile 2 (n 310) |       | Tertile 3 (n 309) |       | Difference‡ |      | ANOVA   |         |
|--|-------------------|-------|-------------------|-------|-------------------|-------|-------------|------|---------|---------|
|  | Mean              | SD    | Mean              | SD    | Mean              | SD    | Abs.        | %    | P diff. | P trend |
| <b>By fruit intake</b>                     |                   |       |                   |       |                   |       |             |      |         |         |
| TB BMD                                     | -0.150            | 0.984 | 0.001             | 1.009 | 0.149***          | 0.969 | 0.30        | 2.6  | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| LS BMD                                     | -0.134            | 0.972 | 0.021             | 1.010 | 0.113**           | 0.988 | 0.25        | 3.9  | 0.008   | 0.002   |
| TH BMD                                     | -0.129            | 0.979 | -0.008            | 1.032 | 0.136**           | 0.955 | 0.27        | 3.5  | 0.004   | 0.001   |
| FN BMD                                     | -0.141            | 0.958 | -0.005            | 0.965 | 0.145**           | 1.039 | 0.29        | 4.0  | 0.002   | <0.001  |
| TB BMC                                     | -0.249            | 0.981 | 0.059***          | 0.986 | 0.188***          | 0.967 | 0.44        | 7.6  | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| LS BMC                                     | -0.177            | 0.951 | 0.047*            | 0.995 | 0.130***          | 1.012 | 0.31        | 7.2  | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| TH BMC                                     | -0.240            | 0.976 | 0.041**           | 0.986 | 0.197***          | 0.974 | 0.44        | 7.5  | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| FN BMC                                     | -0.191            | 0.989 | 0.025**           | 1.003 | 0.165***          | 0.960 | 0.36        | 5.9  | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| <b>By vegetable intake</b>                 |                   |       |                   |       |                   |       |             |      |         |         |
| TB BMD                                     | -0.071            | 0.983 | -0.077            | 1.025 | 0.147             | 0.960 | 0.22        | 1.9  | 0.006   | 0.006   |
| LS BMD                                     | -0.022            | 0.931 | -0.052            | 1.078 | 0.075             | 0.966 | 0.10        | 1.5  | 0.253   | 0.225   |
| TH BMD                                     | -0.012            | 0.959 | -0.114            | 1.046 | 0.126             | 0.961 | 0.14        | 1.8  | 0.011   | 0.084   |
| FN BMD                                     | 0.003             | 1.046 | -0.097            | 0.969 | 0.095             | 0.960 | 0.09        | 1.3  | 0.056   | 0.252   |
| TB BMC                                     | -0.039            | 0.971 | -0.099            | 1.038 | 0.138             | 0.958 | 0.18        | 3.1  | 0.009   | 0.027   |
| LS BMC                                     | -0.017            | 0.994 | -0.067            | 1.007 | 0.084             | 0.979 | 0.10        | 2.4  | 0.158   | 0.210   |
| TH BMC                                     | -0.039            | 0.984 | -0.100            | 1.028 | 0.138             | 0.955 | 0.18        | 3.0  | 0.009   | 0.027   |
| FN BMC                                     | -0.024            | 1.003 | -0.109            | 0.991 | 0.133             | 0.976 | 0.16        | 2.6  | 0.009   | 0.048   |
| <b>By total fruit and vegetable intake</b> |                   |       |                   |       |                   |       |             |      |         |         |
| TB BMD                                     | -0.100            | 0.977 | -0.052            | 1.018 | 0.152**†          | 0.972 | 0.25        | 2.16 | 0.004   | 0.002   |
| LS BMD                                     | -0.054            | 0.965 | -0.023            | 1.040 | 0.077             | 0.974 | 0.13        | 2.09 | 0.232   | 0.103   |
| TH BMD                                     | -0.094            | 0.977 | -0.025            | 1.028 | 0.118*            | 0.968 | 0.21        | 2.78 | 0.027   | 0.008   |
| FN BMD                                     | -0.087            | 0.962 | -0.008            | 1.057 | 0.094             | 0.955 | 0.18        | 2.55 | 0.077   | 0.024   |
| TB BMC                                     | -0.148            | 0.999 | -0.059            | 0.976 | 0.206***,††       | 0.976 | 0.35        | 6.13 | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| LS BMC                                     | -0.065            | 0.960 | -0.068            | 1.027 | 0.134*,†          | 0.983 | 0.20        | 4.64 | 0.016   | 0.013   |
| TH BMC                                     | -0.160            | 0.992 | -0.022            | 1.003 | 0.179***,†        | 0.960 | 0.34        | 5.84 | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| FN BMC                                     | -0.116            | 1.000 | -0.032            | 1.013 | 0.148***          | 0.953 | 0.26        | 4.34 | 0.003   | <0.001  |

BMD, bone mineral density; BMC, bone mineral content; TB, total body; LS, lumbar spine (L1–L4); TH, left total hip; FN, femoral neck.

Mean values were significantly different from those of tertile 1: \* $P < 0.05$ , \*\* $P < 0.01$ , \*\*\* $P < 0.001$ .

Mean values were significantly different from those of tertile 2: † $P < 0.05$ , †† $P < 0.01$ .

‡Original BMD and BMC were converted to standard normal Z-score stratified by subgroups of girls, boys, young women and postmenopausal women.

§Difference between tertile 3 and tertile 1: Abs., absolute mean difference (tertile 3 – tertile 1); %, relative difference compared with the mean BMD or BMC. % = [(Abs. × sd)/Mean] × 100%, where Mean and sd are the mean and sd of BMD or BMC.

## Discussion

In the present cross-sectional study containing adolescents, young women and postmenopausal women, we found a significant positive association between fruit intake and BMD and BMC at all studied bone sites and between vegetable intake and total body BMD. A previous study estimated that a 1 sd decrease in total hip BMD was associated with an 85% (95% CI 70, 101%) increase in the risk of total osteoporotic hip fractures<sup>(23)</sup>. According to this estimation, an increase in BMD of 0.22 sd at the total hip would result in a 19% decrease in the risk of hip fracture in the top compared with the bottom tertile of fruit intake in our population. These results suggest that greater intake of fruit and vegetables may be beneficial to improve bone mass.

Several small studies have examined the association between fruit and vegetable intake and bone measurements and yielded weak positive or null effects. Prynne *et al.*<sup>(7)</sup> found that greater fruit and vegetable intake was associated with higher BMD and BMC at the whole body and spine, and BMC at the hip, in both 111 boys (mean age 16.8 years) and 101 girls (mean age 17.4 years). A similar positive association of fruit and vegetable

consumption with heel BMD was found by McGartland *et al.*<sup>(24)</sup> in 378 girls (but not in 324 boys) aged 12 years. In a 7-year follow-up study, Vatanparast *et al.*<sup>(9)</sup> found that every additional serving of fruit and vegetables was associated with an increase of 5.4 (SE 1.3) g in total body BMC accrual in eighty-five boys aged 8–20 years, but no significant effect was observed in sixty-seven girls of the same age. Tylavsky *et al.*<sup>(8)</sup> found that fruit and vegetable intake was a significant independent predictor of bone area but not BMD or BMC in fifty-six girls aged 8–13 years. Our findings are consistent with the previous results. However, due to the limitations of study design and small sample size in previous studies, more prospective large studies are needed to confirm the effect in adolescents in future.

New *et al.*<sup>(5)</sup> first reported the positive association of fruit and vegetable consumption with bone mass in a cross-sectional study in 1997. Similar positive associations between fruit and vegetable intake and BMD, BMC or low fracture rate were also observed in elderly men and women of the Framingham cohort<sup>(6)</sup>, in middle-aged postmenopausal women in Hong Kong<sup>(10)</sup>, in a population-based survey of mainland Chinese men and women<sup>(25)</sup>, and in old women in the UK<sup>(7)</sup>. However, inconsistent results

**Table 4** Covariable-adjusted of BMD and BMC at various sites by fruit and vegetable intake tertiles of the study participants, Guangdong, China, July 2009 to May 2010†

|  | Tertile 1 |       | Tertile 2 |       | Tertile 3 |       | Difference‡ |     | ANCOVA  |         |
|--|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|-------------|-----|---------|---------|
|  | Mean      | SE    | Mean      | SE    | Mean      | SE    | Abs.        | %   | P diff. | P trend |
| <b>By fruit intake</b>                     |           |       |           |       |           |       |             |     |         |         |
| TB BMD                                     | -0.114    | 0.054 | -0.023    | 0.052 | 0.133**   | 0.055 | 0.25        | 2.1 | 0.007   | 0.002   |
| LS BMD                                     | -0.110    | 0.056 | -0.003    | 0.054 | 0.111*    | 0.057 | 0.22        | 3.5 | 0.027   | 0.007   |
| TH BMD                                     | -0.100    | 0.055 | -0.014    | 0.053 | 0.132*    | 0.056 | 0.23        | 3.0 | 0.014   | 0.004   |
| FN BMD                                     | -0.110    | 0.055 | -0.032    | 0.054 | 0.138**   | 0.056 | 0.25        | 3.5 | 0.008   | 0.002   |
| TB BMC                                     | -0.181    | 0.050 | 0.030**   | 0.049 | 0.146***  | 0.051 | 0.33        | 5.7 | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| LS BMC                                     | -0.138    | 0.055 | 0.025     | 0.054 | 0.111**   | 0.056 | 0.25        | 5.8 | 0.008   | 0.002   |
| TH BMC                                     | -0.179    | 0.052 | 0.013*    | 0.051 | 0.162***  | 0.053 | 0.34        | 5.9 | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| FN BMC                                     | -0.144    | 0.053 | -0.006    | 0.052 | 0.144***  | 0.054 | 0.29        | 4.7 | 0.001   | <0.001  |
| <b>By vegetable intake</b>                 |           |       |           |       |           |       |             |     |         |         |
| TB BMD                                     | -0.067    | 0.056 | -0.061    | 0.052 | 0.123*    | 0.056 | 0.19        | 1.6 | 0.030   | 0.024   |
| LS BMD                                     | -0.025    | 0.058 | -0.030    | 0.054 | 0.053     | 0.058 | 0.08        | 1.2 | 0.546   | 0.373   |
| TH BMD                                     | 0.004     | 0.057 | -0.071    | 0.053 | 0.086     | 0.057 | 0.08        | 1.1 | 0.129   | 0.334   |
| FN BMD                                     | -0.001    | 0.055 | -0.078    | 0.051 | 0.056     | 0.055 | 0.06        | 0.8 | 0.195   | 0.058   |
| TB BMC                                     | -0.013    | 0.053 | -0.071    | 0.049 | 0.082     | 0.053 | 0.10        | 1.6 | 0.109   | 0.229   |
| LS BMC                                     | 0.003     | 0.057 | -0.048    | 0.054 | 0.049     | 0.057 | 0.05        | 1.1 | 0.467   | 0.594   |
| TH BMC                                     | -0.001    | 0.055 | -0.067    | 0.051 | 0.065     | 0.055 | 0.07        | 1.1 | 0.211   | 0.058   |
| FN BMC                                     | 0.001     | 0.055 | -0.084    | 0.052 | 0.077     | 0.055 | 0.08        | 1.3 | 0.102   | 0.036   |
| <b>By total fruit and vegetable intake</b> |           |       |           |       |           |       |             |     |         |         |
| TB BMD                                     | -0.080    | 0.056 | -0.035    | 0.052 | 0.111     | 0.056 | 0.19        | 1.6 | 0.057   | 0.024   |
| LS BMD                                     | -0.035    | 0.058 | -0.011    | 0.054 | 0.044     | 0.058 | 0.08        | 1.3 | 0.655   | 0.373   |
| TH BMD                                     | -0.076    | 0.057 | 0.008     | 0.053 | 0.085     | 0.057 | 0.16        | 2.1 | 0.171   | 0.060   |
| FN BMD                                     | -0.065    | 0.058 | 0.007     | 0.054 | 0.055     | 0.057 | 0.12        | 1.7 | 0.375   | 0.166   |
| TB BMC                                     | -0.091    | 0.053 | -0.034    | 0.049 | 0.121*    | 0.053 | 0.21        | 3.7 | 0.021   | 0.008   |
| LS BMC                                     | -0.023    | 0.058 | -0.055    | 0.054 | 0.076     | 0.058 | 0.10        | 2.3 | 0.254   | 0.259   |
| TH BMC                                     | -0.107    | 0.055 | 0.003     | 0.051 | 0.100*    | 0.055 | 0.21        | 3.6 | 0.044   | 0.012   |
| FN BMC                                     | -0.075    | 0.056 | -0.015    | 0.052 | 0.085     | 0.056 | 0.16        | 2.6 | 0.153   | 0.056   |

BMD, bone mineral density; BMC, bone mineral content; ANCOVA, analysis of covariance; TB, total body; LS, lumbar spine (L1–L4); TH, left total hip; FN, femoral neck.

Mean values were significantly different from those of tertile 1: \* $P < 0.05$ , \*\* $P < 0.01$ , \*\*\* $P < 0.001$ .

†Original BMD and BMC were converted to standard normal Z-score stratified by subgroups of girls, boys, young women and postmenopausal women.

‡Difference between tertile 3 and tertile 1: Abs., absolute mean difference (tertile 3 – tertile 1); %, relative difference compared with the mean BMD or BMC, % = [(Abs. × sd)/Mean] × 100%, where Mean and sd are the mean and sd of BMD or BMC.

||Covariates adjusted for in the multivariate model: age (years), BMI (Z-score), dietary intakes (Z-score) of energy, protein and Ca, physical activity (Z-score of metabolic equivalent for task), use of vitamin supplements (yes/no), use of Ca supplements (yes/no), sex and Tanner stage (adolescents), menopause status (yes/no) and years since menopause (menopausal women).

**Table 5** Regression coefficients of the association between total fruit and vegetable intake and bone mass in subgroups by sex and age, Guangdong, China, July 2009 to May 2010

|                             | Girls (n 112)        |                      | Boys (n 110) |                      | Young women (n 371) |           | Postmenopausal women (n 333) |                      |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------|------------------------------|----------------------|
|                             | $\beta_1$            | $\beta_2$            | $\beta_1$    | $\beta_2$            | $\beta_1$           | $\beta_2$ | $\beta_1$                    | $\beta_2$            |
| <b>Bone mineral density</b> |                      |                      |              |                      |                     |           |                              |                      |
| Whole body                  | 0.170 <sup>(*)</sup> | 0.140                | 0.203*       | 0.131                | 0.064               | 0.068     | 0.144**                      | 0.105 <sup>(*)</sup> |
| Spine                       | 0.070                | 0.054                | 0.084        | -0.006               | 0.014               | 0.007     | 0.108*                       | 0.088                |
| Total hip                   | 0.113                | 0.094                | 0.240*       | 0.195*               | 0.047               | 0.083     | 0.110*                       | 0.059                |
| Femoral neck                | 0.075                | 0.050                | 0.192*       | 0.139                | 0.026               | 0.059     | 0.130*                       | 0.078                |
| Trochanter                  | 0.184 <sup>(*)</sup> | 0.201 <sup>(*)</sup> | 0.230*       | 0.190*               | 0.067               | 0.097     | 0.119*                       | 0.049                |
| Femoral shaft               | 0.094                | 0.068                | 0.269**      | 0.222*               | 0.043               | 0.081     | 0.105 <sup>(*)</sup>         | 0.056                |
| Ward's area                 | 0.075                | 0.090                | 0.221*       | 0.197*               | 0.032               | 0.038     | 0.107*                       | 0.064                |
| <b>Bone mineral content</b> |                      |                      |              |                      |                     |           |                              |                      |
| Whole body                  | 0.174 <sup>(*)</sup> | 0.097                | 0.218*       | 0.098                | 0.111*              | 0.119*    | 0.197***                     | 0.107 <sup>(*)</sup> |
| Spine                       | 0.123                | 0.121                | 0.121        | 0.016                | 0.011               | -0.013    | 0.163**                      | 0.114 <sup>(*)</sup> |
| Total hip                   | 0.163 <sup>(*)</sup> | 0.118                | 0.239*       | 0.139 <sup>(*)</sup> | 0.073               | 0.089     | 0.205***                     | 0.098 <sup>(*)</sup> |
| Femoral neck                | 0.119                | 0.088                | 0.198*       | 0.110                | 0.033               | 0.060     | 0.180***                     | 0.087                |
| Trochanter                  | 0.193*               | 0.169                | 0.244*       | 0.152 <sup>(*)</sup> | 0.084               | 0.077     | 0.170                        | 0.062                |
| Femoral shaft               | 0.135                | 0.077                | 0.234*       | 0.134 <sup>(*)</sup> | 0.059               | 0.094     | 0.174**                      | 0.070                |
| Ward's area                 | 0.124                | 0.127                | 0.215*       | 0.137                | 0.009               | 0.007     | 0.186***                     | 0.085                |

$\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$ , univariate and multivariate regression coefficients, unit: Z-score/Z-score.

Covariates adjusted for in multivariate model: age (years), BMI (Z-score), dietary intakes (Z-score) of energy, protein and Ca, physical activity (Z-score of metabolic equivalent for task), use of vitamin supplements (yes/no), use of Ca supplements (yes/no), sex and Tanner stage (adolescents), and years since menopause (postmenopausal women).

Significance of the regression coefficient: <sup>(\*)</sup> $P < 0.1$ , \* $P < 0.05$ , \*\* $P < 0.01$ , \*\*\* $P < 0.001$ .

(null effect) were also found in several studies or sub-populations<sup>(6,7,11)</sup>.

To our knowledge, the present study is the first one assessing the association of fruit and vegetable intakes with bone measurements in postpartum women. Only a marginally significant association was found for total body BMC in the multivariate regression analyses in this group. Up to date, very limited data have been published on young women. Prynne *et al.*<sup>(7)</sup> reported non-significant associations between vegetable and fruit intake and BMD or BMC at the total body, lumbar spine, total hip and neck in women aged 23–37 years. It is unclear whether the null association with BMD was due to the specificity of age, pregnancy, greater changes in dietary intakes due to pregnancy, or just because of the weak or null effect of fruit and vegetables in this population.

In general, the above studies showed a weak beneficial effect of higher intake of fruit and vegetables on bone measurements. In contrast to the previous results, the present study observed significant positive associations between fruit intake and BMD and BMC at several bone sites even adjustment for potential confounders in adolescents, young and postmenopausal women combined, and in some of the studied bone sites among the four subgroups. The reasons for the inconsistent results found in different studies remain unclear. The weak effect of fruit and vegetables, small study size, large random error in the assessment of long-term intake of fruit and vegetables, and other environmental and genetic heterogeneity in different populations might partially explain the inconsistent results. However, due to the limitations of study design and small sample size in previous studies, more prospective large studies are needed to confirm the effect in future.

The mechanisms whereby vegetables and fruit affect bone health have been explored in previous publications and focused mainly on two aspects. The first one, called the acid–base hypothesis, postulates that acid load is, in part, buffered by bone mineral, leading to bone dissolution and reduced bone density<sup>(26,27)</sup>. Diets high in acid-forming components (including several amino acids in protein foods, P and Cl) and low in base-forming components (K, Ca, Mg and vitamin C) lead to a higher dietary acid load<sup>(28)</sup>. Vegetables and fruit, as a good source of alkaline-forming components, could neutralize the calciuric effects of acids derived from the diet<sup>(29,30)</sup>. Moreover, some literature documents that the above alkaline-forming cations have an independent impact on improving Ca balance<sup>(31,32)</sup> and bone health<sup>(5,6,33)</sup>. The second aspect is that vegetables and fruit might affect bone health via the roles of antioxidant vitamins, such as vitamin C<sup>(34,35)</sup> and vitamin K<sup>(36,37)</sup>. However, after adjusting for the potential influence of these nutrients, vitamin D and fibre, McGartland *et al.*<sup>(24)</sup> proved that the positive association between fruit intake and heel BMD in the 12-year-old girls remained. It is possible that the

observed association between fruit and BMD might be related to another mechanism other than the two mentioned. Other compounds found in vegetables and fruit, such as phyto-oestrogens and phytochemicals, might be taken into account<sup>(38)</sup>. However, these mechanisms cannot explain the more pronounced favourable effect of fruit than of vegetables on bone mass observed in the present and previous studies<sup>(7,10)</sup>. It is well established that Na plays a key role in Ca metabolism<sup>(39,40)</sup>. Vegetables are consumed mainly in cooked form in Chinese populations. Therefore, the higher intake of Na consumed with vegetables might counteract the favourable effect of vegetables as compared with fruit, which tend to be consumed fresh.

### **Study validity and limitations**

There were several potential limitations in the present study. First, the participants were volunteers, not a nationally representative sample. We could not exclude potential volunteer bias. Next, the study was cross-sectional in design. The validity of the causal relationship between fruit and vegetable consumption and bone mass depends mainly on the stability of fruit and vegetable intakes. Since it is unlikely to increase consumption of fruit and vegetables due to good bone mass, any changes in fruit and vegetable intake would attenuate the association. Therefore, we might not overestimate the strength of the association. Furthermore, we could not adjust for the influence of vitamin D because of very poor accuracy in the assessment of vitamin D intake using the FFQ, due to limited inclusion of vitamin D-enriched food items. However, plenty sunlight in Guangzhou in southern China would decrease the contribution of vitamin D from the diet. Also, we could not precisely adjust for supplemental Ca because most participants could not accurately report the dosage. Another limitation was the relatively small sample size in each sub-population: although we had a total of 926 participants, we did not have sufficient power to detect weak associations in the subgroups.

Nevertheless, the exposures we examined here – dietary factors – permitted elucidation of the associations of fruit and vegetable consumption with bone health owing to their relatively stable nature, particularly in postmenopausal women, as discussed previously<sup>(10)</sup>. Dietary intake was assessed using a validated FFQ with good validity and reliability in the assessment of habitual intake of fruit and vegetables in this population<sup>(20)</sup>, and we adjusted for a number of important covariates in the multivariate analysis.

### **Conclusions**

Fruit and vegetable consumption was positively associated with bone mass in adolescents, young women and

postmenopausal women combined. More benefits were observed in boys and postmenopausal women than in girls and young women. Fruits had a much stronger association with bone mass than vegetables. Our findings add to the existing evidence that fruit and vegetables may have a bone sparing effect.

### Acknowledgements

The study was jointly supported by the 11th Five Year Key Programs for Science and Technology Development of China (no. 2008BAI58B02) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 30872100, 81072299). There are no conflicts of interest. Y.-X.S. and Y.-M.C. obtained the grants, had full access to all of the data and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Y.-M.C., Y.-X.S. and Z.-W.H. were responsible for the study concept and design; J.-J.L., R.-Q.W., X.-M.M., Z.-Q.Z. and Z.L. acquired the data; Y.-M.C., J.-J.L. and Y.-X.S. analysed and interpreted the data; J.-J.L. and Y.-M.C. drafted the manuscript; Y.-M.C., Y.-X.S. and Z.-W.Z. critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content.

### References

- Holroyd C, Cooper C & Dennison E (2008) Epidemiology of osteoporosis. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab* **22**, 671–685.
- Lane NE (2006) Epidemiology, etiology, and diagnosis of osteoporosis. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* **194**, 2 Suppl., S3–S11.
- New SA, Bonjour JP, New SA *et al.* (2003) *Nutritional Aspects of Bone Health*. Cambridge: The Royal Society of Chemistry.
- Chen YM & Ho SC (2009) Fruit, vegetables, and bone health. In *Bioactive Foods in Promoting Health*, pp. 173–194 [RR Watson and VR Preedy, editors]. Oxford: Academic Press.
- New SA, Bolton-Smith C, Grubb DA *et al.* (1997) Nutritional influences on bone mineral density: a cross-sectional study in premenopausal women. *Am J Clin Nutr* **65**, 1831–1839.
- Tucker KL, Hannan MT, Chen H *et al.* (1999) Potassium, magnesium, and fruit and vegetable intakes are associated with greater bone mineral density in elderly men and women. *Am J Clin Nutr* **69**, 727–736.
- Prynne CJ, Mishra GD, O'Connell MA *et al.* (2006) Fruit and vegetable intakes and bone mineral status: a cross sectional study in 5 age and sex cohorts. *Am J Clin Nutr* **83**, 1420–1428.
- Tylavsky FA, Holliday K, Danish R *et al.* (2004) Fruit and vegetable intakes are an independent predictor of bone size in early pubertal children. *Am J Clin Nutr* **79**, 311–317.
- Vatanparast H, Baxter-Jones A, Faulkner RA *et al.* (2005) Positive effects of vegetable and fruit consumption and calcium intake on bone mineral accrual in boys during growth from childhood to adolescence: the University of Saskatchewan Pediatric Bone Mineral Accrual Study. *Am J Clin Nutr* **82**, 700–706.
- Chen YM, Ho SC & Woo JL (2006) Greater fruit and vegetable intake is associated with increased bone mass among postmenopausal Chinese women. *Br J Nutr* **96**, 745–751.
- Kaptoge S, Welch A, McTaggart A *et al.* (2003) Effects of dietary nutrients and food groups on bone loss from the proximal femur in men and women in the 7th and 8th decades of age. *Osteoporos Int* **14**, 418–428.
- Hamidi M, Boucher BA, Cheung AM *et al.* (2011) Fruit and vegetable intake and bone health in women aged 45 years and over: a systematic review. *Osteoporos Int* **22**, 1681–1693.
- Rizzoli R & Bonjour JP (1999) Determinants of peak bone mass and mechanisms of bone loss. *Osteoporos Int* **9**, Suppl. 2, S17–S23.
- Sowers M (1996) Pregnancy and lactation as risk factors for subsequent bone loss and osteoporosis. *J Bone Miner Res* **11**, 1052–1060.
- Givens MH & Macy IC (1933) The chemical composition of the human fetus. *J Biol Chem* **102**, 7–17.
- Nilas L & Christiansen C (1988) Rates of bone loss in normal women: evidence of accelerated trabecular bone loss after the menopause. *Eur J Clin Invest* **18**, 529–534.
- Leung SS, Ho SC, Woo J *et al.* (1997) *Hong Kong Adult Dietary Survey*. Hong Kong: The Chinese University of Hong Kong.
- Zhai F & Yang X (2006) *2002 National Nutrition and Health Survey in Chinese Residents: Part II*. Beijing: People's Medical Publishing House.
- Djousse L, Arnett DK, Coon H *et al.* (2004) Fruit and vegetable consumption and LDL cholesterol: the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Family Heart Study. *Am J Clin Nutr* **79**, 213–217.
- Zhang CX & Ho SC (2009) Validity and reproducibility of a food frequency questionnaire among Chinese women in Guangdong province. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr* **18**, 240–250.
- Yang YX, Wang GY & Pan XC (2002) *China Food Composition 2002*. Beijing: Peking University Medical Press.
- Howell DC (1987) *Statistical Methods for Psychology*, 2nd ed. Boston, MA: Duxbury Press.
- Leslie WD, Lix LM, Tsang JF *et al.* (2007) Single-site vs multisite bone density measurement for fracture prediction. *Arch Intern Med* **167**, 1641–1647.
- McGartland CP, Robson PJ, Murray LJ *et al.* (2004) Fruit and vegetable consumption and bone mineral density: the Northern Ireland Young Hearts Project. *Am J Clin Nutr* **80**, 1019–1023.
- Zalloua PA, Hsu YH, Terwedow H *et al.* (2007) Impact of seafood and fruit consumption on bone mineral density. *Maturitas* **56**, 1–11.
- Barzel US (1995) The skeleton as an ion exchange system: implications for the role of acid–base imbalance in the genesis of osteoporosis. *J Bone Miner Res* **10**, 1431–1436.
- Green J & Kleeman CR (1991) The role of bone in the regulation of systemic acid–base balance. *Contrib Nephrol* **91**, 61–76.
- Bushinsky DA (2001) Acid–base imbalance and the skeleton. *Eur J Nutr* **40**, 238–244.
- Buclin T, Cosma M, Appenzeller M *et al.* (2001) Diet acids and alkalis influence calcium retention in bone. *Osteoporos Int* **12**, 493–499.
- Tucker KL, Hannan MT & Kiel DP (2001) The acid–base hypothesis: diet and bone in the Framingham Osteoporosis Study. *Eur J Nutr* **40**, 231–237.
- Lemann J Jr, Pleuss JA & Gray RW (1993) Potassium causes calcium retention in healthy adults. *J Nutr* **123**, 1623–1626.
- Sebastian A, Harris ST, Ottaway JH *et al.* (1994) Improved mineral balance and skeletal metabolism in postmenopausal women treated with potassium bicarbonate. *N Engl J Med* **330**, 1776–1781.
- Macdonald HM, New SA, Golden MH *et al.* (2004) Nutritional associations with bone loss during the menopausal transition: evidence of a beneficial effect of calcium, alcohol, and fruit and vegetable nutrients and of a detrimental effect of fatty acids. *Am J Clin Nutr* **79**, 155–165.



34. Morton DJ, Barrett-Connor EL & Schneider DL (2001) Vitamin C supplement use and bone mineral density in postmenopausal women. *J Bone Miner Res* **16**, 135–140.
35. Hall SL & Greendale GA (1998) The relation of dietary vitamin C intake to bone mineral density: results from the PEPI study. *Calcif Tissue Int* **63**, 183–189.
36. Binkley NC & Suttie JW (1995) Vitamin K nutrition and osteoporosis. *J Nutr* **125**, 1812–1821.
37. Booth SL, Broe KE, Gagnon DR *et al.* (2003) Vitamin K intake and bone mineral density in women and men. *Am J Clin Nutr* **77**, 512–516.
38. Tobe H, Muraki Y, Kitamura K *et al.* (1997) Bone resorption inhibitors from hop extract. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem* **61**, 158–159.
39. Ho SC, Chen YM, Woo JL *et al.* (2001) Sodium is the leading dietary factor associated with urinary calcium excretion in Hong Kong Chinese adults. *Osteoporos Int* **12**, 723–731.
40. Teucher B, Dainty JR, Spinks CA *et al.* (2008) Sodium and bone health: impact of moderately high and low salt intakes on calcium metabolism in postmenopausal women. *J Bone Miner Res* **23**, 1477–1485.