Kuru kings, Tura Kāvaseya, and the -tváya gerund

THEODORE PROFERES School of Oriental and African Studies

1. Non-Rgvedic verse mantras in the Śrauta rites and the -tvaya gerund

It is well known that the metrical texts employed in the liturgies of the śrauta rites are nearly always ultimately derived from the Rksamhitā. This holds true for those verse mantras recited by the Sāmavedic and Yajurvedic priests just as well as for those recited by the Rgvedic priests.² This suggests either that only the lines of poetic tradition that had been brought together in the RV corpus were known to the later liturgical editors, or that these were the only lines of tradition deemed eligible by the editors for inclusion in the newly constituted composite text.

However, on some occasions in certain rites of the śrauta complex we find verse mantras that have no parallels in the Rgveda.3 Some of these are contained in the RV Khilas, but others are to be found in other Samhitās. A small number of Sāmavedic verses are absent from the RV, and a larger number of non-Rgvedic verse mantras are contained among the Yajurveda mantras. Ritually important verse mantras are contained in the Aitareya Āranyaka, and others in the YV Srauta Sūtras. Finally, we have evidence that verse mantras existed which are not contained in any extant text. Such are the Aprī verses for the Purusamedha, quoted by their pratīka (agnir mrtyuh) at ŚŚS 16.12.18.5

Regarding the chronological provenance of this liturgical material there are two possibilities; either it was in existence at the time the RV collections were made and yet not included, or it was produced after the closure of the RV canon.⁶ Determining the relative chronology of such non-Rgvedic verse material is difficult. On linguistic as well as stylistic and thematic grounds, much of it could plausibly be taken for RV-period productions. However, the Yajurvedic verse portions intended for the ukhāsambharana section of the Agnicayana rite⁷ do present us with a linguistic feature that is chronologically diagnostic, namely the gerund in -tvāya.8

¹ On the transition from the Rgvedic to the Śrauta liturgies, see Bergaigne (1889) Proferes

(forthcoming 1 and 2).

The role of the *brahman* priest is unique, and the incorporation of his verse material into the

śrauta complex is still in need of examination.

³ The Atharvaveda contains a large number of verses which by their style and contents are positively identified as liturgical. However, I am here concerned with the formation of the classical śrauta liturgies and the AV seems to have been included in the śrauta framework only later. Nevertheless, some of the non-RV YV verses are also contained in the AV.

 ⁴ The Mahānāmnī verses, AĀ 4.
 ⁵ The commentator states *evamādikāh śākhāntaroktā āpriyah* (Bloomfield (1906: 116); Caland (1953: 455)), but it cannot be determined whether these verses had really been preserved within the various śākhas by the time of the commentator, or whether this statement is a conjecture.

or the various sukrius by the time of the commentator, or whether this statement is a conjecture.

In those instances where the verses are delivered by the udgātars or adhvaryus in the śrauta rites, it may be suggested that their omission from the RV was due to the fact that they did not belong to the hotar's repertoire. However, this argument is undermined by the fact that the non-Rgvedic Aprī hymns preserved in full only in the Yajurveda Saṃhitās are recited by hotar priests and quoted by pratīka in the Rgvedic Śrauta Sūtras.

On the ukhāṣṣaṃhhaṣṣaṃa see Brari (1981) On the hotar priests and the suggestion of the such as a suggestion of the suggestio

On the ukhāsambharana see Ikari (1981). On the hautra mantras in the Agnicayana, see

Ikari (1989).

8 Whitney (1889: 357, §993b); Macdonell (1910: 413/§589Ac); *AiGr* II 2, §484bα. The formation of the rare -tvåya gerund appears to be the result of adding the -ya suffix, commonly used to form gerunds to roots with preverbs, to gerunds ending in -två.

Bulletin of SOAS, 66, 2 (2003), 210-219. © School of Oriental and African Studies. Printed in the United Kingdom.

The verses in question are the following:

```
TS 4.1.1.1.a; MS 2.7.1:73.8; KS 15.11:217:4; VS 11.1 [ŚB 6.3.1.12–13] yuñjānáh prathamám mánas tatváya savitá dhíyaḥ*/
agním** jyótir nicáyiya pṛthivyá ádhi ábharat //
*VS = dhíyam . **VS = agnér
```

Savitar, putting his thought under yoke first, having stretched his worshipful thoughts, brought the fire from the earth, having perceived the light.

```
TS 4.1.1.1b; MS 2.7.1:73.12; KS 15.11:217.8; VS 11.3 [ŚB 6.3.1.15] yuktváya savitá* devánt súvar** yató dhiyá dívam / bṛháj jyótiḥ kariṣyatáh savitá prá suvati tán // *TS mánasá.9** MS, KS, VS svàr
```

Having put the gods under yoke, Savitar instigates those going in thought to the sun, the sky, (those) intending to make the great light.

```
TS 4.1.2.3.m; MS 2.7.2:75.13; KS 16.2:222.1; VS 11.19 [ŚB 6.3.3.11] 
ākrámya vājin pṛthivīm agním icha rucā tvám / 
bhūmyā vṛtvāya* no brūhi yátaḥ khánāma** táṃ vayám // 
* ŚB vṛttvāya. **TS; MS, KS, VS khánema
```

Having trodden the earth, O prize-winner, seek the fire by (its) glow! Having selected (the spot) of ground, 10 tell us where we should dig (for) it!

```
TS 4.1.5.4.q; MS 2.7.6:81.5; KS 16.5:226.5; VS 11.57 [ŚB 6.5.1.111] kṛtvāya sā mahīm ukhām mṛnmáyīm yónim agnáye / tām putrébhyah sám* prāyachad áditih śrapáyān íti // *Omitted by MS.
```

Having fashioned the great *ukhá*-pot, the earthenware womb for the fire, Aditi presented it to her sons, (thinking to herself) 'they will bake it'.

The occurrences of gerunds in -tváya are extremely limited in the Vedic texts. In the RV there are nine examples, all but one in Book 10. Within Book 10, the pattern of their distribution is further restricted to hymns of a decidedly late and predominantly 'non-śrauta' character. Thus: hitváya RV 10.14.8 (funeral); dṛṣṭváya RV 10.34.11 (dicing); hatváya RV 10.84.2 (battle fury); dattváya, RV 10.85.33 (wedding); yuktváya, RV 10.93.14; bhaktváya, RV 10.109.7 (a brahmin's wife); jagdhváya, RV 10.146.5 (forest spirit); hatváya, RV 10.157.4 (with reference to the late theme of the devas' defeat of the asuras). The single occurrence of this form outside of Book 10 is in a hymn from Book 8: gatváya RV 8.100.8. On metrical grounds Arnold assigned this hymn to the very latest phase of RV poetry.

The three occurrences of the $-tv\acute{a}ya$ gerund in the AV are limited to passages contained in hymns paralleled either in one of the above hymns from RV 10 (AVŚ 4.31.2=RV 10.84.2; AVŚ 20.63.2 and AVŚ 20.124.5=RV 10.157.4) or, in one instance, in the Kuntāpa portion of the RV Khilas: $gatv\acute{a}ya$, RV Khila 5.12.5 = AVŚ 20.128.5. Book 20 of the AVŚ is itself something of a Khila,

verses, which all contain variants of $\sqrt{yuj} \, mánas$.

10 Keith (1914) translates $bh\tilde{u}my\tilde{u} \, vrtv\tilde{u}ya$ by 'turning from earth', apparently understanding \sqrt{vrt} .

11 Ikari (1981: 73) translates 'Having selected (Agni's abode) from the earth...'.

¹¹ Analysis of the hymn given by Oldenberg (1885: 54ff.). ¹² Arnold (1905: 283).

⁹ Except for TS, the texts agree on *savitá*, though the repetition of the word in d is awkward. TS has replaced it with *mánasā* in order to make it fit the pattern of the first, third and fourth verses, which all contain variants of $\sqrt{yuj \ mánas}$.

containing material paralleled in RV 10, the RV Khilas, as well as late compositions that do not appear elsewhere, which are recited by the hotrakas in the classical rites.¹³

The only other occurrences of the gerund in $-tv\dot{a}ya$ are those that occur in the non-Rgvedic YV verses for the Agnicayana in the verses above: 1. tatváya, 2. yuktváya, 3. vrtváya, 4. krtváya. 14

Thus, it appears warranted to conclude that the $-tv\dot{a}ya$ gerund is a dialectal feature belonging to the very latest phase of the RV composition period represented by Book 10. The appearance of the form in the Kuntāpa section of the RV Khilas is one indication that the Kuntāpa texts were chronilogically proximate to the late RV. Furthermore, the high concentration of this morphological feature in the ukhāsambharana portion of the Agnicayana suggests that at least the verses in which the form appears belong to generally the same period as both the late RV 10 and the Kuntāpas. Since the content of the verses themselves leaves no doubt that they were expressly composed to accompany the discrete ritual actions that constitute the ukhāsambharana, we may conclude that the rite itself was either already known at the time these verses were created, or was in the process of being created.¹⁵

2. The -tvåya gerund as a feature of the Kuru dialect

The connection between the Kuntāpas, the liturgy for the ukhāsambharaṇa, and one of the hymns of RV 10 that contains the -tvaya gerund does not depend solely on the shared appearance of this dialectal feature. It is strengthened by the fact that all of these texts can be linked to the dynasty of Kuru kings, as I will presently demonstrate. This, in turn, may indicate that the -tvåya gerund is a feature of the Kuru dialect. 16

The most straightforward case is that of the Kuntāpa hymns, which are associated with the Kurus in two ways. First, they refer explicitly to the 'Kuru lord' (kaúravyah pátih, RV Khila 5.10.1-4). Second, they locate the matters presented in the verses as taking place 'in the reign/realm of King Pariksit' (rāstré rā jñah pariksítah), who is a figure identified in all later Vedic and Epic legend as a Kuru. As we have just seen, the Kuntāpa hymns are also associated with the use of the gerund in $-tv\dot{a}ya$, as such a form is attested at RV Khila 5.12.5. If we assume a compositional integrity for the Kuntāpas, which I think we can, then it follows that the use of the $-tv\dot{a}ya$ gerund is to be associated with the Kurus.

The evidence tying together the Agnicayana ukhāsambharana liturgy with the Kuru dynasty is less direct. According to certain Vedic texts (AB, SB), a man named Tura Kāvaseya was both court priest of a Kuru king, and a figure closely linked to the origins of the Agnicayana rite. Furthermore, a separate tradition, preserved in the VSS, credits the Kurus with the creation of the Agnicayana. 18 Thus, the Vedic tradition relates that a rite which has a high

¹³ Renou (1947: 12).

¹⁴ The occurrences of this form in the Samhitā prose are merely direct quotations of the mantras. In the single case where a composer of an exegetical prose text produces a new -tvaya form, ŚB 6.3.3.11, spāśayitvāya, the word is fashioned to gloss -vrtvāya of the Agnicayana mantra: ...bhúmyā vrttvāya...íti bhúmes tát spāśayitváya, 'having pointed out that (spot) of ground'.

15 On the ritual context in which the mantras are used, see Ikari (1981).

¹⁶ On the Kurus see Witzel (1997a; 1997b).

17 See also *kaurava* in RV Khila 5.8.5 for which see K. Hoffmann (1975: 6–7). On the Kuntāpas and the Kurus, see also Witzel (1997b: 283–284.)

¹⁸ The Agnicayana is not the only rite which later Vedic exegetes trace back to the Kurus. The Śrauta Sūtras, preserve the memory of a *kuruvājapeya*, e.g. a 'Vājapeya rite practiced among the Kurus' (ŚSS 15.3.15; LŚS 8.11.18). Sānkhāyana contrasts this with the *āpto vājapeyaḥ*, the 'received Vājapeya rite'.

concentration of -tvåya gerunds in its liturgy was first performed by a priest of the Kuru court, once again associating the gerund with the Kuru dynasty.

The specific evidence is as follows. According to the Aitareya Brāhmana (AB 4.27.9; AB 7.34.9; AB 8.21), Tura Kāvaseya¹⁹ was the court priest (purohita) of Janamejaya, a king of the Kuru dynasty. 20 In the lineage of teachers (vamśa) at the end of its Agnicayana section, the Śatapatha Brāhmana (SB 10.6.5.9) lists Tura Kāvaşeya as the first teacher after 'Bráhman' and 'Prajāpati'. The Brāhmaṇa connected with this rite was, in other words, purportedly divinely revealed to Tura, which can plausibly be interpreted to mean that a priest of that name was involved in the origins of the Agnicayana rite. Another passage in the Satapatha Brāhmana (ŚB 9.5.2.1–17) confirms this interpretation, when it suggests that Tura Kāvaseya was one of the first to perform the Agnicayana rite, before it had become generally accepted by the custodians of Vedic orthopraxis.²¹

That a court priest of the Kurus had a hand in developing the Agnicayana is in agreement with the testimony of the Vādhūla Srauta Sūtra, which links the origins of the Agnicayana rite with a Kuru king. In its anvākhyāna on the Agnicayana, Vādhūla records that 'Agni declared to a certain Kura king the doctrine concerning the head of the sacrificial victim' (kururājāya haikasmā agniḥ paśuṣīrṣavidyām provāca).²² It is then recorded that the brahmins of the Kurupāñcālas sought to acquire this knowledge from the Kuru king. In the context of the exegesis of the Agnicayana rite, the 'doctrine concerning the head of the sacrificial victim' must refer to the head of the human victim which, in the rite, was deposited beneath the first layer of bricks used in the construction of the fire altar. The text thus refers to the rite by reference to a characteristic aspect of it. According to this interpretation of the Vādhūla text, the Agnicayana rite was first known to the Kuru kings. This corroborates the claim of the SB that a poet in the service of the Kurus had a hand in developing the rite, and supports the validity of the tradition linking Tura Kāvaseya with the Agnicayana.

This tradition may find further support in the earliest explicit reference to Tura Kāvaṣeya in Vedic literature, which occurs in RV Khila 1.9.6. In the context in which he is mentioned, the recurring motif is Indra's severing of the head of Dadhyañc, and the Aśvins' reparation.²³ In verse 6, the Aśvins are asked to protect the composer and his people by means of the powers by which they urge on Dadhyañc and Tura Kāvaṣeya. In light of the Vādhūla text that we noted above, in which the term paśuṣīrṣavidyā, 'knowledge of the head of the sacrificial victim', refers to the knowledge of the Agnicayana rite, the mention in the Khila of Tura within the context of severed heads is noteworthy. Even more intriguing is Tura's association with the term makhá in the same verse:

 $^{^{19}}$ Mss. of RV Khila 1.9.6 read palatal - δ -, whereas the name appears as $k\bar{a}vaseya$, with retroflex - δ -, in all other Vedic sources. See Scheftelowitz (1906: 65).

²⁰ On the post-RV date of Tura Kāvaṣeya, and his association with the Kurus, see already Oldenberg (1888: 239; 1907: 229). See also Weber (1850: vol. 1, 202–03); Eggeling (1882–1900: SBE 43: xviii); Hillebrandt (1897: 161); Scheftelowitz (1906: 65); Macdonell and Keith (1912: vol. 1, 314). The Vedic and Epic traditions record that Janamejaya succeeded his father, Pariksit, on the Kuru throne, and as we have already seen Pariksit is known to the composer of the Kuntāpa hymns who uses the -tvāya gerund.

21 Given Tura's connection with the Kurus, it is significant that the Agnicayana portion of the

Śatapatha Brāhmaṇa (ŚBM 6-10=ŚBK 8-12) belongs to a separate tradition from the rest of that text and that it was imported from the west-specifically, from Kuruksetra, the land of the Kurus. See Witzel (1997b: 315).

²² Caland (1927: frag. 108). See also Heesterman (1985: 49).

²³ RV Khila 1.9.3–4, 6.

yábhis sácibhir vṛṣaṇā dadhīcám yábhis túram kāvaṣeyáṃ makhásya / yábhir dhíyam jínvathāké nípānā tábhir no 'vataṃ vidáthe gabhírá // RV Khila 1.9.6.

Assist us in the offering ceremony. O profound [Aśvins], with the powers by which [you urged on] Dadhyañc, O bulls, by which [you urged on] *makha*'s Tura Kāvaṣeya, by which you urged on poetic inspiration, O you two who grant protection close by.²⁴

With the mention of *makha* we stumble upon a subject that has puzzled Indologists in the past.²⁵ I will not enter into the question here, but will merely point out the reference to 'the head of *makha*' (*makhásya śiraḥ*) among the *yajuḥ* formulas belonging to the same portion of the Agnicayana liturgy which we have linked, via the *tváya* gerunds, to Tura Kāvaṣeya.²⁶ It is by no means clear what '*makha*'s Tura Kāvaṣeya' means in the present Khila verse. Perhaps it reflects a mythical connection between Tura and Dadhyañc on the grounds that both possessed an esoteric 'knowledge of the head of the sacrificial victim', amounting to a knowledge of how to find a proper substitute for it.²⁷ The important point here is that the Khila verse associates Tura with this *makha* whose head plays a role in the Agnicayana liturgy, while according to Vādhūla the Kuru lords—in whose service Tura composed, according to AB—were renowned for their Agnicayana rite since they possessed 'the knowledge of the head of the sacrificial victim'.

In short, the RV Khila verse connects Tura Kāvaṣeya once again with an important element of the Agnicayana, corroborating the testimony of the Śatapatha Brāhmana. Hence, Tura Kāvaṣeya is not only consistently associated with the Kurus, but also with the rite which presents a liturgy characterized by the use of -tvāya gerunds.

Finally, the relation of RV 10.34, together with its -tvåya gerund, to the Kurus may be inferred from the following. The Kurus are mentioned in the RV in only two hymns, RV 10.32.9 and RV 10.33.4.28 Both of these hymns refer to a king Kuruśravaṇa, a name which means 'he who spreads the fame of the Kurus'. The Sarvānukramaṇī attributes both of these hymns to the poet Kavaṣa Ailūṣa. The same poet is credited with RV 10.34, one of the handful of RV hymns to contain an example of the -tvåya gerund. Thus, the -tvåya gerund is here associated with the Kuru kings by association with the figure of Kavasa.

An objection might be raised against this reasoning. The name Kavaṣa does not appear in the texts of the hymns in question but only in the Sarvānukramaṇī. Oldenberg demonstrated that the Sarvānukramaṇī is not always reliable in its attributions of hymns to individuals. Therefore, it might be warned, one should not rely on the testimony of the Sarvānukramaṇī to provide the link between the Kurus and the -tváya gerund in RV 10.34. I would counter, however, that this link in the argument does not require that the Sarvānukramaṇī accurately attribute the hymns to a specific or even to the same poet, but only that it be correct in assigning them to poets of the same clan tradition. And this is rendered likely by the fact that the hymns were collected and preserved in immediate sequence, and in conformity with RV

```
    With áké nipānā- compare ākenipá- at RV 4.45.6.
    Rönnow (1929); Cf. van Buitenen (1968: 16–22).
    makhásya śíro 'si. MS 2.7.6 = KS 16.5 = TS 4.1.5.1 = VS 11.57.
    Heesterman (1993: 71–5).
    Cf. M. Witzel (1997b: 265, n. 30).
    Oldenberg (1888).
```

usage, according to which 'Kavasa' could as well be a clan appellation as an individual's name.30

If we are inclined to accept that the two hymns referring to the Kurus and the one that attests to the use of the $-tv\dot{a}ya$ gerund were produced within the same clan tradition on the grounds that they are all indexed under the same name, then it becomes interesting that the name under which they are indexed is 'Kavaṣa'. This is of course, because 'Kavaṣa' is to be related onomastically to the derivative 'K \bar{a} vaṣeya'. As we have already seen, the figure of Tura Kāvaseya is associated in the later Vedic texts with both the Kuru and with liturgical verses containing the $-tv\dot{a}ya$ gerund. The fact that separate lines of tradition link members of the same lineage to the Kurus and the -tvåya gerund reinforces the separate assertions concerning each.

There remains the issue of how or whether the 'Kavasa' who composed RV 10.30-34 is related to the 'Kavaṣa' who is referred to within the text of the Rksamhitā at RV 7.18.12. This hymn celebrates the victory of Vasiṣṭha and the Bharatas over the Pūrus and their allied tribes in the 'Ten-Kings Battle'. In this verse the 'famous, old Kavasa' is drowned in the water by Indra on behalf of the Bharatas. Therefore, Kavaşa belonged to the confederation supporting the Pūrus. Now, in verse 4 of RV 10.33 ascribed to Kavasa Ailūsa, Kuruśravaņa is called *trāsadasyava*. indicating that the Kuru kings considered themselves in some way connected to an early chieftain of the Pūru tribe, Trasadasyu (on whom see Macdonell and Keith (1912)). It appears, therefore, that the political affiliations of the Kavaşa of RV 7.18 and the composer of the hymns credited to Kavasa by the Anukramanī are in agreement. Here again, this does not necessarily mean that the same individual is being referred to in both cases, but suggests that members of a Kavasa clan were associated with the Pūru confederacy, and later with the Kurus who claimed some connection to the Pūru line.

In summary, then, we may say that the available evidence strongly indicates that the -tvaya gerund was a feature of a dialect spoken in the early Kuru realm during a limited period of time extending from the late hymns of RV 10 to the composition of the Kuntāpas of the RV Khilas and the ukhāsambharana portion of the Agnicayana liturgy. This would place the composition of the verses for the ukhāsambharana at the end of the RV composition period at the very earliest, but more probably a generation or two after the close of the RV canon. This is because we have linked the ukhāsambharana liturgy to Tura Kāvaseya, whose name suggests that he is descended from the same line as the 'Kavasa' of the late RV. An additional reason to believe that Tura Kāvaşeya (and with him the *ukhāsambharana* liturgy) belonged to the post-RV period is Tura's association with King Janamejaya. As we saw, Janamejaya's father Pariksit seems to be closely associated with the post-RV Kuntāpa texts.

3. The non-Rgvedic Aprī hymns and Tura Kāvaṣeya

It may be that the opening of the Agnicayana is not the only non-RV verse liturgy associated with the name Tura Kāvaseya. Included in the Yajurveda Samhitās are metrical Āprī hymns for the Aśvamedha and Sautrāmanī rites that are not paralleled in the RV.³² A standard formula in the RV Āprīs refers

³⁰ On the use of underived patronyms see Oldenberg (1888).

³¹ AiGr II 2, §340aδ.
32 Sautrāmaṇī: (1) VS 20.36–46; KS 38.6; MS 3.11.1; TB 2.6.8. (2) VS 20.55–66; KS 38.8; MS 3.11.3; TB 2.6.12; (3) VS 21.12–22; KS 38.10; MS 3.11.11; TB 2.6.18. Aśvamedha: (4) VS 29.1–11; KĀ 6.2; MS 3.16; TS 5.1.11.

to the 'divine doors' (dévīh dvárah) that are called upon to open.³³ In the YV Āprī hymns this standard idea is expressed by the phrase dúrah kavá3syah (MS 3.1 1.1), kavá3syah... dúrah (MS 3.11.3), and kavásah...dvárah (MS 3.16.2). The formula does not appear in any of the RV Aprī hymns. This is not surprising if the expression dúrah kavá3syah is recognized as an anagram³⁴ of a post-RV figure, Tura Kāvaseya.35 The Vedic tradition actually leaves a trace of its association of the words dúras and túras: RV 10.120.8d and AVP 6.1.8d read dúras, while the corresponding passage of AVŚ 5.2.8d has túras.³⁶ Furthermore, in one YV Aprī that does not contain the phrase in the verse to the divine doors, the author may have left a subtler mark in the word turyaváh (MS 3.11.11) within the same verse. In short, it is possible to argue that a poet Tura, a descendant of Kavaṣa, has cryptically signatured these compositions. It must be noted, however, that the YV Saṃhitās also contain a non-RV Āprī hymn for the Agnicayana rite,³⁷ but that this hymn does not reveal any connection to Tura.38

If 'Tura' was a poet active under the Kuru kings, the YV Āprī hymns, composed along the lines of the RV compositional tradition but postdating all Aprī hymns actually contained within the RV Samhitā, may well have been composed for a member of this dynasty, extending the liturgies for the Aśvamedha and the Sautrāmanī that are reflected already in the RV Samhitā in RV 1.162-3 and RV 10.131 respectively.

4. Conclusion

Bergaigne suggested over a century ago that the development of the śrauta 'Ur-liturgies' is to be placed between the completed collection of the Rksamhitā and the earliest of the other Vedic śrauta texts. 39 This period corresponds precisely to what M. Witzel has identified as a formative phase in the early history of the sub-continent. According to Witzel, it is during the "... "gap" between the Rgveda and the other Vedic texts...that the social "raw material" present in Rgvedic time was intentionally transformed into what became the core and pattern of Vedic and, later on, of Hindu culture '.40 Witzel links this period with the rise of Kuru hegemony over the central areas of Vedic cultural influence, the region known to later generations as *Kuruksetra*, 'land of the Kurus'. Further, he proposes that the aforementioned reformation

³⁴ As Elizarenkova writes (1995: 148), this kind of 'word-painting' in RV poetry 'sometimes symbolizes the name of the Rsi who has created the hymn'. On anagrams in RV poetry, see Elizarenkova (1995: 123ff.). An excellent summary and bibliography on the literature of anagrams in Old Indic and Indo-European poetry is given by Matasovic (1996: 114ff.).

³⁵ On the stem forms *kavás(a)- /kavásī-* which appear in these non-RV Āprī hymns, see AiGr

³³ See van den Bosch (1985: 104-06).

II 2, 386 and III, 323. The precise meaning of the term is not established, although as Mayrhofer says, it is used as an epithet of the wings of double doors (EWA I, 327; KEWA I, 187), and may be connected to later forms, such as epic kavāṭa-, kapāṭa-. Needless to say, for my present argument it is not necessary to establish the meaning of the term, but only that it can serve as an anagram for 'Kāvaṣeya', which I think should be obvious.

36 I thank Arlo Griffiths for pointing this out to me.

37 MS 2.12.6; KS 18.17; TS 4.1.8; VS 27.11–12.

³⁸ It may be noted here that if we accept that the non-RV Āprī hymns were composed by, or at least presuppose knowledge of, a figure called Tura Kāvaṣeya, and if Tura's association with Janamejaya, together with his generational separation from the RV figure Kavaṣa, which is suggested by the derivation of his name, is sufficient to show that he is linked to post-RV generations, then we have yet another reason to conclude that the *praiṣas* are, in their present form, of post-Rgwedic date. This is because Praiṣādhyāya 6 (=RVKh 5.7.11F) contains an occurrence of the formula dúrah...kavasyáh. This may be taken as an indication that the composer of the *praisas* was familiar with those post-RV Aprī hymns that contained an anagram of the name Tura Kāvaṣeya (<Kavaṣa), and thus was himself operating in the post-RV period.

39 Bergaigne (1889: 7–8).

40 Witzel (1997a: 27).

of RV-period social and ritual institutions was promoted by the newly ascendant kings of the Kuru dynasty. As part of their programme to consolidate power, the Kuru kings sought to overcome the divisive tendencies inherent in the clan-based organization of their priestly elite by encouraging the development of what we might call an 'ecumenical' ritual system, one which did not rely upon or perpetuate the clan divisions characteristic of the RV-period.⁴¹

This theory fits well with the conclusions of the present paper, and helps to explain why new liturgical poetry was commissioned for certain rites when the general trend from the late RV was towards the cessation of the production of new liturgical poetry and the editorial rearrangement and adaptation of pre-existing material. In the case of the non-RV $\bar{A}pr\bar{\imath}$ hymns, the $pray\bar{a}ja$ offerings in which they are recited are the one place in the śrauta liturgies where the particular text used depends upon the clan affiliations of the patron or his officiating priest. 42 This must have presented a problem for a king who sought to consolidate his territories through a performance of the Asyamedha rite for, should he employ a clan-centred $\bar{A}pr\bar{t}$ hymn, other clans would certainly have been offended, and the political goal of the performance would have been jeopardized. It may not be unreasonable to imagine that to solve this problem a poet was commissioned to produce a new Āprī, specific to the Aśvamedha rite, which had no traditional connection to any particular clan. A similar explanation may also apply to the Aprīs of the Sautrāmanī, a rite which, judging by its focus on the figure of Indra, may well also have been originally a roval rite.

The unction ceremony for the sacrificer (abhiseka) that is performed in the Agnicayana connects this rite, too, with the most powerful of leaders. If, as it seems, the Agnicayana was created only after the close of the RV canon⁴³ to glorify a particularly powerful leader, then it is not surprising that newly introduced ritual features which did not have older liturgical material associated with them would be embellished with new mantra material.⁴⁴

The connections between the liturgies and/or ritual actions of the Agnicayana, the Aśvamedha, and the Sautrāmanī which have been pointed out by other scholars support the suggestion that the elaboration of each of these ritual complexes was closely related to the others.⁴⁵ If this is so, it may provide further support to the theory that the non-RV verse mantras were produced by priests carrying out a reform to the older ritual system under the impulse of the Kuru leadership.

ABBREVIATIONS

Annals of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute ABORI

Altindische Grammatik. Wackernagel. AiGr Acta Orientalia

Etymologisches Wörterbuch des Altindoarischen. Mayrhofer. **EWA**

IIJ Indo-Iranian Journal JA Journal Asiatique

JAOS Journal of the American Oriental Society

KEWA Kurzgefasstes etymologisches Wörterbuch des Altindoarischen. Mayrhofer.

GGA Göttingische Gelehrte Anzeigen

⁴¹ See also Proferes (forthcoming, 1 and 2).

⁴² For details, see Minkowski (1991: 46). ⁴³ However, the phrase in RV 3.22.4a *purīṣyàso agnáyah*, 'Fires dwelling in the soil', should be compared with the same motif and phrasing—and the ritual actions that reflect it—in the Agnicayana liturgy. See Ikari (1981: 173) and Renou (1960: 104–10).

44 On the Agnicayana as a synthesis of 'orthodox and nonorthodox cultures' and on its relationship with the Pravargya rite, see Ikari (1981: 18–19, 160–76).

45 Rönnow (1929); Kolhatkar (1986); Ikari (1981).

MO Monde Oriental

ZDMG Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft

ABBREVIATIONS OF SOURCES

 $_{
m AB}^{
m ar{A}}$ Aitareya Āraṇyaka Aitareya Brāhmaṇa Atharva Veda AV AVP AVS KS MS RV SB SSS TS VS VS Atharva Veda Paippalāda Atharva Veda Śaunaka Kāṭhaka Saṃhitā Maitrāyaņī Samhitā Ŗgveda Śatapatha Brāhmana Śāṅkhāyana Śrauta Sūtra Taittirīya Samhitā Vājasaneyī Samhitā Vādhūla Šrauta Sūtra

REFERENCES

Arnold E.V. 1905. *Vedic metre in its historical development*. Cambridge. Bergaigne, A. 1889. 'Recherches sur l'histoire de la liturgie védique'. *JA* 13: 5–32, 121–97. Bloomfield, M. 1906. *A Vedic concordance*. (Harvard Oriental Series, 10.) Cambridge, Mass. [repr. Delhi, 1964].

van den Bosch, Lourens, P. 1985. 'The Aprī hymns of the Rgveda and their interpretation'. *IIJ* 28: 95–122, 169–89.

van Buitenen, J. A. B. 1968. The Pravargya: an ancient Indian iconic ritual described and annotated.

Poona.
Caland, W. 1927. 'Eine vierte Mitteilung über das Vādhūlasūtra'. AO 6: 97–241. [Kleine Schriften, p. 397, ed, M. Witzel, Stuttgart, 1990.]
Caland, W. 1953. Sānkhāyana-Srautasūtra. Edited with an introduction by L. Candra. Nagpur. Eggeling, J. 1882–1900. The Satapatha Brāhmana. According to the text of the Mādhyandina school. 5 vols. (Sacred Books of the East 12, 26, 41, 43–44). Oxford. [repr. Delhi, 1963]. Elizarenkova, T. J. 1995. Language and style of the Vedic Rsis. Albany.
Heesterman, J. C. 1985. The inner conflict of tradition: essays in Indian ritual, kingship and society. Chicago and London

Chicago and London.

Heesterman, J. C. 1993. *The broken world of sacrifice: an essay in ancient Indian ritual.* Chicago and London.

Hillebrandt, A. 1897. Ritualliteratur: Vedische Opfer und Zauber. Strasbourg. Hoffman, K. 1975. Aufsätze zur Indoiranistik. 2 vols. Ed. J. Narten. Wiesbaden.

Hoffman, K. 1975. Aufsätze zur Indoiranistik. 2 vols. Ed. J. Narten. Wiesbaden.
Ikari, Y. 1981. A study of Agnicayana ukhāsambharana. PhD dissertation, University of Chicago.
Ikari, Y. 1989. 'The development of mantras in the Agnicayana ritual 1: on the treatment of hautra mantras'. Zinbun 24.
Keith, A. B. 1914. The Veda of the Black Yajus School entitled Taittiriya Sanhita. 2 vols. Cambridge.
Kolhatkar, M. 1986. 'The relation between Agnicayana and Sautrāmanī'. ABORI 67: 109–115.
Macdonell, A. A. 1910. Vedic grammar. Strasbourg. [Repr. Delhi, 1968].
Macdonell, A. A. and A. B. Keith. 1912. Vedic index of names and subjects. 2 vols. London. [Repr. Delhi, 1958].
Matasovic, R. 1996. A theory of textual reconstruction in Indo-European linguistics. Frankfurt am Main.

am Main.

Mayrhofer, M. 1956-1980. Kurzgefasstes etymologisches Wörterbuch des Altindischen. 4 vols. Heidelberg.

Mayrhofer, M. 1986–. Etymologisches Wörterbuch des Altindischen. Heidelberg. Minkowski, C. Z. 1991. Priesthood in ancient India: a study of the Maitrāvaruna priest. Vienna. Oldenberg, H. 1885. 'Ākhyāna-Hymnen im Rgveda'. ZDMG 39: 52–90. [Kleine Schriften: 474–512].

Oldenberg, H. 1888. 'Ueber die Liedverfasser des Rigveda: Nebst Bemerkungen über die vedische Oldenberg, H. 1888. 'Oeber die Liedverfasser des Rigveda: Nebst Bemerkungen über die Vedische Chronologie und über die Geschichte des Rituals'. ZDMG 42: 199–247. [Kleine Schriften: 568–616].

Oldenberg, H. 1907. GGA 3: 210–41. [Kleine Schriften: 1956–1987].

Proferes, T. N. Forthcoming. 'Poetics and pragmatics in the Vedic liturgy for the installation of the sacrificial post'. JAOS.

Proferes, T. N. Forthcoming. 'Remarks on the transition from Rigvedic composition to Śrauta compilation'. III.

compilation'. IIJ.

Renou, L. 1947. Les ecoles védiques et la formation du Veda. Paris. Renou, L. 1960. 'Védique púrīṣa'. IIJ 4: 104–10. Rönnow, K. 1929. 'Zur Erklärung des Pravargya, des Agnicayana und der Sautrāmaṇī'. MO 23: 113–73.

Scheftelowitz, I. 1906. *Die Apokryphen des Rgveda*. Breslau.

Wackernagel, J. and A. Debrunner. 1930. *Altindische Grammatik*, Band III: Nominalflexion—Zahlwort–Pronomen. Göttingen.

Wackernagel, J. and A. Debrunner. 1954. *Altindische Grammatik*, Band II, 2: Die Nominalsuffixe.

Wackernagel, J. and A. Debrunner. 1954. Altindische Grammatik, Band II, 2: Die Nominalsuffixe. Göttingen.
Weber, A. 1850. 'Zwei Sagen aus dem Sathapatha-Brāhmaṇa über Einwanderung und Verbreitung der Ārier in Indien, nebst einer geographisch-geschichtlichen Skizze aus dem weissen Yajus'. Indische Studien 1. Berlin.
Whitney, W. D. 1889. Sanskrit grammar. Cambridge.
Witzel, M. 1997a. 'Early Sanskritization: origins and development of the Kuru State'. Recht, Staat und Verwaltung im klassischen Indien [The State, the Law, and Administration in Classical India]. Ed. B. Kölver, Munich.
Witzel, M. 1997b. 'The Vedic canon and its political milieu'. Inside the Texts, Beyond the Texts. Ed. M. Witzel. Cambridge.