

women and ethnic minorities. The proposed institutional reforms logically fit with the findings of the book, but this proposal will certainly spark some debate. Few labour organisations and other associations that represent non-elite groups are pushing for these types of reforms. Why? Perhaps this is because class-based identities differ from racial and gender-based ones in important ways. Working-class identities are more of degrees of distance from the elite, rather than categorical differences between well-defined groups. The working class is made up of workers in many different professions, the formal and informal sectors, the private and public sectors, and the very poor as well as parts of the middle class. The lack of a coherent class-based identity makes representing this large group of voters difficult, and it might vex would-be institutional reformers.

Overall, this book marks a major landmark for the study of labour politics. It examines working-class representation from a valuable, new perspective, broadens the community of scholars who might be interested in labour representation, and raises fascinating questions for future research.

doi:10.1017/S0022216X2400049X

Gregory Makoff, *Default: The Landmark Court Battle over Argentina's \$100 Billion Debt Restructuring*

Georgetown University Press, 2024, pp. xi + 401.

Giselle Datz

Virginia Tech

(Received 7 July 2024; accepted 23 July 2024)

Gregory Makoff's *Default* offers an engrossing play-by-play account of the protracted battle to restructure Argentine foreign debt from 2001 to 2016. The book starts with the 2001 default and the first restructuring in 2005, accepted by 76 per cent of the country's debtors (Chapters 1–3). The 'deal was marketed without the support of the IMF and under attack from creditor activists' (p. 3). Some lenders not only rejected the Argentine government's exchange offer, but sued the sovereign debtor for full repayment in the United States. Thus starts the book's careful discussion of its main subject: the legal saga of Argentina and some of its creditors in US courts.

By way of background, Makoff explains relatively rare previous episodes of creditors' legal disputes against sovereign debtors (Chapter 4). Particularly intriguing is the case in which the same New York District Court Judge who would ultimately rule in favour of Argentine creditors against the sovereign debtor had ruled *for* Costa Rica against its holdout creditor (Allied Bank) in 1984. Yet that ruling was reversed by the US Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, making it clear that 'sovereign debt was unambiguously enforceable in the United States' (p. 96). In the mid-1990s, some of the same

creditors (Elliott Associates) who sued Argentina in the 2000s went after Peru in the United States and Belgium. Elliott's legal victory was the result of a particular interpretation of the meaning of a common clause in foreign sovereign bonds: the *pari passu* clause. Although it is widely thought to mean 'equal ranking' of creditors' claims, it was argued by Elliott's lawyers and accepted by the Belgian court to mean 'equal payment'. In this scenario, the debtor could not pay the majority of its creditors who accepted a previous debt restructuring without also paying the litigating holdout. This same legal challenge would be levelled against Argentina in the United States almost ten years later (p. 173).

The US-based litigation over the *pari passu* clause in the bonds defaulted by Argentina in 2001 is at the heart of Makoff's compelling book (Chapters 5 and 7–11). Intended to reach a broad audience, the book offers no theoretical treatment of the debt issue. Nonetheless, its empirical granularity is a sound contribution to studies of sovereign debt restructurings. Throughout his account of legal battles between creditors and debtors, Makoff manages to translate the technical parlance of legal proceedings into clear accounts of iterated strategic action by the main players involved. Key parts of in-court exchanges between the Judge and the lawyers for Argentina and for the holdout creditor, Elliott, are transcribed in the text, giving the reader a clear sense of the tense game at play. In these exchanges, the growing exasperation of Judge Griesa (in charge of almost all litigation against Argentina in the United States) with the debtor is made evident. It would become an important element determining the outcome of this unusual legal saga. Indeed, one of Makoff's contributions is the explanation of a switch in the Judge's stance towards Argentina: while at first sympathetic, Judge Griesa grew ever more frustrated with the debtor country as the years went on. He ruled in favour of Elliott in its challenge over the *pari passu* clause in 2011, but Argentina refused to pay. In Buenos Aires, then-President Cristina Fernández de Kirchner politicised the battle against Elliott and vowed not to allow her country 'to be extorted by those who speculated and profited from misery' (p. 233). Makoff explains that Judge Griesa was initially hesitant to issue an injunction that would make it practically impossible for Argentina to repay its current bondholders (some of whom had accepted the 2005 or 2010 restructuring deals) without also repaying its holdout creditors. Yet, since he saw no possibility that Argentina would 'honestly honor its obligations without some unusual mechanism' (p. 193), in February 2012 the Judge imposed the injunction, which ultimately led to Argentina's technical default in 2014.

Not all of the developments recounted in the book are restricted to court battles between Argentina and Elliott: 'other fights were taking place in the US federal courts outside of New York and in courts in Europe and in Africa as well as in the court of public opinion' (p. 209). Some of those are recounted in Chapter 6.

The book is revealing of dynamics that even close observers of the Argentine ordeal may have missed. For instance, 'Elliott's worldwide debt war was extended well beyond conventional methods ... [used in litigation] and even included a political influence campaign against Argentina with a scope and character rarely seen in the history of sovereign finance' (p. 225). Makoff explains how groups sponsored by Argentina's holdout creditors 'funneled \$27 million to Washington insiders' between 2013 and 2015, with the intention of 'undermin[ing] Argentina's position in the United States, Europe, and elsewhere' (p. 226). Furthermore, Makoff reveals tensions within the IMF's Executive Board where Italy, Germany and Japan 'appear

to have tried to use their board seats ... to help their countries' retail bondholders obtain a better deal' than what Argentina offered in 2005 (p. 285). Finally, the reader gets to know more about the role played by the court-appointed mediator in the final settlement between Argentina and Elliott (Chapters 10 and 11) than media reports revealed in the period from 2014 to 2016.

Despite its many peculiarities, the salience of the Argentine case cannot be underestimated. Makoff's account makes clear why the case is a crucial outlier in multidisciplinary studies of sovereign debt. The author argues that 'because of all the misery in Judge Griesa's courtroom' necessary contractual changes in foreign bonds – advocated by the US Treasury and the IMF, along with some private entities – were set in motion. These, in turn, have since changed the landscape of sovereign debt restructurings by reducing the scope of legal damage minority holdouts can inflict on the debtor (p. 249). The paradox of deviance is hence at play: the outlier case may be so singular as to be irreplicable, yet it is a game-changer in such fundamental ways that one can hardly afford to ignore it at present and into the future. Undoubtedly the history of the outcome of Argentina's 2001 default will be revisited often. Makoff has offered an enthralling guide through it.

doi:10.1017/S0022216X24000622

**Tamara Feinstein, *The Fate of Peruvian Democracy: Political Violence, Human Rights, and the Legal Left*
University of Notre Dame Press, 2023, pp. xx + 340**

Eduardo Dargent Bocanegra 

Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú

(Received 7 June 2024; accepted 16 July 2024)

Tamara Feinstein ha escrito un libro importante y detallado sobre la historia de la Izquierda peruana, en particular sobre el impacto que tuvo el periodo de violencia política sobre su organización y vínculo con la sociedad. Con amplias fuentes, que incluyen entrevistas a muchos de los protagonistas de dicha historia, la autora hace un recuento impresionante de las opciones, divisiones y apuestas de la Izquierda antes y durante el periodo democrático inaugurado en 1980. Hay también dos interesantes capítulos donde explora algunos de los legados de esos años para las décadas siguientes. El libro vincula así dos literaturas, aquella sobre la Izquierda peruana desde los años sesenta en adelante y la que se centra en el conflicto armado interno.

Feinstein señala que el principal argumento de su libro es que 'the nature of the political violence of the 1980s and 90s played a central role in the eventual defeat and disintegration of the legal Left as a political force' (Introducción). ¿A qué se refiere? Atrapa entre una retórica revolucionaria y una alta desconfianza del