

MAPS OF STIEFEL MANIFOLDS AND A BORSUK–ULAM THEOREM

by JAN JAWOROWSKI

(Received 29th January 1988)

1. Introduction

We are concerned with the following classical version of the Borsuk–Ulam theorem: Let $f: S^n \rightarrow R^k$ be a map and let $A_f = \{x \in S^n \mid fx = f(-x)\}$. Then, if $k \leq n$, $A_f \neq \emptyset$. In fact, theorems due to Yang [17] give an estimation of the size of A_f in terms of the cohomology index. This classical theorem concerns the antipodal action of the group $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$ on S^n . It has been generalized and extended in many ways (see a comprehensive expository article by Steinlein [16]). This author ([9, 10]) and Nakaoka [14] proved “continuous” or “parameterized” versions of the theorem. Analogous theorems for actions of the groups $G = S^1$ or S^3 have been proved in [11], and [12]; compare also [4, 5, 6].

A tool in estimating the size of the set A_f (for $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$) in terms of index is the first Stiefel–Whitney class of a space with a free involution. Similarly, such an estimate for $G = S^1$ makes use of the first Chern class; and for $G = S^3$ the first Pontriagin class is used. A natural question arises of whether there exists a corresponding result using other characteristic classes.

Various extensions of the concept of index were defined and used by Fadell and Husseini (see [5, 6]). In a forthcoming paper [7], Fadell and Husseini introduce a general notion of index for an arbitrary compact Lie group action as an ideal-valued function. I arrived independently at the concept of an ideal-valued index and presented my results, with an application to a geometric situation, at the NATO Advanced Study Institute on “Variational Methods in Nonlinear Problems” held in Montreal in July 1986, where Fadell presented his joint results with Husseini; this is how I first learned about their recent work. I understand, however, that Fadell and Husseini defined their general notion of index before me and I am pleased to acknowledge their priority in developing the index theory. In fact, a suggestion that the index can be defined as an ideal is mentioned in Remark (3.5) of [5]. I shall use the notation Ind^G for the G -index introduced by Fadell and Husseini and prove some properties of Ind^G (Proposition (3.3) and Theorem (3.4)) which will be needed in this paper.

In theorems of the Borsuk–Ulam type for a general compact Lie group G we usually consider a map $f: X \rightarrow W$ of a G -space W , for instance, into a representation space for G ; and we try to estimate the size of the set A_f where the G -symmetry becomes degenerate under f . The degeneracy set may be defined in various ways depending on the context.

For instance, if $f: X \rightarrow W$ is an equivariant map of X into a representation space W , we may want A_f to be the set of zeros of f . More generally, for any invariant subset \tilde{W} of W , we can set $A_f(\tilde{W}) := f^{-1}\tilde{W}$. If we don't want to start necessarily with an equivariant map $f: X \rightarrow W$, we can apply the averaging construction, replace f by its average $\text{Av } f: X \rightarrow W$ and define $A_f(\tilde{W}) := (\text{Av } f)^{-1}\tilde{W}$. (Compare [11] and [12]). The classical Borsuk–Ulam theorem asserts that for any map $f: S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ there is a point in S^n where the average of f (with respect to the antipodal actions on the source space and on the target space) is zero.

1.1. Example. The following example is a direct generalization of the Borsuk–Ulam–Yang situation of $f: S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ from the group $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$ ($O(1)$) to $G = O(m)$:

Let X be the Stiefel manifold $V_m(\mathbb{R}^{m+n})$ of orthonormal m -frames in \mathbb{R}^{m+n} and let $f: X = V_m(\mathbb{R}^{m+n}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^{m+k})^m = W$ (be a map. In other words, f assigns to every m -frame in $V_m(\mathbb{R}^{m+n})$ an m -tuple of vectors in \mathbb{R}^{m+k} . Let \tilde{W} be the subset of W consisting of the m -tuples which are not linearly independent. We are asking about the size of $A_f = (\text{Av } f)^{-1}\tilde{W}$; i.e., A_f is the degeneracy set in our example. Here the group $G = O(m)$ acts on $V_m(\mathbb{R}^{m+n})$ and on $(\mathbb{R}^{m+k})^m$ in the standard way: Thus if $w \in W$, then w can be thought of as an $(m+k) \times m$ matrix (having $m+k$ rows and m columns). For $g \in O(m)$ we define $gw := w \cdot g$, where the dot is the matrix multiplication. Then the action is free in $W - \tilde{W}$: if $w \in W - \tilde{W}$, i.e., $\text{Rank } w = m$, and $w \cdot g = w$, there is an $(m \times m)$ -submatrix A of w which is invertible. Then $A \cdot g = A$ and thus g is the identity. The converse is also true: if $w \in W$ and $\text{Rank } w < m$, then one can find a matrix $g \in O(m)$ other than the identity such that $w \cdot g = w$. Of course, if $m = 1$, then $X = S^n$, $W = \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$, and we are in the Borsuk–Ulam–Yang situation. We will prove a theorem in which the size of A_f is described in terms of index, in a way similar to the assertion of the Borsuk–Ulam theorem. An estimate of the size of A_f will be given in terms of cohomology, but, as a corollary we will find a lower bound for the covering dimension, $\dim A_f$, of A_f : In the case $m = 2$, we will show that $\dim A_f \geq 2n - k - 1$; if, in addition, $k = n - 1$ and $n = 2^s - 1$, then $\dim A_f \geq n + 1$.

There exist also “continuous” versions of the results proved here, for spaces and maps over a base space. They are analogous to those of [9, 10, 12]. We shall deal with them in a future paper.

2. Index

Let G be a compact Lie group. We shall be using the Alexander–Spanier cohomology with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_2 (which will be suppressed from the notation) and the Borel equivariant cohomology. If X is a G -space then $X_G := EG_G \times X$ where EG is a universal space for G , G acts on $EG \times X$ by $g(e, x) = (ge, gx)$ and $EG_G \times X := (EG \times X)/G$. The map $X_G \rightarrow (EG)/G = BG$ induced by the first projection $EG \times X \rightarrow EG$ is a bundle with fibre X . If G acts trivially on X then $X_G \cong BG \times X$.

The equivariant cohomology of X is $H_G^*X := H^*X_G$. If G acts freely on X then the map $X_G \rightarrow X/G$ induced by the second projection $EG \times X \rightarrow X$ is a bundle with a contractible fibre EG ; hence $H_G^*X \cong H^*(X/G)$.

If $(*)$ denotes a one-point space then the constant map $EG \rightarrow (*)$ induces an isomorphism $H_G^*(*) \cong H_G^*EG$. We will be identifying the groups $H_G^*(*)$, H_G^*EG and H^*BG under this isomorphism.

Proposition (compare [11, (5.1)]) **2.1.** *Let X be a free G -space, let $\varphi: X \rightarrow EG$ be an equivariant map and let $c = c_X: X \rightarrow (*)$ be the constant map. Then under the identification $H_G^*EG = H_G^*(*) = H^*BG$ and $H_G^*X = H^*(X/G)$ we have $\varphi^* = c^*: H^*BG \rightarrow H^*(X/G)$.*

Definition 2.2. Let G be a compact Lie group and let X be a G -space. Then the G -index of X is defined to be the kernel of the G -cohomology homomorphism induced by the constant map $c_X: X \rightarrow (*)$;

$$\text{Ind}^G X := \text{Ker}(c^*: H_G^*(*) \rightarrow H_G^*X)$$

Thus the index of X is an ideal in the G -cohomology ring of a point.

In the classical case, when $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$ is acting freely on X , the non-trivial element of \mathbb{Z}_2 represents a free involution on X . In the case $BG \cong \mathbb{R}P^\infty$, $H_G^*(*) \cong H^*\mathbb{R}P^\infty$ is a polynomial algebra over \mathbb{Z}_2 on one generator in dimension one, the first Stiefel–Whitney class $w_1 \in H_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^1(*) \cong H^1\mathbb{R}P^\infty$. Its image under c^* in $H_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^1 X = H^1(X/\mathbb{Z}_2)$ is the characteristic class of the involution, $w_1(X) = c^*w_1$. The kernel of c^* is the ideal generated by w_1^{n+1} , for some integer n , and thus $\text{Ind}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}(X)$ can be identified with that integer; it is the largest integer n such that $w_1^n(X) \neq 0$. This corresponds to the classical definition of index of space with a free involution. In an analogous way, for free actions of $G = S^1$ and $G = S^3$ (and for cohomology with rational coefficients), the index can also be identified with an integer (compare [4, 5, 6, 11, 12]).

That the index is natural is expressed by the following proposition.

Proposition 2.3. *Let X and Y be G -spaces and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an equivariant map. Then*

$$\text{Ind}^G Y \subset \text{Ind}^G X.$$

The proof is immediate.

The following theorem is a general principle of which the classical Borsuk–Ulam–Yang theorem is a special case (compare Remark (5.2)).

Theorem 2.4. *Let X and W be G -spaces and assume that X is paracompact. Let $f: X \rightarrow W$ be an equivariant map, and let \tilde{W} be a closed invariant subset of W . Then*

$$(\text{Ind}^G f^{-1}\tilde{W}) \cdot (\text{Ind}^G(W - \tilde{W})) \subset \text{Ind}^G X.$$

Remark. The proof is analogous to the proof of part (b) of Proposition (2.8) of [6] (additivity property of the integer-valued index). Compare also [9, p. 113], [10, p. 160], [11, p. 161] and [12, p. 148]. Thus (2.4) expresses a crucial principle used in these proofs.

Proof. As before, for every space Y , $c = c_Y: Y \rightarrow (*)$ is the constant map of Y into a one point space. Let $A_f = f^{-1}\tilde{W}$ (thus A_f corresponds to the singularity set in the Borsuk–Ulam–Yang situation) and let $a \in \text{Ind}^G A_f$; that is, $c_{A_f}^* a = 0$. Consider $c_X^* a \in H_G^* X$. Thus $(c_X^* a)|_{A_f} = 0$. By the continuity of H_G^* it follows that there exists a neighbourhood N of A_f in X such that $(c_X^* a)|_N = 0$. Thus $c_X^* a = j^* a'$, where $a' \in H_G^*(X, N)$ and $j: X \rightarrow (X, N)$ is the inclusion. Let $b \in \text{Ind}^G(W - \tilde{W})$. Since we have an equivariant map $X - A_f \rightarrow W - \tilde{W}$, we have by (2.3) that $\text{Ind}^G(W - \tilde{W}) \subset \text{Ind}^G(X - A_f)$. Hence $c_X^* b \in \text{Ind}^G(X - A_f)$, that is, $c_X^* b|(X - A_f) = 0$. Thus $c_X^* b = j^* b'$, where $b' \in H_G^*(X, X - A_f)$ and $j: X \rightarrow (X, X - A_f)$ is the inclusion. It follows that $c_X^*(ab) = (c_X^* a)(c_X^* b) = (j^* a')(j^* b') = j^*(a'b') = 0$. Therefore $ab \in \text{Ind}^G X$. \square

3. The cohomology of grassmannians

Let $V_m = V_m(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$ denote the Stiefel manifold of orthonormal m -frames in \mathbb{R}^∞ . If $O(m)$ acts on V_m in the standard way (by the right multiplication) then the orbit space of the action is the infinite Grassmann space $G_m = G_m(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$ of m -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{R}^∞ and the orbit map $V_m \rightarrow G_m$ is a classifying bundle for $O(m)$. Thus $H_{O(m)}^* V_m \cong H^* G_m$. The cohomology of G_m (with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_2) is a polynomial algebra $\mathbb{Z}_2[w_1, \dots, w_m]$ freely generated by the Stiefel–Whitney classes $w_i \in H^i G_m$ of the standard m -plane bundle associated to the principal bundle $V_m \rightarrow G_m$.

The orbit space of the standard free action (right multiplication) of $O(m)$ on the Stiefel manifold $V_m(\mathbb{R}^{m+n})$ is the real Grassmann manifold $G_m(\mathbb{R}^{m+n})$ of m -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{R}^{m+n} . Thus $H_{O(m)}^* V_m(\mathbb{R}^{m+n}) \cong H^* G_m(\mathbb{R}^{m+n})$. There exist two quite different descriptions of the cohomology of $G_m(\mathbb{R}^{m+n})$. On the one hand, Chern [2] gave a description of the cohomology ring $H^* G_m(\mathbb{R}^{m+n})$ by means of a specific cellular decomposition of the Grassmann manifolds constructed by Ehresmann [3] which, in turn was based on the work of Schubert [15]. By letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, one obtains a decomposition of the infinite Grassmannian $G_m = G_m(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$. In this decomposition of G_m every cell represents a free generator of the cohomology group in the respective dimension, a monomial in the Stiefel–Whitney classes. On the other hand, the cohomology of $G_m(\mathbb{R}^{m+n})$ was described in Borel’s thesis [1] as a quotient of the polynomial ring on the universal Stiefel–Whitney classes and their duals. A pleasing exposition of the first approach is given in Milnor [13]; compare also Hiller [8].

We can write the total Stiefel–Whitney class as a formal series $w = 1 + w_1 + w_2 + \dots$ and define the total dual class $\bar{w} = 1 + \bar{w}_1 + \bar{w}_2 + \dots$ as the formal inverse of w , i.e., by the relation

$$w\bar{w} = 1 \tag{3.1}$$

(compare [13, §4]). Relation (3.1) can be used to express the dual classes \bar{w}_i ’s in terms of w_1, \dots, w_m . It contains a countable number of relations, one in each positive dimension.

Definition 3.2. Let $J(m, n)$ denote the ideal in $\mathbb{Z}_2[w_1, \dots, w_m]$ generated by $\bar{w}_{1+n}, \dots, \bar{w}_{m+n}$ expressed as polynomials in w_1, \dots, w_m by using (3.1).

Theorem 3.3. $J(m, n)$ is the $O(m)$ -index of $V_m(\mathbb{R}^{m+n})$.

Borel [1] showed that the algebra $H_{O(m)}^*V_m \cong H_{O(m)}^*(*) \cong H^*G_m$ is isomorphic to the quotient algebra $\mathbb{Z}_2[w_1, \dots, w_m, \bar{w}_1, \bar{w}_2, \dots]/I(m)$, where $I(m)$ is the ideal in $\mathbb{Z}_2[w_1, \dots, w_m, \bar{w}_1, \bar{w}_2, \dots]$ generated by the homogeneous terms of $w\bar{w}$ of positive dimension. As shown by Borel, it follows that

$$H^*G_m(\mathbb{R}^{m+n}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2[w_1, \dots, w_m, \bar{w}_1, \dots, \bar{w}_n]/I(m, n), \tag{3.4}$$

where $I(m, n)$ is the ideal in the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{Z}_2[w_1, \dots, w_m, \bar{w}_1, \dots, \bar{w}_n]$ generated by the $m+n$ terms of $(1+w_1+\dots+w_m)(1+\bar{w}_1+\dots+\bar{w}_n)$ of positive dimension. The relations corresponding to the first homogeneous terms of the latter product (in dimensions $1, \dots, n$) yield n equations

$$w_k + w_{k-1}\bar{w}_1 + \dots + w_1\bar{w}_{k-1} + \bar{w}_k = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n$$

which can be solved recursively for $\bar{w}_1, \dots, \bar{w}_n$ (see [13, p. 40]). Substituting the resulting formulas to the remaining m homogeneous terms of the product (in dimensions $1+n, \dots, m+n$) we obtain the ideal $J(m, n)$. Thus $H^*G_m(\mathbb{R}^{m+n}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2[w_1, \dots, w_m]/J(m, n)$. The $O(m)$ -index of $V_m(\mathbb{R}^{m+n})$ is $\text{Ind}^{O(m)}V_m(\mathbb{R}^{m+n}) = (\text{Ker}(c^*: H_{O(m)}^*(*) \rightarrow H_{O(m)}^*V_m(\mathbb{R}^{m+n})))$. Since the action of $O(m)$ on $V_m(\mathbb{R}^{m+n})$ is free, $H_{O(m)}^*V_m(\mathbb{R}^{m+n}) \cong H^*G_m(\mathbb{R}^{m+n})$ and, under this isomorphism, the kernel of c^* coincides with the kernel of $\varphi^*: H^*G_m \rightarrow H^*G_m(\mathbb{R}^{m+n})$, where φ is a classifying map for $V_m(\mathbb{R}^{m+n})$ (compare [11, (5.1)]); for instance, φ can be in the inclusion $G_m(\mathbb{R}^{m+n}) \rightarrow G_m$. Thus φ^* corresponds to the quotient map $\mathbb{Z}_2[w_1, \dots, w_m] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2[w_1, \dots, w_m]/J(m, n)$ whose kernel is $J(m, n)$. \square

Let $J(m, n)_r$ denote the r -dimensional component of the ideal $J(m, n)$. Consider the map

$$\gamma(r, n): H^{r-n-1}G_m \oplus \dots \oplus H^{r-n-m}G_m \rightarrow H^rG_m$$

defined by $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \rightarrow \bar{w}_{1+n}x_1 + \dots + \bar{w}_{m+n}x_m$.

Lemma 3.5. $J(m, n)_r = \text{Im } \gamma(r, n)$.

For the proof it is enough to observe that every element in $J(m, n)_r$ can be written as an element of $\text{Im } \gamma(r, n)$ by grouping similar terms with respect to $\bar{w}_{1+n}, \dots, \bar{w}_{m+n}$. \square

If $r \leq n$ then $J(m, n)_r = 0$ and the inclusion $G_m(\mathbb{R}^{m+n}) \subset G_m$ induces an isomorphism $H^rG_m \cong H^rG_m(\mathbb{R}^{m+n})$. Thus for $r \leq n$, $H^rG_m(\mathbb{R}^{m+n})$ is additively generated by all monomials $w_1^{q_1}, \dots, w_m^{q_m}$ of a total degree $q_1 + 2q_2 + \dots + mq_m = r$. In this range of r , the rank of $H^rG_m(\mathbb{R}^{m+n})$ is equal to the number $p_m(r)$ of all partitions of r into at most m integers (see [13, p. 85]).

Let $p_m^n(r)$ denote the number of partitions of r into at most m positive integers each of which is $\leq n$.

Proposition 3.6. *Rank $H^r G_2(\mathbb{R}^{2+n}) = p_2^n(r)$.*

Proof. If $r \leq n$ then this rank is $p_2(r)$ which is equal to $p_2^n(r)$. Suppose $n \leq r \leq 2n$. Since $G_2(\mathbb{R}^{2+n})$ is a $2n$ -manifold, by the Poincaré Duality, Rank $H^r G_2(\mathbb{R}^{2+n}) = p_2(2n-r) = p_2^n(r)$. □

Remark 3.7. For $m = 2$, $p_2(r) = [r/2] + 1$.

4. Maps of Stiefel manifolds

We return now to our example to Section 1. Thus $X = V_m(\mathbb{R}^{m+n})$ and $W = (\mathbb{R}^{m+k})^m$ have the standard (right) action of $O(m)$, $f: X \rightarrow W$ is a map, \tilde{W} is the subset of W consisting of m -tuples of vectors in \mathbb{R}^{m+k} which are not linearly independent, $W_0 = W - \tilde{W}$, and $A_f = (Av f)^{-1} \tilde{W}$. Then the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process provides a homotopy equivalence $W_0 \cong V_m(\mathbb{R}^{m+k})$. Thus $\text{Ind}^{O(m)} X = J(m, n)$, $\text{Ind}^{O(m)} W_0 = J(m, k)$ and by (2.4) we have the following inclusion.

Theorem 4.1. $(\text{Ind}^{O(m)} A_f) \cdot J(m, k) \subset J(m, n)$.

Remark 4.2. If $m = 1$ then $X = S^n$, $W = \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$, $J(m, n)$ is the ideal in $H_{\mathbb{Z}_2}^*(X) \cong H^* \mathbb{R}P^\infty \cong \mathbb{Z}_2[w_1]$ generated by w_1^{n+1} , and $J(m, k)$ is the ideal generated by w_1^{k+1} . The index $\text{Ind}^{O(1)} A_f = \text{Ind}^{\mathbb{Z}_2} A_f$ is generated by w_1^{j+1} , for some integer j , the classical \mathbb{Z}_2 -index of A_f . In this case, the inclusion of (4.1) is equivalent to the inequality $j \geq n - (k + 1)$, which is the assertion of the classical Borsuk-Ulam-Yang theorem.

Thus Theorem 4.1 contains information about the size of A_f : it asserts that the cohomology ring of A_f cannot be too small: its index is bounded above. However, deciding in a particular case which universal cohomology class of $H_{O(m)}^*(*)$ survive by not finding themselves in the index of A_f , can be a non-trivial task. In an effort to extract a more specific information about the size of A_f , we shall attempt to determine a highest possible dimension where the cohomology of $A_f/O(m)$, or the $O(m)$ -cohomology of A_f , is non-zero. This will be done in the next section in the case $m = 2$. In Section 6 we will be able to obtain a better result for the special case when $m = 2$, $n = 2^s - 1$ and $k = n - 1$.

5. The case $m = 2$

We shall keep the notation of Section 1. Thus for a map $f: V_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^{k+2})^2$ we have

$$(\text{Ind}^{O(2)} A_f) \cdot J(2, k) \subset J(2, n). \tag{5.1}$$

Theorem 5.2. *If $k < n$ and $f: V_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^{k+2})^2$ is a map then $H^*(A_f/O(2))$ is non-zero in a dimension at least $2n - k - 2$.*

Corollary 5.3. *The covering dimension of $A_f/O(2)$, $\dim A_f/O(2)$, is at least $2n - k - 2$. Furthermore, since the orbit map $A_f \rightarrow A_f/O(2)$ is a bundle with fibre $O(2)$, $\dim A_f \geq 2n - k - 1$.*

Proof of (5.2). According to (3.6), $\text{Rank } H^{2n}G_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2}) = p_2^n(2n) = 1$; this also follows from the fact that $G_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2})$ is a $2n$ -dimensional manifold. Let $v_{2n} \in H^{2n}G_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ be the non-zero class (in fact, v_{2n} is the image under the natural map $H^{2n}G_2 \rightarrow H^{2n}G_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2})$ of $w_2^n \in H^{2n}G_2$; see [8, Lemma (1.2)]. Thus the $2n$ -component $J(2, n)_{2n}$ of the index does not contain the entire $H^{2n}G_2$. This means that there is a class (in this case, it is w_2^n) in $H^{2n}G_2$ which is not in $J(2, n)_{2n}$.

On the other hand, also because $G_2(\mathbb{R}^{k+2})$ is a $2k$ -dimensional manifold, $H^{2n}G_2(\mathbb{R}^{k+2}) = 0$ for $k < n$. Therefore the $2n$ -component $J(2, k)_{2n} = \text{Im } \gamma(2n, k)$ of the index (cf. (3.5)) contains the entire cohomology module $H^{2n}G_2$. This means that the map

$$\gamma(2n, k): H^{2n-k-1}G_2 \oplus H^{2n-k-2}G_2 \rightarrow H^{2n}G_2$$

is surjective. It follows that there exists a pair $(x, y) \in H^{2n-k-1}G_2 \oplus H^{2n-k-2}G_2$ such that $\gamma(2n, k)(x, y) \notin J(2, n)$. By the definition of γ , $\bar{w}_{k+1}x + \bar{w}_{k+2}y \notin J(2, n)$. But \bar{w}_{k+1} and \bar{w}_{k+2} are in $J(2, k) = \text{Ind}^{O(2)}V_2(\mathbb{R}^{k+2})$, hence it follows (5.1) implies that either $x \notin \text{Ind}^{O(2)}A_f$ or $y \notin \text{Ind}^{O(2)}A_f$. This means that either the image of x or the image of y is a non-zero class in $H_{0(2)}^*A_f \cong H^*(A_f/O(2))$. □

6. The case $m = 2$ and $n = 2^s - 1$

In the case $m = 2$, $n = 2^s - 1$ and $k = n - 1$ the result of (5.2) can be improved.

Theorem 6.1. *If $n = 2^s - 1$ and $f: V_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^{n+1})^2$ is a map then $H^n(A_f/O(2)) \neq 0$.*

Just as in (5.3) we have

Corollary 6.2. *The covering dimension $\dim A_f/O(2) \geq n$; hence $\dim A_f \geq n + 1$.*

Lemma 6.3.

$$\frac{(2^s - i - 1)}{(2^s - 2i - 1)!i!} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \text{ for } i > 0.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(2^s - i - 1)!}{(2^s - 2i - 1)!i!} &= \frac{(2^s - 2i)(2^s - 2i + 1) \dots (2^s - i - 1)}{i!} \\ &\equiv \frac{(2i)(2i - 1) \dots (i + 1)}{i!} \pmod{2} \\ &= \frac{(2i)!}{i!i!} = \frac{(2i - 1)!}{(i - 1)!i!} + \frac{(2i - 1)!}{i!(i - 1)!} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \end{aligned}$$

because the binomial coefficients $(a + b)!/a!b!$ satisfy the relation

$$\frac{(a + b)!}{a!b!} = \frac{(a + b - 1)!}{(a - 1)!b!} + \frac{(a + b - 1)!}{a!(b - 1)!}. \quad \square$$

Proof of 6.1. Relation (6.1) for $k = n - 1$ now reads

$$(\text{Ind}^{0(2)} A_f) \cdot J(2, n - 1) \subset J(2, n). \quad (6.4)$$

The ideal $J(2, n - 1)$ is generated by \bar{w}_n and \bar{w}_{n+1} , and $J(2, n)$ is generated by \bar{w}_{n+1} and \bar{w}_{n+2} in $\mathbb{Z}_2[w_1, w_2]$. The dual class \bar{w}_n is a polynomial consisting of the terms of

$$\bar{w} = (1 + (w_1 + w_2))^{-1} = 1 + (w_1 + w_2) + (w_1 + w_2)^2 + \dots$$

of total degree $n = 2^s - 1$. Thus

$$\bar{w}_n = \sum_{i=0}^{2^{s-1}-1} \frac{(2^s - i - 1)!}{(2^s - 2i - 1)!i!} w_1^{2^s - 2i - 1} w_2^i.$$

By (6.3), all the coefficients in this polynomial for $i > 0$ are zero, and for $i = 0$, the coefficient of $w_1^{2^s - 1}$, is 1. Thus $\bar{w}_n = w_1^{2^s - 1} = w_1^n$. Therefore $w_1^n \in J(2, n - 1)$. We claim, however, that $w_1^n \notin \text{Ind}^{0(2)} A_f$. For, if w_1^n were in $\text{Ind}^{0(2)} A_f$, relation (7.4) would imply that $w_1^n \cdot w_1^n = w_1^{2n}$ would belong to $J(2, n)$. However, it was proved by Hiller [8] that $w_1^{2n} = w_1^{2^{s+1}-2}$ is not zero in $H^*G_2(\mathbb{R}^{n+2})$; that is, $w_1^{2n} \notin J(2, n)$.

This completes the proof. □

Acknowledgement. The author gratefully acknowledges a support received from the "Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft" at the Ludwig-Maximilian University in Munich in 1985-86 during the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. A. BOREL, La cohomologie mod 2 de certain espaces homogènes, *Comm. Math. Helv.* **27** (1953), 165-197.
2. S. CHERN, On the multiplication in the characteristic ring of a sphere bundle, *Ann. of Math.* **49** (1948), 362-372.
3. C. EHRESMANN, Sur la topologie de certains espaces homogènes, *Ann. of Math.* **35** (1934), 396-443.
4. E. R. FADELL, S. Y. HUSSEINI and P. H. RABINOWITZ, Borsuk-Ulam theorems for arbitrary S^1 actions and applications, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **274** (1982), 345-360.
5. E. R. FADELL, S. Y. HUSSEINI, Index theory for G -bundle pairs with applications to Borsuk-Ulam type theorems for G -sphere bundles, preprint.
6. E. R. FADELL and S. HUSSEINI, Relative cohomological index theories, preprint.
7. E. R. FADELL and S. Y. HUSSEINI, *Cohomological Index Theory with Applications to Critical Point Theory and Borsuk-Ulam Theorems*. (A series of lectures, NATO Advanced Study Institute on "Variational Methods in Nonlinear Problems," Montreal, July 1986.)

8. H. L. HILLER, On the cohomology of real Grassmannians, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **257** (1980), 521–533.
9. J. JAWOROWSKI, A continuous version of the Borsuk–Ulam theory, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **82** (1981), 112–114.
10. J. JAWOROWSKI, *Fibre Preserving Maps of Sphere Bundles in Vector Space Bundles* (Proc. of the Fixed Point Theory Workshop: Sherbrooke, 1980; Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **886**, Springer–Verlag, 1981), 154–162.
11. J. JAWOROWSKI, The set of balanced orbits of maps of S^1 and S^3 actions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **98** (1986), 158–162.
12. J. JAWOROWSKI, *Balanced Orbits for Fibre Preserving Maps of S^1 and S^3 Actions*, (Proc. of the Transformation Groups Symposium, Poznan 1985; Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1217**, Springer–Verlag, 143–150.
13. J. W. MILNOR and J. D. STASHEFF, Characteristic Classes, *Ann. of Math. Stud.* **76** (1974).
14. M. NAKAOKA, Equivalent point theorems for fibre-preserving maps, *Osaka J. Math.* **21** (1984), 209–815.
15. H. SCHUBERT, *Kalkül der abzählenden Geometrie* (Teubner, Leipzig, 1879).
16. H. STEINLEIN, Borsuk’s theorem and its generalizations and applications: A survey, *Sem. Math. Sup.* **95** (1985), 166–235.
17. C. T. YANG, On theorems of Borsuk–Ulam, Kakutani–Yamabe–Yujobo and Dyson. II, *Ann. of Math.* (2) (1955), 166–283.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
INDIANA UNIVERSITY
BLOOMINGTON, IN 47405