Main Articles

Audiological medicine in the UK: the historical perspective of its role and scope

RONALD HINCHCLIFFE, MD, PHD, FRCP

Abstract

A medical, as opposed to a surgical, specialty which was to be entrusted with the investigation, care and management of patients with hearing and balance disorders was established in the UK in 1975. This medical specialty was termed 'audiological medicine' and its specialists, 'audiological physicians'. The relationship of the audiological physician to the ear, nose and throat surgeon is analogous to that between the neurologist and the neurosurgeon, or to that between the cardiologist and the cardiac surgeon. The audiological physician's role needs to be distinguished also from that of the audiologist. An audiologist is a scientist (or technician). (In the UK, science is firmly established as an integral and autonomous discipline within British health-care systems.) The role and scope of the audiological physician is clarified. Audiological physicians are concerned primarily with patients suffering from disorders of auditory communication, equilibrium and spatial disorientation, i.e. 'deaf and dizzy' patients.

Key words: Health Occupations; Health Personnel; Physicians; Audiology; Specialities, Medical; Great Britain

The 1973 publication of Jack Ashley's autobiographical Journey into Silence¹ triggered a train of responses. A meeting convened by Dr Elizabeth Shore at the Department of Health and Social Security indicated the need for a medical specialty committed to the investigation and care of patients with auditory and associated disorders. The senior de facto audiological physician, Professor Ian Taylor, was asked to approach the Royal College of Physicians of London with a view to the establishment of the envisaged specialty. A formal proposal was put to the Joint Committee on Higher Medical Training (JCHMT) by the Specialist Advisory Committee in Neurology. The proposal was accepted. The new specialty was to be named audiological medicine. It became an official medical specialty in the UK in 1975.

Audiological medicine is not, and never has been, a surgical specialty or subspecialty in the UK. Audiological physicians were to be concerned primarily with patients suffering from disorders of auditory communication, equilibrium and spatial disorientation, i.e. of hearing and balance – 'deaf and dizzy' patients. 'Auditory communication' needs to cover speech and language also.² Thus, the description of this type of specialist as an 'audiological' physician is too restrictive a designation but more appropriate designations would have been too cumbersome. Previously, much of the work of the audiological physician was divided amongst general physicians, geriatricians, neurologists, ophthalmologists, otolaryngologists and paediatricians. Even now, there are not enough audiological physicians in the country to look after all those with disorders of auditory communication, equilibration and spatial disorientation.

The establishment of a dedicated medical specialty entrusted with the care and management of patients with disorders of hearing also received support from the immediate past President of the British Association of Otolaryngologists at that time. He himself was deaf in one ear following surgery. He voiced his support to the then President of the Royal College of Physicians of London, although the selection and training which he appeared to have envisaged for such specialists was not what the JCHMT determined.

From the Department of Audiological Medicine, University College London Medical School, London, UK.

An updated version of the Sue Bellman Oration given to the 2002 Annual Conference of the British Association of Audiological Physicians, Gregynog, Wales, 26 April 2002.

The first five years history of the specialty has been reported by Stephens.³ The following years have seen a rapid growth in the specialty. The 2003/2004 Medical Directory showed 107 doctors listing their specialty as audiological medicine.

The specialty did not arise de novo. In 1975, there were already a dozen doctors of consultant status who were specializing in this field. This group had been drawn from various other medical or surgical specialties. Each had his or her own particular interest. Some were interested more in children than in adults, others more in the investigative aspects of the specialty, others more in vestibular disorders, and there were those who were primarily concerned with rehabilitative measures (what should now be referred to as enablement). It was these, already diverse, interests within audiological medicine that helped the specialty to become recognized. The committee debating whether or not to recognize this proposed new specialty was chaired by Sir Douglas Black, subsequently President of the Royal College of Physicians of London. He said that his main criterion as to whether a specialty had arrived or not was whether it had already begun to subspecialize. Each 'subspecialty' of audiological medicine, e.g. paediatric audiological medicine, has its own particular perspectives, policies and procedures.4-6

The specialty of audiological medicine needs to be distinguished from other specialties, disciplines and areas of interest. Even a medical man might well be forgiven if he considers an audiologist to be synonymous with an audiological physician, since a neurologist is the same as a neurological physician. However, an audiologist is a scientist (or technician). The 1968 Department of Health and Social Security (DHSS) (Zuckerman) report⁷ firmly established science as an integral and autonomous discipline within British health-care systems. It is to the discipline of science that an audiologist belongs. Currently, hearing therapists, scientists and technicians are being integrated into a body termed the British Academy of Audiology.

Audiological medicine is not primarily about audiometry or electro-oculography in one or other of their shapes or forms, any more than cardiology or neurology is primarily about electrocardiography or electroencephalography, respectively. Being medical specialties, all three are about patients and diseases. The section on injuries to the ear in the Royal College of Pathologists' report on the pathology of injury,⁸ contributed by an audiological physician (then termed a neuro-otologist), contained no record of any test of auditory or vestibular function. Likewise, there was not a single audiogram or electro-oculogram nor any other record of a test of auditory or vestibular function or of the equipment to conduct such examinations, portrayed in the five chapters contributed by an audiological physician (also termed a neuro-otologist) to Ransome, Holden and Bull's Recent Advances in Otolaryngology.9 Illustrations were confined to photomicrographs. Thirty years on, those contributions would of course have been replete with magnetic resonance imaging scans and there Neither I nor, I suspect, the vast majority of audiological physicians have held a post as an audiologist. One notable exception was provided by the audiological physician IG Taylor. He held the Ellis Llwyd Jones Chair in Audiology and Education of the Deaf at the University of Manchester from 1964 until his retirement in 1988, but he also had a specialized knowledge of, and experience in, those two disciplines. Another exception was L Fisch who, even earlier, had had the title of audiologist. It was Dr Fisch who proposed a multidisciplinary Institute of Hearing Research¹⁰ almost ten years before the UK government eventually acceded to such a proposal.¹¹

Prior to the specialty of audiological medicine being recognized, the area was referred to as neurootology. So, what was reported as the role and scope of neuro-otology over $4\overline{0}$ years ago¹² is very much the role and scope of audiological medicine now. A number of us continued with a 'neuro-otologist' title after audiological medicine was recognized. However, neuro-otology has also attracted neurologists, otolaryngologists and scientists, who brought to the subject their own particular expertise. Some of these individuals might have been more appropriately termed 'clinical auditory (or vestibular) physiologists'.

The specialty of audiological medicine needs to be distinguished from a multidisciplinary area of interest which has been referred to as medical audiology. The term 'medical audiology' refers to that aspect of audiology which has a particular application to medicine (as opposed to industrial audiology, paediatric audiology or surgical audiology).

The disorders with which audiological medicine is concerned have always been of interest to physicians. The *Coan Prognosis* is an important collection of aphorisms derived from the Hippocratic school of medicine on Cos, later than but more extensive than the *Prorrhetic*, but still dating from the fifth century BC. We read:

157. When patients who are not febrile complain of headache and noises in the head, vertigo, slowness of speech and numbness of the hands, expect them to become either epileptic or to suffer from apoplexy, or to suffer from loss of memory ... 186. The onset of deafness in the course of acute difficult illnesses is a bad sign; it is also bad in protracted cases for in these it also brings on pains in the hips ... 189. Buzzing and noises in the ears is a sign of death in acute diseases ... 193. To be hard of hearing, to show tremor in picking up anything, to have a paralysed tongue, to be sluggish is bad ... 195. Swellings near the ear accompanied by pain constitute a fatal sign ... 240. Aphonia is of the most serious significance if accompanied by weakness.¹³

Physicians' interest in disorders of hearing, speech and equilibration expanded over the two millennia and a half since the time of Hippocrates.

What has been referred to as the 'dawn of scientific medicine'¹⁴ – the 19th century – was

would have been more interesting cases. https://doi.org/10.1258/0022215054797998 Published online by Cambridge University Press associated with a surge in physicians' interest in and contributions to audiological medicine. Experimenting on the pigeon, the French physician Marie-Jean-Pierre Flourens¹⁵ demonstrated the equilibrial function of the semicircular canals. Basing the interpretation of his clinical observations on Flourens' experimental work, the French physician Prosper Ménière¹⁶ described the condition which now bears his name.

The Austrian physician Josef Robert Breuer¹⁷ extended the work of Flourens and demonstrated the relationship of nystagmus to vestibular labyrinthine malfunction. Breuer was considered one of the best physicians and scientists in Vienna; he was physician to many of the professors at the medical faculty, as well as to Sigmund Freud and to the Prime Minister of Hungary. He was elected to the Viennese Academy of Science in 1894.

In Britain, Sir Benjamin Ward Richardson FRCP FRS (1828–1896)¹⁸ was the first physician in more recent times to take a special interest in disorders of hearing. He was the first to use Hughes' audiometer, demonstrating its many applications at a meeting of the Royal Society on 15 May 1879.¹⁹ This was half a century before the Section of Otology of the Royal Society of Medicine,²⁰ after its deliberations on tests of hearing, concluded:

As oscillometers, the present audiometers are scientifically accurate. It is in their application to clinical otology that they have failed, in that results obtained differ from those obtained from tuning forks. The results of testing by tuning forks have been for many years, and still are, the standard in otology, and until audiometers give results directly comparable with them, they cannot be accepted as a standard means of testing. New methods must evolve from existing knowledge, and the Committee considers that future methods of testing must be based on the old-established tests by tuning forks.²⁰

Moving into the 20th century, we note that Sir Robert Hutchison's textbook on *Clinical Methods*, which was used by trainee physicians in the 20th century, contained a substantial amount of material on examinations for disorders of balance, hearing and speech. The book's influence is indicated by noting that it went through 21 editions, from the first, at the end of the 19th century,²¹ to the last, at the beginning of the 21st century.²² When combined with his *Principles of Diagnosis, Prognosis, and Treatment*,²³ the book still forms useful reading for both intending and already practising audiological physicians.

In the 20th and 21st century, audiological physicians have followed Richardson in developing tests of auditory and of vestibular function, e.g. acoustically-evoked potentials,^{24–29} acoustic cochleography,³⁰ tonal audiometry for children,³¹ clinical tests for young children who have English as a second language,³² and the bithermal caloric test.³³ Audiological physicians have also taken an interest in related disciplines, such as epidemiology,^{34–36} pathology³⁷ and psychophysics ³⁸

It follows from the *Hippocratic Corpus* that the physician is required to see the life-history of the disease:

It seems highly desirable that a physician should pay much attention to prognosis. If he is able to tell his patients when he visits them not only about their past and present symptoms, but also to tell them what is going to happen, as well as to fill in the details they have omitted, he will increase his reputation as a medical practitioner and people will have no qualms in putting themselves under his care. Moreover, he will the better be able to effect a cure if he can foretell, from the present symptoms, the future course of the disease.¹³

The Hippocratic view of the physician as a naturalist was endorsed by the 19th century Scottish Professor of Medicine, Sir William Gairdner³⁹ and by Cambridge's 20th century Regius Professor of Physic, JA Ryle FRCP,⁴⁰ subsequently Professor of Social Medicine at Oxford. Like the 19th century Sir Benjamin Ward Richardson, Ryle was at heart a naturalist.

Audiological medicine is both complementary to and supplementary to otolaryngology. It is complementary in the same sense that other medical specialties complement their corresponding surgical specialties. In this respect, audiological physicians have concerned themselves with the pathogenesis of disease^{41,42} and with the clinical characterization of diseases, seen for example in a number of reports.⁴³⁻⁴⁶ Most importantly, like neurology, audiological medicine provides extensive enablement programmes in respect of audiological^{24,47} and vestibulological⁴⁸ rehabilitation.

The following four examples show how the particular skills of the audiological physician can supplement those of the otolaryngologist, or at least provide a different perspective.

First, we see that many publications of our surgical colleagues have included manual pure-tone audiograms.^{49–51} These contribute the first stage (data collection) towards evidence-based diagnosis. Auditory-threshold analysis, e.g. that based on Békésy audiometry, is very complicated and highly sophisticated.⁵² Nevertheless, an initial inspection of our colleagues' manual audiometric data indicates that the slope of the audiogram may be the one and only feature that distinguishes the many and varied types of sensorineural hearing loss, other than those due to endolymphatic hydrops and noise trauma, from one another. Manual pure-tone audiometry has long been the standard special investigation in disorders of hearing. It is ripe for evaluation by the methodology of evidence-based diagnosis.

Secondly, we see other work as being (also) an application of psychophysics.^{53–55} A study on the subjective magnitude of eardrum perforation size⁵⁶ is seen as an experiment to verify whether Stevens' power function (or the Weber–Fechner logarithmic function) applies to estimates of visual area by otolaryngologists (inspection of the published data indicates that it may well do so). As such, the otologists' study would be seen as an extension of the work of psychophysicists on this theme.^{57,58}

In metrological terms, the study would be seen as a calibration of each observer in respect of his psychophysical exponent in the estimation tympanic membrane perforation of area. Otolaryngologists were introduced to the psychophysical law on page 7 of the Institute of Laryngology and Otology's Scientific Foundations of Otolaryngology.⁵⁹ An example taken from surgical practice was given on page 22. The estimated volume of operative blood loss was shown to be a power function of the measured volume of blood loss. In that case, estimates of volume of blood loss were based primarily on the extent of bloodstained and other material. Thus, the subjective magnitude of area was involved. The value of the exponent (0.783) in the power function of best fit to those data was similar to the exponent of 0.7 which psychophysicists have reported⁵⁷ for this particular sensory continuum.

Thirdly, we see reports by otolaryngologists in the medicolegal area as requiring the critical appraisal exercise of evidence-based medicine, just as much as are those by others. (One should note that it is this that distinguishes the clinical opinion per se, to which Karl Popper objected, and the clinical opinion which is sought in modern evidence-based medicine.) The selection of controls drawn from the examiners' situation-specific and contemporaneous clinical and audiometric experience is the most appropriate in this area. Had this been done, two out of three examiners would have reached crucially different conclusions in a case concerning alleged occupational noise-induced damage to the hearing of three claimants.^{60,61}

Fourthly, we have what might be termed the ultimate supplement – histopathological examination. This was the particular contribution of the 20th century's eminent audiological physician (he had the title 'aural physician'), CS Hallpike CBE FRCP FRS.⁶²⁻⁶⁴ As a 19th century US otolaryngologist wrote: 'The most conclusive solution of these important problems is to be found in ... that positive demonstration which the dead-house alone can furnish".⁶⁵

Many of the differences between the approaches of audiological physicians and otologists to a matter relate to applications of the principles of evidencebased medicine.

Since Ancient Greece, medicine has been 'evidence-based'. Physicians have always familiarized themselves with science and have tried to keep abreast of the literature. A century ago, William Osler was training physicians to cite supporting literature to justify their views on proper tests and treatments.⁶⁶

In the 19th century the leading London physician was Sir William Withey Gull (1816–1890). He was a polymath and a naturalist: 'Even the habits of the London sparrows attracted his attention'.¹⁴ He provided physicians with a head-start on their surgical colleagues by implementing what may well have been the first controlled trial. Gull used mint-water as a control in the treatment of rheumatic fever.⁶⁷ There are cogent reasons for the lack of evidence-based treatment in the surgical specialties.^{68,69} However, https://doi.org/10.1258/002221505479/998 Published online by Cambridge University Press

'evidence-based medicine' comprises both 'evidencebased treatment' and 'evidence-based diagnosis'. The principles of evidence-based diagnosis are those which are relevant to the examples cited above.

- In the UK, there are several specialties and disciplines involved in the investigation, management and care of patients with disorders of auditory communication, equilibration and spatial orientation. Audiological medicine is one of these
- This paper clarifies the role and scope of audiological medicine and corrects a number of misconceptions

It has been suggested that all this reflects differences on the technical plane between the major skill groups. The physicians have a primary interest in the technical aspects of diagnosis and overall management, the scientists in the technical aspects of mensuration, and the surgeons in the technical aspects of anatomical engineering.

Thus, the last 25 years of the last millennium witnessed the inception and development of a recognized medical specialty devoted to the care and management of patients with disorders of auditory communication, equilibration and spatial disorientation. However, it was also a period that was plagued with misconceptions, misunderstandings and missed opportunities. These fuelled baseless fears of demarcation disputes whereby ethology's conflict and aggression model of interdisciplinary relationships might prevail.

Audiological medicine in the UK now has its established Chair (currently occupied by Linda Luxon FRCP), a postgraduate degree, its journal, its textbooks,^{70,71} its Specialist Advisory Committee and a forum (the Hallpike Symposia). But that is not enough. It is still without its Section in the Royal Society of Medicine. Otology has had its Section for nigh on 100 years.⁷² That for audiological medicine can no longer be delayed.

Many, including those in adjacent specialties and disciplines, are surprisingly ill-informed about audiological medicine. Much is misunderstood. Even frank dissemination of disinformation occurs.

Let us now hope that these first 25 years of the new millennium will witness a move towards multidisciplinary collaborations and co-operations in which the ethological model of relationships is replaced by the sociobiological model of reciprocal altruism, without the need for a formal Committee of Truth and Reconciliation.

Acknowledgements

I am indebted to Professors Valerie Newton FRCP FRCPCH, Dafydd Stephens FRCP and Ian Taylor CBE FRCP FRCPCH for their constructive comments and suggestions.

References

- 1 Ashley J. Journey into Silence. London: Bodley Head, 1973 2 Taylor IG. Hearing in relation to language disorders in
- children. Br J Disord Commun 1966;1:11-20 3 Stephens SDG. Audiological Medicine in the British Isles.
- IAPA Bull 1981;October:7-18 4 Bellman S. Hearing screening in infancy. Arch Dis Child
- 1986:61:637-8
- 5 Bellman SC. Hearing disorders in children. Br Med Bull 1987:43:966-82
- 6 Snashall S. Paediatric audiological medicine: policy and perspectives. Br J Audiol 1990;24:289-91
- Department of Health and Social Security and Scottish Home and Health Department. Hospital Scientific and Technical Services: Report of the Committee 1967-68. London: HMSO, 1968
- 8 Hunt AC, ed. Pathology of Injury: Report of a Working Party of the Royal College of Pathologists on Current Knowledge and Future Development. London: Harvey Miller and Medcalf, 1972
- 9 Ransome J, Holden H, Bull TR. Recent Advances in Otolaryngology. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone, 1973
- 10 Fisch L. Memorandum Concerning a Possible Grant from the Wellcome Foundation. London: The National Deaf Children's Society, 1968
- 11 Editorial. The Institute of Hearing Research. Clin Otolaryngol 1978;3:197-8
- 12 Hinchcliffe R. The role and scope of neuro-otology. Iowa Med 1961;51:697-706
- 13 Chadwick J, Mann WN. The Medical Works of Hippocrates. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific, 1950
- 14 Guthrie D. A History of Medicine. London: Nelson, 1945
- 15 Flourens MJP. Expérience sur les canaux semi-circulaire de l'oreille chez les oiseaux. [Experiments on the aural semicircular canals of birds.] Ann Sci Nat 1828;15:113-24
- 16 Ménière P. Mémoire sur des lésions de l'oreille interne donnant lieu à des symptômes de congestion cérébrale apoplectiforme. Note on lesions of the internal ear giving rise to symptoms of apoplectiform cerebral congestion.] Gaz Méd Paris. 1861;16:29, 88-89, 239-240, 379-380, 597-601
- 17 Breuer J. Ueber die Function der Bogengänge des Ohrenlabyrinths [Concerning the function of the semicircular canals of the aural labyrinths.], 2nd series. Vienna: Medizinische Jahrbücher, 1875;4:72–124
- 18 MacNalty AS. Sir Benjamin Ward Richardson. London: Harvey & Blythe, 1950
- 19 Stephens SDG. The British Medical Profession and the First Audiometers J Laryngol Otol 1981;95:1223-35
- 20 Royal Society of Medicine. Report of the Committee Appointed by the Section of Otology for the Consideration of Hearing Tests. London: Longmans Green, 1932; 15
- 21 Hutchison R, Rainy H. Clinical Methods: a Guide to the Practical Study of Medicine. London: Cassell, 1897
- 22 Swash M, ed. Hutchison's Clinical methods, 21st edn. Edinburgh, London: WB Saunders, 2002
- 23 Hutchison R. Some Principles of Diagnosis, Prognosis, and Treatment: a Trilogy. Bristol: John Wright and Sons, 1928
- 24 Taylor IG. The Neurological Mechanisms of Hearing and Speech in Children. Manchester: Manchester University Press, 1964
- 25 Beagley HA. The role of E.R.A. in the diagnosis of receptive aphasia. Arch Klin Exp Ohren Nasen Kehlkopfheilkd 1971;198:152-3
- 26 Beagley HA. Electro-cochleography in clinical otology. J Laryngol Otol 1973;87:441-8
- 27 Beagley HA, Knight JJ. The evaluation of suspected non-organic hearing loss. J Laryngol Otol 1968;82:693-705
- 28 Beagley HA, Wrenn M. Clinical follow-up of 192 normally hearing children with delayed speech. J Laryngol Otol 1970;84:1001-11
- 29 Sayers BMcA, Beagley HA, Henshall WR. Mechanisms of auditory evoked EEG responses. Nature 1974;247:481-483
- 30 Ceranic BJ, Prasher DK, Luxon LM. Presence of tinnitus indicated by variable spontaneous otoacoustic emissions. Audiol Neurootol 1998;3:332-44
- 31 Dix MR. Hallpike CS. The peep-show technique for pure tone audiometry in young children. *BMJ* 1947;**2**:719–23 https://doi.org/10.1258/0022215054797998 Published online by Cambridge University Press

- 32 Bellman S, Marcuson M. A new toy test to investigate the hearing status of young children who have English as a second language: a preliminary report. Br J Audiol 1991;25:317-22
- 33 Cawthorne TE, Fitzgerald G, Hallpike CS. Studies in human vestibular function: observations on directional preponderance of caloric nystagmus (Nystagmusbereitschaft) resulting from unilateral labyrinthectomy. Brain 1942;65:138-60
- 34 Hinchcliffe R. The threshold of hearing of a random sample rural population. Acta oto-laryngologica (Stockh) 1959;50:411-22
- 35 Hinchcliffe R. The threshold of hearing as a function of age. Acustica 1959;9:303-8
- 36 Martin JAM, Bentzen O, Colley JR, Hennebert D, Holm C, Iurato S, et al. Childhood deafness in the European community. Scand Audiol 1981;10:165-74
- 37 Hallpike CS. A new method for handling celloidin sections in bulk and x-ray control of decalcification in the histology of bone. J Pathol Bacteriol 1934;38:247-52
- 38 Stephens SDG. Auditory temporal integration as a function of intensity. J Sound Vibr 1973;30:109-26
- 39 Gairdner WT. The Physician as Naturalist: Addresses and Memoirs Bearing on the History and Progress of Medicine Chiefly During the Last Hundred Years. Glasgow: James MacLehose & Sons, 1889
- 40 Ryle JA. The physician as a naturalist. Guys Hosp Rep 1931;81:278-83
- 41 Coles RRA, Garinther GR, Hodge DC, Rice CG. Hazardous exposure to Impulse Noise. J Acoust Soc Am 1968;**43**:336–43
- 42 Fisch L. Parental age-birth order and congenital deafness. Audiology 1971;10:284–90
- 43 Davies RA, Luxon LM. Dizziness following head injury: a neuro-otological study. J Neurol 1995;242:222-30
- 44 Kayan A, Bellman H. Bilateral sensorineural hearing loss due to mumps. Br J Clin Pract 1990;44:757-9
- 45 Newton VE. Clinical features of the Waardenburg syndromes. Adv Otorhinolaryngol. 2002;61:201-8
- 46 Savundra PA, Carroll JD, Davies RA, Luxon LM. Migraine-associated vertigo. Cephalalgia 1997;17:505-10
- 47 Stephens SDG. Audiological rehabilitation. In: Luxon LM, Furman JM, Martini A, Stephens D, eds. Textbook of Audiological Medicine. London: Martin Dunitz, 2003;513-31
- 48 Bamiou D-E, Luxon LM. Medical management of balance disorders and vestibular rehabilitation. In: Luxon LM, Furman JM, Martini A, Stephens D, eds. Textbook of Audiological Medicine. London: Martin Dunitz, 2003;889-916
- 49 Ballantyne J. Iatrogenic deafness. J Laryngol Otol 1970;84:967-1000
- 50 Booth JB. Hyperlipidaemia and deafness: a preliminary survey. Proc R Soc Med 1977;70:642-6
- 51 Morrison AW. Management of Sensorineural Deafness. London: Butterworths, 1975; 25
- 52 Chalabi Z, Souckova S. Pattern analysis of Békésy audiograms. J Acoust Soc Am 1985;77:1185-91
- 53 Fechner GT. Elemente der Psychophysik [Elements of psychophysics.]. Leipzig: Breitkopf und Härtel, 1860
- 54 Scharf B. Experimental Sensory Psychology. Glenview, Illinois: Scott, Foresman and Co, 1975
- 55 Stevens SS. On the psychophysical law. Psychol Rev 1957:64:153-81
- 56 Hampal S, Padgham N, Bunt S, Wright A. Errors in the assessment of tympanic membrane perforations. Clin Otolaryngol 1993;18:58-62
- 57 Stevens SS, Guirao M. Subjective scaling of length and area and the matching of length to loudness and brightness. J Exp Psychol 1963;66:177-83
- 58 Teghtsoonian MA. The judgment of size. Am J Psychol 1965;78:392-402
- 59 Hinchcliffe R, Harrison DFN, eds. Scientific Foundations of Otolaryngology. London: Heinemann, 1976
- 60 Hinchcliffe R. Expert witnesses need controls. Proceedings of 13th World Congress on Medical Law, Helsinki 2000. pp 486-96

- 61 Hinchcliffe R. The threshold of hearing. In: Luxon LM, Furman JM, Martini A, Stephens D, eds. *Textbook of Audiological Medicine*. London: Martin Dunitz, 2003;213–47
- 62 Hallpike CS, Cairns H. Observations on the pathology of Ménière's syndrome *J Laryngol Otol* 1938;**53**:625–55
- 63 Hallpike CS, Harriman DG, Wells CE. A case of afferent neuropathy and deafness. J Laryngol Otol 1980;94:945–64
- 64 Hallpike CS. Observations on the structural basis of two rare varieties of hereditary deafness. In: de Reuck AVS, Knight J, eds. *Myotactic, Kinesthetic and Vestibular Mechanisms*. London: Churchill, 1967
- 65 Roosa DBStJ. A contribution to the etiology of diseases of the internal ear. *Am J Med Sci* 1874;**68**:377–81
- 66 Woolf S. Evidence-based medicine: a historical and international overview. *Proc R Coll Physicians Edinb* 2001;**31**(Suppl 9):39-41
- 67 Ryle JA. *The Natural History of Disease*, 2nd edn. London: Oxford University Press, 1948
- 68 Maran AGD, Molony NC, Armstrong MWJ, Ah-See K. Is there an evidence base for the practice of ENT surgery? *Clin Otolaryngol* 1997;22:152–7
- 69 Browning GG. Is there an evidence base for the practice of ENT surgery? *Clin Otolaryngol* 1998;**23**:1–2

- 70 Newton VE, ed. *Paediatric Audiological Medicine*. London: Whurr, 2002
- 71 Luxon LM, Furman JM, Martini A, Stephens D, eds. *Textbook of Audiological Medicine*. London: Martin Dunitz, 2003
- 72 Grant D. The otolaryngological societies of Great Britain and Ireland. J Laryngol Otol 1913;28:416–20

Address for correspondence: Professor R Hinchcliffe, 13 South Hill Close, Hitchin, SG4 9HR, UK.

Fax: 01462 454 394

E-mail: rondlanor@aol.com

Professor R Hinchcliffe takes responsibility for the integrity of the content of the paper. Competing interests: None declared