

## SOLVABLE GROUPS WHOSE NONNORMAL SUBGROUPS HAVE FEW ORDERS

LIJUAN HE , HENG LV  and GUIYUN CHEN 

(Received 13 October 2023; accepted 26 October 2023; first published online 27 December 2023)

### Abstract

Suppose that  $G$  is a finite solvable group. Let  $t = n_c(G)$  denote the number of orders of nonnormal subgroups of  $G$ . We bound the derived length  $dl(G)$  in terms of  $n_c(G)$ . If  $G$  is a finite  $p$ -group, we show that  $|G'| \leq p^{2t+1}$  and  $dl(G) \leq \lceil \log_2(2t + 3) \rceil$ . If  $G$  is a finite solvable nonnilpotent group, we prove that the sum of the powers of the prime divisors of  $|G'|$  is less than  $t$  and that  $dl(G) \leq \lfloor 2(t + 1)/3 \rfloor + 1$ .

2020 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 20D10.

*Keywords and phrases*: solvable groups, nonnormal subgroups, derived length.

### 1. Introduction

A finite group is said to be a Dedekind group if all its subgroups are normal. Such groups were precisely classified by Dedekind in [6]. Groups having only a few nonnormal subgroups can be considered close to Dedekind groups. There are many results about such groups that characterise the structure of finite groups with a small number of conjugacy classes of nonnormal subgroups (see [3–5, 7, 9–11]). There are also explorations based on the number of orders of nonnormal subgroups.

Let  $G$  be a finite group. For convenience, we introduce the notation,

$$n_c(G) = \text{the number of orders of nonnormal subgroups of } G.$$

Obviously,  $n_c(G) = 0$  if and only if  $G$  is a Dedekind group. Passman in [12] classified finite  $p$ -groups, all of whose nonnormal subgroups are cyclic, including finite  $p$ -groups with  $n_c(G) = 1$ . Later, Berkovich and Zhang in [2, 13] classified finite groups with  $n_c(G) = 1$ , and An in [1] classified finite  $p$ -groups with  $n_c(G) = 2$ . These results are mainly concerned with the structure of  $G$ . In particular, Passman in [12] gave several interesting properties of finite  $p$ -groups based on the orders of their nonnormal subgroups, which served as inspiration for this study.

---

This research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11971391, 12071376), by Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (SWU-XDJH202305) and the Postgraduate Research and Innovation Project of Southwest University (SWUB23034).

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc.



The aim of this paper is to estimate the derived length of a finite solvable group  $G$  in terms of  $n_c(G)$ . We examine nilpotent groups (Section 2) and solvable nonnilpotent groups (Section 3). In fact, the derived length of a nilpotent group with  $n_c(G) = t$  is less than the derived length of  $p$ -groups with  $n_c(G) = t$ . Therefore, we consider finite  $p$ -groups instead of nilpotent groups.

In [12], Passman showed that, for a finite  $p$ -group  $G$ , if the maximal order of nonnormal subgroups of  $G$  is  $p^m$ , then  $|G'| \leq p^m$ , and hence the nilpotent class  $c(G) \leq m + 1$ . Also, it is trivial that  $n_c(G) \leq m$ . We obtain the following result.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -group. If  $n_c(G) = t$ , then  $dl(G) \leq \lceil \log_2(2t + 3) \rceil$ .*

Assume that  $G$  is a finite solvable nonnilpotent group. We establish an upper bound for the derived length  $dl(G)$  in terms of  $n_c(G)$ .

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Let  $G$  be a solvable nonnilpotent group. If  $n_c(G) = t$ , then the derived length  $dl(G) \leq \lfloor (2t + 2)/3 \rfloor + 1$ .*

Let  $G$  be a finite solvable group with  $|G| = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i}$ . For convenience, we define

$$s_p(G) = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i.$$

For the remainder of this paper, all groups are finite and we refer to [8] for standard notation concerning the theory of finite groups.

## 2. The $p$ -groups with $n_c(G) = t$

In this section, we bound the order of  $G'$  and the derived length  $dl(G)$  for a  $p$ -group  $G$  in terms of the number of orders of nonnormal subgroups  $n_c(G)$ . We begin with four lemmas.

**LEMMA 2.1** [2, Lemma 1.4]. *Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -group and let  $N \trianglelefteq G$ . If  $N$  has no abelian normal subgroups of  $G$  of type  $(p, p)$ , then  $N$  is either cyclic or a 2-group of maximal class.*

**LEMMA 2.2** [12, Lemma 1.4]. *Let  $N$  be a minimal nonnormal subgroup of a  $p$ -group  $P$ . Then  $N$  is cyclic.*

Suppose that  $G$  is a group and  $N \trianglelefteq G$ . Note that  $n_c(G/N)$  is the number of orders of nonnormal subgroups of  $G$  containing  $N$ . The following lemma is easy but important, and it will frequently be used later in the paper.

**LEMMA 2.3.** *Let  $G$  be a group. Assume that  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $n_c(G/N) \leq n_c(G)$ . Moreover, if  $n_c(G/N) = n_c(G)$ , then the orders of all nonnormal subgroups of  $G$  are divisible by the order of  $N$ .*

**PROOF.** Obviously, the projection of the nonnormal subgroups of  $G/N$  onto  $G$  are still nonnormal, and hence  $n_c(G/N) \leq n_c(G)$ . If there exists a nonnormal subgroup

of  $G$  whose order is not divisible by  $|N|$ , then  $n_c(G/N) < n_c(G)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -group. We say that  $H_1 > H_2 > \cdots > H_k$  is a chain of nonnormal subgroups of  $G$  if each  $H_i \not\trianglelefteq G$  and if  $|H_i : H_{i+1}| = p$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ . Passman in [12] used  $\text{chn}(G)$  to denote the maximum of the lengths of the chains of nonnormal subgroups of  $G$ , and proved that if  $\text{chn}(G) = t$ , then  $s_p(G') \leq 2t + \lfloor 2/p \rfloor$ . It is trivial that  $\text{chn}(G) \leq n_c(G)$ . In the next lemma, we weaken the condition.

**LEMMA 2.4.** *Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -group. If  $n_c(G) = t$ , then  $s_p(G') \leq 2t + 1$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -group and assume that  $n_c(G) = t$ . If  $G$  has no elementary abelian normal subgroup of order  $p^2$ , then, by Lemma 2.1,  $G$  is either a cyclic group or a 2-group of maximal class. It is easy to see that  $s_p(G') \leq n_c(G) + 1$  and the result follows.

Now, suppose that there exists an elementary abelian normal subgroup  $N$  of order  $p^2$ . In this case, we perform induction on  $t$ . If  $t = 0$ , clearly,  $G$  is Dedekind and  $s_p(G') \leq 1$ , as required. Next, suppose that  $t \geq 1$ . We consider the factor group  $G/N$ . Assume that  $M$  is a nonnormal subgroup of minimal order of  $G$ . Then  $M$  is cyclic by Lemma 2.2. Let  $|M| = p^m$ . We claim that  $n_c(G/N) \leq t - 1$ . If  $p^m \leq p^2$ , it follows from Lemma 2.3 that  $n_c(G/N) \leq t - 1$ . Conversely, if  $p^m > p^2$ , then  $G/N$  has no nonnormal subgroups of order  $p^{m-2}$ . Otherwise, there exists a noncyclic nonnormal subgroup of order  $p^m$  of  $G$ , which contradicts the minimality of  $M$ . Thus, according to Lemma 2.3, we have  $n_c(G/N) \leq t - 1$ , as claimed. Here, by induction on  $t$ , it follows that  $s_p((G/N)') \leq 2(t - 1) + 1$ . Therefore,

$$s_p(G') \leq s_p(N) + s_p((G/N)') \leq 2t + 1.$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.5.** *Let  $G$  be a nilpotent group. If  $n_c(G) = t$ , then  $s_p(G') \leq 2t + 1$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $P_i \in \text{Syl}_{p_i}(G)$  and assume that  $G = P_1 \times P_2 \times \cdots \times P_k$  with  $n_c(G) = t$ . If  $k = 1$ , the result is trivial by Lemma 2.4. Now, let  $k \geq 2$ . We assume that  $G = H \times P_k$ . Since  $n_c(G) = t$ , we have  $n_c(H) < t/2$  and  $n_c(P_k) \leq t/2$ . By induction on  $k$ , it follows that  $s_p(H') < t + 1$  and  $s_p(P_k') < t + 1$ . Therefore,  $s_p(G') \leq 2t + 1$ .  $\square$

We denote by  $c(G)$  the nilpotent class and use  $G_i$  and  $G^{(i)}$  to denote the  $i$ th terms of the lower central series and the commutator series for a group  $G$ , respectively. We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1.** Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -group and assume that  $n_c(G) = t$ . By Lemma 2.4, we see that  $|G'| \leq p^{2t+1}$  and thus  $c(G) \leq 2t + 2$ . It suffices to show that  $G^{(i)} \leq G_{2^i}$  for  $i \geq 1$  since, by induction on  $i$ ,

$$G^{(i)} = [G^{(i-1)}, G^{(i-1)}] \leq [G_{2^{i-1}}, G_{2^{i-1}}] \leq G_{2^i}.$$

Note that  $1 = G_{2t+3} = G^{(dl(G))} \leq G_{2^{dl(G)}}$ . Consequently,  $2^{dl(G)} \leq 2t + 3$ , that is,  $dl(G) \leq \lceil \log_2(2t + 3) \rceil$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3. The solvable nonnilpotent groups with $n_c(G) = t$

In this section, we investigate the solvable nonnilpotent groups with  $n_c(G) = t$  and prove the main result of this paper.

First, we state the characterisation of finite groups with  $n_c(G) = 1$  and provide a basic fact about nilpotent groups.

**LEMMA 3.1** [13, Theorem 2.3]. *Let  $G$  be a finite group. If all nonnormal subgroups of  $G$  possess the same order, then  $G$  is a finite  $p$ -group or  $G = \langle a \rangle \rtimes \langle b \rangle$ , where  $o(a) = p_2$ ,  $o(b) = p_1^{n_1}$ ,  $p_1, p_2$  are primes with  $p_1 < p_2$  and  $[a, b^{p_1}] = 1$ . Moreover, if  $G = \langle a \rangle \rtimes \langle b \rangle$ , as stated, then all nonnormal subgroups of  $G$  are of order  $p_1^{n_1}$ .*

**LEMMA 3.2** [8, Lemma 5.1.2]. *Let  $G$  be a group and let  $N \leq Z(G)$ . Then  $G$  is nilpotent if and only if  $G/N$  is nilpotent.*

For solvable nonnilpotent groups, we have the following further conclusion based on Lemma 2.3.

**LEMMA 3.3.** *Let  $G$  be a solvable nonnilpotent group. Then there exists a minimal normal subgroup  $N$  such that  $n_c(G/N) \leq n_c(G) - s_p(N)$ .*

**PROOF.** By Lemma 2.3,  $n_c(G/N) \leq n_c(G)$ . First, we claim that there exists a minimal normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$  such that  $n_c(G/N) < n_c(G)$ . Let  $P_i \in \text{Syl}_{p_i}(G)$ . Noting that  $G$  is nonnilpotent, we may assume that  $P_1$  is a nonnormal Sylow subgroup of  $G$ . If, for  $i \geq 2$ , there exists a Sylow subgroup  $P_i$  such that  $P_i$  is nonnormal, we may assume that  $P_2$  is nonnormal. Then  $n_c(G/N) < n_c(G)$  is always true for any minimal normal subgroup  $N \neq 1$ . Otherwise, by Lemma 2.3, the orders of both  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are divisible by the order of  $N$ , so that  $N = 1$ , which is a contradiction. On the other hand, if  $P_i \trianglelefteq G$  for all  $i \geq 2$ , we may take  $N \leq P_2$ . According to Lemma 2.3 again,  $n_c(G/N) < n_c(G)$  since the order of  $P_1$  is not divisible by the order of  $N$ . This proves the claim.

Since  $N$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ , it follows that  $N$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group and proper subgroups of  $N$  are nonnormal subgroups of  $G$ . There are  $s_p(N) - 1$  nonnormal subgroups of  $G$  contained by  $N$ . Thus,

$$n_c(G/N) \leq n_c(G) - (s_p(N) - 1).$$

Here, if  $n_c(G/N) = n_c(G) - s_p(N) + 1$ , then, similarly, both the orders of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are divisible by  $p$ , which is a contradiction. Hence,  $n_c(G/N) \leq n_c(G) - s_p(N)$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

The next crucial lemma establishes an upper bound on the order of  $G'$  in terms of  $n_c(G)$  for a solvable nonnilpotent group  $G$ .

**LEMMA 3.4.** *Let  $G$  be a solvable nonnilpotent group. If  $n_c(G) = t$ , then  $s_p(G') \leq t$ .*

**PROOF.** Assume that  $n_c(G) = t$ . The proof will be done by induction to  $t$ . If  $t = 1$ , then, by Lemma 3.1,

$$G = \langle a \rangle \rtimes \langle b \rangle,$$

where  $o(a) = p_2$ ,  $o(b) = p_1^{m_1}$  and  $p_1, p_2$  are different primes. Since  $G/\langle a \rangle$  is cyclic, we have  $s_p(G') = 1$ .

Now, let  $t \geq 2$ . According to the proof of Lemma 3.3, it suffices to show that there exists a minimal normal subgroup  $N$  such that  $n_c(G/N) < t$ .

*Case 1:  $G/N$  is nonnilpotent.*

In this case, since  $n_c(G/N) < t$ , it follows that  $s_p((G/N)') \leq n_c(G/N)$  by induction on  $t$ . In addition,  $|G'| = |G' \cap N| |(G/N)'|$  because  $(G/N)' \cong G'/(G' \cap N)$ . Hence,  $|N| |(G/N)'|$  is divisible by  $|G'|$ . Therefore,

$$s_p(G') \leq s_p(N) + s_p((G/N)') \leq s_p(N) + n_c(G/N).$$

By Lemma 3.3,  $n_c(G/N) \leq n_c(G) - s_p(N)$ , and hence

$$s_p(G') \leq s_p(N) + n_c(G/N) \leq n_c(G) = t.$$

This completes the proof in *Case 1*.

*Case 2:  $G/N$  is nilpotent.* In this case, we consider the following two situations.

*Case 2a: there exists a minimal normal subgroup  $M$  such that  $M \neq N$ .*

Since  $G$  is a nonnilpotent group, it follows that  $G/M$  is also nonnilpotent. Otherwise, since  $G/(M \cap N) \leq G/M \times G/N$ , we see that  $G/(M \cap N)$  is nilpotent. However,  $G/(M \cap N) \cong G$  is nonnilpotent, which is a contradiction. Now, assume that  $|M| = p^m$  and  $|N| = q^n$ , where  $p, q$  are different primes. We consider two cases, namely,  $m \geq 2$  and  $m = 1$ . If  $m \geq 2$ , since  $N_1 M_1 \not\trianglelefteq G$  for all  $1 < M_1 < M$  and  $1 \leq N_1 \leq N$ , then

$$n_c(G/M) \leq n_c(G) - (m-1)(n+1) \leq n_c(G) - m.$$

Here, it follows easily by induction that  $s_p((G/M)') \leq n_c(G/M)$ . This condition is similar to *Case 1* and it follows that

$$s_p(G') \leq s_p(M) + n_c(G/M) \leq n_c(G).$$

Now suppose that  $m = 1$ , that is,  $|M| = p$ . If there exists a nonnormal subgroup  $H$  such that  $|H|$  is not divisible by  $p$ , then  $n_c(G/M) \leq n_c(G) - 1$  from Lemma 2.3, and so  $s_p((G/M)') \leq n_c(G/M)$  by induction. As before, the result holds. On the other hand, if, for every subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  whose order is not divisible by  $p$ ,  $H$  is always normal, then we may assume that  $G = KP$ , where  $K$  is a Hall  $p'$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Obviously, all subgroups of  $K$  are normal and  $P$  is nonnormal. We consider the following two cases.

(i) If there exists a minimal normal subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  contained in  $K$  satisfying  $T \neq N$ , then  $G/T$  is nonnilpotent. It suffices to show that  $n_c(G/T) \leq n_c(G) - 1$  by Lemma 2.3, and thus  $s_p((G/T)') \leq n_c(G/T)$  by induction. As before, the result holds.

(ii) If  $N$  is a unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $K$ , then  $K$  is a group of prime power order. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that  $K$  is either a cyclic group or a 2-group of maximal class. In addition, since every subgroup of  $K$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , it follows that  $K$  is either a cyclic group or a quaternion group  $Q_8$ . We claim that  $K$  is cyclic. Otherwise,  $K \cong Q_8$ . Note that  $N \leq Z(G) \cap Q_8$  and  $G/N$  is nilpotent. According to Lemma 3.2,  $G$  is nilpotent, which is a contradiction. Now, let  $K$  be a cyclic group of order  $q^r$  with  $r \geq 2$ . For  $1 \leq K_1 \leq K$ , it follows that  $K_1 P_1$  is nonnormal as  $P_1 \leq P$  and  $P_1 \not\leq G$ . Also, there exists a maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $P$  that is normal in  $P$ , but  $M K_1$  is a nonnormal subgroup of  $G$  for  $1 \leq K_1 < K$ . Hence,

$$n_c(G/K)(r + 1) + r \leq t.$$

By Lemma 2.4,  $s_p((G/K)') \leq 2(t - r)/(r + 1) + 1$ . Note that  $n_c(G) = t \geq 2r + 1$  and  $r \geq 2$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} s_p(G') &\leq s_p(K) + s_p((G/K)') \leq r + \frac{2(t - r)}{r + 1} + 1 \\ &\leq \frac{r(r + 1) + r(t - r) + (r + 1)}{r + 1} \leq \frac{r(t + 1) + t - r}{r + 1} = t. \end{aligned}$$

*Case 2b:  $N$  is a unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ .*

In this case,  $G/H$  is nilpotent for  $1 \neq H \trianglelefteq G$ . We can assume that  $G/N = P_1 \rtimes P_2$  with  $N \leq P_1$ . Let  $|N| = p_1^k$ . Then there are  $k - 1$  nonnormal subgroups of  $G$  contained in  $N$ . Clearly, if  $NK$  is nonnormal in  $G$  for  $K \leq G$ , then  $K \not\leq G$ . Note that  $P_2 N \trianglelefteq G$  but  $P_2$  is a nonnormal subgroup of  $G$ . Moreover, we can always find  $gN \in Z(G/N)$  such that  $g \in G - N$  and  $g^p \in N$  since  $G/N$  is nilpotent. Also,  $\langle g \rangle N \trianglelefteq G$  but  $\langle g \rangle$  is nonnormal in  $G$ . Therefore,

$$2n_c(G/N) + (k - 1) + 1 + 1 \leq t.$$

It follows that  $n_c(G/N) \leq (t - k - 1)/2$  and, by Lemma 2.5,  $s_p((G/N)') \leq t - k$ . Hence,

$$s_p(G') \leq s_p(N) + s_p((G/N)') \leq k + t - k \leq t.$$

The proof is complete. □

Next, we will prove Theorem 1.2. To do this, we need the following lemma.

**LEMMA 3.5.** *Let  $G$  be a solvable group. If  $s_p(G) = n$ , then  $dl(G) \leq \lfloor (2n + 2)/3 \rfloor$ .*

**PROOF.** We prove the result by induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 1$ , the result is trivially true. Assume that  $n \geq 2$ . If  $s_p(G/G') \geq 2$ , then  $s_p(G') \leq n - 2$ . It follows that  $dl(G') \leq \lfloor (2n - 2)/3 \rfloor$  by the inductive hypothesis applied to  $G'$ . Hence,

$$dl(G) \leq \lfloor (2n - 2)/3 \rfloor + 1 \leq \lfloor (2n + 2)/3 \rfloor.$$

In this case, the proof is complete.

Now, let  $s_p(G/G') = 1$ , that is,  $s_p(G') = n - 1$ . We may assume that  $dl(G) = k + 1$  where  $k \geq 2$ . Then  $G^{(k)} > 1$ . Also, suppose that  $N$  is a maximal abelian normal

subgroup of  $G$  containing  $G^{(k)}$ . If  $s_p(N) \geq 2$ , we see that  $s_p(G/N) \leq n - 2$ . Application of the inductive hypothesis to  $G/N$  yields  $dl(G/N) \leq \lfloor (2n - 2)/3 \rfloor$ . Thus,

$$dl(G) \leq \lfloor (2n - 2)/3 \rfloor + 1 \leq \lfloor (2n + 2)/3 \rfloor,$$

and the result follows.

The remaining case is where  $s_p(N) = 1$ , which implies that  $N = G^{(k)}$ . Since  $G/N = N_G(N)/C_G(N) \lesssim \text{Aut}(N)$  is cyclic, it suffices to show that  $N = G^{(k)} \leq Z(G')$ . Hence,

$$N = G^{(k)} \leq Z(G^{(k-1)}).$$

Now  $G^{(k-1)}$  is nonabelian since  $G^{(k)} \neq 1$ . We claim that  $s_p(G^{(k-1)}) \geq 3$ . Otherwise,  $G^{(k-1)}$  is a nonabelian group of order  $pq$  with  $p \neq q$ . Since  $G^{(k-1)}/G^{(k)}$  is cyclic, it suffices to show that  $G^{(k-1)}$  is an abelian group, which is a contradiction. Hence,  $s_p(G/G^{(k-1)}) \leq n - 3$ . Apply the inductive hypothesis to  $G/G^{(k-1)}$ . Then  $dl(G/G^{(k-1)}) \leq \lfloor (2n - 4)/3 \rfloor$ . Therefore,

$$dl(G) \leq \lfloor (2n - 4)/3 \rfloor + 2 = \lfloor (2n + 2)/3 \rfloor.$$

The proof is complete. □

Finally, we are ready to prove Theorem 1.2.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2.** Suppose that  $G$  is a solvable nonnilpotent group with  $n_c(G) = t$ . From Lemma 3.4,  $s_p(G') \leq t$ , and hence, by Lemma 3.5,

$$dl(G') \leq \lfloor (2t + 2)/3 \rfloor.$$

Hence,  $dl(G) \leq \lfloor (2t + 2)/3 \rfloor + 1$ . The proof is complete. □

In addition, if  $G$  be a solvable nonnilpotent group, the number of prime divisors of  $|G|$  can be bounded by  $n_c(G)$ . For convenience, we use  $\pi(G)$  to denote the number of prime divisors of  $|G|$ .

**COROLLARY 3.6.** *Let  $G$  be a solvable nonnilpotent group. If  $n_c(G) = t$ , then  $\pi(G) \leq t + 1$ .*

**PROOF.** Assume that  $\pi(G) \geq t + 2$ . Since  $G$  is a solvable group,  $G$  possesses a Sylow system  $\mathcal{S}$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{S} = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{t+2}, \dots\}$ . Note that  $G$  is nonnilpotent and we may assume that  $P_1$  is a nonnormal Sylow subgroup of  $G$ . Let

$$\mathcal{T} = \{P_1P_2, P_1P_3, P_1P_4, \dots, P_1P_{t+2}\}.$$

Obviously, for  $1 \leq i \leq t + 2$ ,  $P_1P_i$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . If, for the set  $\mathcal{T}$ , there are two or more normal subgroups of  $G$ , then  $P_1$  is a normal subgroup, which is a contradiction. Thus, at most one normal subgroup is contained in the set  $\mathcal{T}$  and it follows that  $n_c(G) \geq t + 1$ . This contradicts the hypothesis and the proof is complete. □

## References

- [1] L. J. An, 'Finite  $p$ -groups whose non-normal subgroups have few orders', *Front. Math. China* **13**(4) (2018), 763–777.
- [2] Y. Berkovich, *Groups of Prime Power Order*, De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, 1 (Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2008).
- [3] R. Brandl, 'Groups with few non-normal subgroups', *Comm. Algebra* **23**(6) (1995), 2091–2098.
- [4] R. Brandl, 'Non-soluble groups with few conjugacy classes of non-normal subgroups', *Beitr. Algebra Geom.* **54**(2) (2013), 493–501.
- [5] G. Y. Chen and S. M. Chen, 'A note on the solvability of a finite group and the number of conjugate classes of its non-normal subgroups', *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* **34**(4) (2010), 625–628.
- [6] R. Dedekind, 'Über Gruppen, deren sämtliche Theiler Normaltheiler sind', *Math. Ann.* **48** (1897), 548–561.
- [7] L. Gong, H. P. Cao and G. Y. Chen, 'Finite nilpotent groups having exactly four conjugacy classes of non-normal subgroups', *Algebra Colloq.* **20**(4) (2013), 579–592.
- [8] H. Kurzweil and B. Stellmacher, *The Theory of Finite Groups. An Introduction*, Universitext (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004); translated from the 1998 German original.
- [9] H. Mousavi, 'On finite groups with few non-normal subgroups', *Comm. Algebra* **27**(7) (1999), 3143–3151.
- [10] H. Mousavi, 'Nilpotent groups with three conjugacy classes of non-normal subgroups', *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.* **40**(5) (2014), 1291–1300.
- [11] H. Mousavi, 'Non-nilpotent groups with three conjugacy classes of non-normal subgroups', *Int. J. Group Theory* **3**(2) (2014), 1–7.
- [12] D. S. Passman, 'Nonnormal subgroups of  $p$ -groups', *J. Algebra* **15** (1970), 352–370.
- [13] J. Q. Zhang, 'Finite groups all of whose non-normal subgroups possess the same order', *J. Algebra Appl.* **11**(3) (2012), Article no. 1250053, 7 pages.

LIJUAN HE, School of Mathematics and Statistics,  
Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, P. R. China  
e-mail: [lijuanhe213@163.com](mailto:lijuanhe213@163.com)

HENG LV, School of Mathematics and Statistics,  
Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, P. R. China  
e-mail: [lvh529@163.com](mailto:lvh529@163.com)

GUIYUN CHEN, School of Mathematics and Statistics,  
Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, P. R. China  
e-mail: [gychen1963@163.com](mailto:gychen1963@163.com)