

Normal-mode-based theory of collisionless plasma waves

J. J. Ramos[†]

Equipo de propulsión espacial y plasmas (EP2), Universidad Carlos III de Madrid,
E-28911 Leganés, Spain

(Received 30 January 2019; revised 22 May 2019; accepted 23 May 2019)

The Van Kampen normal-mode method is applied in a comprehensive study of the linear wave perturbations of a homogeneous, magnetized and finite-temperature plasma, described by the collisionless Vlasov–Maxwell system in its non-relativistic version. The analysis considers a stable, Maxwellian background, but is otherwise completely general in that it allows for arbitrary wave propagation direction relative to the equilibrium magnetic field, multiple plasma species and general polarization states of the perturbed electromagnetic fields. A convenient formulation is introduced whereby the generator of the time advance is a Hermitian operator, analogous to the Hamiltonian in the Schrödinger equation of quantum mechanics. This guarantees a real frequency spectrum and complete bases of normal modes. Expansions in these normal-mode bases yield immediately the solutions of initial-value problems for general initial conditions. With standard initial conditions and propagation direction parallel to the equilibrium magnetic field, all the familiar results obtained following Landau’s Laplace transform approach are recovered. Considering such parallel propagation, the present work shows also explicitly and provides an example of how to construct special initial conditions that result in different, damped but otherwise arbitrarily prescribed time variations of the macroscopic variables. The known dispersion relations for perpendicular propagation are also recovered.

Key words: plasma waves

1. Introduction

The Vlasov–Maxwell description was adopted around the middle of the past century to formulate the linear theory of waves in finite-temperature, collisionless plasmas. Beginning with the simplest, electron-Langmuir wave, two different albeit equivalent approaches were developed. The first one was Landau’s (1946) method of analytic continuation and complex contour integration for the Laplace-transformed solution of initial-value problems. The second was Van Kampen’s (1955) and Case’s (1959) derivation of a complete basis of normal modes with harmonic time dependence, including the singular ones associated with a continuous frequency spectrum. These methods were subsequently used to study other plasma waves. However, following the comprehensive analysis of Bernstein (1958) based on Landau’s approach, this

[†] Email address for correspondence: jramos@ing.uc3m.es

method became overwhelmingly the preferred one both in the research literature and in the classroom. Thus, standard plasma textbooks, whether general such as Krall & Trivelpiece (1973), Lifshitz & Pitaevskii (1981), Goldston & Rutherford (2000) and Hazeltine & Waelbroeck (2004), or specialized in wave theory such as Stix (1962), Brambilla (1998), Pecseli (2012) and Bers (2016), present the theory of plasma waves according to Landau's approach and perhaps apply Van Kampen's normal-mode approach only to the discussion of the electron-Langmuir wave. Nevertheless, the normal-mode method has some specific virtues compared to the Laplace transform method. In particular, it makes clear the nature of the Landau damping as the result of the mixing of the phases of different spectral components of the perturbation, not normal modes with complex frequency. More importantly, it allows for initial conditions that do not necessarily have the analyticity properties required by the standard derivation of 'effective dispersion relations' (by way of analytic continuation and complex contour integration) in the Laplace transform approach.

The reason why a normal-mode-based theory of plasma waves as broad in scope as Bernstein's (1958) Laplace-transform-based theory has not been available, can be attributed to the mathematical complexities of the former, as remarked in Bernstein's paper itself. Such complexities have two distinct roots. The first one is the fact that, except for the special $k_{\parallel} = 0$ case of wave propagation perpendicular to the equilibrium magnetic field, the spectrum of eigenfrequencies includes a continuum and this continuum is in general highly degenerate. The degeneracy of the continuum of eigenfrequencies arises from several causes. One is the multiple degrees of freedom corresponding to the number of plasma species and the three possible polarizations of the perturbed electromagnetic fields. Another and more insidious is the fact that many differently perturbed distribution functions of the three-dimensional velocity produce equivalent effects as far as the overall dynamics of the perturbation is concerned. Finally, one has to take into account the continuum of so-called ballistic modes, namely modes that perturb the distribution functions but do not perturb the electromagnetic fields. The continuum degeneracy difficulty is greatly alleviated if the equilibrium background has no magnetic field or if the wave propagation direction is parallel to the magnetic field of a magnetized equilibrium because, in these cases, a reduced problem can be formulated in terms of integrated distribution functions that depend only on the velocity component parallel to the propagation wavevector, after straightforward integration with respect to the perpendicular components. Moreover, for unmagnetized equilibrium or parallel propagation, the three electromagnetic polarization states are decoupled and can be analysed independently. Thus, most of the normal-mode-based research carried out so far has been limited to these unmagnetized equilibrium or parallel propagation situations (Van Kampen 1955; Pradhan 1957; Case 1959; Felderhof 1963*a,b*; Van Kampen & Felderhof 1967; Lambert, Best & Sluijter 1982; Ignatov 2017). The works of McCune (1966) and Watanabe (1968) did tackle the far more difficult problem of wave propagation oblique to a non-zero equilibrium magnetic field. However, these works simplified the continuum degeneracy difficulty by considering a plasma with immobile ions and with the electrons as the single dynamical species, and by assuming an electrostatic approximation with only one polarization state. They derived perturbation solutions that resolved the dependence of the electron distribution function on the three-dimensional velocity, but no attempts were made to apply them to specific problems or to make contact with the results available from the Laplace-transform-based methodology.

The second mathematically complex feature of the normal-mode approach is that, as originally formulated, the Van Kampen normal modes are not eigenfunctions of a

Hermitian operator. This necessitates the consideration of two mutually adjoint bases of normal modes and the explicit demonstration of their completeness. However, this difficulty can be avoided if the equilibrium distribution functions are Maxwellian (or, more generally, isotropic and monotonic). In two recent studies of sound (ion-acoustic) waves in the kinetic magnetohydrodynamics framework (Ramos 2017) and electron-Langmuir waves in the Vlasov–Maxwell framework (Ramos & White 2018) with Maxwellian equilibria, bases of normal modes made of eigenfunctions of self-adjoint operators were constructed. This simplifies the analysis significantly because the completeness of such self-adjoint bases is guaranteed by the spectral theorem without the need of any additional proof.

The present work carries out a comprehensive study of linear wave perturbations of the non-relativistic Vlasov–Maxwell system, following the Van Kampen normal-mode approach. The analysis considers a stable, Maxwellian background, but is otherwise completely general in that it allows for arbitrary wave propagation direction relative to a non-zero equilibrium magnetic field, multiple plasma species and general polarization states of the perturbed electromagnetic fields. A state vector is defined in two-dimensional velocity space (after Fourier-series expansion of the dependence on the gyrophase coordinate) such that it obeys a first-order time evolution equation, with a Hermitian operator as the generator of the time advance, analogous to the Schrödinger equation of quantum mechanics. This guarantees automatically a real frequency spectrum and a complete basis of normal modes. For $k_{\parallel} \neq 0$, suitable integrations over the velocity coordinate perpendicular to the equilibrium magnetic field yield a complete basis of normal modes in a space of state vectors with countable components that depend only on the parallel velocity coordinate and whose dynamical evolution is consistently determined, ignoring non-essential information about the detailed dependence of the distribution function on the two-dimensional velocity. Using this convenient formalism, and after the explicit determination of the normal modes in such one-dimensional velocity space, arbitrary initial-value problems are readily solved. In particular it is shown that, with standard initial conditions and propagation direction parallel to the equilibrium magnetic field, all the familiar results derived with Landau’s Laplace transform method are recovered. Considering such parallel propagation, it is also shown how special initial conditions can be explicitly constructed which result in different, damped but otherwise arbitrarily prescribed time variations of the macroscopic variables. The perpendicular propagation case requires a separate analysis, carried out in two-dimensional velocity space, with ballistic mode eigenfrequencies that are severely degenerate and have singular eigenfunctions, even though they are discrete. Otherwise, the known dispersion relations for $k_{\parallel} = 0$ (Bernstein 1958) are also recovered.

2. The linearized Vlasov–Maxwell system

In the collisionless and non-relativistic limit, the one-particle distribution function for each plasma species, $f_s(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{x}, t)$, satisfies Vlasov’s equation

$$\frac{\partial f_s}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f_s}{\partial \mathbf{x}} + \frac{e_s}{m_s} (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \frac{\partial f_s}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where m_s and e_s are the mass and electric charge of the species particles and \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} are the electromagnetic fields. The macroscopic charge and current densities are given by the moments of the distribution function,

$$\rho_s[f_s] = e_s \int d^3\mathbf{v} f_s, \quad \mathbf{j}_s[f_s] = e_s \int d^3\mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} f_s. \quad (2.2a,b)$$

These provide the source terms in Maxwell's equations for the electromagnetic fields, which close the system

$$\frac{1}{c^2} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \sum_s \varrho_s[f_s] \quad (2.3)$$

$$\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - \sum_s \mathbf{j}_s[f_s] \quad (2.4)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E}. \quad (2.6)$$

The integral over velocity space of (2.1) yields the continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \varrho_s}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}_s = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

which, combined with the divergence of (2.4), yields

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{c^2} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\sum_s \varrho_s[f_s] \right). \quad (2.8)$$

On the other hand, the divergence of (2.6) yields

$$\frac{\partial (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B})}{\partial t} = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

Therefore, (2.3) and (2.5) need only be imposed as constraints on the initial conditions, after which one has to solve only the dynamical system of (2.1), (2.4) and (2.6) with the definitions (2.2).

The subject of this work will be a linear wave analysis for small-amplitude perturbations about a stable, homogenous, magnetized and Maxwellian equilibrium without electric field or flows. Thus, the equilibrium magnetic field, densities and temperatures ($\mathbf{B}_0, n_{s0}, T_{s0}$) are constant, the equilibrium electric field, current densities and total charge density are $\mathbf{E}_0 = \mathbf{j}_{s0} = 0$ and $\sum_s e_s n_{s0} = 0$, and the equilibrium distribution functions are

$$f_{s0} = f_{Ms}(v) = \frac{n_{s0}}{(2\pi)^{3/2} v_{ths}^3} \exp\left(-\frac{v^2}{2v_{ths}^2}\right), \quad (2.10)$$

where the thermal velocities are defined as $v_{ths} \equiv (T_{s0}/m_s)^{1/2}$.

The small-amplitude perturbation will be denoted with a tilde, so it will be written

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \mathbf{B}_0 + \tilde{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad f_s(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{x}, t) = f_{Ms}(v) + \tilde{f}_s(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{x}, t). \quad (2.11a-c)$$

Since the equilibrium is spatially homogeneous, the linear perturbation can be analysed as a superposition of independent Fourier modes. Considering one such spatial Fourier mode with wavevector \mathbf{k} , the dependence on \mathbf{x} is factorized as $\exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})$ and the linearized Vlasov–Maxwell system becomes

$$\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} = i\mathbf{k} \times \tilde{\mathbf{B}} - \sum_s \mathbf{j}_s[\tilde{f}_s], \quad (2.12)$$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{B}}}{\partial t} = -i\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{E}, \tag{2.13}$$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{f}_s}{\partial t} + i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v})\tilde{f}_s + \frac{e_s}{m_s}(\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}_0) \cdot \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_s}{\partial \mathbf{v}} - \frac{e_s}{T_{s0}}(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{v})f_{Ms}(v) = 0, \tag{2.14}$$

with \mathbf{k} to be considered as a fixed real parameter and all the variables to be considered as independent of \mathbf{x} .

Introducing a Cartesian coordinate system with the z -axis along \mathbf{B}_0 and the x -axis in the $(\mathbf{B}_0, \mathbf{k})$ plane (i.e. $\mathbf{B}_0 = B_0\mathbf{e}_z$, $\mathbf{k} = k_\perp\mathbf{e}_x + k_\parallel\mathbf{e}_z$) and cylindrical coordinates in velocity space,

$$v_x = v_\perp \cos \varphi, \quad v_y = v_\perp \sin \varphi, \quad v_z = v_\parallel, \tag{2.15a-c}$$

equation (2.14) is rewritten as

$$i\frac{\partial \tilde{f}_s}{\partial t} = (k_\perp v_\perp \cos \varphi + k_\parallel v_\parallel)\tilde{f}_s + i\Omega_s \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_s}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{ie_s}{T_{s0}}f_{Ms}(v)[v_\perp(E_x \cos \varphi + E_y \sin \varphi) + v_\parallel E_z], \tag{2.16}$$

where $\Omega_s \equiv e_s B_0/m_s$ is the species cyclotron frequency (negative for electrons with $e_e = -e$). The next step is to consider the complex unitary basis formed by the vectors $\{(\mathbf{e}_x - i\mathbf{e}_y)/\sqrt{2}, (\mathbf{e}_x + i\mathbf{e}_y)/\sqrt{2}, \mathbf{e}_z\}$ and to define, for any vector $\mathbf{A} = A_x\mathbf{e}_x + A_y\mathbf{e}_y + A_z\mathbf{e}_z$, its unitary-basis components

$$A_+ = (A_x + iA_y)/\sqrt{2}, \quad A_- = (A_x - iA_y)/\sqrt{2}, \quad A_\parallel = A_z. \tag{2.17a-c}$$

In terms of these, the linearized Vlasov–Maxwell system takes the form

$$i\frac{\partial E_p}{\partial t} = -ic^2 \sum_{p'} \kappa_p^{p'} \tilde{B}_{p'} - ic^2 \sum_s j_{sp}[\tilde{f}_s], \tag{2.18}$$

$$i\frac{\partial \tilde{B}_p}{\partial t} = i \sum_{p'} \kappa_p^{p'} E_{p'}, \tag{2.19}$$

$$j_{sp}[\tilde{f}_s] = e_s \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_\parallel \int_0^{\infty} dv_\perp v_\perp \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi v_\parallel^{1-|p|} \left(\frac{v_\perp}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{|p|} e^{ip\varphi} \tilde{f}_s, \tag{2.20}$$

$$i\frac{\partial \tilde{f}_s}{\partial t} = (k_\perp v_\perp \cos \varphi + k_\parallel v_\parallel)\tilde{f}_s + i\Omega_s \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_s}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{ie_s}{T_{s0}}f_{Ms}(v) \sum_p v_\parallel^{1-|p|} \left(\frac{v_\perp}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{|p|} e^{-ip\varphi} E_p, \tag{2.21}$$

where the indices p, p' run through the ordered set $\{+, -, \parallel\}$, with respectively assigned numerical values $\{+1, -1, 0\}$, and $\kappa_p^{p'}$ is the representation of the operator $-i\mathbf{k} \times$ in the unitary basis

$$\kappa_p^{p'} = \begin{pmatrix} k_\parallel & 0 & -k_\perp/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & -k_\parallel & k_\perp/\sqrt{2} \\ -k_\perp/\sqrt{2} & k_\perp/\sqrt{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.22}$$

As expressed by (2.18)–(2.21), the linearized Vlasov–Maxwell system poses a three-dimensional problem in velocity space, the perturbed distribution functions depending

on three velocity coordinates plus time: $\tilde{f}_s = \tilde{f}_s(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}, \varphi, t)$. Since the dependence on the gyrophase coordinate φ is periodic, it can be analysed as a Fourier series. First, a change of dependent variables is carried out, from $\tilde{f}_s(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}, \varphi, t)$ to

$$\phi_s(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}, \varphi, t) = \tilde{f}_s(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}, \varphi, t) \exp\left(-\frac{ik_{\perp}v_{\perp}}{\Omega_s} \sin \varphi\right) = \tilde{f}_s \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} J_{\ell}\left(\frac{k_{\perp}v_{\perp}}{\Omega_s}\right) e^{-i\ell\varphi}, \tag{2.23}$$

where J_{ℓ} are the Bessel functions. Bringing this to (2.20) and (2.21), those equations become

$$j_{sp}[\phi_s] = e_s \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_{\parallel} \int_0^{\infty} dv_{\perp} v_{\perp} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} J_{\ell-p}\left(\frac{k_{\perp}v_{\perp}}{\Omega_s}\right) v_{\parallel}^{1-|\ell|} \left(\frac{v_{\perp}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{|\ell|} e^{i\ell\varphi} \phi_s \tag{2.24}$$

and

$$i \frac{\partial \phi_s}{\partial t} = k_{\parallel} v_{\parallel} \phi_s + i\Omega_s \frac{\partial \phi_s}{\partial \varphi} + \frac{ie_s}{T_{s0}} f_{Ms}(v) \sum_{\ell p} e^{-i\ell\varphi} J_{\ell-p}\left(\frac{k_{\perp}v_{\perp}}{\Omega_s}\right) v_{\parallel}^{1-|\ell|} \left(\frac{v_{\perp}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{|\ell|} E_p. \tag{2.25}$$

The original distribution function \tilde{f}_s is periodic in φ and the factor $\exp(-ik_{\perp}v_{\perp} \sin \varphi / \Omega_s)$ is also periodic. Therefore, ϕ_s is a periodic function of φ and, defining

$$\phi_{sm}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}, t) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi e^{im\varphi} \phi_s(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}, \varphi, t) \tag{2.26}$$

and

$$h_{smp}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}) \equiv J_{m-p}\left(\frac{k_{\perp}v_{\perp}}{\Omega_s}\right) v_{\parallel}^{1-|p|} \left(\frac{v_{\perp}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{|p|}, \tag{2.27}$$

where the index $s \in \{species\}$ runs through the set of plasma species, $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ runs through the set of all integers and p runs through the set $\{+, -, \parallel\}$ (or numerically $\{+1, -1, 0\}$), equation (2.24) yields

$$j_{sp}[\phi_{sm}] = e_s \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int d^3 \mathbf{v} h_{smp} \phi_{sm} \tag{2.28}$$

and (2.25) yields

$$i \frac{\partial \phi_{sm}}{\partial t} = (k_{\parallel} v_{\parallel} + m\Omega_s) \phi_{sm} + \frac{ie_s}{T_{s0}} f_{Ms}(v) \sum_p h_{smp} E_p. \tag{2.29}$$

In (2.28) and through the rest of the paper, when the integral operation $\int d^3 \mathbf{v}$ acts on a function of $(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp})$, it is understood to be $\int d^3 \mathbf{v} = 2\pi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_{\parallel} \int_0^{\infty} v_{\perp} dv_{\perp}$.

The closed, linearized Vlasov–Maxwell system of (2.18), (2.19), (2.28), (2.29) can be written in compact form by defining the following state vector in two-dimensional $(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp})$ velocity space:

$$\psi(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}, t) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} E_p(t) \\ \tilde{B}_p(t) \\ \phi_{sm}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}, t) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.30}$$

whose time variation is governed by a first-order linear equation analogous to the Schrödinger equation of quantum mechanics,

$$i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \mathcal{H} \psi, \tag{2.31}$$

where the linear operator \mathcal{H} is

$$\mathcal{H} \begin{pmatrix} E_p \\ \tilde{B}_p \\ \phi_{sm} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -ic^2 \sum_{p'} \kappa_p^{p'} & -ic^2 \sum_{sm} e_s \int d^3 v h_{smp} \\ i \sum_{p'} \kappa_p^{p'} & 0 & 0 \\ ie_s T_{s0}^{-1} f_{Ms} \sum_{p'} h_{smp'} & 0 & k_{\parallel} v_{\parallel} + m\Omega_s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_{p'} \\ \tilde{B}_{p'} \\ \phi_{sm} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.32}$$

It will be shown next that, like the Hamiltonian in Schrödinger’s equation, the operator \mathcal{H} is self-adjoint.

3. Self-adjointness and formal solution of the initial-value problems

The above state vectors in two-dimensional $(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp})$ velocity space will be called two-dimensional (2-D) state vectors. The space of such 2-D state vectors can be given a Hilbert space structure by defining the scalar product

$$(\psi | \psi') = \sum_p \left(\frac{1}{c^2} E_p^* E'_p + \tilde{B}_p^* \tilde{B}'_p \right) + \sum_{sm} \int d^3 v \frac{T_{s0}}{f_{Ms}(v)} \phi_{sm}^*(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}) \phi'_{sm}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}). \tag{3.1}$$

With this scalar product, the linear operator \mathcal{H} (2.32) is self-adjoint because the product $(\psi | \mathcal{H} \psi')$ can be cast in the Hermite-symmetric form

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi | \mathcal{H} \psi') &= i \sum_{pp'} \kappa_p^{p'} (\tilde{B}_p^* E'_p - E_p^* \tilde{B}'_p) + i \sum_{smp} e_s \int d^3 v h_{smp} (\phi_{sm}^* E'_p - E_p^* \phi'_{sm}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{sm} \int d^3 v \frac{T_{s0}}{f_{Ms}} (k_{\parallel} v_{\parallel} + m\Omega_s) \phi_{sm}^* \phi'_{sm}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Since h_{smp} is real and $\kappa_p^{p'}$ is real and symmetric with respect to the p, p' indices, this expression is invariant under the exchange of primed and unprimed variables followed by complex conjugation, hence $(\psi | \mathcal{H} \psi') = (\mathcal{H} \psi | \psi')$.

From its time evolution equation (2.31), the dynamical solution for $\psi(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}, t)$ satisfying the initial condition $\psi(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}, 0)$ is

$$\psi(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}, t) = \exp(-it\mathcal{H}) \psi(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}, 0). \quad (3.3)$$

This solution is applicable both to positive and negative times. Since \mathcal{H} is a Hermitian operator and t is a real variable, $\exp(-it\mathcal{H})$ is a unitary operator, therefore the state vector norm

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi|\psi) &= \left(\frac{1}{c^2} \mathbf{E}^* \cdot \mathbf{E} + \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^* \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{B}} \right) (t) + \sum_{sm} \int d^3v \frac{T_{s0}}{f_{Ms}(v)} \phi_{sm}^*(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}, t) \phi_{sm}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}, t) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{c^2} \mathbf{E}^* \cdot \mathbf{E} + \tilde{\mathbf{B}}^* \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{B}} \right) (t) + \sum_s \int d^3v \frac{T_{s0}}{f_{Ms}(v)} \tilde{f}_s^*(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}, \varphi, t) \tilde{f}_s(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}, \varphi, t) \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

is independent of time. This norm has the physical interpretation that it equals twice the quadratic contribution of the considered \mathbf{k} -mode to the free energy, $\mathcal{E} - \sum_s T_{s0} \mathcal{S}_s$, where \mathcal{E} is the conserved total energy of the perturbation and \mathcal{S}_s are the conserved entropies of each species. The conservation of such a norm implies that the Maxwellian equilibrium is linearly stable, as was first shown by Newcomb and reported in the appendix of Bernstein (1958).

Another important consequence of the self-adjointness of \mathcal{H} is the existence of a complete basis made of normal modes, that spans the whole Hilbert space of 2-D state vectors. These normal modes are separable solutions of (2.31) having the form

$$\psi(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}, t) = \psi^{\omega, \nu}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}) e^{-i\omega t}, \quad (3.5)$$

hence $\psi^{\omega, \nu}$ is an eigenfunction of the operator \mathcal{H} with eigenvalue ω

$$\mathcal{H}\psi^{\omega, \nu} = \omega \psi^{\omega, \nu} \quad (3.6)$$

and, again as the consequence of \mathcal{H} being self-adjoint, the spectrum of normal-mode eigenfrequencies ω is real. The additional index ν is meant to label generically the different independent eigenfunctions that can have the same eigenvalue ω when the latter is degenerate. Since the set of normal modes forms a complete basis for the Hilbert space of state vectors, any initial condition belonging to such space can be expanded as

$$\psi(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}, 0) = \widehat{\sum}_{\omega, \nu} c_{\omega, \nu} \psi^{\omega, \nu}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}), \quad (3.7)$$

where $\widehat{\sum}_{\omega, \nu}$ indicates a sum if the index runs through a set of discrete values and an integral if the index runs through a continuum. Then, the solution for $\psi(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}, t)$ (3.3) is simply

$$\psi(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}, t) = \widehat{\sum}_{\omega, \nu} c_{\omega, \nu} \psi^{\omega, \nu}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}) e^{-i\omega t}. \quad (3.8)$$

So, the solution of any initial-value problem would be immediate if the complete set of eigenfunctions of the operator \mathcal{H} were available. The following sections will be devoted to the investigation of such normal-mode eigenfunctions. This requires separate consideration of the case of a wavevector not perpendicular to the equilibrium magnetic field ($k_{\parallel} \neq 0$) from the $k_{\parallel} = 0$ case of wave propagation perpendicular to the equilibrium magnetic field.

4. Continuum of singular 2-D normal modes for $k_{\parallel} \neq 0$

When $k_{\parallel} \neq 0$, the spectrum of normal-mode eigenfrequencies covers the set of real numbers \mathbf{R} . The eigenfunctions of this continuous spectrum are singular (they are distributions in the mathematical sense that lie outside the Hilbert space of normalizable state vectors) and the eigenvalues $\omega \in \mathbf{R}$ are highly degenerate. In order to show this, consider the normal-mode system (2.32) for one such eigenfunction satisfying $\mathcal{H}\psi^\omega = \omega\psi^\omega$

$$\omega E_p^\omega = -ic^2 \sum_{p'} \kappa_p^{p'} \tilde{B}_{p'}^\omega - ic^2 \sum_{sm} e_s \int d^3v h_{smp} \phi_{sm}^\omega \tag{4.1}$$

$$\omega \tilde{B}_p^\omega = i \sum_{p'} \kappa_p^{p'} E_{p'}^\omega \tag{4.2}$$

$$(\omega - k_{\parallel} v_{\parallel} - m\Omega_s) \phi_{sm}^\omega = ie_s T_{s0}^{-1} f_{Ms} \sum_{p'} h_{smp'} E_{p'}^\omega. \tag{4.3}$$

Eliminating \tilde{B}_p^ω , equations (4.1), (4.2) yield

$$\sum_{p'} \left[\delta_p^{p'} - \frac{c^2}{\omega^2} (\kappa^2)_p^{p'} \right] E_{p'}^\omega + \frac{ic^2}{\omega} \sum_{sm} e_s \int d^3v h_{smp} \phi_{sm}^\omega = 0, \tag{4.4}$$

where $\delta_p^{p'}$ is the Kronecker delta and $(\kappa^2)_p^{p'} = \sum_{p''} \kappa_p^{p''} \kappa_{p''}^{p'}$ is the representation of the operator $-(\mathbf{k} \times)^2 = k^2 - \mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}$ in the unitary basis,

$$(\kappa^2)_p^{p'} = \begin{pmatrix} k_{\parallel}^2 + k_{\perp}^2/2 & -k_{\perp}^2/2 & -k_{\parallel} k_{\perp} / \sqrt{2} \\ -k_{\perp}^2/2 & k_{\parallel}^2 + k_{\perp}^2/2 & -k_{\parallel} k_{\perp} / \sqrt{2} \\ -k_{\parallel} k_{\perp} / \sqrt{2} & -k_{\parallel} k_{\perp} / \sqrt{2} & k_{\perp}^2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.5}$$

For $k_{\parallel} \neq 0$ and any real ω , equation (4.3) has the general solution

$$\phi_{sm}^\omega(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}) = \frac{ie_s}{T_{s0}} \sum_{p'} \mathcal{P} \frac{f_{Ms}(v) h_{smp'}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp})}{\omega - k_{\parallel} v_{\parallel} - m\Omega_s} E_{p'}^\omega + \frac{i\omega}{2\pi c^2 e_s} \lambda_{sm}^\omega(v_{\perp}) \delta(v_{\parallel} - v_{sm}^\omega), \tag{4.6}$$

where \mathcal{P} stands for the Cauchy principal value, δ is the Dirac distribution, $v_{sm}^\omega \equiv (\omega - m\Omega_s)/k_{\parallel}$ and the functions $\lambda_{sm}^\omega(v_{\perp})$ are arbitrary. Substituting this solution for ϕ_{sm}^ω in (4.4), one gets the final condition

$$\sum_{p'} \vartheta_p^{p'}(\omega) E_{p'}^\omega - \sum_{sm} \int_0^\infty dv_{\perp} v_{\perp} \lambda_{sm}^\omega(v_{\perp}) h_{smp}(v_{sm}^\omega, v_{\perp}) = 0, \tag{4.7}$$

where, for the real eigenfrequencies under consideration, $\vartheta_p^{p'}(\omega)$ is the real and symmetric tensor

$$\vartheta_p^{p'}(\omega) \equiv \delta_p^{p'} - \frac{c^2}{\omega^2} (\kappa^2)_p^{p'} - \sum_{sm} \frac{c^2 e_s^2}{\omega T_{s0}} \int d^3v \mathcal{P} \frac{f_{Ms}(v) h_{smp}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}) h_{smp'}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp})}{\omega - k_{\parallel} v_{\parallel} - m\Omega_s}, \tag{4.8}$$

which depends only on the frequency, plus the wavevector and equilibrium parameters. Since the form of the functions $\lambda_{sm}^\omega(v_{\perp})$ is arbitrary in principle and (4.7) is just a

set of three integral constraints, a large class of independent $\lambda_{sm}^\omega(v_\perp)$ solutions exists for each real ω . The precise identification of all of them is difficult and impractical. However, this will not be necessary and, for the purposes of this work, all that will be needed is the general classification of the normal-mode solutions into three broad categories as described in appendix A.

Notice that (4.7), (4.8) are the expression of Maxwell's equation for the normal modes

$$\sum_{p'} \left[\delta_p^{p'} - \frac{c^2}{\omega^2} (\kappa^2)_p^{p'} \right] E_{p'}^\omega + \frac{ic^2}{\omega} j_p^\omega = 0, \tag{4.9}$$

where $j_p^\omega = \sum_s j_{sp}^\omega$ is the total electric current. It is customary to express this current as the action of a conductivity tensor on the electric field, $j_p^\omega = \sum_{p'} \sigma_p^{p'}(\omega) E_{p'}^\omega$. For some theories (and also in the special $k_\parallel = 0$ case of the present theory to be discussed in § 8), such conductivity tensor can be determined *a priori* as an intrinsic property of the plasma equilibrium for each mode wavenumber and frequency. Then, the normal-mode problem reduces to solving a dispersion relation, with the normal-mode electric field as the corresponding non-trivial eigenvector in the null space of the dispersion tensor. This is not true for the present theory in the $k_\parallel \neq 0$ case being considered now. For the present $k_\parallel \neq 0$ normal modes (4.7), (4.8), a part of the current can be represented as the action of an intrinsic conductivity tensor on the electric field and this has been included as the last term of the tensor $\vartheta_p^{p'}(\omega)$ defined in (4.8). However, the part of the current given by the second term of the left-hand side of (4.7) depends on the eigenvector solution for $\lambda_{sm}^\omega(v_\perp)$ and can be related to the electric field only after such a solution has been specified. Therefore, for the present $k_\parallel \neq 0$ normal modes, one can only write

$$j_p^\omega = \sum_{p'} [\sigma_{H,p}^{p'}(\omega) + \sigma_{N,p}^{p'}(\omega; \lambda_{sm}^\omega)] E_{p'}^\omega, \tag{4.10}$$

where $\sigma_{H,p}^{p'}(\omega)$ is the intrinsic part of the conductivity tensor represented by the last term of (4.8),

$$\sigma_{H,p}^{p'}(\omega) = \sum_{sm} \frac{ie_s^2}{T_{s0}} \int d^3v \mathcal{P} \frac{f_{Ms}(v) h_{smp}(v_\parallel, v_\perp) h_{smp'}(v_\parallel, v_\perp)}{\omega - k_\parallel v_\parallel - m\Omega_s}, \tag{4.11}$$

and $\sigma_{N,p}^{p'}(\omega; \lambda_{sm}^\omega)$ is the non-intrinsic part that corresponds to the part of the current given by the second term of the left-hand side of (4.7). Since this non-intrinsic part can only be determined after the normal-mode solution has been obtained, the conductivity tensor concept is not useful here. Nevertheless, one can always evaluate the intrinsic part (4.11) which is a standard calculation found in the traditional plasma wave literature, more often expressed in Cartesian coordinates (see e.g. Brambilla 1998). After integrating over v_\perp , one gets

$$\sigma_{H,p}^{p'}(\omega) = \sum_{sm} \frac{i\omega_{ps}^2}{c^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_\parallel \mathcal{P} \frac{F_{Ms}(v_\parallel) [\alpha_{sm}(v_\parallel)]_p^{p'}}{n_{s0} (\omega - k_\parallel v_\parallel - m\Omega_s)}, \tag{4.12}$$

where $\omega_{ps}^2 \equiv c^2 e_s^2 n_{s0} / m_s$ is the squared plasma frequency of the species s , $F_{Ms}(v_\parallel)$ is the 1-D Maxwellian distribution function

$$F_{Ms}(v_\parallel) \equiv 2\pi \int_0^\infty dv_\perp v_\perp f_{Ms}(v) = \frac{n_{s0}}{(2\pi)^{1/2} v_{ths}} \exp\left(-\frac{v_\parallel^2}{2v_{ths}^2}\right) \tag{4.13}$$

and

$$[\alpha_{sm}(v_{\parallel})]_p^{p'} \equiv \frac{1}{v_{ths}^4} \int_0^\infty dv_{\perp} v_{\perp} \exp\left(-\frac{v_{\perp}^2}{2v_{ths}^2}\right) h_{smp}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}) h_{smp'}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}), \quad (4.14)$$

with the explicit result

$$[\alpha_{sm}]_+^+ = e^{-b_s} [b_s I_m(b_s) - (b_s - m) I_{m-1}(b_s)] \quad (4.15)$$

$$[\alpha_{sm}]_-^+ = [\alpha_{sm}]_+^- = \frac{b_s}{2} e^{-b_s} [I_{m+1}(b_s) + I_{m-1}(b_s) - 2I_m(b_s)] \quad (4.16)$$

$$[\alpha_{sm}]_-^- = e^{-b_s} [b_s I_m(b_s) - (b_s + m) I_{m+1}(b_s)] \quad (4.17)$$

$$[\alpha_{sm}(v_{\parallel})]_{\parallel}^+ = [\alpha_{sm}(v_{\parallel})]_{+}^{\parallel} = \frac{v_{\parallel} b_s}{\sqrt{2} v_{ths}} e^{-b_s} [I_{m-1}(b_s) - I_m(b_s)] \quad (4.18)$$

$$[\alpha_{sm}(v_{\parallel})]_{\parallel}^- = [\alpha_{sm}(v_{\parallel})]_{-}^{\parallel} = \frac{v_{\parallel} b_s}{\sqrt{2} v_{ths}} e^{-b_s} [I_m(b_s) - I_{m+1}(b_s)] \quad (4.19)$$

$$[\alpha_{sm}(v_{\parallel})]_{\parallel}^{\parallel} = \frac{v_{\parallel}^2}{v_{ths}^2} e^{-b_s} I_m(b_s), \quad (4.20)$$

where I_m are the modified Bessel functions and $b_s \equiv (k_{\perp} v_{ths} / \Omega_s)^2$. Then, the results of the integrals over v_{\parallel} in (4.12) are given in terms of the real part of the plasma dispersion function Z (Fried & Conte 1961).

The determinant of the 3×3 matrix $[\alpha_{sm}(v_{\parallel})]_p^{p'}$ with indices p, p' is

$$\det[\alpha_{sm}(v_{\parallel})] = \frac{v_{\parallel}^2}{v_{ths}^2} e^{-3b_s} m^2 (1 - b_s) I_m(b_s) [I_m^2(b_s) - I_{m+1}(b_s) I_{m-1}(b_s)]. \quad (4.21)$$

Therefore, the inverse matrix $[\alpha_{sm}^{-1}]_p^{p'}$ such that $\sum_{p''} [\alpha_{sm}^{-1}]_p^{p''} [\alpha_{sm}]_{p''}^{p'} = \delta_p^{p'}$ exists except for $v_{\parallel} = 0$, for $m = 0$ and for the particular values of k_{\perp} that make $b_s = 0$ or $b_s = 1$.

5. Reduction to one-dimensional velocity space

The 2-D state vectors with infinite gyrophase harmonic components considered so far, resolve completely the structure of the perturbed distribution functions. Thus, the complete basis of normal modes needed to cover the space of all those state vectors has to be very large. When $k_{\parallel} \neq 0$, it was indeed shown in the previous section that, for each real ω , the subspace spanned by the eigenvectors that have that frequency for eigenvalue still contains a large class of independent normal modes which are difficult to specify. This makes the normal-mode expansion of the 2-D state vectors impractical. However, a tractable normal-mode analysis is still possible by considering a consistently defined space of state vectors which depend only on v_{\parallel} and t , and which will be called 1-D state vectors. These are formed by a set of appropriately weighted integrals of the 2-D distribution functions over v_{\perp} such that they contain the minimum information needed to determine consistently their dynamical evolution, ignoring detailed information about the distribution function dependence on the two-dimensional velocity that is not essential. It will be shown that, in the collapsed space of such 1-D state vectors, the continuum of frequency eigenvalues is still degenerate but, for each ω , the subspace of its eigenvectors has countable-infinite dimension. These 1-D eigenvectors can be completely specified, which makes the normal-mode expansion tractable.

Starting with the 2-D distribution functions $\phi_{sm}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}, t)$ introduced in (2.26) and taking integrals over v_{\perp} weighted with the $h_{smp}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp})$ functions (2.27), one defines the following 1-D distribution functions:

$$\Phi_{smp}(v_{\parallel}, t) \equiv 2\pi \int_0^{\infty} dv_{\perp} v_{\perp} h_{smp}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}) \phi_{sm}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}, t), \tag{5.1}$$

so that the expression (2.28) for the components of the electric current becomes

$$j_{sp}[\Phi_{smp}] = e_s \sum_m \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_{\parallel} \Phi_{smp}. \tag{5.2}$$

Now, taking the correspondingly weighted integrals of (2.29) and recalling the definitions (4.13), (4.14), one obtains the evolution equation for $\Phi_{smp}(v_{\parallel}, t)$,

$$i \frac{\partial \Phi_{smp}}{\partial t} = (k_{\parallel} v_{\parallel} + m\Omega_s) \Phi_{smp} + \frac{ie_s}{m_s} F_{Ms}(v_{\parallel}) \sum_{p'} [\alpha_{sm}(v_{\parallel})]_p^{p'} E_{p'}(t), \tag{5.3}$$

which, together with (5.2) and (2.18), (2.19), form a consistently closed system. This integrated system can be expressed in compact form by defining the state vector

$$\Psi(v_{\parallel}, t) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} E_p(t) \\ \tilde{B}_p(t) \\ \Phi_{smp}(v_{\parallel}, t) \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.4}$$

whose time evolution is governed by

$$i \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = \mathcal{G} \Psi, \tag{5.5}$$

and where the linear operator \mathcal{G} is

$$\mathcal{G} \begin{pmatrix} E_p \\ \tilde{B}_p \\ \Phi_{smp} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -ic^2 \sum_{p'} \kappa_p^{p'} & -ic^2 \sum_{sm} e_s \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_{\parallel} \\ i \sum_{p'} \kappa_p^{p'} & 0 & 0 \\ ie_s m_s^{-1} F_{Ms} \sum_{p'} [\alpha_{sm}]_p^{p'} & 0 & k_{\parallel} v_{\parallel} + m\Omega_s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_{p'} \\ \tilde{B}_{p'} \\ \Phi_{smp} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.6}$$

The space of these 1-D state vectors has a Hilbert space structure with the scalar product

$$\langle \Psi | \Psi' \rangle = \sum_p \left(\frac{1}{c^2} E_p^* E_p + \tilde{B}_p^* \tilde{B}_p \right) + \sum_{smp} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_{\parallel} \frac{m_s}{F_{Ms}(v_{\parallel})} \Phi_{smp}^*(v_{\parallel}) \Phi'_{smp}(v_{\parallel}), \tag{5.7}$$

but the operator \mathcal{G} (5.6) is not self-adjoint with this scalar product. If the inverse matrices $[\alpha_{sm}^{-1}]_p^{p'}$ existed for all s and m and were positive definite, a different scalar product could be defined that would make \mathcal{G} self-adjoint. This is not possible because the determinant of $[\alpha_{sm}]_p^{p'}$ (4.21) vanishes identically when $m = 0$. Nevertheless, it will be possible to prove that, for $k_{\parallel} \neq 0$, a complete basis for the space of 1-D state vectors can be constructed with the eigenfunctions of \mathcal{G} , namely the 1-D normal modes.

6. The 1-D normal modes for general oblique propagation direction

The equations for the normal modes in the collapsed space of 1-D state vectors are derived following a procedure analogous to the one shown in § 4 for the 2-D state vectors. For a 1-D eigenfunction satisfying $\mathcal{G}\Psi^\omega = \omega\Psi^\omega$, equation (5.6) becomes

$$\omega E_p^\omega = -ic^2 \sum_{p'} \kappa_p^{p'} \tilde{B}_{p'}^\omega - ic^2 \sum_{sm} e_s \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_{\parallel} \Phi_{smp}^\omega, \tag{6.1}$$

$$\omega \tilde{B}_p^\omega = i \sum_{p'} \kappa_p^{p'} E_{p'}^\omega, \tag{6.2}$$

$$(\omega - k_{\parallel} v_{\parallel} - m\Omega_s) \Phi_{smp}^\omega = ie_s m_s^{-1} F_{Ms} \sum_{p'} [\alpha_{sm}]_p^{p'} E_{p'}^\omega, \tag{6.3}$$

and, eliminating \tilde{B}_p^ω , equations (6.1), (6.2) yield

$$\sum_{p'} \left[\delta_p^{p'} - \frac{c^2}{\omega^2} (\kappa^2)_p^{p'} \right] E_{p'}^\omega + \frac{ic^2}{\omega} \sum_{sm} e_s \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_{\parallel} \Phi_{smp}^\omega = 0. \tag{6.4}$$

For $k_{\parallel} \neq 0$ and any real ω , equation (6.3) has the general solution

$$\Phi_{smp}^\omega(v_{\parallel}) = \frac{ie_s}{m_s} \sum_{p'} \mathcal{P} \frac{F_{Ms}(v_{\parallel}) [\alpha_{sm}(v_{\parallel})]_p^{p'}}{\omega - k_{\parallel} v_{\parallel} - m\Omega_s} E_{p'}^\omega + \frac{i\omega}{c^2 e_s} \Lambda_{smp}^\omega \delta(v_{\parallel} - v_{sm}^\omega), \tag{6.5}$$

where, for each given ω , the coefficients Λ_{smp}^ω are now arbitrary constants, independent of the velocity. Substituting (6.5) in (6.4), one gets

$$\sum_{p'} \vartheta_p^{p'}(\omega) E_{p'}^\omega - \sum_{sm} \Lambda_{smp}^\omega = 0 \tag{6.6}$$

and, according to (4.8), (4.11), (4.12),

$$\vartheta_p^{p'}(\omega) = \delta_p^{p'} - \frac{c^2}{\omega^2} (\kappa^2)_p^{p'} + \frac{ic^2}{\omega} \sigma_{H,p}^{p'}(\omega) \tag{6.7}$$

or

$$\vartheta_p^{p'}(\omega) = \delta_p^{p'} - \frac{c^2}{\omega^2} (\kappa^2)_p^{p'} - \sum_{sm} \frac{\omega_{ps}^2}{\omega} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_{\parallel} \mathcal{P} \frac{F_{Ms}(v_{\parallel}) [\alpha_{sm}(v_{\parallel})]_p^{p'}}{n_{s0}(\omega - k_{\parallel} v_{\parallel} - m\Omega_s)}. \tag{6.8}$$

It is apparent that, with suitable choices of the coefficients Λ_{smp}^ω , the normal-mode equation (6.6) can be satisfied in many ways for any real frequency $\omega \neq 0$ and any electric field E_p^ω . Following a procedure that mirrors the 2-D analysis of appendix A, the 1-D normal-mode solutions are classified in two categories. In the first one are the modes whose electric field is not identically zero, which will be called ‘finite-EM-field modes’ and will be denoted with a subscript *A*. In the second class are the modes for which the electric field is identically zero, hence the perturbed magnetic field is also zero. These modes, which perturb the distribution functions but do not perturb the electromagnetic fields, are called ‘ballistic modes’ and will be denoted with a subscript *B*. The finite-EM-field modes will be normalized such that the magnitude

of the electric field is equal to 1 in some units (the choice of the dimensional unit of electric field is inconsequential and does not need to be specified explicitly) and solutions can be found for any of the three independent polarizations of the electric field vector. Accordingly, a new index $\rho \in \{+, -, \parallel\}$ is introduced to label finite-EM-field normal modes whose electric field in the adopted system of units is

$$E_{A,p}^{\omega,\rho} = \delta_p^\rho. \tag{6.9}$$

Such a choice of independent polarization states is natural and convenient, and it affords complete generality because any other polarization is a linear combination of them. For these, equation (6.6) becomes

$$\vartheta_p^\rho(\omega) - \sum_{sm} A_{A,sm}^{\omega,\rho} = 0. \tag{6.10}$$

This equation admits multiple independent solutions for every real $\omega \neq 0$, which can be labelled with the additional indices $\zeta \in \{\text{species}\}$ and $\mu \in \mathbf{Z}$:

$$A_{A,sm}^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho} = \delta_s^\zeta \delta_m^\mu \vartheta_p^\rho(\omega) \tag{6.11}$$

and this characterizes completely the set of finite-EM-field normal-mode solutions. In summary, the finite-EM-field normal modes are

$$\Psi_A^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_p^\rho \\ i\kappa_p^\rho/\omega \\ \Phi_{A,sm}^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6.12}$$

with

$$\Phi_{A,sm}^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho}(v_\parallel) = \frac{ie_s}{m_s} \mathcal{P} \frac{F_{Ms}(v_\parallel) [\alpha_{sm}(v_\parallel)]_p^\rho}{\omega - k_\parallel v_\parallel - m\Omega_s} + \frac{i\omega}{c^2 e_s} \delta_s^\zeta \delta_m^\mu \vartheta_p^\rho(\omega) \delta(v_\parallel - v_{sm}^\omega). \tag{6.13}$$

In this notation, the upper Greek indices (the continuous index ω and the discrete indices ζ, μ, ρ) label each normal mode, whose eigenfrequency is ω and whose components are labelled by the discrete lower Latin indices s, m, p . Independent normal modes with the same ω eigenvalue are characterized by different values of the discrete indices ζ, μ, ρ , and are therefore countable.

The ballistic modes have $E_{B,p}^\omega = 0$ and, for them, equation (6.6) reduces to

$$\sum_{sm} A_{B,sm}^\omega = 0. \tag{6.14}$$

Again, his equation admits multiple independent solutions for every real ω which can be labelled with the indices ζ, μ, ρ , and are

$$A_{B,sm}^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho} = (\delta_s^\zeta \delta_m^\mu - \delta_s^{\zeta_0} \delta_m^{\mu_0}) \delta_p^\rho, \tag{6.15}$$

where (ζ_0, μ_0) is one pair of particularly chosen (ζ, μ) values. So, the ballistic normal modes are

$$\Psi_B^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \Phi_{B,sm}^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6.16}$$

with

$$\Phi_{B,sm\rho}^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho}(v_{\parallel}) = \frac{i\omega}{c^2 e_s} (\delta_s^{\zeta} \delta_m^{\mu} - \delta_s^{\zeta_0} \delta_m^{\mu_0}) \delta_p^{\rho} \delta(v_{\parallel} - v_{sm}^{\omega}). \tag{6.17}$$

As in the case of finite-EM-field modes, the independent ballistic modes with the same ω eigenvalue are countable.

Since the operator \mathcal{G} (5.6) is not self-adjoint with the scalar product (5.7), one cannot invoke the spectral theorem in order to argue that the normal modes $\{\Psi_A^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho}, \Psi_B^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho}\}$ form a complete set that spans the Hilbert space of normalizable 1-D state vectors. However, it can be easily proven that these 1-D normal modes do form a complete set. The proof relies on the fact that the underlying $\psi^{\omega,\nu}$ normal modes in the space of 2-D state vectors form a complete set there, because they are the eigenvectors of a self-adjoint operator in that space, as discussed in § 3. If $(E_p^{\omega,\nu}, \phi_{sm}^{\omega,\nu})$ is a 2-D normal mode satisfying (4.4), (4.6), then $(E_p^{\omega,\nu}, 2\pi \int_0^{\infty} dv_{\perp} v_{\perp} h_{sm\rho} \phi_{sm}^{\omega,\nu})$ is a 1-D normal mode satisfying (6.4), (6.5), so the set of 1-D normal modes can be expected to be complete. The formal verification that this is actually the case takes some straightforward algebra and is given in appendix B.

The set of 1-D normal modes $\{\Psi_A^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho}, \Psi_B^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho}\}$ is complete, but is not minimal because not all its members are linearly independent of one another. From their expression (6.12), (6.13), it is clear that the differences between two finite-EM-field normal modes with the same ω and ρ values have zero electromagnetic fields, hence they must be in the subspace of ballistic modes. In particular,

$$\Psi_A^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho} - \Psi_A^{\omega,\zeta_0\mu_0\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{i\omega}{c^2 e_s} (\delta_s^{\zeta} \delta_m^{\mu} - \delta_s^{\zeta_0} \delta_m^{\mu_0}) \vartheta_p^{\rho}(\omega) \delta(v_{\parallel} - v_{sm}^{\omega}) \end{pmatrix} \tag{6.18}$$

or, comparing with (6.16), (6.17),

$$\Psi_A^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho} - \Psi_A^{\omega,\zeta_0\mu_0\rho} = \sum_{\rho'} \vartheta_{\rho'}^{\rho}(\omega) \Psi_B^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho'}. \tag{6.19}$$

Therefore, if one defines

$$\Psi^{\omega,\zeta_0\mu_0\rho} \equiv \Psi_A^{\omega,\zeta_0\mu_0\rho}, \quad \Psi^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho} \equiv \Psi_B^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho} \quad \text{for } (\zeta, \mu) \neq (\zeta_0, \mu_0), \tag{6.20a,b}$$

the set $\{\Psi^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho}\}$ (where $\omega \in \mathbf{R}$, $\zeta \in \{\text{species}\}$, $\mu \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $\rho \in \{+, -, \parallel\}$) is still complete. Any normalizable initial condition $\Psi(v_{\parallel}, 0)$ can then be expanded as

$$\Psi(v_{\parallel}, 0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \sum_{\zeta\mu\rho} C_{\zeta\mu\rho}(\omega) \Psi^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho}(v_{\parallel}) \tag{6.21}$$

and it will be shown next that this representation is unique, meaning that the complete set $\{\Psi^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho}\}$ is a minimal basis. Then, the dynamical solution of the corresponding initial-value problem is

$$\Psi(v_{\parallel}, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \sum_{\zeta\mu\rho} C_{\zeta\mu\rho}(\omega) \Psi^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho}(v_{\parallel}) e^{-i\omega t}. \tag{6.22}$$

In order to invert (6.21) and determine the coefficients $C_{\zeta\mu\rho}(\omega)$ for a given $\Psi(v_{\parallel}, 0)$, one takes the scalar products with a set of vectors that are orthogonal to $\Psi^{\omega, \zeta\mu\rho}$. One such set is $\{\widehat{\Psi}^{\omega, \zeta\mu\rho}\}$, defined by

$$\widehat{\Psi}^{\omega, \zeta_0\mu_0\rho} \equiv \widehat{\Psi}_A^{\omega, \zeta_0\mu_0\rho}, \quad \widehat{\Psi}^{\omega, \zeta\mu\rho} \equiv \widehat{\Psi}_B^{\omega, \zeta\mu\rho} \quad \text{for } (\zeta, \mu) \neq (\zeta_0, \mu_0), \quad (6.23a,b)$$

where

$$\widehat{\Psi}_A^{\omega, \zeta_0\mu_0\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_p^\rho \\ i\kappa_p^\rho/\omega \\ \widehat{\Phi}_{A, smp}^{\omega, \zeta_0\mu_0\rho} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6.24)$$

with

$$\widehat{\Phi}_{A, smp}^{\omega, \zeta_0\mu_0\rho}(v_{\parallel}) = \frac{ie_s}{m_s} \mathcal{P} \frac{F_{Ms}(v_{\parallel}) \delta_p^\rho}{\omega - k_{\parallel} v_{\parallel} - m\Omega_s} + \frac{i\omega}{c^2 e_s} \delta_s^{\zeta_0} \delta_m^{\mu_0} [\alpha_{\zeta_0\mu_0}^{-1}(v_{\zeta_0\mu_0}^\omega) \circ \vartheta(\omega)]_p^\rho \delta(v_{\parallel} - v_{sm}^\omega), \quad (6.25)$$

and

$$\widehat{\Psi}_B^{\omega, \zeta\mu\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \widehat{\Phi}_{B, smp}^{\omega, \zeta\mu\rho} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6.26)$$

with

$$\widehat{\Phi}_{B, smp}^{\omega, \zeta\mu\rho}(v_{\parallel}) = \frac{i\omega}{c^2 e_s} \{ \delta_s^\zeta \delta_m^\mu \delta_p^\rho - \delta_s^{\zeta_0} \delta_m^{\mu_0} [\alpha_{\zeta_0\mu_0}^{-1}(v_{\zeta_0\mu_0}^\omega) \circ \alpha_{\zeta\mu}(v_{\zeta\mu}^\omega)]_p^\rho \} \delta(v_{\parallel} - v_{sm}^\omega). \quad (6.27)$$

Here, the open dot denotes the 3×3 matrix multiplication $[\alpha \circ \beta]_p^{\rho'} \equiv \sum_{p''} \alpha_p^{\rho''} \beta_{p''}^{\rho'}$ and the pair (ζ_0, μ_0) is chosen such that $\alpha_{\zeta_0\mu_0}^{-1}(v_{\zeta_0\mu_0}^\omega)$ exists except at the resonance $v_{\zeta_0\mu_0}^\omega = 0$. This choice is always possible when $k_{\perp} \neq 0$. The $k_{\perp} = 0$ case of parallel propagation direction requires a slightly different treatment that will be discussed in the next section, so the remainder of this section is restricted to truly oblique propagation, $k_{\parallel} \neq 0$ and $k_{\perp} \neq 0$. The vectors $\widehat{\Psi}^{\omega, \zeta\mu\rho}$ are eigenfunctions of the adjoint operator of \mathcal{G} with eigenvalue ω (i.e. $\langle \widehat{\Psi}^{\omega, \zeta\mu\rho} | \mathcal{G} \Psi' \rangle = \omega \langle \widehat{\Psi}^{\omega, \zeta\mu\rho} | \Psi' \rangle$ for any Ψ') so the products $\langle \widehat{\Psi}^{\omega, \zeta\mu\rho} | \Psi^{\omega', \zeta'\mu'\rho'} \rangle$ are zero when $\omega \neq \omega'$. These products are carried out in appendix C and the result is

$$\langle \widehat{\Psi}^{\omega, \zeta\mu\rho} | \Psi^{\omega', \zeta'\mu'\rho'} \rangle = \chi_{\zeta\mu\rho}^{\zeta'\mu'\rho'}(\omega) \delta(\omega - \omega'), \quad (6.28)$$

where the coefficients $\chi_{\zeta\mu\rho}^{\zeta'\mu'\rho'}(\omega)$ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\zeta_0\mu_0\rho}^{\zeta_0\mu_0\rho'}(\omega) &= \frac{|k_{\parallel}| \omega^2 m_{\zeta_0}}{c^4 e_s^2 F_{M\zeta_0}(v_{\zeta_0\mu_0}^\omega)} [\vartheta^2(\omega) \circ \alpha_{\zeta_0\mu_0}^{-1}(v_{\zeta_0\mu_0}^\omega)]_p^{\rho'} \\ &+ \frac{\pi^2}{|k_{\parallel}|} \sum_{sm} \frac{e_s^2}{m_s} F_{Ms}(v_{sm}^\omega) [\alpha_{sm}(v_{sm}^\omega)]_p^{\rho'}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.29)$$

$$\chi_{\zeta_0\mu_0\rho}^{\zeta'\mu'\rho'}(\omega) = \chi_{\zeta_0\mu_0\rho}^{\rho'}(\omega) = -\frac{|k_{\parallel}|\omega^2 m_{\zeta_0}}{c^4 e_{\zeta_0}^2 F_{M_{\zeta_0}}(v_{\zeta_0\mu_0}^{\omega})} [\vartheta(\omega) \circ \alpha_{\zeta_0\mu_0}^{-1}(v_{\zeta_0\mu_0}^{\omega})]_{\rho}^{\rho'}$$

for $(\zeta', \mu') \neq (\zeta_0, \mu_0)$,

(6.30)

$$\chi_{\zeta\mu\rho}^{\zeta_0\mu_0\rho'}(\omega) = -\frac{|k_{\parallel}|\omega^2 m_{\zeta_0}}{c^4 e_{\zeta_0}^2 F_{M_{\zeta_0}}(v_{\zeta_0\mu_0}^{\omega})} [\vartheta(\omega) \circ \alpha_{\zeta\mu}(v_{\zeta\mu}^{\omega}) \circ \alpha_{\zeta_0\mu_0}^{-1}(v_{\zeta_0\mu_0}^{\omega})]_{\rho}^{\rho'}$$

for $(\zeta, \mu) \neq (\zeta_0, \mu_0)$,

(6.31)

and

$$\chi_{\zeta\mu\rho}^{\zeta'\mu'\rho'}(\omega) = \frac{|k_{\parallel}|\omega^2 m_{\zeta}}{c^4 e_{\zeta}^2 F_{M_{\zeta}}(v_{\zeta\mu}^{\omega})} \delta_{\zeta}^{\zeta'} \delta_{\mu}^{\mu'} \delta_{\rho}^{\rho'} + \varepsilon_{\zeta\mu\rho}^{\rho'}(\omega)$$

for $(\zeta', \mu') \neq (\zeta_0, \mu_0)$ and $(\zeta, \mu) \neq (\zeta_0, \mu_0)$,

(6.32)

with

$$\varepsilon_{\zeta\mu\rho}^{\rho'}(\omega) = \frac{|k_{\parallel}|\omega^2 m_{\zeta_0}}{c^4 e_{\zeta_0}^2 F_{M_{\zeta_0}}(v_{\zeta_0\mu_0}^{\omega})} [\alpha_{\zeta\mu}(v_{\zeta\mu}^{\omega}) \circ \alpha_{\zeta_0\mu_0}^{-1}(v_{\zeta_0\mu_0}^{\omega})]_{\rho}^{\rho'}.$$
(6.33)

Then, equations (6.21) and (6.28) yield

$$\sum_{\zeta'\mu'\rho'} \chi_{\zeta\mu\rho}^{\zeta'\mu'\rho'}(\omega) C_{\zeta'\mu'\rho'}(\omega) = \langle \widehat{\Psi}^{\omega, \zeta\mu\rho} | \Psi(v_{\parallel}, 0) \rangle.$$
(6.34)

The matrix of coefficients $\chi_{\zeta\mu\rho}^{\zeta'\mu'\rho'}(\omega)$ is not diagonal (which means that, within the subspaces spanned by eigenfunctions with the same eigenvalue ω , the vectors $\widehat{\Psi}^{\omega, \zeta\mu\rho}$ and $\Psi^{\omega, \zeta'\mu'\rho'}$ are not orthogonal) therefore additional algebra is required to invert (6.34). However, the fact that the vectors $\Psi^{\omega, \zeta\mu\rho}$ form a complete set guarantees that a solution for $C_{\zeta\mu\rho}(\omega)$ exists. Algebraic manipulation of (6.29)–(6.34) leads to an explicit procedure to obtain such a solution and that solution is unique. First, by taking appropriate linear combinations of the equations in the infinite system (6.34), one can derive the following closed, finite system for the two three-component vectors $C_{\rho}(\omega) \equiv C_{\zeta_0\mu_0\rho}(\omega)$ and $K_{\rho}(\omega) \equiv \sum_{(\zeta, \mu) \neq (\zeta_0, \mu_0)} C_{\zeta\mu\rho}(\omega)$:

$$\sum_{\rho'} \chi_{\zeta_0\mu_0\rho}^{\zeta_0\mu_0\rho'} C_{\rho'} + \sum_{\rho'} \chi_{\zeta_0\mu_0\rho}^{\rho'} K_{\rho'} = \langle \widehat{\Psi}^{\omega, \zeta_0\mu_0\rho} | \Psi(v_{\parallel}, 0) \rangle,$$
(6.35)

$$\sum_{\rho'} \left[\sum_{(\zeta, \mu) \neq (\zeta_0, \mu_0)} e_{\zeta}^2 m_{\zeta}^{-1} F_{M_{\zeta}}(v_{\zeta\mu}^{\omega}) \chi_{\zeta\mu\rho}^{\zeta_0\mu_0\rho'} \right] C_{\rho'}$$

$$+ \sum_{\rho'} \left[|k_{\parallel}|\omega^2 c^{-4} \delta_{\rho}^{\rho'} + \sum_{(\zeta, \mu) \neq (\zeta_0, \mu_0)} e_{\zeta}^2 m_{\zeta}^{-1} F_{M_{\zeta}}(v_{\zeta\mu}^{\omega}) \varepsilon_{\zeta\mu\rho}^{\rho'} \right] K_{\rho'}$$

$$= \sum_{(\zeta, \mu) \neq (\zeta_0, \mu_0)} e_{\zeta}^2 m_{\zeta}^{-1} F_{M_{\zeta}}(v_{\zeta\mu}^{\omega}) \langle \widehat{\Psi}^{\omega, \zeta\mu\rho} | \Psi(v_{\parallel}, 0) \rangle.$$
(6.36)

Equation (6.35) is the $(\zeta, \mu) = (\zeta_0, \mu_0)$ block of the system (6.34) and (6.36) is the sum of all the others, each one multiplied by $e_{\zeta}^2 m_{\zeta}^{-1} F_{M_{\zeta}}(v_{\zeta\mu}^{\omega})$. This system of six linear equations for $C_{\rho}(\omega)$ and $K_{\rho}(\omega)$ must always have a solution because the completeness

of the set $\{\Psi^{\omega, \zeta \mu \rho}\}$ guarantees that a solution for $C_{\zeta \mu \rho}(\omega)$ exists. Since the system is finite, its 6×6 determinant must be different from zero and the solution for $C_{\rho}(\omega) \equiv C_{\zeta_0 \mu_0 \rho}(\omega)$ and $K_{\rho}(\omega)$ is unique. Then, equations (6.31)–(6.34) yield the unique, explicit solution for the remaining coefficients $C_{\zeta \mu \rho}(\omega)$ with $(\zeta, \mu) \neq (\zeta_0, \mu_0)$:

$$C_{\zeta \mu \rho}(\omega) = \frac{c^4 e^2 F_{M\zeta}(v_{\zeta \mu}^{\omega})}{|k_{\parallel}| \omega^2 m_{\zeta}} \left[\langle \widehat{\Psi}^{\omega, \zeta \mu \rho} | \Psi(v_{\parallel}, 0) \rangle - \sum_{\rho'} \chi_{\zeta \mu \rho}^{50 \mu_0 \rho'} C_{\rho'}(\omega) - \sum_{\rho'} \varepsilon_{\zeta \mu \rho}^{\rho'} K_{\rho'}(\omega) \right]. \tag{6.37}$$

The results obtained in this section are the most important and novel outcomes of the present work. They offer the first explicit derivation of a complete normal-mode basis for electromagnetic perturbations of general polarization, propagating obliquely to the equilibrium magnetic field in a plasma with multiple dynamical species. This includes the derivation of the corresponding orthogonality relations and the proof of uniqueness for the expansions in such a basis. The key development that has made this progress possible is the 1-D formulation for electromagnetic perturbations of general polarization introduced in §5. The explicit procedure to determine the coefficients $C_{\zeta \mu \rho}(\omega)$ of the expansion of any initial condition in the normal-mode basis is also established. This procedure would be very laborious in general, but it can be programmed. In the particular case of parallel propagation ($k_{\perp} = 0$), the corresponding solution for $C_{\zeta \mu \rho}(\omega)$ becomes rather simple and can be completed in closed form as will be shown in the next section.

7. Applications to parallel propagation direction

The case of wave propagation direction parallel to the equilibrium magnetic field ($k_{\perp} = 0$) is particularly simple because the arguments of the Bessel functions involved in the previous general analysis are then zero. Therefore, the only non-vanishing components of the 1-D distribution function vectors defined by (5.1) and (2.27) are those for which $m = p = +1$, $m = p = -1$ or $m = p = 0$. Besides, the matrices $\kappa_p^{p'}$ (2.22) and $[\alpha_{sm}(v_{\parallel})]_p^{p'}$ (4.15)–(4.20) become diagonal when $k_{\perp} = 0$,

$$\kappa_p^{p'} = \begin{pmatrix} k_{\parallel} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -k_{\parallel} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{7.1}$$

and

$$[\alpha_{sm}(v_{\parallel})]_p^{p'} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_m^{+1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_m^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \delta_m^0 v_{\parallel}^2 / v_{ths}^2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7.2}$$

hence $\vartheta_p^{p'}(\omega)$ (6.8) is also diagonal. As a consequence, the dynamics within each one of the three state vector subspaces characterized by $m = p = +1$, $m = p = -1$ and $m = p = 0$ is decoupled from the other two and can be analysed separately. In each of these subspaces $\alpha_{sm}(v_{\parallel})$ is a scalar, so its inverse can be defined as $\alpha_{sm}^{-1}(v_{\parallel}) = 1$ in the $m = p = \pm 1$ subspaces and $\alpha_{sm}^{-1}(v_{\parallel}) = v_{ths}^2 / v_{\parallel}^2$ in the $m = p = 0$ subspace. With this interpretation, the results of the previous section can also be applied to $k_{\perp} = 0$. The next subsections will investigate these three independent polarizations

of the parallel-propagating waves. The state vectors in the $m = p = 0$ subspace are electrostatic waves with longitudinal electric field polarization, whereas the state vectors in the $m = p = \pm 1$ subspaces are electromagnetic waves with transverse, circular polarization. The normal-mode solutions for such waves propagating parallel to the equilibrium magnetic field (or equivalently for waves in an unmagnetized equilibrium) are well known (Van Kampen 1955; Pradhan 1957; Case 1959; Felderhof 1963*a,b*), but the approach presented here is new because it is developed as a special limit of the new solution for oblique propagation derived in the previous section. This helps validate the rather heavy formalism that was necessary to solve the oblique propagation problem. In addition, the analysis here includes the generalization to multiple dynamical species, whereas the earlier literature considered just a simplified model with immobile ions and with the electrons as the only dynamical species.

7.1. Electrostatic waves with longitudinal polarization

The only non-vanishing components of the state vectors that represent these perturbations are those for which $m = p = 0$, so the notation will be simplified by dropping these indices with the understanding that they take the $m = p = 0$ value. Likewise, the normal-mode basis for this subspace of state vectors includes only the eigenfunctions with $\mu = \rho = 0$ and these indices will also be dropped here. Thus, the basis of normal modes is $\{\Psi^{\omega,\varsigma}\}$ with eigenfunctions labelled by their eigenvalue ω and their species index ς . With the choice $(\varsigma_0, \mu_0) = (e, 0)$ and employing the scalar forms $\kappa = 0$ and $\alpha_s(v_{\parallel}) = v_{\parallel}^2/v_{ths}^2$, these modes are the correspondingly reduced versions of (6.12), (6.13), (6.16), (6.17). The electron modes are

$$\Psi^{\omega,e}(v_{\parallel}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \Phi_s^{\omega,e}(v_{\parallel}) \end{pmatrix} \tag{7.3}$$

and their distribution function components are

$$\Phi_s^{\omega,e}(v_{\parallel}) = \frac{ie_s}{T_{s0}} \mathcal{P} \frac{F_{Ms}(v_{\parallel}) v_{\parallel}^2}{\omega - k_{\parallel} v_{\parallel}} + \frac{i\omega}{c^2 e_s} \delta_s^e \vartheta(\omega) \delta(v_{\parallel} - \omega/k_{\parallel}). \tag{7.4}$$

The ion modes are the ballistic modes

$$\Psi^{\omega,i}(v_{\parallel}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{i\omega}{c^2 e_s} (\delta_s^i - \delta_s^e) \delta(v_{\parallel} - \omega/k_{\parallel}) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7.5}$$

In (7.4), the function $\vartheta(\omega)$ is the reduced version of (6.8)

$$\vartheta(\omega) = 1 - \sum_s \frac{\omega_{Ps}^2}{\omega n_{s0} v_{ths}^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_{\parallel} \mathcal{P} \frac{F_{Ms}(v_{\parallel}) v_{\parallel}^2}{\omega - k_{\parallel} v_{\parallel}}, \tag{7.6}$$

which, defining the normalized frequency

$$\hat{\omega}_s \equiv \frac{\omega}{2^{1/2} k_{\parallel} v_{ths}} \tag{7.7}$$

and recalling that, for real $\hat{\omega}_s$, the real part of the plasma dispersion function is

$$Z_R(\hat{\omega}_s) = \pi^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\hat{v} \mathcal{P} \frac{\exp(-\hat{v}^2)}{\hat{v} - \hat{\omega}_s}, \tag{7.8}$$

can be expressed as

$$\vartheta(\omega) = 1 + \sum_s \frac{\omega_{Ps}^2}{k_{\parallel}^2 v_{ths}^2} [1 + \hat{\omega}_s Z_R(\hat{\omega}_s)]. \tag{7.9}$$

It is a worthy exercise to evaluate the macroscopic moments of these normal modes. For the electron modes, their parallel current moments are given by

$$j_{s\parallel}[\Psi^{\omega,e}] = e_s \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_{\parallel} \Phi_s^{\omega,e}(v_{\parallel}), \tag{7.10}$$

which, using the expression (7.4) for the distribution functions, yields

$$j_{s\parallel}[\Psi^{\omega,e}] = -\frac{i\omega}{c^2} \frac{\omega_{Ps}^2}{k_{\parallel}^2 v_{ths}^2} [1 + \hat{\omega}_s Z_R(\hat{\omega}_s)] + \frac{i\omega}{c^2} \delta_s^e \vartheta(\omega). \tag{7.11}$$

Now, taking into account (7.9), the total parallel current is

$$\sum_s j_{s\parallel}[\Psi^{\omega,e}] = \frac{i\omega}{c^2}, \tag{7.12}$$

consistent with Maxwell’s equation (2.4), given that these electron modes (7.3) have unit parallel electric field and zero magnetic field. The charge density moments of these modes

$$\varrho_s[\Psi^{\omega,e}] = e_s \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_{\parallel} \frac{\Phi_s^{\omega,e}(v_{\parallel})}{v_{\parallel}} \tag{7.13}$$

are also verified to be $\varrho_s[\Psi^{\omega,e}] = k_{\parallel} \omega^{-1} j_{s\parallel}[\Psi^{\omega,e}]$, consistent with the continuity equation (2.7) and Gauss’ law (2.3). The parallel current moments of the ion modes yield

$$j_{s\parallel}[\Psi^{\omega,i}] = \frac{i\omega}{c^2} (\delta_s^i - \delta_s^e) \tag{7.14}$$

hence $\sum_s j_{s\parallel}[\Psi^{\omega,i}] = 0$, consistent with these ballistic ion modes having zero electromagnetic fields (7.5). The charge density moments of the ion modes also yield their continuity equations.

The set of adjoint eigenfunctions $\{\hat{\Psi}^{\omega,\varsigma}\}$ is given by the reduced versions of (6.24)–(6.27),

$$\hat{\Psi}^{\omega,e}(v_{\parallel}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \hat{\Phi}_s^{\omega,e}(v_{\parallel}) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7.15}$$

with

$$\widehat{\Phi}_s^{\omega,e}(v_{\parallel}) = \frac{ie_s}{m_s} \mathcal{P} \frac{F_{Ms}(v_{\parallel})}{\omega - k_{\parallel} v_{\parallel}} + \frac{ik_{\parallel}^2 v_{the}^2}{c^2 e_s \omega} \delta_s^e \vartheta(\omega) \delta(v_{\parallel} - \omega/k_{\parallel}), \tag{7.16}$$

and

$$\widehat{\Psi}^{\omega,i}(v_{\parallel}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{i\omega}{c^2 e_s} \left(\delta_s^i - \delta_s^e \frac{v_{the}^2}{v_{thi}^2} \right) \delta(v_{\parallel} - \omega/k_{\parallel}) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7.17}$$

These modes satisfy the orthogonality relations

$$\langle \widehat{\Psi}^{\omega,\zeta} | \Psi^{\omega',\zeta'} \rangle = \chi_{\zeta'}^{\omega}(\omega) \delta(\omega - \omega'), \tag{7.18}$$

where the coefficients $\chi_{\zeta'}^{\omega}(\omega)$ are

$$\chi_e^e(\omega) = \frac{|k_{\parallel}|^3 T_{e0} \vartheta^2(\omega)}{c^4 e^2 F_{Me}(\omega/k_{\parallel})} + \frac{\pi^2 \omega^2}{|k_{\parallel}|^3} \sum_s \frac{e_s^2}{T_{s0}} F_{Ms}(\omega/k_{\parallel}), \tag{7.19}$$

$$\chi_e^i(\omega) = -\frac{|k_{\parallel}|^3 T_{e0} \vartheta(\omega)}{c^4 e^2 F_{Me}(\omega/k_{\parallel})}, \tag{7.20}$$

$$\chi_i^e(\omega) = -\frac{|k_{\parallel}| \omega^2 T_{e0} \vartheta(\omega)}{c^4 e^2 v_{thi}^2 F_{Me}(\omega/k_{\parallel})}, \tag{7.21}$$

$$\chi_i^i(\omega) = \frac{|k_{\parallel}| \omega^2 m_i}{c^4 e_i^2 F_{Mi}(\omega/k_{\parallel})} \delta_i^i + \frac{|k_{\parallel}| \omega^2 T_{e0}}{c^4 e^2 v_{thi}^2 F_{Me}(\omega/k_{\parallel})}. \tag{7.22}$$

The set of normal modes $\{\Psi^{\omega,\zeta}\}$ is a complete basis for the subspace of electrostatic waves with longitudinal polarization under consideration. Any normalizable initial condition $\Psi(v_{\parallel}, 0)$ in this subspace can be expanded as

$$\Psi(v_{\parallel}, 0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \sum_{\zeta} C_{\zeta}(\omega) \Psi^{\omega,\zeta}(v_{\parallel}) \tag{7.23}$$

and the scalar products with the set of adjoint eigenfunctions yield

$$\sum_{\zeta'} \chi_{\zeta'}^{\omega}(\omega) C_{\zeta'}(\omega) = \langle \widehat{\Psi}^{\omega,\zeta} | \Psi(v_{\parallel}, 0) \rangle. \tag{7.24}$$

It will now be shown explicitly that this system is invertible, leading to the unique solution in closed form for the coefficients $C_{\zeta}(\omega)$, given the initial condition $\Psi(v_{\parallel}, 0)$. Following the procedure used in the general analysis (6.35)–(6.37) of the previous section and taking appropriate linear combinations in (7.24), this can be rearranged as the following equivalent system:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \chi_e^e & \chi_e^i \\ \eta_e & \eta_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C_e \\ \left(\sum_i C_i \right) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \widehat{\Psi}^{\omega,e} | \Psi(v_{\parallel}, 0) \rangle \\ \left(\sum_i e_i^2 m_i^{-1} \omega^{-2} F_{Mi}(\omega/k_{\parallel}) \langle \widehat{\Psi}^{\omega,i} | \Psi(v_{\parallel}, 0) \rangle \right) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7.25}$$

$$C_i = \frac{c^4 e_i^2 F_{M_i}(\omega/k_{\parallel})}{|k_{\parallel}| m_i \omega^2} [\langle \widehat{\Psi}^{\omega, i} | \Psi(v_{\parallel}, 0) \rangle - \chi_i^e C_e] - \frac{e_i^2 T_{e0} F_{M_i}(\omega/k_{\parallel})}{e^2 T_{i0} F_{M_e}(\omega/k_{\parallel})} \left(\sum_{i'} C_{i'} \right), \quad (7.26)$$

where

$$\eta_e = \sum_i \frac{e_i^2}{m_i \omega^2} F_{M_i}(\omega/k_{\parallel}) \chi_i^e \quad (7.27)$$

and

$$\eta_I = \frac{|k_{\parallel}|}{c^4} \left[1 + \sum_i \frac{e_i^2 T_{e0} F_{M_i}(\omega/k_{\parallel})}{e^2 T_{i0} F_{M_e}(\omega/k_{\parallel})} \right]. \quad (7.28)$$

The determinant of the 2×2 subsystem (7.25) is

$$\chi_e^e \eta_I - \chi_e^i \eta_e = \frac{k_{\parallel}^4 T_{e0}}{c^8 e^2 F_{M_e}(\omega/k_{\parallel})} \Delta(\omega), \quad (7.29)$$

where

$$\Delta(\omega) = \vartheta^2(\omega) + \left[\sum_s \frac{\pi \omega \omega_{P_s}^2 F_{M_s}(\omega/k_{\parallel})}{k_{\parallel}^3 v_{ths}^2 n_{s0}} \right]^2. \quad (7.30)$$

Since $\vartheta(0) = 1 + \sum_s \omega_{P_s}^2 / (k_{\parallel}^2 v_{ths}^2)$, this determinant is never zero for real ω and the system (7.25), (7.26) has a unique solution as expected. With the available expression for the determinant (7.29), (7.30), this solution can be written in closed form.

The imaginary part of the plasma dispersion function for real $\hat{\omega}_s$ is

$$Z_I(\hat{\omega}_s) = \pi^{1/2} \exp(-\hat{\omega}_s^2) = \frac{2^{1/2} \pi v_{ths}}{n_{s0}} F_{M_s}(\omega/k_{\parallel}). \quad (7.31)$$

Therefore, one can write

$$\Delta(\omega) = \vartheta^2(\omega) + \left[\sum_s \frac{\omega_{P_s}^2}{k_{\parallel}^2 v_{ths}^2} \hat{\omega}_s Z_I(\hat{\omega}_s) \right]^2 \quad (7.32)$$

and, recalling the expression (7.9) for $\vartheta(\omega)$,

$$\Delta(\omega) = D_R^2(\omega) + D_I^2(\omega), \quad (7.33)$$

where

$$D(\omega) = D_R(\omega) + iD_I(\omega) = 1 + \sum_s \frac{\omega_{P_s}^2}{k_{\parallel}^2 v_{ths}^2} [1 + \hat{\omega}_s Z(\hat{\omega}_s)], \quad (7.34)$$

with $Z(\hat{\omega}_s) = Z_R(\hat{\omega}_s) + iZ_I(\hat{\omega}_s)$ being the full, complex plasma dispersion function of real argument. The condition $D(\omega + i\gamma) = 0$ is the ‘effective dispersion relation’ derived following Landau’s Laplace transform approach (with $\gamma < 0$ as the Landau damping rate for $t > 0$) as shown, for instance, in the textbooks quoted in the

introduction. In the present approach, however, the argument of D is always real and this function never vanishes. The connection with the Landau method result will be made clear shortly, when an appropriately defined class of ‘standard initial conditions’ is considered.

Given the representation (7.23) of the initial condition $\Psi(v_{\parallel}, 0)$ as a superposition of normal modes, the dynamical solution of the corresponding initial-value problem is

$$\Psi(v_{\parallel}, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \sum_{\zeta} C_{\zeta}(\omega) \Psi^{\omega, \zeta}(v_{\parallel}) e^{-i\omega t}. \tag{7.35}$$

The solution for the macroscopic variables is then easily written down, using the explicit forms of the normal-mode eigenfunctions (7.3)–(7.5) and their moments (7.11), (7.14). Thus, the electric field is (Van Kampen 1955; Case 1959)

$$E_{\parallel}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega C_e(\omega) e^{-i\omega t}, \tag{7.36}$$

the electron current is

$$j_{e\parallel}(t) = \frac{i}{c^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \omega \left\{ C_e(\omega) \left(1 + \sum_i \frac{\omega_{Pi}^2}{k_{\parallel}^2 v_{thi}^2} [1 + \hat{\omega}_i Z_R(\hat{\omega}_i)] \right) - \sum_i C_i(\omega) \right\} e^{-i\omega t} \tag{7.37}$$

and the ion currents are

$$j_{i\parallel}(t) = \frac{i}{c^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \omega \left\{ C_i(\omega) - C_e(\omega) \frac{\omega_{Pi}^2}{k_{\parallel}^2 v_{thi}^2} [1 + \hat{\omega}_i Z_R(\hat{\omega}_i)] \right\} e^{-i\omega t}. \tag{7.38}$$

Then, the total current is

$$\sum_s j_{s\parallel}(t) = j_{e\parallel}(t) + \sum_i j_{i\parallel}(t) = \frac{i}{c^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \omega C_e(\omega) e^{-i\omega t} = -\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial E_{\parallel}(t)}{\partial t} \tag{7.39}$$

in agreement with Maxwell’s equation. For sufficiently regular $C_{\zeta}(\omega)$ such that the Riemann–Lebesgue lemma applies, the functions of time (7.36)–(7.39) decay to zero as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$. This demonstrates the Landau damping of the macroscopic variables as the consequence of the superposition of a continuum of spectral components with rapidly varying phases. In addition, equations (7.36)–(7.39) show that the initial condition coefficients $C_{\zeta}(\omega)$ are directly related to the Fourier transforms of the time-dependent macroscopic variables and can be deduced explicitly from the time dependence of those variables,

$$C_e(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt E_{\parallel}(t) e^{i\omega t}, \tag{7.40}$$

$$C_i(\omega) = \frac{\omega_{Pi}^2}{k_{\parallel}^2 v_{thi}^2} [1 + \hat{\omega}_i Z_R(\hat{\omega}_i)] C_e(\omega) + \frac{c^2}{2\pi i \omega} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt j_{i\parallel}(t) e^{i\omega t}. \tag{7.41}$$

So, there is an invertible correspondence between the initial condition $\Psi(v_{\parallel}, 0)$ and the time-dependent macroscopic variables whose Fourier transforms exist and are such

that the coefficients $C_\zeta(\omega)$, defined by (7.40), (7.41) result in a convergent integral when substituted in (7.23).

The solution of the system (7.25), (7.26) for the coefficients $C_\zeta(\omega)$, given an initial condition $\Psi(v_\parallel, 0)$, involves division by the determinant (7.29). So, barring special cancellations, $C_\zeta(\omega)$ would be inversely proportional to $\Delta(\omega)$. Initial conditions that yield $C_\zeta(\omega)$ coefficients inversely proportional to $\Delta(\omega)$ will be called ‘standard initial conditions’. On the other hand, initial condition coefficients $C_\zeta(\omega)$ that are not inversely proportional to $\Delta(\omega)$ are also possible and these will be called ‘special initial conditions’. Indeed, provided they produce a physically valid state vector when substituted in (7.23), the coefficients $C_\zeta(\omega)$ themselves can be considered as the definition of the initial condition and, as such, they can be specified arbitrarily or be related to an arbitrary time dependence of the macroscopic variables through the relations (7.40), (7.41). In what follows, both ‘standard’ and ‘special’ initial conditions will be examined.

For standard initial conditions such that $C_\zeta(\omega) \propto \Delta^{-1}(\omega) = [D_R^2(\omega) + D_I^2(\omega)]^{-1}$, a generic macroscopic variable $q(t)$ will have the form

$$q(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \frac{Q(\omega)}{D_R^2(\omega) + D_I^2(\omega)} e^{-i\omega t}. \tag{7.42}$$

Then, the Fourier transform of $q(t)$ can have sharp peaks if the above denominator (which is always positive) becomes close to zero for narrow frequency intervals. Given the expression (7.34) for $D_R(\omega)$ and $D_I(\omega)$, this can happen around particular values $\omega = \omega_r$ such that $D_R(\omega_r) = 0$ and $D_I(\omega_r) \ll 1$. These weakly damped resonances with well defined oscillation frequencies ω_r would dominate the long-time behaviour of $q(t)$, whose Fourier transform could be approximated by the sum of the corresponding resonant functions,

$$q(t) \simeq \sum_r \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \frac{Q(\omega_r)}{D_R'^2(\omega_r) [(\omega - \omega_r)^2 + \gamma_r^2]} e^{-i\omega t}, \tag{7.43}$$

where

$$\gamma_r^2 = \left[\frac{D_I(\omega_r)}{D_R'(\omega_r)} \right]^2 \tag{7.44}$$

and D_R' is the derivative of D_R with respect to ω . Carrying out the Fourier transform integral, this yields

$$q(t) \simeq \pi \sum_r \frac{Q(\omega_r)}{D_R'^2(\omega_r) |\gamma_r|} e^{-i\omega_r t - |\gamma_r| |t|}. \tag{7.45}$$

This time dependence agrees with the effective complex frequency that would be obtained by solving the Landau method effective dispersion relation $D(\omega + i\gamma) = 0$, which is applicable to $t > 0$ and would have roots with small imaginary part, $\omega \simeq \omega_r$, $\gamma \simeq -D_I(\omega_r)/D_R'(\omega_r) < 0$. However, with the present approach (7.45) is applicable both to positive and negative times and shows the exponential decay as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$, consistent with the time-reversal invariance of the collisionless Vlasov–Maxwell model. With the $D(\omega)$ expression (7.34), derived here for the parallel-propagating electrostatic perturbations using the normal-mode method, one obtains the familiar

formulas for the frequencies and weak damping rates of the electron-Langmuir and ion-acoustic waves.

As an illustrative example of special initial conditions, a solution of the inverse problem of finding the distribution function initial conditions for a specified time variation of the macroscopic variables will be worked out here, with a non-standard choice of such functions of time. To this effect, consider the choice

$$\frac{E_{\parallel}(t)}{E_{\parallel}(0)} = \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2\tau^2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad j_{\parallel}(t) = 0, \tag{7.46a,b}$$

where τ is an arbitrary time constant. This non-oscillatory, Gaussian decay of the electric field is not of the kind predicted by the effective dispersion relation of the Laplace transform method or by the present (7.45) applicable to standard initial conditions. Bringing (7.46) to (7.40), (7.41) and carrying out the Fourier transform of $E_{\parallel}(t)$, one obtains

$$C_e(\omega) = \frac{E_{\parallel}(0)\tau}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\omega^2\tau^2}{2}\right) \tag{7.47}$$

and

$$C_i(\omega) = \frac{E_{\parallel}(0)\tau\omega_{pe}^2}{(2\pi)^{1/2}k_{\parallel}^2v_{the}^2} \exp\left(-\frac{\omega^2\tau^2}{2}\right) W(\hat{\omega}_i^2), \tag{7.48}$$

where the function W , defined as $W(x) \equiv 1 + x^{1/2}Z_R(x^{1/2})$, has been introduced. These coefficients $C_e(\omega)$ and $C_i(\omega)$ are regular functions of ω but are not inversely proportional to $\Delta(\omega)$, as expected from the non-standard nature of $E_{\parallel}(t)$. The components of the initial condition representation (7.23) are

$$E_{\parallel}(0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega C_e(\omega) \tag{7.49}$$

and

$$\Phi_s(v_{\parallel}, 0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \left[C_e(\omega) \Phi_s^{\omega,e}(v_{\parallel}) + \sum_i C_i(\omega) \Phi_s^{\omega,i}(v_{\parallel}) \right], \tag{7.50}$$

with the functions $\Phi_s^{\omega,s}(v_{\parallel})$ given in (7.4), (7.5). Substituting (7.47), (7.48) and carrying out the integral over ω , equation (7.49) is satisfied identically and (7.50) yields the sought after initial condition for the distribution functions of the different species,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_e(v_{\parallel}, 0) = & \frac{iE_{\parallel}(0)k_{\parallel}v_{\parallel}}{(2\pi)^{1/2}e_e c^2} \left\{ |k_{\parallel}|\tau \exp\left(-\frac{k_{\parallel}^2 v_{\parallel}^2 \tau^2}{2}\right) \left[1 + \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{k_{\parallel}^2 v_{the}^2} W\left(\frac{v_{\parallel}^2}{2v_{the}^2}\right) \right] \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{k_{\parallel}^2 v_{the}^3} \exp\left(-\frac{v_{\parallel}^2}{2v_{the}^2}\right) \left[W\left(\frac{k_{\parallel}^2 v_{\parallel}^2 \tau^2}{2}\right) - 1 \right] \right\}, \tag{7.51} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_i(v_{\parallel}, 0) = & \frac{iE_{\parallel}(0)\omega_{Pi}^2 v_{\parallel}}{(2\pi)^{1/2} e_i c^2 k_{\parallel} v_{the}^2} \left\{ |k_{\parallel}| \tau \exp\left(-\frac{k_{\parallel}^2 v_{\parallel}^2 \tau^2}{2}\right) W\left(\frac{v_{\parallel}^2}{2v_{the}^2}\right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{v_{the}} \exp\left(-\frac{v_{\parallel}^2}{2v_{the}^2}\right) \left[W\left(\frac{k_{\parallel}^2 v_{\parallel}^2 \tau^2}{2}\right) - 1 \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.52}$$

These are smooth, well-behaved functions of v_{\parallel} . However, even though they are differentiable functions of the real variable v_{\parallel} , they are not analytic and cannot be continued analytically into the complex v_{\parallel} -plane because the function W (defined in terms of the real part of the plasma dispersion function) is not analytic. Therefore, the standard derivation of the effective dispersion relation with the Laplace transform method is not applicable to these initial conditions. For large values of the time constant τ , they approach the singular limits

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_e(v_{\parallel}, 0) = \frac{iE_{\parallel}(0)k_{\parallel} v_{\parallel}}{e_e c^2} \left[\left(1 + \frac{\omega_{Pe}^2}{k_{\parallel}^2 v_{the}^2}\right) \delta(v_{\parallel}) - \frac{\omega_{Pe}^2}{(2\pi)^{1/2} k_{\parallel}^2 v_{the}^3} \exp\left(-\frac{v_{\parallel}^2}{2v_{the}^2}\right) \right] \tag{7.53}$$

and

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_i(v_{\parallel}, 0) = \frac{iE_{\parallel}(0)\omega_{Pi}^2 v_{\parallel}}{e_i c^2 v_{the}^2 k_{\parallel}} \left[\delta(v_{\parallel}) - \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2} v_{the}} \exp\left(-\frac{v_{\parallel}^2}{2v_{the}^2}\right) \right]. \tag{7.54}$$

As a last exercise, one can evaluate the charge density moments of these initial distribution functions,

$$\varrho_s(0) = e_s \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_{\parallel} \frac{\Phi_s(v_{\parallel}, 0)}{v_{\parallel}}, \tag{7.55}$$

to obtain $\varrho_e(0) = iE_{\parallel}(0)k_{\parallel}/c^2$ and $\varrho_i(0) = 0$, consistent with Gauss' law (2.3).

The property that the detailed information on the velocity-space structure of the initial distribution functions is encoded in the details of the time evolution of their macroscopic moments and can be retrieved from the latter, holds only because the collisionless Vlasov–Maxwell model is strictly time-reversal invariant and does not create entropy. That property was first demonstrated in the original papers of Van Kampen (1955) and Case (1959) for electron–Langmuir waves with immobile ions, that showed how the electric field $E_{\parallel}(t)$ is proportional to the Fourier transform of the electron coefficient $C_e(\omega)$. The present study has generalized this result to multiple dynamical ion species, deriving in addition the corresponding formula (7.41) for the ion coefficients $C_i(\omega)$. For consistency with the results of Landau (1946), initial distribution functions that would yield macroscopic time dependences different from those given by the standard roots of Landau's effective dispersion relation must not be analytic functions of the velocity. The example above (7.51)–(7.52) for a Gaussian time dependence of the electric field verifies this requirement. Other non-analytic initial distribution functions that yield non-standard macroscopic time variations have been shown in the literature. In particular, an exponential dependence with a complex frequency different from Landau's root is also predicted in the framework of the Laplace transform method, if the initial condition is adjusted such that the residues

at Landau’s poles are zero and instead other poles are placed at will. The work of Belmont *et al.* (2008) constructed this type of initial conditions and showed the non-Landau exponential time dependence in detailed numerical simulations. With yet another non-analytic choice of initial condition, Weitzner (1963) obtained an electric field damping proportional to t^{-3} . Weitzner’s paper raised also the issue that the analyticity condition on the initial distribution function, which is needed to obtain Landau’s standard frequency and damping rate following the Laplace transform method, seemed much too restrictive and unphysical. The present normal-mode analysis provides an answer to this puzzle, showing that the standard Landau time dependence is obtained (7.45) with the much broader class of initial distribution functions that conform to the definition of ‘standard initial conditions’ proposed earlier in this section and do not necessarily have to be analytic.

7.2. Electromagnetic waves with transverse circular polarization

The state vectors that represent these perturbations have non-vanishing components only for $m = p = \pm 1$, hence they are transverse electromagnetic waves with $\mathbf{k} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{B}} = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0$. The modes with $m = p = +1$ have left-handed circular polarization and the modes with $m = p = -1$ have right-handed circular polarization. The presentation here will refer to the left-handed polarization case and straightforward sign changes give the corresponding results for right-handed polarization. The analysis is identical to the previous one for longitudinal electrostatic modes, only changing the settings to $m = p = +1$, $\kappa = k_{\parallel}$ and $\alpha_{sm}(v_{\parallel}) = 1$, so just a listing of the results will be given. As in the previous subsection, the notation will be simplified by dropping the m, p, μ, ρ indices with the understanding that they all take the +1 value here.

With the choice $(\zeta_0, \mu_0) = (e, +1)$ the basis of normal modes $\{\Psi^{\omega, \zeta}\}$ consists of the electron modes

$$\Psi^{\omega, e}(v_{\parallel}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ ik_{\parallel}/\omega \\ \Phi_s^{\omega, e}(v_{\parallel}) \end{pmatrix} \tag{7.56}$$

whose distribution function components are

$$\Phi_s^{\omega, e}(v_{\parallel}) = \frac{ie_s}{m_s} \mathcal{P} \frac{F_{Ms}(v_{\parallel})}{\omega - \Omega_s - k_{\parallel}v_{\parallel}} + \frac{i\omega}{c^2 e_s} \delta_s^e \vartheta(\omega) \delta(v_{\parallel} - v_s^{\omega}), \tag{7.57}$$

and the ballistic ion modes

$$\Psi^{\omega, i}(v_{\parallel}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{i\omega}{c^2 e_s} (\delta_s^i - \delta_s^e) \delta(v_{\parallel} - v_s^{\omega}) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7.58}$$

where $v_s^{\omega} = (\omega - \Omega_s)/k_{\parallel}$. The function $\vartheta(\omega)$ in (7.57) is now

$$\vartheta(\omega) = 1 - \frac{c^2 k_{\parallel}^2}{\omega^2} - \sum_s \frac{\omega_{Ps}^2}{\omega n_{s0}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_{\parallel} \mathcal{P} \frac{F_{Ms}(v_{\parallel})}{\omega - \Omega_s - k_{\parallel}v_{\parallel}}, \tag{7.59}$$

or

$$\vartheta(\omega) = 1 - \frac{c^2 k_{\parallel}^2}{\omega^2} + \sum_s \frac{\omega_{Ps}^2}{2k_{\parallel}^2 v_{ths}^2 \hat{\omega}_s} Z_R(\hat{\omega}_s - \hat{\Omega}_s), \tag{7.60}$$

where $\hat{\omega}_s$ is as defined in (7.7) and $\hat{\Omega}_s \equiv \Omega_s / (2^{1/2} k_{\parallel} v_{ths})$.

The transverse current moments of these normal modes are given by

$$j_{s+}[\Psi^{\omega,s}] = e_s \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_{\parallel} \Phi_s^{\omega,s}(v_{\parallel}) \tag{7.61}$$

which, for the electron modes, yields

$$j_{s+}[\Psi^{\omega,e}] = -\frac{i\omega_{Ps}^2}{2^{1/2}c^2k_{\parallel}v_{ths}} Z_R(\hat{\omega}_s - \hat{\Omega}_s) + \frac{i\omega}{c^2} \delta_s^e \vartheta(\omega) \tag{7.62}$$

hence

$$\sum_s j_{s+}[\Psi^{\omega,e}] = \frac{i\omega}{c^2} - \frac{ik_{\parallel}^2}{\omega}, \tag{7.63}$$

consistent with Maxwell’s equation (2.4) since the electric and magnetic fields in these electron modes (7.56) are 1 and ik_{\parallel}/ω , respectively. For the ion modes,

$$j_{s+}[\Psi^{\omega,i}] = \frac{i\omega}{c^2} (\delta_s^i - \delta_s^e) \tag{7.64}$$

and $\sum_s j_{s+}[\Psi^{\omega,i}] = 0$, consistent with the electromagnetic fields being zero in the ion modes. The charge density moments of all the modes are zero, consistent with the Gauss and continuity equations for these transverse waves with $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{j}_s = 0$.

The adjoint eigenfunctions are here $\hat{\Psi}^{\omega,s} = \Psi^{\omega,s}$ because, with $\alpha_{sm} = 1$, the operator \mathcal{G} is self-adjoint in the $m = p = +1$ subspace. Then, for the normal-mode expansion of an initial condition,

$$\Psi(v_{\parallel}, 0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \sum_s C_s(\omega) \Psi^{\omega,s}(v_{\parallel}), \tag{7.65}$$

its coefficients $C_s(\omega)$ satisfy

$$\sum_{s'} \chi_s^{s'}(\omega) C_{s'}(\omega) = \langle \Psi^{\omega,s} | \Psi(v_{\parallel}, 0) \rangle, \tag{7.66}$$

where

$$\chi_e^e(\omega) = \frac{|k_{\parallel}| \omega^2 m_e \vartheta^2(\omega)}{c^4 e^2 F_{Me}(v_e^{\omega})} + \frac{\pi^2}{|k_{\parallel}|} \sum_s \frac{e_s^2}{m_s} F_{Ms}(v_s^{\omega}), \tag{7.67}$$

$$\chi_e^i(\omega) = \chi_i^e(\omega) = -\frac{|k_{\parallel}| \omega^2 m_e \vartheta(\omega)}{c^4 e^2 F_{Me}(v_e^{\omega})}, \tag{7.68}$$

$$\chi_i^i(\omega) = \frac{|k_{\parallel}| \omega^2 m_i}{c^4 e_i^2 F_{Mi}(v_i^{\omega})} \delta_i^{i'} + \frac{|k_{\parallel}| \omega^2 m_e}{c^4 e^2 F_{Me}(v_e^{\omega})}. \tag{7.69}$$

The system (7.66) can be rearranged as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \chi_e^e & \chi_e^i \\ \chi_e^e & \chi_i^i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C_e \\ \left(\sum_i C_i \right) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \Psi^{\omega,e} | \Psi(v_{\parallel}, 0) \rangle \\ \left(\sum_i e_i^2 m_i^{-1} F_{Mi}(v_i^{\omega}) \langle \Psi^{\omega,i} | \Psi(v_{\parallel}, 0) \rangle \right) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7.70}$$

$$C_i = \frac{c^4 e_i^2 F_{M_i}(v_i^\omega)}{|k_\parallel| m_i \omega^2} [\langle \Psi^{\omega, i} | \Psi(v_\parallel, 0) \rangle - \chi_i^e C_e] - \frac{e_i^2 m_e F_{M_i}(v_i^\omega)}{e^2 m_i F_{M_e}(v_e^\omega)} \left(\sum_{i'} C_{i'} \right), \quad (7.71)$$

where

$$\eta_e = \sum_i \frac{e_i^2}{m_i} F_{M_i}(v_i^\omega) \chi_i^e \quad (7.72)$$

and

$$\eta_I = \frac{|k_\parallel| \omega^2}{c^4} \left[1 + \sum_i \frac{e_i^2 m_e F_{M_i}(v_i^\omega)}{e^2 m_i F_{M_e}(v_e^\omega)} \right]. \quad (7.73)$$

The solution for $C_\zeta(\omega)$ exists always and is unique because the determinant of the 2×2 subsystem (7.70) is greater than zero,

$$\chi_e^e \eta_I - \chi_e^I \eta_e = \frac{k_\parallel^2 m_e}{c^8 e^2 F_{M_e}(v_e^\omega)} \Delta(\omega), \quad (7.74)$$

where, as in the electrostatic wave case,

$$\Delta(\omega) = D_R^2(\omega) + D_I^2(\omega), \quad (7.75)$$

with the complex $D(\omega)$ function being now

$$D(\omega) = D_R(\omega) + iD_I(\omega) = \omega^2 - c^2 k_\parallel^2 + \sum_s \omega_{p_s}^2 \hat{\omega}_s Z(\hat{\omega}_s - \hat{\Omega}_s). \quad (7.76)$$

This $D(\omega)$, derived here following the normal-mode method, coincides again with that of the effective dispersion relation derived with Landau's Laplace transform method for the transverse electromagnetic waves as shown, for instance, in the textbooks quoted in the introduction. The arguments given in the electrostatic wave subsection on the equivalence of normal-mode-based and Laplace-transform-based results for standard initial conditions can be repeated here. Equally applicable is the discussion concerning the different results that pertain the special initial conditions.

The solution of the initial-value problem with initial condition represented by the normal-mode expansion (7.65) is

$$\Psi(v_\parallel, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \sum_\zeta C_\zeta(\omega) \Psi^{\omega, \zeta}(v_\parallel) e^{-i\omega t} \quad (7.77)$$

and the solution for the macroscopic variables follows from the explicit forms of the normal-mode eigenfunctions (7.56)–(7.58) and their moments (7.62), (7.64). Thus, the electric field is (Felderhof 1963a)

$$E_+(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega C_e(\omega) e^{-i\omega t} \quad (7.78)$$

and the magnetic field is

$$\tilde{B}_+(t) = ik_\parallel \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \frac{C_e(\omega)}{\omega} e^{-i\omega t} \quad (7.79)$$

so that $\partial \tilde{B}_+ / \partial t = k_{\parallel} E_+$, in agreement with Faraday’s law (2.6). The electron current is

$$j_{e+}(t) = \frac{i}{c^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \omega \left\{ C_e(\omega) \left[1 - \frac{c^2 k_{\parallel}^2}{\omega^2} + \sum_l \frac{\omega_{Pl}^2}{2k_{\parallel}^2 v_{thl}^2 \hat{\omega}_l} Z_R(\hat{\omega}_l - \hat{\Omega}_l) \right] - \sum_l C_l(\omega) \right\} e^{-i\omega t} \tag{7.80}$$

and the ion currents are

$$j_{l+}(t) = \frac{i}{c^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \omega \left[C_l(\omega) - C_e(\omega) \frac{\omega_{Pl}^2}{2k_{\parallel}^2 v_{thl}^2 \hat{\omega}_l} Z_R(\hat{\omega}_l - \hat{\Omega}_l) \right] e^{-i\omega t}. \tag{7.81}$$

Then, the total current is

$$\sum_s j_{s+}(t) = j_{e+}(t) + \sum_l j_{l+}(t) = \frac{i}{c^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \omega C_e(\omega) \left(1 - \frac{c^2 k_{\parallel}^2}{\omega^2} \right) e^{-i\omega t} \tag{7.82}$$

so that $\sum_s j_{s+} = -c^{-2} \partial E_+ / \partial t - k_{\parallel} \tilde{B}_+$, in agreement with Maxwell’s equation (2.4). Finally, the explicit relations between the initial condition coefficients $C_s(\omega)$ and the Fourier transforms of the time-dependent macroscopic variables are

$$C_e(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt E_+(t) e^{i\omega t} \tag{7.83}$$

and

$$C_l(\omega) = \frac{\omega_{Pl}^2}{2k_{\parallel}^2 v_{thl}^2 \hat{\omega}_l} Z_R(\hat{\omega}_l - \hat{\Omega}_l) C_e(\omega) + \frac{c^2}{2\pi i \omega} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt j_{l+}(t) e^{i\omega t}. \tag{7.84}$$

8. Perpendicular propagation direction

Waves propagating in a direction perpendicular to the equilibrium magnetic field ($k_{\parallel} = 0$) need a different treatment because, in this special case, the spectrum of normal-mode eigenfrequencies is discrete and the degeneracy of such eigenvalues is also different from the $k_{\parallel} \neq 0$ case. For $k_{\parallel} = 0$, the finite-EM-field normal modes are proper normalizable eigenfunctions with eigenvalues that belong to the point spectrum in the mathematical sense. These frequency eigenvalues are the real roots of a proper dispersion relation and are not degenerate. Therefore, the analysis of the finite-EM-field modes is conventional and not different from the accounts found in the standard literature (see e.g. the textbooks quoted in the introduction). It will be presented here, using the general formalism developed for this work, for completeness and in order to prepare the basis made of normal modes that will span the space of state vectors. The ballistic modes are often ignored, but they are necessary to complete such a normal-mode basis and be able to expand the solutions of initial-value problems as superpositions of normal modes. The $k_{\parallel} = 0$ ballistic modes are peculiar because, even though their eigenfrequencies are the discrete multiples of the cyclotron frequencies, they are severely degenerate with a continuum of singular eigenfunctions for each eigenvalue. Thus, the ballistic mode eigenfrequencies at the harmonics of the cyclotron

frequencies belong to the continuous spectrum in the mathematical sense. A novel analysis of such perpendicular-propagating ballistic modes will be presented here. It will prove advantageous to carry out this analysis in two-dimensional velocity space because a complete specification of both the finite-EM-field eigenfunctions and the singular ballistic eigenfunctions will be possible. Then, one can take advantage directly of the self-adjointness of the operator \mathcal{H} (2.32) in the space of 2-D state vectors.

Considering first the 2-D finite-EM-field normal modes with $k_{\parallel} = 0$, their equations (2.32) are

$$\omega E_p^\omega = -ic^2 \sum_{p'} \kappa_p^{p'} \tilde{B}_{p'}^\omega - ic^2 \sum_{sm} e_s \int d^3 v h_{smp}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}) \phi_{sm}^\omega(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}), \tag{8.1}$$

$$\omega \tilde{B}_p^\omega = i \sum_{p'} \kappa_p^{p'} E_{p'}^\omega, \tag{8.2}$$

$$(\omega - m\Omega_s) \phi_{sm}^\omega(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}) = ie_s T_{s0}^{-1} f_{Ms}(v) \sum_{p'} h_{smp'}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}) E_{p'}^\omega. \tag{8.3}$$

The eigenvalues ω will turn out to be always different from $m\Omega_s$, so the solution of (8.3) for $\phi_{sm}^\omega(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp})$ is

$$\phi_{sm}^\omega(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}) = \frac{ie_s f_{Ms}(v)}{T_{s0}(\omega - m\Omega_s)} \sum_{p'} h_{smp'}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}) E_{p'}^\omega. \tag{8.4}$$

Then, substituting (8.4) and (8.2) in (8.1), one gets the homogeneous equation for the electric field eigenvector

$$\sum_{p'} \vartheta_p^{p'}(\omega) E_{p'}^\omega = 0, \tag{8.5}$$

where

$$\vartheta_p^{p'}(\omega) = \delta_p^{p'} - \frac{c^2}{\omega^2} (\kappa^2)_p^{p'} + \frac{ic^2}{\omega} \sigma_p^{p'}(\omega) \tag{8.6}$$

and $\sigma_p^{p'}(\omega)$ is the conductivity tensor that now is all intrinsic,

$$\sigma_p^{p'}(\omega) = \frac{i}{c^2} \sum_{sm} \frac{\omega_{Ps}^2}{n_{s0} v_{ths}^2 (\omega - m\Omega_s)} \int d^3 v f_{Ms}(v) h_{smp}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}) h_{smp'}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}). \tag{8.7}$$

The integral over the velocity yields a block-diagonal conductivity tensor whose components with $p \neq \parallel$ and $p' \neq \parallel$ are

$$\sigma_p^{p'}(\omega) = \frac{i}{c^2} \sum_{sm} \frac{\omega_{Ps}^2 [\alpha_{sm}]_p^{p'}}{\omega - m\Omega_s}, \tag{8.8}$$

with $[\alpha_{sm}]_p^{p'}$ given in (4.15)–(4.17). The other components are

$$\sigma_{+}^{\parallel} = \sigma_{\parallel}^{+} = \sigma_{-}^{\parallel} = \sigma_{\parallel}^{-} = 0 \tag{8.9}$$

and

$$\sigma_{\parallel}^{\parallel}(\omega) = \frac{i}{c^2} \sum_{sm} \frac{\omega_{P_s}^2 e^{-b_s} I_m(b_s)}{\omega - m\Omega_s}, \tag{8.10}$$

where $b_s \equiv (k_{\perp} v_{ths} / \Omega_s)^2$. The tensor $(\kappa^2)_p^{p'}$ is also block diagonal,

$$(\kappa^2)_p^{p'} = \begin{pmatrix} k_{\perp}^2/2 & -k_{\perp}^2/2 & 0 \\ -k_{\perp}^2/2 & k_{\perp}^2/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & k_{\perp}^2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{8.11}$$

so $\vartheta_p^{p'}(\omega)$ is block diagonal. The condition for existence of solutions of (8.5) with non-zero electric field yields the dispersion relation $\det[\vartheta(\omega)] = 0$, which factorizes as the product of two dispersion relations for two independent classes of modes, to be referred to as ordinary and extraordinary modes.

For the ordinary modes (whose non-degenerate eigenfrequencies are denoted with a subscript *O*), the components of the electric field eigenvector are $E_{+}^{\omega_O} = E_{-}^{\omega_O} = 0$ and $E_{\parallel}^{\omega_O} \neq 0$. Thus, the electric field is parallel to the equilibrium magnetic field, $\mathbf{E}^{\omega_O} = E_{\parallel}^{\omega_O} \mathbf{e}_z$, and the perturbed magnetic field, perpendicular to both \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{k} , is $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\omega_O} = -k_{\perp} \omega_O^{-1} E_{\parallel}^{\omega_O} \mathbf{e}_y$. Their dispersion relation is

$$1 - \frac{c^2 k_{\perp}^2}{\omega_O^2} - \sum_{sm} \frac{\omega_{P_s}^2 e^{-b_s} I_m(b_s)}{\omega_O(\omega_O - m\Omega_s)} = 0. \tag{8.12}$$

This dispersion relation has a countable infinity of roots (one for each of its poles) which can be labelled with the species index ζ and the integer number index μ , i.e. $\omega_O = \omega_O^{\zeta\mu}$. In the limit $\omega_O \ll ck_{\perp}$ and $\omega_{P_s} \ll ck_{\perp}$, such roots are close to the corresponding poles at the harmonics of the cyclotron frequencies,

$$\omega_O^{\zeta\mu} \simeq \mu\Omega_{\zeta} \left[1 - \frac{\omega_{P_{\zeta}}^2}{c^2 k_{\perp}^2} e^{-b_{\zeta}} I_{\mu}(b_{\zeta}) \right]. \tag{8.13}$$

The extraordinary modes (whose non-degenerate eigenfrequencies are similarly denoted with a subscript *X*) have $E_{\parallel}^{\omega_X} = 0$. Thus, the electric field eigenvectors are in the (*x*, *y*) plane, $\mathbf{E}^{\omega_X} = E_x^{\omega_X} \mathbf{e}_x + E_y^{\omega_X} \mathbf{e}_y$, and the perturbed magnetic field eigenvectors are parallel to the equilibrium magnetic field, $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}^{\omega_X} = k_{\perp} \omega_X^{-1} E_y^{\omega_X} \mathbf{e}_z$. Their dispersion relation is

$$\left[1 - \frac{c^2 k_{\perp}^2}{2\omega_X^2} - \sum_{sm} \frac{\omega_{P_s}^2 [\alpha_{sm}]_+^+}{\omega_X(\omega_X - m\Omega_s)} \right] \left[1 - \frac{c^2 k_{\perp}^2}{2\omega_X^2} - \sum_{sm} \frac{\omega_{P_s}^2 [\alpha_{sm}]_-^-}{\omega_X(\omega_X - m\Omega_s)} \right] - \left[\frac{c^2 k_{\perp}^2}{2\omega_X^2} - \sum_{sm} \frac{\omega_{P_s}^2 [\alpha_{sm}]_+^+}{\omega_X(\omega_X - m\Omega_s)} \right]^2 = 0. \tag{8.14}$$

This dispersion relation has two countably infinite sets of roots, each one labelled with the ζ and μ indices, i.e. $\omega_X = \omega_X^{\zeta\mu}_1$ and $\omega_X = \omega_X^{\zeta\mu}_2$. In the limit $\omega_X \ll ck_{\perp}$ and $\omega_{P_s} \ll ck_{\perp}$, the first set of roots is close to the cyclotron frequency harmonics,

$$\omega_X^{\zeta\mu}_1 \simeq \mu\Omega_{\zeta} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\omega_{P_{\zeta}}^2}{c^2 k_{\perp}^2} b_{\zeta} e^{-b_{\zeta}} \left[I_{\mu}(b_{\zeta}) - \frac{I_{\mu+1}(b_{\zeta}) I_{\mu-1}(b_{\zeta})}{I_{\mu}(b_{\zeta})} \right] \right\}, \tag{8.15}$$

and the second set satisfies the approximate dispersion relation

$$1 - \sum_{sm} \frac{m^2 \omega_{ps}^2 e^{-b_s} \mathbf{I}_m(b_s)}{\omega_{X_2} (\omega_{X_2} - m \Omega_s) b_s} \simeq 0. \tag{8.16}$$

The latter is the dispersion relation for the so-called Bernstein waves. The corresponding eigenvectors have electric field approximately in the x -direction (so the electric field is near parallel to the wavevector \mathbf{k}) and magnetic field close to zero, so the Bernstein waves are approximately electrostatic.

Considering now the perpendicular-propagating 2-D ballistic modes in which the electromagnetic fields are zero, the equations for these modes (denoted with a subscript B) reduce to

$$\sum_{sm} e_s \int d^3 \mathbf{v} h_{sm}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}) \phi_{B,sm}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}) = 0, \tag{8.17}$$

$$(\omega_B - m \Omega_s) \phi_{B,sm}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}) = 0. \tag{8.18}$$

The independent solutions of this system can be characterized with the discrete indices $\zeta \in \{\text{species}\}$ and $\mu \in \mathbf{Z}$ plus the continuous indices $\xi_{\parallel} \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\xi_{\perp} \in \mathbf{R}_+$. The distribution functions are

$$\phi_{B,sm}^{\zeta\mu,\xi_{\parallel}\xi_{\perp}}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}) = \delta_s^{\zeta} \delta_m^{\mu} \delta(v_{\perp} - \xi_{\perp}) \left[\delta(v_{\parallel} - \xi_{\parallel}) - \frac{F_{M_{\zeta}}(v_{\parallel})}{n_{\zeta 0}} \left(1 + \frac{\xi_{\parallel} v_{\parallel}}{v_{th_{\zeta}}^2} \right) \right] \tag{8.19}$$

which solve (8.17) because h_{sm} depends on v_{\parallel} as $h_{sm}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}) \propto v_{\parallel}^{1-|p|}$ with $p = 0, \pm 1$. These eigenfunctions have degenerate eigenvalues that depend only on their (ζ, μ) and solve (8.18),

$$\omega_B^{\zeta\mu} = \mu \Omega_{\zeta}. \tag{8.20}$$

In summary, the set of 2-D normal modes for perpendicular-propagating waves is $\{\psi^{\omega}, \psi_B^{\zeta\mu,\xi_{\parallel}\xi_{\perp}}\}$ and this constitutes a complete basis in the space of 2-D state vectors. The finite-EM-field modes ψ^{ω} are labelled by their discrete, non-degenerate eigenvalues $\omega \in \mathfrak{B} = \{\omega_0^{\zeta\mu}, \omega_{X_1}^{\zeta\mu}, \omega_{X_2}^{\zeta\mu}\}$ and are

$$\psi^{\omega}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}) = \begin{pmatrix} E_p^{\omega} \\ i\omega^{-1} \sum_{p'} \kappa_p^{p'} E_{p'}^{\omega} \\ \phi_{sm}^{\omega}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{8.21}$$

where $\phi_{sm}^{\omega}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp})$ is given by (8.4) and E_p^{ω} is the eigenvector that solves (8.5). The ballistic modes, labelled by $\zeta \in \{\text{species}\}$, $\mu \in \mathbf{Z}$, $\xi_{\parallel} \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\xi_{\perp} \in \mathbf{R}_+$, are

$$\psi_B^{\zeta\mu,\xi_{\parallel}\xi_{\perp}}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \phi_{B,sm}^{\zeta\mu,\xi_{\parallel}\xi_{\perp}}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{8.22}$$

where $\phi_{B,sm}^{\zeta\mu,\xi_{\parallel}\xi_{\perp}}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp})$ is given by (8.19). These ballistic modes have degenerate eigenvalues $\omega_B^{\zeta\mu} = \mu \Omega_{\zeta}$, independent of ξ_{\parallel} and ξ_{\perp} .

A 2-D initial condition $\psi(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}, 0)$ can be expanded in the normal-mode basis as

$$\psi(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}, 0) = \sum_{\omega \in \mathbb{B}} c_{\omega} \psi^{\omega}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}) + \sum_{\zeta\mu} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi_{\parallel} \int_0^{\infty} d\xi_{\perp} c_{\zeta\mu}(\xi_{\parallel}, \xi_{\perp}) \psi_B^{\zeta\mu, \xi_{\parallel}\xi_{\perp}}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}) \tag{8.23}$$

and the solution of the corresponding initial-value problem is

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}, t) = & \sum_{\omega \in \mathbb{B}} c_{\omega} \psi^{\omega}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}) e^{-i\omega t} \\ & + \sum_{\zeta\mu} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi_{\parallel} \int_0^{\infty} d\xi_{\perp} c_{\zeta\mu}(\xi_{\parallel}, \xi_{\perp}) \psi_B^{\zeta\mu, \xi_{\parallel}\xi_{\perp}}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}) \right] e^{-i\mu\Omega_{\zeta}t}. \end{aligned} \tag{8.24}$$

This is an undamped, discrete superposition of harmonic oscillations. In order to determine the spectral amplitude at each oscillation frequency, one needs to determine the coefficients c_{ω} and $c_{\zeta\mu}(\xi_{\parallel}, \xi_{\perp})$ by inverting (8.23). This inversion can be accomplished in closed form by taking scalar products with the normal-mode eigenfunctions. Since the 2-D normal modes under consideration are eigenfunctions of the Hermitian operator \mathcal{H} , normal modes with different frequency eigenvalue are orthogonal with the scalar product (3.1). Indeed, it can be verified explicitly that $(\psi^{\omega} | \psi_B^{\zeta\mu, \xi_{\parallel}\xi_{\perp}}) = 0$ and $(\psi^{\omega} | \psi^{\omega'}) = 0$ for $\omega \neq \omega'$. The scalar products among ballistic eigenfunctions are also found to be

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi_B^{\zeta\mu, \xi_{\parallel}\xi_{\perp}} | \psi_B^{\zeta'\mu', \xi'_{\parallel}\xi'_{\perp}}) = & \frac{2\pi T_{\zeta 0} \xi_{\perp}}{f_{M\zeta} \left(\sqrt{\xi_{\parallel}^2 + \xi_{\perp}^2} \right)} \delta_{\zeta'}^{\zeta} \delta_{\mu'}^{\mu} \delta(\xi_{\perp} - \xi'_{\perp}) \\ & \times \left[\delta(\xi_{\parallel} - \xi'_{\parallel}) - \frac{F_{M\zeta}(\xi_{\parallel})}{n_{\zeta 0}} \left(1 + \frac{\xi_{\parallel}\xi'_{\parallel}}{v_{th\zeta}^2} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{8.25}$$

Then, the scalar product of (8.23) with ψ^{ω} yields the explicit solution for c_{ω} ,

$$c_{\omega} = \frac{(\psi^{\omega} | \psi(0))}{(\psi^{\omega} | \psi^{\omega})}. \tag{8.26}$$

Taking the scalar product of (8.23) with $\psi_B^{\zeta\mu, \xi_{\parallel}\xi_{\perp}}$ and using (8.25), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n_{\zeta 0} \phi_{\zeta\mu}(\xi_{\parallel}, \xi_{\perp}, 0)}{F_{M\zeta}(\xi_{\parallel})} - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_{\parallel} \phi_{\zeta\mu}(v_{\parallel}, \xi_{\perp}, 0) \left(1 + \frac{\xi_{\parallel} v_{\parallel}}{v_{th\zeta}^2} \right) \\ = \frac{n_{\zeta 0} c_{\zeta\mu}(\xi_{\parallel}, \xi_{\perp})}{F_{M\zeta}(\xi_{\parallel})} - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi'_{\parallel} c_{\zeta\mu}(\xi'_{\parallel}, \xi_{\perp}) \left(1 + \frac{\xi_{\parallel}\xi'_{\parallel}}{v_{th\zeta}^2} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{8.27}$$

which yields also the explicit solution for $c_{\zeta\mu}(\xi_{\parallel}, \xi_{\perp})$,

$$c_{\zeta\mu}(\xi_{\parallel}, \xi_{\perp}) = \phi_{\zeta\mu}(\xi_{\parallel}, \xi_{\perp}, 0). \tag{8.28}$$

The solution of the initial-value problem is now completely specified by (8.24), (8.26), (8.28) and any desired macroscopic variable can be evaluated by taking the

corresponding moment. In particular, the electromagnetic fields, to which the ballistic modes do not contribute, are

$$E_p(t) = \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{B}} c_\omega E_p^\omega e^{-i\omega t} \tag{8.29}$$

and

$$\tilde{B}_p(t) = i \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{B}} \sum_{p'} c_\omega \omega^{-1} \kappa_p^{p'} E_{p'}^\omega e^{-i\omega t} \tag{8.30}$$

so Faraday’s law, $\partial \tilde{B}_p(t) / \partial t = \sum_{p'} \kappa_p^{p'} E_{p'}(t)$, is satisfied.

9. Concluding remarks

This work has put forward a unified linear wave theory for a homogeneous, magnetized and stable Vlasov–Maxwell plasma, exclusively from the normal-mode point of view. The analysis is fully electromagnetic and has no restrictions with regard the number of plasma species or the wave propagation direction. So, it offers an answer to the long-standing problem of formulating a complete Van Kampen-like treatment of waves that propagate in a direction oblique to the background magnetic field. It also reproduces all the previously known results for the simpler cases of parallel and perpendicular propagation, thus confirming the Van Kampen normal-mode approach as having all the capabilities of the more popular Laplace transform approach of Landau’s. Besides, the present normal-mode-based results have features that go beyond the standard Laplace-transform-based results. Specifically, it is shown that the long-time behaviour for macroscopic variables described by an oscillation frequency and a weak exponential damping rate corresponding to the complex root of an effective dispersion relation, applies to a broader class of initial conditions (precisely defined and referred to as standard initial conditions) that do not have to fulfil the requirement that the initial distribution functions be analytic functions of the velocity, as needed in the standard derivation with the Laplace transform and complex contour integration method. This work contemplates also the other class of initial conditions (called special initial conditions) that result in a time evolution different from the standard complex exponential behaviour given by the roots of effective dispersion relations. In this regard, the previously known expressions (Van Kampen 1955; Case 1959; Felderhof 1963*a*) for distribution function initial conditions that produce arbitrarily specified variations of the macroscopic variables as functions of time (subject to the constraint that sufficiently well behaved Fourier transforms exist) are generalized to a plasma with multiple dynamical species.

The connection between the time evolution of a standard initial condition, as calculated with the normal-mode method, and the effective dispersion relation of the Laplace transform method, takes place through the determinant of the linear system that returns the coefficients of the normal-mode expansion of the initial condition given the specific form of such initial condition. This has been actually shown in the case of wave propagation parallel to the equilibrium magnetic field, where both the normal-mode-based system and the Laplace-transform-based effective dispersion relation diagonalize into three decoupled subsystems. By extension of the parallel propagation result, it is expected that the determinant of the 6×6 linear system (6.35), (6.36) for oblique propagation (which is known to be different from zero) should be

proportional to the sum of the squares of the real and imaginary parts of the 3×3 complex determinant of the effective dispersion derived in the Laplace transform approach for oblique propagation. Verifying this conjecture appears algebraically challenging if mechanical, but might be worth some try, perhaps using symbolic computing.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the PROMETEO project funded by the Comunidad de Madrid, under grant Y2018/NMT-4750.

Appendix A. Classification of the 2-D normal modes for $k_{\parallel} \neq 0$

For $k_{\parallel} \neq 0$, the normal modes in two-dimensional velocity space $(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp})$ are solutions of (4.6), (4.7). These solutions can be classified in two categories: the finite-EM-field modes for which the electric field is not identically zero and the ballistic modes for which the electric field is identically zero. The finite-EM-field modes are denoted with a subscript A and solutions, labelled with the index ρ , exist for each of the three independent electric field polarizations, $E_{A,p}^{\omega,\rho} = \delta_p^{\rho}$. For these, (4.7) becomes

$$\vartheta_p^{\rho}(\omega) - \sum_{sm} \int_0^{\infty} dv_{\perp} v_{\perp} \lambda_{A,sm}^{\omega,\rho}(v_{\perp}) h_{sm\rho}(v_{sm}^{\omega}, v_{\perp}) = 0. \tag{A 1}$$

Again, this admits multiple solutions labelled with the additional indices (ζ, μ, ξ) and having the form

$$\lambda_{A,sm}^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho}(v_{\perp}; \zeta) = \delta_s^{\zeta} \delta_m^{\mu} \theta_A^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho}(v_{\perp}; \zeta), \tag{A 2}$$

where $\theta_A^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho}(v_{\perp}; \zeta)$ satisfies the condition

$$\int_0^{\infty} dv_{\perp} v_{\perp} \theta_A^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho}(v_{\perp}; \zeta) h_{\zeta\mu\rho}(v_{\zeta\mu}^{\omega}, v_{\perp}) = \vartheta_p^{\rho}(\omega). \tag{A 3}$$

This last condition may still be satisfied by multiple independent $\theta_A^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho}(v_{\perp})$ functions and the additional generic index ζ is meant to parametrize them. In summary, the finite-EM-field 2-D normal modes are

$$\psi_A^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho\zeta} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_p^{\rho} \\ i\kappa_p^{\rho}/\omega \\ \phi_{A,sm}^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho\zeta} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{A 4}$$

with

$$\phi_{A,sm}^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho\zeta}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp}) = \frac{i e_s}{T_{s0}} \mathcal{P} \frac{f_{Ms}(v) h_{sm\rho}(v_{\parallel}, v_{\perp})}{\omega - k_{\parallel} v_{\parallel} - m\Omega_s} + \frac{i\omega}{2\pi c^2 e_s} \delta_s^{\zeta} \delta_m^{\mu} \theta_A^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho}(v_{\perp}; \zeta) \delta(v_{\parallel} - v_{sm}^{\omega}) \tag{A 5}$$

and $\theta_A^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho}(v_{\perp}; \zeta)$ fulfilling (A 3).

The ballistic modes are denoted with a subscript B and, for these, (4.7) becomes

$$\sum_{sm} \int_0^\infty dv_\perp v_\perp \lambda_{B,sm}^\omega(v_\perp) h_{smp}(v_{sm}^\omega, v_\perp) = 0. \tag{A 6}$$

The solutions of this equation can in turn be divided in two subclasses. The first subclass (B_1) is constructed with functions $\theta_{B_1}^{\omega, \zeta \mu}(v_\perp; \zeta_1)$ that satisfy the condition

$$\int_0^\infty dv_\perp v_\perp \theta_{B_1}^{\omega, \zeta \mu}(v_\perp; \zeta_1) h_{\zeta \mu p}(v_{\zeta \mu}^\omega, v_\perp) = 0 \quad \text{for all } p. \tag{A 7}$$

Then,

$$\lambda_{B_1, sm}^{\omega, \zeta \mu}(v_\perp; \zeta_1) = \delta_s^\zeta \delta_m^\mu \theta_{B_1}^{\omega, \zeta \mu}(v_\perp; \zeta_1) \tag{A 8}$$

is a solution of (A 6). The second subclass (B_2) is constructed with functions $\theta_{B_2}^{\omega, \zeta \mu \rho}(v_\perp; \zeta_2)$ that satisfy the condition

$$\int_0^\infty dv_\perp v_\perp \theta_{B_2}^{\omega, \zeta \mu \rho}(v_\perp; \zeta_2) h_{\zeta \mu p}(v_{\zeta \mu}^\omega, v_\perp) = \delta_p^\rho. \tag{A 9}$$

Then,

$$\lambda_{B_2, sm}^{\omega, \zeta \mu \rho}(v_\perp; \zeta_2) = (\delta_s^\zeta \delta_m^\mu - \delta_s^{\zeta_0} \delta_m^{\mu_0}) \theta_{B_2}^{\omega, \zeta \mu \rho}(v_\perp; \zeta_2), \tag{A 10}$$

where (ζ_0, μ_0) is a pair of particularly chosen (ζ, μ) values, is another independent solution of (A 6). So, the first subclass of ballistic 2-D normal modes is

$$\psi_{B_1}^{\omega, \zeta \mu \zeta_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \phi_{B_1, sm}^{\omega, \zeta \mu \zeta_1} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{A 11}$$

with

$$\phi_{B_1, sm}^{\omega, \zeta \mu \zeta_1}(v_\parallel, v_\perp) = \frac{i\omega}{2\pi c^2 e_s} \delta_s^\zeta \delta_m^\mu \theta_{B_1}^{\omega, \zeta}(v_\perp; \zeta_1) \delta(v_\parallel - v_{sm}^\omega) \tag{A 12}$$

and $\theta_{B_1}^{\omega, \zeta}(v_\perp; \zeta_1)$ fulfilling (A 7). The second subclass of ballistic 2-D normal modes is

$$\psi_{B_2}^{\omega, \zeta \mu \rho \zeta_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \phi_{B_2, sm}^{\omega, \zeta \mu \rho \zeta_2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{A 13}$$

with

$$\phi_{B_2, sm}^{\omega, \zeta \mu \rho \zeta_2}(v_\parallel, v_\perp) = \frac{i\omega}{2\pi c^2 e_s} (\delta_s^\zeta \delta_m^\mu - \delta_s^{\zeta_0} \delta_m^{\mu_0}) \theta_{B_2}^{\omega, \zeta \mu \rho}(v_\perp; \zeta_2) \delta(v_\parallel - v_{sm}^\omega) \tag{A 14}$$

and $\theta_{B_2}^{\omega, \zeta \mu \rho}(v_\perp; \zeta_2)$ fulfilling (A 9).

The set $\{\psi_A^{\omega, \zeta \mu \rho \zeta}, \psi_{B_1}^{\omega, \zeta \mu \zeta_1}, \psi_{B_2}^{\omega, \zeta \mu \rho \zeta_2}\}$ contains all the 2-D normal-mode solutions but is not minimal, because not all its members are linearly independent of one another. In particular, the differences between two finite-EM-field modes with the same ω and ρ values, have zero electromagnetic fields, hence they must be in the subspace of ballistic modes. However, for the purposes of the present work, specifying the minimal basis of 2-D normal modes is not necessary.

Appendix B. Completeness of the set of 1-D normal modes for $k_{\parallel} \neq 0$

For $k_{\parallel} \neq 0$, the 2-D normal modes characterized in appendix A are the eigenfunctions of the Hermitian operator \mathcal{H} (2.32). Therefore, their linear combinations span the whole Hilbert space of 2-D state vectors and any vector ψ in that space can be expanded as

$$\psi = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \left[\sum_{\varsigma\mu\rho} \widehat{c}_{\varsigma\mu\rho\zeta}^A(\omega) \psi_A^{\omega,\varsigma\mu\rho\zeta} + \sum_{\varsigma\mu} \widehat{c}_{\varsigma\mu\zeta_1}^{B_1}(\omega) \psi_{B_1}^{\omega,\varsigma\mu\zeta_1} + \sum_{\varsigma\mu\rho} \widehat{c}_{\varsigma\mu\rho\zeta_2}^{B_2}(\omega) \psi_{B_2}^{\omega,\varsigma\mu\rho\zeta_2} \right] \tag{B 1}$$

or, for its components,

$$E_p = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \sum_{\varsigma\mu\rho} \widehat{c}_{\varsigma\mu\rho\zeta}^A(\omega) \delta_p^\rho, \tag{B 2}$$

$$\tilde{B}_p = i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \sum_{\varsigma\mu\rho} \widehat{c}_{\varsigma\mu\rho\zeta}^A(\omega) \kappa_p^\rho / \omega, \tag{B 3}$$

$$\phi_{sm} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \left[\sum_{\varsigma\mu\rho} \widehat{c}_{\varsigma\mu\rho\zeta}^A(\omega) \phi_{A,sm}^{\omega,\varsigma\mu\rho\zeta} + \sum_{\varsigma\mu} \widehat{c}_{\varsigma\mu\zeta_1}^{B_1}(\omega) \phi_{B_1,sm}^{\omega,\varsigma\mu\zeta_1} + \sum_{\varsigma\mu\rho} \widehat{c}_{\varsigma\mu\rho\zeta_2}^{B_2}(\omega) \phi_{B_2,sm}^{\omega,\varsigma\mu\rho\zeta_2} \right]. \tag{B 4}$$

This representation may not be unique because the set $\{\psi_A^{\omega,\varsigma\mu\rho\zeta}, \psi_{B_1}^{\omega,\varsigma\mu\zeta_1}, \psi_{B_2}^{\omega,\varsigma\mu\rho\zeta_2}\}$ is not minimal. However, all that is needed here is that the considered set of normal modes is complete and that one such representation exists.

A generic 1-D state vector is

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} E_p \\ \tilde{B}_p \\ \Phi_{smp} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} E_p \\ \tilde{B}_p \\ 2\pi \int_0^\infty dv_\perp v_\perp h_{smp} \phi_{sm} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{B 5}$$

as these vectors are defined such that the functions $\Phi_{smp}(v_{\parallel})$ belong to the image of the projection (5.1). Then, substituting (B 4) for ϕ_{sm} , equations (A 5), (A 12), (A 14) for $\phi_{A,sm}^{\omega,\varsigma\mu\rho\zeta}, \phi_{B_1,sm}^{\omega,\varsigma\mu\zeta_1}, \phi_{B_2,sm}^{\omega,\varsigma\mu\rho\zeta_2}$ and taking into account the conditions (A 3), (A 7), (A 9), one obtains

$$\Phi_{smp} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \left\{ \sum_{\varsigma\mu\rho} \widehat{c}_{\varsigma\mu\rho\zeta}^A(\omega) \left[\frac{2\pi i e_s}{T_{s0}} \int_0^\infty dv_\perp v_\perp \mathcal{P} \frac{f_{Ms} h_{smp} h_{smp}}{\omega - k_{\parallel} v_{\parallel} - m\Omega_s} + \frac{i\omega}{c^2 e_s} \delta_s^\varsigma \delta_m^\mu \partial_p^\rho(\omega) \delta(v_{\parallel} - v_{sm}^\omega) \right] + \sum_{\varsigma\mu\rho} \widehat{c}_{\varsigma\mu\rho\zeta_2}^{B_2}(\omega) \frac{i\omega}{c^2 e_s} (\delta_s^\varsigma \delta_m^\mu - \delta_s^{\zeta_0} \delta_m^{\mu_0}) \delta_p^\rho \delta(v_{\parallel} - v_{sm}^\omega) \right\}. \tag{B 6}$$

In this expression, as well as in (B 2), (B 3), the factors that multiply $c_{\zeta\mu\rho\zeta}^A(\omega)$ and $c_{\zeta\mu\rho\zeta_2}^{B_2}(\omega)$ are independent of ζ and ζ_2 . Therefore, the generalized sum over these parameters is just absorbed in the new coefficients

$$C_{\zeta\mu\rho}^A(\omega) = \widehat{\sum}_{\zeta} c_{\zeta\mu\rho\zeta}^A(\omega), \quad C_{\zeta\mu\rho}^B(\omega) = \widehat{\sum}_{\zeta_2} c_{\zeta\mu\rho\zeta_2}^{B_2}(\omega) \tag{B 7a,b}$$

and, recalling also the definitions (4.13), (4.14), equations (B 2), (B 3), (B 6) become

$$E_p = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \sum_{\zeta\mu\rho} C_{\zeta\mu\rho}^A(\omega) \delta_p^\rho, \tag{B 8}$$

$$\tilde{B}_p = i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \sum_{\zeta\mu\rho} C_{\zeta\mu\rho}^A(\omega) \kappa_p^\rho / \omega, \tag{B 9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{smp} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \left\{ \sum_{\zeta\mu\rho} C_{\zeta\mu\rho}^A(\omega) \left[\frac{ie_s}{m_s} \mathcal{P} \frac{F_{Ms}(v_{\parallel}) [\alpha_{sm}(v_{\parallel})]_p^\rho}{\omega - k_{\parallel} v_{\parallel} - m\Omega_s} + \frac{i\omega}{c^2 e_s} \delta_s^\zeta \delta_m^\mu \vartheta_p^\rho(\omega) \delta(v_{\parallel} - v_{sm}^\omega) \right] \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{\zeta\mu\rho} C_{\zeta\mu\rho}^B(\omega) \frac{i\omega}{c^2 e_s} (\delta_s^\zeta \delta_m^\mu - \delta_s^{50} \delta_m^{\mu_0}) \delta_p^\rho \delta(v_{\parallel} - v_{sm}^\omega) \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{B 10}$$

Finally, recalling the expressions (6.12), (6.13), (6.16), (6.17) for the 1-D normal modes, one verifies that (B 8)–(B 10) represent the generic 1-D state vector Ψ as a superposition of 1-D normal modes

$$\Psi = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \sum_{\zeta\mu\rho} [C_{\zeta\mu\rho}^A(\omega) \Psi_A^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho} + C_{\zeta\mu\rho}^B(\omega) \Psi_B^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho}]. \tag{B 11}$$

Thus, the linear combinations of $\Psi_A^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho}$ and $\Psi_B^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho}$ span the whole space of 1-D state vectors and the set $\{\Psi_A^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho}, \Psi_B^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho}\}$ is complete, although not necessarily minimal.

Appendix C. Derivation of normal-mode orthogonality relations

This appendix carries out the scalar products among the 1-D eigenvectors $\Psi^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho}$ and $\widehat{\Psi}^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho}$, defined by (6.12), (6.13), (6.16), (6.17), (6.20), (6.23)–(6.27), which yield the orthogonality relations (6.28)–(6.33). With the 1-D scalar product definition (5.7) and after trivial integration over v_{\parallel} using the Dirac deltas, the product $\langle \widehat{\Psi}_B^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho} | \Psi_B^{\omega',\zeta'\mu'\rho'} \rangle$ reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \widehat{\Psi}_B^{\omega,\zeta\mu\rho} | \Psi_B^{\omega',\zeta'\mu'\rho'} \rangle = \frac{|k_{\parallel}| \omega^2}{c^4} \sum_{smp} \frac{m_s}{e_s^2 F_{Ms}(v_{sm}^\omega)} (\delta_s^\zeta \delta_m^\mu \delta_p^\rho - \delta_s^{50} \delta_m^{\mu_0} [\alpha_{50\mu_0}^{-1}(v_{50\mu_0}^\omega) \circ \alpha_{\zeta\mu}(v_{\zeta\mu}^\omega)]_p^\rho) \\ \times (\delta_s^{\zeta'} \delta_m^{\mu'} - \delta_s^{50} \delta_m^{\mu'_0}) \delta_p^{\rho'} \delta(\omega - \omega'). \end{aligned} \tag{C 1}$$

For $(\zeta, \mu) \neq (\zeta_0, \mu_0)$ and $(\zeta', \mu') \neq (\zeta_0, \mu_0)$, after summing over s, m, p , this becomes

$$\langle \widehat{\Psi}_B^{\omega, \zeta \mu \rho} | \Psi_B^{\omega', \zeta' \mu' \rho'} \rangle = \frac{|k_{\parallel}| \omega^2}{c^4} \left\{ \frac{m_{\zeta}}{e^2 F_{M_{\zeta}}(v_{\zeta \mu}^{\omega})} \delta_{\zeta}^{\zeta'} \delta_{\mu}^{\mu'} \delta_{\rho}^{\rho'} + \frac{m_{\zeta_0}}{e^2 F_{M_{\zeta_0}}(v_{\zeta_0 \mu_0}^{\omega})} [\alpha_{\zeta \mu}(v_{\zeta \mu}^{\omega}) \circ \alpha_{\zeta_0 \mu_0}^{-1}(v_{\zeta_0 \mu_0}^{\omega})]_{\rho}^{\rho'} \right\} \delta(\omega - \omega') \quad (C2)$$

which is the result of (6.32), (6.33).

The products $\langle \widehat{\Psi}_A^{\omega, \zeta_0 \mu_0 \rho} | \Psi_B^{\omega', \zeta' \mu' \rho'} \rangle$ and $\langle \widehat{\Psi}_B^{\omega, \zeta \mu \rho} | \Psi_A^{\omega', \zeta_0 \mu_0 \rho'} \rangle$ are similarly straightforward and, after trivial integration over v_{\parallel} using the Dirac deltas, they reduce to

$$\langle \widehat{\Psi}_A^{\omega, \zeta_0 \mu_0 \rho} | \Psi_B^{\omega', \zeta' \mu' \rho'} \rangle = \frac{1}{c^2} \sum_{smp} \left\{ \mathcal{P} \frac{\omega'}{\omega - \omega'} \delta_p^{\rho} (\delta_s^{\zeta'} \delta_m^{\mu'} - \delta_s^{\zeta_0} \delta_m^{\mu_0}) \delta_p^{\rho'} + \frac{|k_{\parallel}| \omega^2 m_s}{c^2 e^2 F_{M_s}(v_{sm}^{\omega})} \delta_s^{\zeta_0} \delta_m^{\mu_0} [\alpha_{\zeta_0 \mu_0}^{-1}(v_{\zeta_0 \mu_0}^{\omega}) \circ \vartheta(\omega)]_p^{\rho} (\delta_s^{\zeta'} \delta_m^{\mu'} - \delta_s^{\zeta_0} \delta_m^{\mu_0}) \delta_p^{\rho'} \delta(\omega - \omega') \right\} \quad (C3)$$

and

$$\langle \widehat{\Psi}_B^{\omega, \zeta \mu \rho} | \Psi_A^{\omega', \zeta_0 \mu_0 \rho'} \rangle = \frac{1}{c^2} \sum_{smp} \left\{ \mathcal{P} \frac{\omega}{\omega' - \omega} (\delta_s^{\zeta} \delta_m^{\mu} \delta_p^{\rho} - \delta_s^{\zeta_0} \delta_m^{\mu_0} [\alpha_{\zeta_0 \mu_0}^{-1}(v_{\zeta_0 \mu_0}^{\omega}) \circ \alpha_{\zeta \mu}(v_{\zeta \mu}^{\omega})]_p^{\rho}) [\alpha_{sm}(v_{sm}^{\omega})]_p^{\rho'} + \frac{|k_{\parallel}| \omega^2 m_s}{c^2 e^2 F_{M_s}(v_{sm}^{\omega})} (\delta_s^{\zeta} \delta_m^{\mu} \delta_p^{\rho} - \delta_s^{\zeta_0} \delta_m^{\mu_0} [\alpha_{\zeta_0 \mu_0}^{-1}(v_{\zeta_0 \mu_0}^{\omega}) \circ \alpha_{\zeta \mu}(v_{\zeta \mu}^{\omega})]_p^{\rho}) \delta_s^{\zeta_0} \delta_m^{\mu_0} \vartheta_p^{\rho'} \delta(\omega - \omega') \right\}. \quad (C4)$$

After summing over s, m, p , the terms proportional to $\mathcal{P}(\omega - \omega')^{-1}$ in (C3) and (C4) cancel out. Then, for $(\zeta', \mu') \neq (\zeta_0, \mu_0)$, (C3) becomes

$$\langle \widehat{\Psi}_A^{\omega, \zeta_0 \mu_0 \rho} | \Psi_B^{\omega', \zeta' \mu' \rho'} \rangle = -\frac{|k_{\parallel}| \omega^2 m_{\zeta_0}}{c^4 e^2 F_{M_{\zeta_0}}(v_{\zeta_0 \mu_0}^{\omega})} [\vartheta(\omega) \circ \alpha_{\zeta_0 \mu_0}^{-1}(v_{\zeta_0 \mu_0}^{\omega})]_{\rho}^{\rho'} \delta(\omega - \omega') \quad (C5)$$

and, for $(\zeta, \mu) \neq (\zeta_0, \mu_0)$, (C4) becomes

$$\langle \widehat{\Psi}_B^{\omega, \zeta \mu \rho} | \Psi_A^{\omega', \zeta_0 \mu_0 \rho'} \rangle = -\frac{|k_{\parallel}| \omega^2 m_{\zeta_0}}{c^4 e^2 F_{M_{\zeta_0}}(v_{\zeta_0 \mu_0}^{\omega})} [\vartheta(\omega) \circ \alpha_{\zeta \mu}(v_{\zeta \mu}^{\omega}) \circ \alpha_{\zeta_0 \mu_0}^{-1}(v_{\zeta_0 \mu_0}^{\omega})]_{\rho}^{\rho'} \delta(\omega - \omega') \quad (C6)$$

which are the results of (6.30) and (6.31) respectively.

The most difficult calculation is the product $\langle \widehat{\Psi}_A^{\omega, \zeta_0 \mu_0 \rho} | \Psi_A^{\omega', \zeta_0 \mu_0 \rho'} \rangle$. After substituting the eigenfunction expressions (6.12), (6.13), (6.24), (6.25) in the scalar product definition (5.7) and carrying out the integrations over v_{\parallel} that involve Dirac deltas, along with straightforward sums over s, m, p , one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \widehat{\Psi}_A^{\omega, s_0 \mu_0 \rho} | \Psi_A^{\omega', s_0 \mu_0 \rho'} \rangle &= \frac{1}{c^2} \left\{ \delta_\rho^{\rho'} + \frac{c^2}{\omega \omega'} (\kappa^2)_\rho^{\rho'} + \mathcal{P} \frac{1}{\omega - \omega'} [\omega' \vartheta_\rho^{\rho'}(\omega') - \omega \vartheta_\rho^{\rho'}(\omega)] \right. \\
 &+ \frac{|k_\parallel| \omega^2 m_{s_0}}{c^2 e_s^2 F_{M s_0}(v_{s_0 \mu_0}^\omega)} [\vartheta^2(\omega) \circ \alpha_{s_0 \mu_0}^{-1}(v_{s_0 \mu_0}^\omega)]_\rho^{\rho'} \delta(\omega - \omega') \\
 &\left. + \sum_{sm} \frac{c^2 e_s^2}{k_\parallel^2 m_s} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_\parallel F_{M s}(v_\parallel) [\alpha_{sm}(v_\parallel)]_\rho^{\rho'} \mathcal{P} \frac{1}{v_\parallel - v_{sm}^\omega} \mathcal{P} \frac{1}{v_\parallel - v_{sm}^{\omega'}} \right\}. \tag{C7}
 \end{aligned}$$

The integral in the last term of this expression is calculated with the help of the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{P} \frac{1}{v_\parallel - v_{sm}^\omega} \mathcal{P} \frac{1}{v_\parallel - v_{sm}^{\omega'}} &= \mathcal{P} \frac{1}{v_{sm}^\omega - v_{sm}^{\omega'}} \left(\mathcal{P} \frac{1}{v_\parallel - v_{sm}^\omega} - \mathcal{P} \frac{1}{v_\parallel - v_{sm}^{\omega'}} \right) \\
 &+ \pi^2 \delta(v_{sm}^\omega - v_{sm}^{\omega'}) \delta(v_\parallel - v_{sm}^\omega), \tag{C8}
 \end{aligned}$$

with the result

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{sm} \frac{c^2 e_s^2}{k_\parallel^2 m_s} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_\parallel F_{M s}(v_\parallel) [\alpha_{sm}(v_\parallel)]_\rho^{\rho'} \mathcal{P} \frac{1}{v_\parallel - v_{sm}^\omega} \mathcal{P} \frac{1}{v_\parallel - v_{sm}^{\omega'}} \\
 &= \mathcal{P} \frac{1}{\omega - \omega'} \sum_{sm} \frac{c^2 e_s^2}{m_s} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_\parallel F_{M s}(v_\parallel) [\alpha_{sm}(v_\parallel)]_\rho^{\rho'} \\
 &\quad \times \left(\mathcal{P} \frac{1}{\omega' - k_\parallel v_\parallel - m \Omega_s} - \mathcal{P} \frac{1}{\omega - k_\parallel v_\parallel - m \Omega_s} \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{\pi^2 c^2}{|k_\parallel|} \delta(\omega - \omega') \sum_{sm} \frac{e_s^2}{m_s} F_{M s}(v_{sm}^\omega) [\alpha_{sm}(v_{sm}^\omega)]_\rho^{\rho'} \tag{C9}
 \end{aligned}$$

which, recalling the definition (6.8) of $\vartheta_\rho^{\rho'}(\omega)$, can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{sm} \frac{c^2 e_s^2}{k_\parallel^2 m_s} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_\parallel F_{M s}(v_\parallel) [\alpha_{sm}(v_\parallel)]_\rho^{\rho'} \mathcal{P} \frac{1}{v_\parallel - v_{sm}^\omega} \mathcal{P} \frac{1}{v_\parallel - v_{sm}^{\omega'}} \\
 &= \mathcal{P} \frac{1}{\omega - \omega'} \left\{ \omega' \left[\delta_\rho^{\rho'} - \frac{c^2}{\omega'^2} (\kappa^2)_\rho^{\rho'} - \vartheta_\rho^{\rho'}(\omega') \right] - \omega \left[\delta_\rho^{\rho'} - \frac{c^2}{\omega^2} (\kappa^2)_\rho^{\rho'} - \vartheta_\rho^{\rho'}(\omega) \right] \right\} \\
 &\quad + \frac{\pi^2 c^2}{|k_\parallel|} \delta(\omega - \omega') \sum_{sm} \frac{e_s^2}{m_s} F_{M s}(v_{sm}^\omega) [\alpha_{sm}(v_{sm}^\omega)]_\rho^{\rho'}. \tag{C10}
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, substituting (C10) in (C7), the terms not proportional to $\delta(\omega - \omega')$ cancel out and one arrives at the result of (6.29),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \widehat{\Psi}_A^{\omega, s_0 \mu_0 \rho} | \Psi_A^{\omega', s_0 \mu_0 \rho'} \rangle &= \left\{ \frac{|k_\parallel| \omega^2 m_{s_0}}{c^4 e_s^2 F_{M s_0}(v_{s_0 \mu_0}^\omega)} [\vartheta^2(\omega) \circ \alpha_{s_0 \mu_0}^{-1}(v_{s_0 \mu_0}^\omega)]_\rho^{\rho'} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{\pi^2}{|k_\parallel|} \sum_{sm} \frac{e_s^2}{m_s} F_{M s}(v_{sm}^\omega) [\alpha_{sm}(v_{sm}^\omega)]_\rho^{\rho'} \right\} \delta(\omega - \omega'). \tag{C11}
 \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- BELMONT, G., MOTTEZ, F., CHUST, T. & HESS, S. 2008 Existence of non-Landau solutions for Langmuir waves. *Phys. Plasmas* **15**, 052310.
- BERNSTEIN, I. B. 1958 Waves in a plasma in a magnetic field. *Phys. Rev.* **109**, 10.
- BERS, A. 2016 *Plasma Physics and Fusion Plasma Electrodynamics*. Oxford University Press.
- BRAMBILLA, M. 1998 *Kinetic Theory of Plasma Waves: Homogeneous Plasmas*. Clarendon Press.
- CASE, K. M. 1959 Plasma oscillations. *Ann. Phys.* **7**, 349.
- FELDERHOF, N. G. 1963*a* Theory of transverse waves in Vlasov plasmas. I. No external fields; isotropic equilibrium. *Physica* **29**, 293.
- FELDERHOF, N. G. 1963*b* Theory of transverse waves in Vlasov plasmas. II. External magnetic field; anisotropic equilibrium. *Physica* **29**, 317.
- FRIED, B. D. & CONTE, S. D. 1961 *The Plasma Dispersion Function*. Academic Press.
- GOLDSTON, R. J. & RUTHERFORD, P. H. 2000 *Introduction to Plasma Physics*. Taylor and Francis.
- HAZELTINE, R. D. & WAELBROECK, F. L. 2004 *The Framework of Plasma Physics*. Westview Press.
- IGNATOV, A. M. 2017 Electromagnetic Van Kampen waves. *Plasma Phys. Rep.* **43**, 29.
- KRALL, N. A. & TRIVELPIECE, A. N. 1973 *Principles of Plasma Physics*. McGraw-Hill.
- LAMBERT, A. J. D., BEST, R. W. B. & SLUIJTER, F. W. 1982 Van Kampen and Case formalism applied to linear and weakly nonlinear initial value problems in unmagnetized plasma. *Contrib. Plasma Phys.* **22**, 101.
- LANDAU, L. 1946 On the vibrations of the electronic plasma. *J. Phys. (USSR)* **10**, 25.
- LIFSHITZ, E. M. & PITAEVSKII, L. P. 1981 *Physical Kinetics*. Pergamon Press.
- MCCUNE, J. E. 1966 Three-dimensional normal modes in a magnetized Vlasov plasma. *Phys. Fluids* **9**, 1788.
- PECSELI, H. L. 2012 *Waves and Oscillations in Plasmas*. Taylor and Francis.
- PRADHAN, T. 1957 Plasma oscillations in a steady magnetic field: circularly polarized electromagnetic modes. *Phys. Rev.* **107**, 1222.
- RAMOS, J. J. 2017 Longitudinal sound waves in a collisionless, quasineutral plasma. *J. Plasma Phys.* **83**, 725830601.
- RAMOS, J. J. & WHITE, R. L. 2018 Normal-mode-based analysis of electron plasma waves with second-order Hermitian formalism. *Phys. Plasmas* **25**, 034501.
- STIX, T. H. 1962 *The Theory of Plasma Waves*. McGraw-Hill.
- VAN KAMPEN, N. G. 1955 On the theory of stationary waves in plasmas. *Physica* **21**, 949.
- VAN KAMPEN, N. G. & FELDERHOF, B. U. 1967 *Theoretical Methods in Plasma Physics*. North Holland.
- WATANABE, Y. 1968 Theory of Vlasov plasma oscillation in a magnetic field. *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **25**, 250.
- WEITZNER, H. 1963 Plasma oscillations and Landau damping. *Phys. Fluids* **6**, 1123.