## Genetic and genomic approaches for the discovery of parasite genes involved in antimalarial drug resistance

## JONATHAN M. MWANGI\* and LISA C. RANFORD-CARTWRIGHT

Institute of Infection, Immunity and Inflammation, College of Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, Sir Graeme Davies Building, 120 University Place, Glasgow G12 8TA, Scotland, UK

(Received 2 February 2013; revised 7 April and 22 May 2013; accepted 24 May 2013; first published online 9 August 2013)

#### SUMMARY

The biggest threat to the war on malaria is the continued evolution of drug resistance by the parasite. Resistance to almost all currently available antimalarials now exists in *Plasmodium falciparum* which causes the most suffering among all human malaria parasites. Monitoring of antimalarial efficacy and the development and subsequent spread of resistance has become an important part in the treatment and control of malaria. With recent reports of reduced efficacy of artemisinin, the current recommended treatment for uncomplicated malaria, there is urgent need for better methods to recognize and monitor drug resistance for effective treatment. Molecular markers have become a welcome addition to complement the more laborious and costly *in vitro* and *in vivo* methods that have traditionally been used to monitor drug resistance. However, there are currently no molecular markers for resistance to some antimalarials. This review highlights the role of the various genetic and genomic approaches that have been used in identifying the molecular markers that underlie drug resistance in *P. falciparum*. These approaches include; candidate genes, genetic linkage and genome-wide association studies. We discuss the requirements and limitations of each approach and use various examples to illustrate their contributions in identifying genomic regions of the parasite associated with antimalarial drug responses.

Key words: Candidate gene, drug resistance, genome-wide association, linkage analysis, malaria, molecular markers, *Plasmodium falciparum*.

### INTRODUCTION

The malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum has developed clinically significant resistance to all classes of antimalarial drugs, with the latest being reduced sensitivity to artemisinin and its derivatives (Dondorp et al. 2009). The World Health Organization (WHO) defines drug resistance as the ability of a parasite strain to multiply or to thrive in the presence of drug concentrations that normally destroy parasites of the same species or prevent their multiplication (World Health Organization, 1973). Resistance may be relative, with the parasite surviving increased doses of the drug that can be tolerated by the host (drug tolerance), or complete, when the parasites can withstand maximum doses tolerated by the host (outright resistance). In both cases, higher drug concentrations are required to achieve the same levels of parasite clearance (White, 2004).

Resistance emerges *de novo* through spontaneous mutations and/or gene duplications, which are thought to occur independently of drug selection

*Parasitology* (2013), **140**, 1455–1467. © Cambridge University Press 2013 doi:10.1017/S0031182013000954

pressure. In the presence of the drug, the mutated parasites have a selective advantage and their frequency in the population increases at a rate proportional to the selective pressure (drug use) (Hastings and Mackinnon, 1998). The mutations and gene duplications confer drug resistance through a number of mechanisms including alterations of drug transport and permeability, conversion of the drug to a form with lower activity, increased expression of the drug target, and alterations to the drug target that lowers its binding affinity to the inhibitor (White, 1992). Recently, more evidence has emerged to show that drug-resistant parasites can enter a quiescent state in the presence of some drugs, and later continue with normal cell cycle progression once the drug concentrations have waned (Codd et al. 2011).

Drug efficacy monitoring and continuous surveillance of any emerging resistance have become essential facets of malaria control strategies for the deployment of effective antimalarial drugs. Informative molecular markers of resistance are needed to monitor the emergence and spread of drug resistance, as an alternative to time-consuming and expensive drug efficacy trials. This review summarizes the evolution of resistance to antimalarial drugs in *P. falciparum*, and the genetic and genomic methods used to map the markers of this resistance.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: Institute of Infection, Immunity and Inflammation, College of Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, Sir Graeme Davies Building, 120 University Place, Glasgow G12 8TA, Scotland, UK. Tel: +44 141 330 4426. Fax: +44 141 330 4600. E-mail: Jonathan.mwangi@glasgow.ac.uk

THE EVOLUTION AND SPREAD OF ANTIMALARIAL DRUG RESISTANCE

Resistance to antimalarial drugs has now been described for two of the five species of malaria parasite that naturally infect humans, *P. falciparum* and *P. vivax*, and the emergence of resistance usually reflects the extent of use of each antimalarial drug once it has been introduced.

Quinine is one of the oldest known antimalarials, first documented to have been used as an antimalarial in 1631 in Rome (Bruce-Chwatt, 1988), although it was probably used for centuries by natives of Peru and Bolivia to treat chills and fevers. Quinine is an aryl-amino alcohol extracted from the bark of the cinchona tree; chemical isolation was achieved in 1820, but before that dried and ground bark were mixed with sweetened water to offset its bitter taste, forming tonic water (Jarcho and Torti, 1993). Despite the widespread use of quinine in the nineteenth century, the first documented reports of drug resistance were not until 1910 (Nocht and Werner, 1910), and today resistance to quinine is still low and limited to SE Asia, Oceania and, less frequently, South America (Pickard and Wernsdorfer, 2002). Chemical synthesis of quinine was not achieved until 1944, but a number of synthetic drugs based on the structure of quinine were manufactured, the first in 1928, and these form a widely used class of antimalarials known as the quinoline drugs. Quinine itself remained in wider use than its synthetic counterparts until World War II, when the supply of quinine from countries in the South Pacific was cut off by Japanese military conquest.

The first fully synthetic 4-aminoquinoline antimalarials were produced in the 1930s, two of which chloroquine (CQ) (e.g. Avochlor®) and amodiaquine - are still in use today (Surrey and Hammer, 1946). CQ was introduced for treatment and control of malaria in the 1940s and quickly became the drug of choice for most malaria-endemic countries. The first reports of confirmed P. falciparum resistance to CQ came from South America (Colombia, Brazil and Venezuela) in 1960 (Wernsdorfer, 1991). These were followed very soon afterwards by reports of resistance in Southeast Asia (Thailand and Kampuchea) in 1961 (Hartinuta et al. 1962). By 1973, chloroquine resistance (CQR) was widespread in South America and Asia but there was still no resistance in sub-Saharan Africa (World Health Organization, 1973). CQR in Africa was not observed until the late 1970s when its emergence was first seen in the east, in Kenya and Tanzania (Campbell et al. 1979; Fogh et al. 1979), from where it spread to the west. By 1989, the distribution of CQR was virtually identical to that of P. falciparum (Wernsdorfer and Payne, 1991). Another quinine-like drug, mefloquine, was first introduced in 1977, but resistance was reported from the Thai-Myanmar and the Thai-Cambodian

borders in 1982 (Wongsrichanalai *et al.* 2001), although the drug remains effective outside of the SE Asia and some regions of South America.

The antifolate drugs were developed as antibacterial agents but were also found to have activity against malaria parasites (Curd et al. 1945). Antifolate drugs, such as pyrimethamine, were first used as antimalarials in the late 1940s; resistance to pyrimethamine was reported very soon after its introduction (Coatney et al. 1952). Drug resistance became most evident during the Global Malaria Eradication campaign launched by WHO in 1955 (Bruce-Chwatt, 1956). The introduction of salt medicated with antimalarials (especially pyrimethamine) to increase coverage of larger populations may have contributed to the emergence of parasites showing increased tolerance to the drugs. Interventions based on the introduction of pyrimethaminemedicated salts in Kenya, Ghana, the former Dutch New Guinea and Cambodia, among others, showed that, despite an initial reduction, parasite rates returned to pre-intervention levels within a period of six months (Avery, 1958; World Health Organization, 1961; Eyles et al. 1963). While chloroquinemedicated salts seemed to induce resistance less easily, the first cases of chloroquine-resistant parasites originated from or near areas where chloroquine-medicated salts had been in use (Payne, 1988).

Combinations of antifolate drugs inhibiting two enzymes in the folate pathway, dihydrofolate reductase and dihydropteroate synthase, were introduced in the 1960s to try to overcome resistance to monotherapy (World Health Organization, 1981). The first combination of antifolates was Maloprim<sup>®</sup>, a combination of the sulfone, dapsone, and pyrimethamine, but clinical trials were not encouraging and parasite resistance developed rapidly (Peters, 1985). Fixed-dose combinations such as sulfadoxinepyrimethamine (SP, Fansidar®) gradually replaced CQ as first-line antimalarials, as CQ resistance increased. Resistance to SP emerged in SE Asia and the Amazon basin in the mid-1970s (White, 1992) and in Africa in the 1990s. Resistance to SP developed rapidly after its introduction, and in both SE Asia and Africa it was only useful for about six years before resistance reached unacceptably high levels (White, 2004; Anderson and Roper, 2005).

Atovaquone, a lipophilic hydroxynaphthoquinone, was developed in the 1980s and found to have potent activity against a range of pathogens including *Plasmodium* (Hudson *et al.* 1991). It is structurally related to ubiquinone (coenzyme Q) which is an important part of the mitochondrial electron transport chain (Fry and Pudney, 1992). Resistance to atovaquone develops very rapidly when it is used alone, but develops more slowly when used in combination with drugs such as proguanil (Malarone<sup>®</sup>) (Chiodini *et al.* 1995; Looareesuwan *et al.* 1996; Radloff *et al.* 1996).

Approach	Prior knowledge or resources required	Examples of drug resistance genes discovered this way
Candidate gene	Mode of action of drug or target of drug action.	Pyrimethamine (Cowman <i>et al.</i> 1988) Atovaquone (Korsinczky <i>et al.</i> 2000) Chloroquine (Foote <i>et al.</i> 1989)
Linkage analysis	Genetic cross between parasites with different levels of drug response. Genetic map (genotyping) of progeny	Chloroquine (Wellems <i>et al.</i> 1991; Fidock <i>et al.</i> 2000) Quinine (Ferdig <i>et al.</i> 2004)
GWAS	of the cross. Patient samples with different drug responses. Detailed genotyping of each sample.	Pyrimethamine (Peterson <i>et al.</i> 1988) Artemisinin (Cheeseman <i>et al.</i> 2012) Halofantrine (Van Tyne <i>et al.</i> 2011)

Table 1. Summary of the three different approaches to identify markers of drug resistance in malaria parasites

Resistance to CQ and antifolates was widespread by 2004, and in 2006 the World Health Organization recommended the deployment of artemisinin-based combination therapies (ACTs) as first-line treatment for uncomplicated P. falciparum malaria (World Health Organization, 2010). Artemisinin (ART) is a sesquiterpene lactone endoperoxide with potent antimalarial activity, and was originally isolated from Artemisia annua, a herb used as an ancient Chinese herbal remedy (Meshnick et al. 1996). ART and its derivatives, artesunate, dihydroartemisinin and artemether, are very fast-acting (which helps to reduce the parasite load quickly), act against the broadest range of asexual blood-stage parasites of all known antimalarials, and they also inhibit development of gametocytes (Price et al. 1996). However, their very short half-life in plasma, about 2 h, means that recrudescence is common when ART is used as monotherapy. ART and its derivatives are therefore recommended to be administered with a partner drug with a longer-lasting half-life. Common coformulations include artemether-lumefantrine, artesunate-amodiaquine, artesunate-sulfadoxine/ pyrimethamine and artesunate-mefloquine (Olliaro and Wells, 2009; Wells et al. 2009).

The first reports of ACT clinical failure were made in 2003 near the Thai-Cambodian border (Vijaykadga et al. 2006) following artesunatemefloquine treatment, but it was not possible to establish whether this failure was due to artesunate or the partner drug, mefloquine. Subsequent studies in western Cambodia verified artesunate tolerance characterized by delayed clearance times following treatment (Noedl et al. 2008). A large study, conducted in Western Cambodia and northwestern Thailand, provided the clearest evidence of emerging ART resistance (Dondorp et al. 2009); following artesunate monotherapy or artesunate-mefloquine treatment, parasite clearance times were prolonged from 48 to 72 h and from 54 to 84 h respectively. It has since been shown that ART resistance is a heritable trait (Anderson et al. 2010). In an in vitro study, Witkowski et al. (2010) showed that parasites selected for ART resistance survive high doses of the drug by going into a state of developmental quiescence at the ring stage. These parasites were able to resume normal growth following the removal of the drug.

Thus, at the time of writing this review, there is existing resistance, or reduced efficacy, to all antimalarial drugs used for the treatment of *P. falciparum*. Monitoring the levels of drug resistance present in the malaria parasites in a region is crucial for effective control of the disease.

### APPROACHES TO INVESTIGATE DRUG RESISTANCE IN MALARIA

There are three established approaches used to investigate the genes in malaria parasites involved in modulating drug responses: candidate gene approach, linkage analysis and genome-wide association analysis (GWAS). We have used various examples to describe each of these approaches to identify parasite genes or genomic regions involved in antimalarial drug resistance, summarized in Table 1.

## Discovery of drug resistance markers through investigation of candidate genes

The candidate gene approach involves the investigation of polymorphism within a target gene, and the association of specific alleles with the parasite's response to the drug. This does not mean that mutations associated with resistance only occur in target genes and it is possible that resistance could arise via mutations in or changes in expressions of non-target genes such as transporters. The approach relies almost entirely on having prior knowledge of the drug's mode of action, or at least its target pathway. While it has also been recently used to prioritize of genes identified through linkage analysis and GWAS studies (Van Tyne et al. 2011; Cheeseman et al. 2012), it is the former approach that is addressed here. The best examples of successful identification of drug resistance markers through the candidate gene approach are for the parasite genes that modulate resistance to the antifolates and to atovaquone and, to an extent, CQ.



Fig. 1. Common variants of *P. falciparum* DHFR and their levels of pyrimethamine resistance *in vitro*. The x axis refers to the position and amino acid within the protein, using single letter coding.  $IC_{50}$  levels are the approximate values for the concentration of pyrimethamine ( $\mu$ M) required to reduce the parasitaemia by more than 50% compared to untreated controls, using *in vitro* tests (adapted from Hyde 1989).

Type II antifolate drugs and dihydrofolate reductase (dhfr). Antifolate drugs are designed to mimic the essential metabolites of the malaria parasite in the folate pathway, and are active against all the growing stages in the liver, erythrocytic stages and growing stages in the mosquito (sporogonic stages).

The parasite *dhfr* gene was implicated in drug resistance, when it was shown that P. berghei strains resistant to pyrimethamine had increased levels of the DHFR protein and decreased binding affinity of the drug to the enzyme (Diggens et al. 1970). Genetic crossing experiments in both P. chabaudi and P. yoelii using pyrimethamine-sensitive and pyrimethamineresistant strains showed that the resistance phenotype segregated as a single gene in both cases. This gene was confirmed to be *dhfr* (Walliker *et al.* 1975, 1976; Knowles et al. 1981). In the case of P. chabaudi, no large differences in the enzyme amounts or turnover numbers were observed between resistant and sensitive clones. Later investigations of enzyme kinetics revealed a large decrease in affinity for binding of pyrimethamine with the enzyme from a resistant clone of *P. chabaudi*, together with changes in kinetic and other properties, indicating that the resistance is due to genetic change leading to a structurally different enzyme (Sirawaraporn and Yuthavong, 1984).

Pyrimethamine resistance in *P. falciparum* was also suggested to be associated with genetic change in the *dhfr* gene: the resistant phenotype was inherited in a genetic cross with a linkage group from the pyrimethamine-resistant parent containing the dihydrofolate reductase- thymidylate synthase (*dhfr-ts*) gene, and sequence data revealed a single mutation in the parents of the genetic cross, conferring an amino acid change from serine to asparagine at codon 108 of the DHFR domain (Cowman *et al.* 1988; Peterson *et al.* 1988). Subsequently, the same polymorphism in *P. falciparum dhfr* was found in a number of pyrimethamine-resistant and -sensitive clones, both from the laboratory and the field, and additional mutations in codons 51 and 59 were suggested to underlie increased resistance to the drug (Cowman et al. 1988; Peterson et al. 1988, 1991). It is now well established that high-level pyrimethamine resistance results from the accumulation of mutations in the dhfr gene, principally at codons 108, 59 and 51, giving rise to S108N, C59R and N51I. The mutations appear sequentially in pyrimethamine-treated populations, with the S108N mutation appearing first, followed by N51I or C59R (Plowe et al. 1997). However, only the S108N mutation has been found to occur singly in nature leading to suggestions that mutations either or both at codons 51 and 59 act predominantly by restoring the enzymatic defects that occur as a consequence of the original point mutation at position 108 (Hastings, 2004). Parasites with additional mutations of isoleucine to leucine at codon 164 (I164L) have increased levels of resistance to pyrimethamine (Cowman et al. 1988; Foote et al. 1990a; Basco et al. 1996). The various dhfr mutations and the associated inhibitory concentrations as measured in vitro are summarized in Fig. 1. Variation at residue 16 (Ala-16 to Val A16 V) confers resistance only to cycloguanil and the variation is always found to be associated with S108 T variation (Foote *et al.* 1990*a*).

Atovaquone and the cytochrome bc1 complex. Hydroxynaphthoquinones have long been known to inhibit respiration through inhibition of enzymes in the mitochondrial respiratory chain and were first developed as antimicrobials in the 1940s (Anfinsen, 1947; Ball *et al.* 1947). Atovaquone (2-[trans-4-(4'chlorophenyl) cyclohexyl] 3-hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone), a structural analogue of ubiquinone (coenzyme Q), was developed as an antimalarial in the 1980s (Hudson et al. 1991). Like the antimicrobial naphthoquinones, atovaquone was shown to inhibit the parasite mitochondrial respiratory chain, specifically acting at the cytochrome bc1 complex, and thereby inhibiting transport of electrons in the parasite mitochondria, without affecting the host mitochondrial functions at the doses used (Fry and Pudney, 1992). It was therefore postulated that mutations within the parasite *cytochrome b* gene at or close to the atovaquone/ubiquinone binding site or the catalytic site would inhibit the action of the drug (Srivastava et al. 1999). Sequence analysis of the cytb gene from P. falciparum clones artificially selected for atovaquone-resistance in vitro revealed several mutations that were associated with increased atovaquone tolerance, including Y268S/C, M133I and V284K within the catalytic domain of Pfcytb, and others such as G280D, K272R and P275T (Srivastava et al. 1999; Korsinczky et al. 2000). Parasites from patients with Malarone<sup>®</sup> (atovaquoneproguanil) treatment failure have mutations at position 268 of *Pfcytb*, resulting in a change of amino acid from tyrosine to serine, cysteine or asparagine (Srivastava et al. 1999; Schwobel et al. 2003; Wichmann et al. 2004a; Sutherland et al. 2008). Some studies have also reported treatment failures with Malarone where parasites have no mutations in *Pfcvtb*, suggesting that other mechanisms of resistance might be involved (Wichmann et al. 2004b).

Chloroquine, p-glycoprotein 1 (Pgh1), and the multidrug resistance 1 (Pfmdr 1) gene. Although the mechanism of action of CQ is thought to be via inhibition of detoxification of the products of haemoglobin digestion by the parasite (Chou et al. 1980; Bray et al. 1998), resistant parasites were observed to have a reduced accumulation of CQ compared to sensitive (CQS) strains (Geary et al. 1986; Saliba et al. 1998). CQR (and the associated reduced accumulation of CQ) could also be reversed (at least in vitro) using calcium-channel antagonists such as verapamil (Krogstad et al. 1987; Martin et al. 1987), a phenomenon also observed in mammalian cancer cells with a multidrug resistance phenotype (Fojo et al. 1985), and associated with increased expression of a membrane protein, p-glycoprotein, an ATPdependent drug efflux pump (reviewed by Schinkel and Borst, 1991). Based on these observations, P. falciparum sequences corresponding to homologues of the *mdr transporter* genes in mammalian cells were identified and investigated for their possible role in CQ resistance (Foote et al. 1989; Wilson et al. 1989). Two homologues of mdr were found in the P. falciparum genome, and these were denoted Pfmdr1 and Pfmdr2 (Wilson et al. 1989). Pfmdr1 encodes a ~160 kD protein, termed Pgh 1 (P-glycoprotein homologue 1), which localizes to the parasite food vacuole, where it was postulated to regulate intracellular drug concentrations (Cowman and Karcz, 1991). Sequence polymorphisms in *Pfmdr1* have been correlated with chloroquine-resistant phenotypes in vitro. One polymorphism, at codon 86, involves a single amino acid change, N86Y. Other mutations lead to four amino acid changes at codons Y184F, S1034C, N1042D and D1246Y (Foote et al. 1990b). Analysis of these polymorphisms in a doubleblind study correctly predicted the CQ resistance profile of 34 of 36 isolates (Foote et al. 1990b). Molecular epidemiological analyses of field isolates have demonstrated association of some of these polymorphisms with CQ resistance in studies from sub-Saharan Africa (Basco et al. 1995) and Asia (Cox-Singh et al. 1995; Duraisingh et al. 2000). Other studies have, however, failed to find an association with these allelic variations (Awad-el-Kariem et al. 1992; Povoa et al. 1998; Mungthin et al. 1999), including linkage analysis studies (see later). When field isolates are analysed, both mutation and amplification of the Pfmdr1 gene are found to be widespread in numerous geographical areas (Basco et al. 1995; Price et al. 1999). Together these data suggest that while *Pfmdr1* can modulate *P. falciparum* parasite response to CQ, there appears to be no evidence to date to implicate Pfmdr2.

# Discovery of drug resistance markers through linkage analysis

Linkage analysis is a statistical methodology used to identify regions of a genome that change a specific phenotype of interest. Linkage studies require analysis of progeny from an experimental genetic cross between two parasites with differing phenotypes (in this case drug response), and a detailed genetic map of those progeny, identifying the genomic regions inherited from each parent (reviewed by Ranford-Cartwright and Mwangi, 2012). There are two types of linkage analyses; simple linkage and the more complicated quantitative trait locus (QTL) analyses. Simple linkage analysis of *Plasmodium* genetic crosses is applied where the phenotype segregates as a bimodal distribution in the progeny (Fig. 2), which is most simply explained because it is controlled by a single gene. Because the malaria parasite is haploid for most of its lifecycle, progeny clones inherit a particular gene from one or the other of the two parents, and thus also inherit the parental version of the phenotype controlled by that gene (there can be no heterozygotes). QTL analysis on the other hand is applied where the phenotype segregates as a continuous (unimodal) distribution (Fig. 3), which suggests that the trait is under the control of multiple genes, and can be inherited independently from either parent. Linkage mapping in Plasmodium exploits the haploid nature of the genome, and also the high recombination rates obtained in P. falciparum, which means that candidate genomic regions can be

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182013000954 Published online by Cambridge University Press



Fig. 2. The distribution of chloroquine drug response of 35 progeny clones from the HB3 × Dd2 cross, shown as the  $IC_{50}$  levels (concentration of drug required to reduce parasitaemia by 50% compared to untreated controls). The bimodal shape is indicative that CQ response is controlled by a single gene. Redrawn from data presented in Sen and Ferdig (2003).



Fig. 3. The distribution of quinine drug response of 35 progeny clones from the HB3  $\times$  Dd2 cross, shown as the IC<sub>50</sub> levels (concentration of drug required to reduce parasitaemia by 50% compared to untreated controls). The unimodal distribution is indicative of a quantitative multigenic trait. Redrawn from data presented in Sen and Ferdig (2003).

mapped to short stretches of DNA and with high precision (Su *et al.* 1997, 1999). A major advantage of linkage analysis is that it requires no *a priori* knowl-edge of the mechanism of action of the drug and/or its target.

Drug response, like most other cellular chemical responses, occurs within a network of linear and, sometimes, intricate web of non-linear pathways and so linkage analyses might identify not only the specific gene targets of the drug but also the biological processes in which the gene functions (Sen and Ferdig, 2003). Both simple linkage and QTL analyses have been successfully employed to characterize genes that control various drug responses in malaria parasites, two of which will be described here. Simple linkage analysis was used to identify the locus responsible for the CQ response (Wellems *et al.* 1990) and QTL has been used to map the loci linked to quinine responses (Ferdig *et al.* 2004).

Chloroquine resistance and the chloroquine resistance transporter gene (Pfcrt). In an attempt to establish the genetic basis of CQ resistance, an experimental cross between a chloroquine-sensitive Central American clone (HB3) and a multi-drug resistant Southeast Asian clone (Dd2) was performed (Wellems et al. 1990). The resulting progeny were phenotyped for their response to CQ in vitro, and the phenotype was found to segregate into roughly two groups: CQ-sensitive and CQ-resistant clones (Fig. 2). The progeny clones were genotyped at approximately 900 microsatellite markers across the 14 chromosomes to generate a genetic map. Using simple linkage analysis, CQR was mapped as a Mendelian trait to a 400 kb locus on chromosome 7 (Wellems et al. 1991). Further analysis narrowed the locus to a 36 kb segment with ten open reading frames (Su et al. 1997), and the specific locus responsible, named Pfcrt, was identified using forward genetics approaches including allelic exchange (Fidock *et al.* 2000; Sidhu *et al.* 2002). Coexpression of mutant forms of Pfcrt in the presence of a wild-type background conferred only a modest degree of resistance to CQS parasites (Fidock *et al.* 2000), whereas replacing the entire Pfcrt allele in a CQS parasite with alleles of mutant sequences resulted in recombinant clones with all the hallmarks of a *bona fide* CQ resistance phenotype. These include increased CQ IC50 values (exceeding 100 nM), acquisition of verapamil reversibility, and decreased CQ accumulation (Sidhu *et al.* 2002).

*Pfcrt* is a highly fragmented gene with 13 exons, spanning 3.1 kb, and encodes a 424 amino acid protein with 10 predicted transmembrane domains (Fidock et al. 2000). The protein was later localized to the digestive vacuole membrane by immunofluorescence (Fidock et al. 2000; Cooper et al. 2002). Mutations in the *Pfcrt* gene correlate strongly with in vitro CQ resistance in culture-adapted isolates from around the world (Fidock et al. 2000; Wootton et al. 2002). The critical mutation in CQR isolates results in a change of lysine to threonine at codon 76 (K76T). Other amino acid changes exist in flanking regions (72-75), with the allele present dependent on the geographical origin of the isolate. These additional mutations, predicted to lie within the transmembrane segments, may serve to compensate for a loss of the endogenous function associated with the K76T variation, although some may confer resistance to related antimalarial agents (Wellems and Plowe, 2001; Chen et al. 2003; Lim et al. 2003; Cooper et al. 2005).

Mutations in *Pfcrt* are believed to confer CQ resistance by reducing the amount of drug that accumulates within the parasite digestive vacuole (Saliba *et al.* 1998). There are several hypotheses to explain how Pfcrt might exert this effect on digestive vacuole CQ concentration, either as a consequence of alterations in the pH of the (Bennett *et al.* 2004), or a direct effect through enhanced transport of CQ out of the digestive vacuole (Saliba *et al.* 1998; Sanchez *et al.* 2003).

The debate on the mechanism of action of CQ and how resistance arises is still ongoing. Nevertheless, a combination of *Pfcrt* and *Pfmdr1* polymorphisms together has been shown to result in higher levels of CQR (Babiker *et al.* 2001). This observation is also supported by a whole genome survey using microsatellite markers to detect linkage disequilibrium (LD) in a range of parasites, which indentified the major locus for CQR at *Pfcrt* (Fidock *et al.* 2000; Djimde *et al.* 2001), but also an important secondary locus of *Pfmdr 1* on chromosome 5 (Wootton *et al.* 2002).

Quinine resistance and the Na + /H + exchanger (*Pfnhe*). Quinine is currently reserved for the treatment of severe and multidrug-resistant malaria, often

in combination with antibiotics for the latter infections (World Health Organization, 2010). The molecular mechanism of quinine action against *P. falciparum* is not fully understood, although like CQ it has been shown to accumulate in the parasite's digestive vacuole and inhibit haeme detoxification (Jarcho and Torti, 1993). Quinine resistance is not currently very widespread, which is thought to be a result of the drug's short half-life (8 h) and, more importantly, that multiple genes mediate this trait (Sen and Ferdig, 2003).

Analysis of progeny from an experimental genetic cross using the clones Dd2×HB3, which have a small difference in quinine IC50 values, revealed a continuum of drug responses (Fig. 3), implying that quinine resistance is a multigenic trait (Ferdig et al. 2004). QTL mapping revealed contributory loci on five chromosomes, including regions containing *Pfmdr1* and *Pfcrt* on chromosomes 5 and 7, respectively. This was no surprise since both Pfcrt and Pfmdr1 influence quinine response in previous studies (Cooper et al. 2002; Sidhu et al. 2002). An additional major locus on chromosome 13, spanning 380 kb and containing about 100 genes, was mapped as being associated with low level quinine resistance (Ferdig et al. 2004). One of the candidate genes in this region, denoted Pfnhe, encodes a sodium-hydrogen exchanger (NHE) which localizes to the parasitic plasma membrane (Ferdig et al. 2004). It has been suggested PFNHE is a proton pump that maintains parasite pH at 7.4, countering the effects of acidifying effects of anaerobic glycolysis (Bosia et al. 1993; Urscher *et al*. 2011).

Polymorphic regions of *Pfnhe* were shown to be associated with low quinine response in a variety of *P. falciparum* isolates, with variation in a microsatellite repeat denoted ms4670 significantly associated with decreased quinine response in some field isolates (Henry *et al.* 2009; Okombo *et al.* 2010), but not in others (Briolant *et al.* 2011). These results suggest that *Pfnhe* is acting in concert with another gene, or that quinine resistance is conferred by another gene located closely to *Pfnhe*.

Linkage analysis has proved to be a powerful tool for mapping genomic loci associated with various phenotypes. However, it relies on the successful genetic crossing between two parasites with varying measures of the trait, resulting in progeny that have unique combinations of alleles. Due to the lack of an efficient in vitro culture system for the hepatic stages of *P. falciparum*, genetic crosses rely on the use of a suitable mammalian host (a chimpanzee), which is expensive and ethically problematic. To date only three genetic crosses of P. falciparum have been successfully performed (see Ranford-Cartwright and Mwangi (2012) for a review on P. falciparum genetic crosses) which means there is a limited amount of resources that can be used for further linkage analysis of drug resistance. At the time of writing this review

two more crosses are being undertaken: a fourth *P. falciparum* cross and the first experimental *P. vivax* cross (Wellems *et al.* unpublished).

### Discovery of drug resistance markers through GWAS

GWAS use statistical analyses to look for associations between genetic markers across whole genomes, and phenotypic traits in parasite samples from natural populations. The rationale for the use of GWAS to identify drug resistance loci is that drug resistance involves significant contributions from individual genes and, due to strong selection, causative alleles will be found at high frequencies in treated populations (Nash et al. 2005). In order to carry out GWAS, one needs to have parasite samples from natural infections with varying drug responses, as well as detailed genotypes, usually consisting of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). Drugresistance in malaria is often due to specific inherited mutations that can spread rapidly under drug pressure, leaving signatures of selection in the genome (selection valleys), because there is not enough time for the breakdown of linkage between the causal alleles and flanking regions of the genome (Su et al. 2007). GWAS analysis exploits the presence of such signatures of selection by scanning for regions of high LD to map markers that are involved in drug resistance. The first proof-of-principle GWAS study successfully mapped Pfcrt on chromosome 7 using 92 parasites from global locations and just 342 microsatellite markers (Wootton et al. 2002). Here we discuss the novel markers of antimalarial drug resistance that have been identified exclusively through GWAS analyses.

Halofantrine, mefloquine and lumefantrine resistance and Pf10\_0335. Halofantrine is an aryl methanol, with structural similarity to quinine and other quinolines, which was introduced as an antimalarial in 1988. It is thought to inhibit the haeme detoxification pathway as it has been shown to form complexes with ferriprotoporphyrin IX (Dorn et al. 1998). It was shown to be effective even against multidrugresistant malaria (Bloland, 2001). However, its use as monotherapy has been curtailed by widespread reports of potential cardiotoxicity (reviewed in Bouchaud et al. (2009)), although it is used in low doses as combination therapy with artesunate (Olliaro and Wells, 2009).

Using 17000 SNPs and *in vitro* responses to various antimalarials, Van Tyne *et al.* (2011) analysed a set of globally-diverse parasites and identified a highly polymorphic locus,  $PF10_0355$  (subsequently renamed as  $PF3D7_1034500$ ), associated with halofantrine resistance. Expression of the allele from a resistant parasite in a sensitive recipient, or over-expression of the allele from a drug-sensitive

parasite, conferred decreased susceptibility to halofantrine and to other structurally related aminoquinolines like mefloquine and lumefantrine, but not to the unrelated antimalarials CQ, artemisinin and atovaquone. It was concluded that increased copy number of  $PF10_0355$  in the drug-resistant parasites decreased susceptibility to halofantrine, mefloquine and lumefantrine, although the mechanism is unknown (Van Tyne *et al.* 2011).

Artemisinin response and a chromosome 13 locus. The global roll-out of artemisinin-combination therapy (ACT) with integrated vector control measures has contributed to the recent reduction in malaria morbidity and mortality. The mechanism of action of artemisinin is thought to be either oxidative damage to parasite membranes or inactivation of parasite proteins by free radicals produced by the drug (Hartwig *et al.* 2009). The endoperoxide moiety seems to be critical in the function of artemisinins, and it is believed to produce the active compound upon interaction with intracellular iron, probably arising from the haeme degradation by the parasite (Klonis *et al.* 2011).

The useful therapeutic life of artemisinin is now threatened, with recent reports of the emergence of resistant parasites in Southeast Asia at the Thailand/ Cambodia border (Dondorp et al. 2009). Although it is not yet known whether these observations are of 'true' resistance, parasites exhibit slower clearance rates following artemisinin treatment compared to sensitive ones. In a recent study, Cheeseman et al. (2012) used the differential clearance rates of parasites from patients treated with ACT, sampled from Cambodia, Thailand and Laos, to perform a genomewide association study. Their work revealed strong selection on 33 genomic regions, ten of which contained known antimalarial resistance genes. A 105 kb locus on chromosome 13 was significantly associated with parasite clearance time in all three parasite populations, and allelic variation was lowest in Cambodia (slowest parasite clearance time) compared to the high diversity observed in parasites from Laos whose clearance times were shorter, supporting the hypothesis of a recent selective sweep. Fine mapping narrowed the locus to a 35 kb region containing seven candidate genes; this region was estimated to explain at least 35.2% of the variation observed in clearance rates of the parasites in this region (Cheeseman et al. 2012), but further forward genetic support will rely on the development of laboratory assays that can serve as a surrogate measure of the clearance rate phenotype in vitro.

While GWAS is gaining popularity after the recent development of more sensitive and robust sequencing methods, resulting in better marker coverage of the whole genome, there are still challenges when using the technique in natural malaria parasite populations. GWAS methods require homogeneous samples i.e. single genotype infections, but malaria parasite infections in endemic areas are usually composed of more than one parasite genotype (Conway et al. 1991), necessitating screening and identification of single genotype infections for the analysis. This reduces the sample size and thus the power available for analyses. In addition, parasite population demographics, including sub-structuring, can inflate associations of phenotypes and loci through false positives. This problem can be overcome, to an extent, by using more powerful analytical tools to overcome data stratification, e.g. haplotype likelihood ratio (HLR), cross population extended haplotype heterozygosity (XP-EHH), and the mixed model analysis such as the one successfully used by Van Tyne et al. to map the locus for halofantrine resistance discussed above (Van Tyne et al. 2011).

Even the strongest statistical association of genetic linkage does not imply biological causation. This means that all candidate genes identified by GWAS or linkage analyses methods have to be validated and functionally tested in order to prove their causal process with the phenotype under study. This work has to be done using appropriate laboratory assays. However, not all phenotypes have appropriate laboratory assays which can be used to validate the genes linked to them. For instance, the variable clearance time phenotype observed with artemisinin for which Cheeseman and colleagues identified candidate loci does not currently have a suitable laboratory assay to validate the genes identified (Cheeseman et al. 2012). For those phenotypes with suitable laboratory assays there is a second challenge; the process of functional validation in *P. falciparum* can be time consuming and still has very low success rates (reviewed by Crabb et al. 2004). Ideally, to prove functional association between a gene and a phenotype, in this case drug resistance, the allele of the candidate gene in a sensitive parasite should be replaced by that from a resistant parasite (conferring resistance in an appropriate assay), and vice versa. In cases where the candidate loci contain multiple genes, or where the inheritance of the phenotype is complex, such proof will be difficult to obtain.

## USES OF MOLECULAR MARKERS FOR ANTIMALARIAL DRUG RESISTANCE

Molecular markers for antimalarial drug resistance have been used to track the spread of resistant alleles in patient samples during the time that the drug is in use. This methodology complements the more laborious and expensive *in vitro* and *in vivo* drug efficacy screening to monitor drug resistance (World Health Organization, 1990, 2003). The tracking of drugresistant alleles has enabled policy makers to prepare for first-line antimalarial changes before *in vivo* treatment failures have reached critical levels. A good example was during the transition from sulphadoxine/pyrimethamine (SP) to ACTs in most African countries, where molecular markers for SP failure were used to anticipate and track treatment failure, thus enabling a timely switch of the treatment regimen (Plowe *et al.* 1997; Kublin *et al.* 1998; Nzila-Mounda *et al.* 1998). It also allows researchers to monitor the levels of resistance (through prevalence of drug resistance mutations) when a particular drug has been withdrawn due to high resistance, when it would be unethical to conduct efficacy trials (Plowe *et al.* 2004).

Molecular markers have also been used retrospectively to analyse and understand the factors that favour the emergence and spread of drug resistance, including extent of drug use, transmission intensity and parasite inbreeding rates, by measuring allele frequencies in archived samples which would not otherwise have been typed for drug resistance (Wootton et al. 2002; Roper et al. 2003; Hastings, 2004; Anderson and Roper, 2005). In low transmission areas, where people have low levels of acquired immunity to malaria, and most infections are symptomatic, the majority of malaria episodes receive treatment. This results in a strong selective advantage for parasites carrying drug-resistant mutations. This means that parasite populations are under higher drug pressure in low transmission areas and so resistance mutations are more likely to evolve, survive and spread in such areas (Hastings, 2004). The selective advantage is lower in high transmission zones where infections are more likely to be asymptomatic and thus remain untreated (Bottius et al. 1996). This might partly explain why the hotspots for malaria drug resistance are the lower-transmission regions of Southeast Asia, especially the countries of Thailand, Cambodia and Vietnam (Wootton et al. 2002; Anderson and Roper, 2005; Dondorp et al. 2009; Cheeseman et al. 2012), although the previous and heavy use of artemisinin monotherapy (rather than ACT) has been suggested as an important contributory factor in the emergence of ART resistance in Cambodia (Dondorp et al. 2004). Low transmission regions generally have a lower likelihood of mixed genotype infections, and thus a higher rate of inbreeding. Parasite inbreeding has been proposed to favour the spread of multigenic drug resistance, by preventing the breakdown and dilution of drugresistant haplotypes that occur through genetic recombination (Schmidt, 1995). Multidrug resistance genotypes are, however, more likely to be generated when transmission intensities, and the rate of multiple clone infections, are higher (Curtis and Otoo, 1986).

In conclusion, different genetic and genomic methodologies have been utilized to reveal the molecular bases of drug resistance in *P. falciparum*. The choice of approach mainly depends on the existing knowledge of the target of action of the drugs and the resources available. The development

of better, faster and inexpensive tools, such as the recent advances in sequencing whole genomes, will obviously simplify and improve the accuracy of locating parasite genomic regions that modulate drug responses. The development of better methods for functional analysis, to validate the candidate genes, will help to elucidate the targets of drug action, and the mechanism of resistance, knowledge that will be useful to formulate better drugs for future deployment.

### FINANCIAL SUPPORT

We acknowledge the financial support for our research from the Wellcome Trust (grant numbers 089891 and 091791) and the European Commission (MALSIG, TM-REST, EVIMALAR).

#### REFERENCES

Anderson, T. J., Nair, S., Nkhoma, S., Williams, J. T., Imwong, M., Yi, P., Socheat, D., Das, D., Chotivanich, K., Day, N. P., White, N. J. and Dondorp, A. M. (2010). High heritability of malaria parasite clearance rate indicates a genetic basis for artemisinin resistance in western Cambodia. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 201, 1326–1330. doi: 10.1086/651562.

Anderson, T.J. and Roper, C. (2005). The origins and spread of antimalarial drug resistance: lessons for policy makers. *Acta Tropica* 94, 269– 280. doi: S0001-706X(05)00079-3 [pii]; doi: 10.1016/j.actatropica.2005. 04.010.

**Anfinsen, C.B.** (1947). The inhibitory action of naphthoquinones on respiratory process; the inhibition of cleavage and respiration in the eggs of *Arbacia punctulata*. *Journal of Cellular Physiology* **29**, 323–332.

**Avery, J.S.** (1958). Mass treatment with pyrimethamine: a study of resistance and cross resistance resulting from a field trial in a hyperendemic malarious area of Makueni, Kenya. September 1952–September 1953. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **52**, 547–561.

Awad-el-Kariem, F. M., Miles, M. A. and Warhurst, D. C. (1992). Chloroquine-resistant *Plasmodium falciparum* isolates from the Sudan lack two mutations in the pfmdr1 gene thought to be associated with chloroquine resistance. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **86**, 587–589.

Babiker, H. A., Pringle, S. J., Abdel-Muhsin, A., Mackinnon, M., Hunt, P. and Walliker, D. (2001). High-level chloroquine resistance in Sudanese isolates of *Plasmodium falciparum* is associated with mutations in the chloroquine resistance transporter gene pfcrt and the multidrug resistance Gene *pfmdr1. Journal of Infectious Diseases* **183**, 1535–1538. doi: IID001320 [pii]; doi: 10.1086/320195.

Ball, E. G., Anfinsen, C. B. and Cooper, O. (1947). The inhibitory action of naphthoquinones on respiratory processes. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 168, 257–270.

Basco, L. K., de Pecoulas, P. E., le, B. J. and Wilson, C. M. (1996). *Plasmodium falciparum*: molecular characterization of multidrug-resistant Cambodian isolates. *Experimental Parasitology* **82**, 97–103. doi: S0014-4894 (96)90013-2 [pii]; doi: 10.1006/expr.1996.0013.

Basco, L. K., le, B. J., Rhoades, Z. and Wilson, C. M. (1995). Analysis of pfmdr1 and drug susceptibility in fresh isolates of *Plasmodium falciparum* from subsaharan Africa. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology* 74, 157–166. doi: 0166685195024921 [pii].

Bennett, T. N., Kosar, A. D., Ursos, L. M., Dzekunov, S., Singh Sidhu, A. B., Fidock, D. A. and Roepe, P. D. (2004). Drug resistance-associated pfCRT mutations confer decreased *Plasmodium falciparum* digestive vacuolar pH. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology* **133**, 99–114. doi: S0166685103002792 [pii].

Bloland, P. B. (2001). Drug Resistant Malaria. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.

Bosia, A., Ghigo, D., Turrini, F., Nissani, E., Pescarmona, G.P. and Ginsburg, H. (1993). Kinetic characterization of Na+/H+ antiport of *Plasmodium falciparum* membrane. *Journal of Cellular Physiology* **154**, 527–534. doi: 10.1002/jcp.1041540311.

Bottius, E., Guanzirolli, A., Trape, J. F., Rogier, C., Konate, L. and Druilhe, P. (1996). Malaria: even more chronic in nature than previously

Bouchaud, O., Imbert, P., Touze, J. E., Dodoo, A. N., Danis, M. and Legros, F. (2009). Fatal cardiotoxicity related to halofantrine: a review based on a worldwide safety data base. *Malaria Journal* 8, 289-1475-2875-8-289 [pii]; doi: 10.1186/1475-2875-8-289.

Bray, P. G., Mungthin, M., Ridley, R. G. and Ward, S. A. (1998). Access to hematin: the basis of chloroquine resistance. *Molecular Pharmacology* 54, 170–179.

Briolant, S., Pelleau, S., Bogreau, H., Hovette, P., Zettor, A., Castello, J., Baret, E., Amalvict, R., Rogier, C. and Pradines, B. (2011). *In vitro* susceptibility to quinine and microsatellite variations of the *Plasmodium falciparum* Na+/H+ exchanger (Pfnhe-1) gene: the absence of association in clinical isolates from the Republic of Congo. *Malaria Journal* **10**, 37-1475-2875-10-37 [pii]; doi: 10.1186/1475-2875-10-37.

Bruce-Chwatt, L. J. (1956). Chemotherapy in relation to possibilities of malaria eradication in tropical Africa. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* **15**, 852–862.

Bruce-Chwatt, L. J. (1988). Three hundred and fifty years of the Peruvian fever bark. British Medical Journal (Clinical Research Edition) 296, 1486–1487.

**Campbell, C.C., Chin, W., Collins, W.E., Teutsch, S.M. and Moss, D.M.** (1979). Chloroquine-resistant *Plasmodium falciparum* from East Africa: cultivation and drug sensitivity of the Tanzanian I/CDC strain from an American tourist. *Lancet* **2**, 1151–1154.

Cheeseman, I. H., Miller, B. A., Nair, S., Nkhoma, S., Tan, A., Tan, J. C., Al, S. S., Phyo, A. P., Moo, C. L., Lwin, K. M., McGready, R., Ashley, E., Imwong, M., Stepniewska, K., Yi, P., Dondorp, A. M., Mayxay, M., Newton, P. N., White, N. J., Nosten, F., Ferdig, M. T. and Anderson, T. J. (2012). A major genome region underlying artemisinin resistance in malaria. *Science* 336, 79–82. doi: 336/6077/79 [pii]; doi: 10.1126/science.1215966.

Chen, N., Kyle, D. E., Pasay, C., Fowler, E. V., Baker, J., Peters, J. M. and Cheng, Q. (2003). pfcrt Allelic types with two novel amino acid mutations in chloroquine-resistant *Plasmodium falciparum* isolates from the Philippines. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* **47**, 3500–3505.

Chiodini, P. L., Conlon, C. P., Hutchinson, D. B. A., Farquhar, J. A., Hall, A. P., Peto, T. E. A., Birley, H. and Warrell, D. A. (1995). Evaluation of atovaquone in the treatment of patients with uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 36, 1073–1078.

**Chou, A. C., Chevli, R. and Fitch, C. D.** (1980). Ferriprotoporphyrin IX fulfills the criteria for identification as the chloroquine receptor of malaria parasites. *Biochemistry* **19**, 1543–1549.

Coatney, G. R., Myatt, A. V., Hernandez, T., Jeffery, G. M. and Cooper, W. C. (1952). Studies on the compound 50–63. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **46**, 496–497.

Codd, A., Teuscher, F., Kyle, D.E., Cheng, Q. and Gatton, M.L. (2011). Artemisinin-induced parasite dormancy: a plausible mechanism for treatment failure. *Malaria Journal* **10**, 56-1475-2875-10-56 [pii]; doi: 10.1186/1475-2875-10-56.

**Conway, D.J., Greenwood, B.M. and McBride, J.S.** (1991). The epidemiology of multiple-clone *Plasmodium falciparum* infections in Gambian patients. *Parasitology* **103**(Pt 1), 1–6.

Cooper, R. A., Ferdig, M. T., Su, X. Z., Ursos, L. M., Mu, J., Nomura, T., Fujioka, H., Fidock, D. A., Roepe, P. D. and Wellems, T. E. (2002). Alternative mutations at position 76 of the vacuolar transmembrane protein PfCRT are associated with chloroquine resistance and unique stereospecific quinine and quinidine responses in *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Molecular Pharmacology* **61**, 35–42.

**Cooper, R. A., Hartwig, C. L. and Ferdig, M. T.** (2005). pfcrt is more than the *Plasmodium falciparum* chloroquine resistance gene: a functional and evolutionary perspective. *Acta Tropica* **94**, 170–180. doi: S0001-706X (05)00085-9 [pii]; doi: 10.1016/j.actatropica.2005.04.004.

Cowman, A. F. and Karcz, S. R. (1991). The *pfmdr* gene homologues of *Plasmodium falciparum*. Acta Leiden 60, 121–129.

**Cowman, A. F., Morry, M. J., Biggs, B. A., Cross, G. A. and Foote, S. J.** (1988). Amino acid changes linked to pyrimethamine resistance in the dihydrofolate reductase-thymidylate synthase gene of *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **85**, 9109–9113.

**Cox-Singh, J., Singh, B., Alias, A. and Abdullah, M.S.** (1995). Assessment of the association between three pfmdr1 point mutations and chloroquine resistance *in vitro* of Malaysian *Plasmodium falciparum* isolates. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **89**, 436–437.

Crabb, B. S., Rug, M., Gilberger, T. W., Thompson, J. K., Triglia, T., Maier, A. G. and Cowman, A. F. (2004). Transfection of the human malaria parasite *Plasmodium falciparum*. Methods in Molecular Biology **270**, 263–276. doi: 1-59259-793-9:263 [pii]; doi: 10.1385/1-59259-793-9:263.

**Curd, F. H., Davey, D. G. and Rose, F. L.** (1945). Studies on synthetic antimalarial drugs; some biguanide derivatives as new types of antimalarial substances with both therapeutic and causal prophylactic activity. *Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology* **39**, 208–216.

Curtis, C. F. and Otoo, L. N. (1986). A simple model of the build-up of resistance to mixtures of anti-malarial drugs. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **80**, 889–892.

Diggens, S. M., Gutteridge, W. E. and Trigg, P. I. (1970). Altered dihydrofolate reductase associated with a pyrimethamine-resistant *Plasmodium berghei berghei* produced in a single step. *Nature* 228, 579–580. Djimde, A., Doumbo, O. K., Cortese, J. F., Kayentao, K., Doumbo, S., Diourte, Y., Coulibaly, D., Dicko, A., Su, X. Z., Nomura, T., Fidock, D. A., Wellems, T. E. and Plowe, C. V. (2001). A molecular marker for chlorooune-resistant *falcibarum* malaria. *New Eneland Yourna* 

of Medicine 344, 257–263. doi: 10.1056/NEJM200101253440403. Dondorp, A. M., Newton, P. N., Mayxay, M., Van, D. W.,

Smithuis, F. M., Yeung, S., Petit, A., Lynam, A. J., Johnson, A., Hien, T. T., McGready, R., Farrar, J. J., Looareesuwan, S., Day, N. P., Green, M. D. and White, N. J. (2004). Fake antimalarials in Southeast Asia are a major impediment to malaria control: multinational crosssectional survey on the prevalence of fake antimalarials. *Tropical Medicine* and International Health 9, 1241–1246. doi: TMI1342 [pii]; 10.1111/j.1365-3156.2004.01342.x.

Dondorp, A. M., Nosten, F., Yi, P., Das, D., Phyo, A. P., Tarning, J., Lwin, K. M., Ariey, F., Hanpithakpong, W., Lee, S. J., Ringwald, P., Silamut, K., Imwong, M., Chotivanich, K., Lim, P., Herdman, T., An, S. S., Yeung, S., Singhasivanon, P., Day, N. P., Lindegardh, N., Socheat, D. and White, N. J. (2009). Artemisinin resistance in *Plasmodium* falciparum malaria. New England Journal of Medicine **361**, 455–467. doi: 361/5/455 [pii]; 10.1056/NEJMoa0808859.

Dorn, A., Vippagunta, S. R., Matile, H., Jaquet, C., Vennerstrom, J. L. and Ridley, R. G. (1998). An assessment of drug-haematin binding as a mechanism for inhibition of haematin polymerisation by quinoline antimalarials. *Biochemical Pharmacology* 55, 727–736. doi: S0006-2952(97) 00510-8 [pii].

Duraisingh, M. T., Jones, P., Sambou, I., von, S. L., Pinder, M. and Warhurst, D. C. (2000). The tyrosine-86 allele of the pfmdr1 gene of *Plasmodium falciparum* is associated with increased sensitivity to the antimalarials mefloquine and artemisinin. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology* **108**, 13–23. doi: S0166-6851(00)00201-2 [pii].

Eyles, D. E., Hoo, C. C., Warren, M. and Sandosham, A. A. (1963). Plasmodium falciparum resistant to chloroquine in Cambodia. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 12, 840–843.

Ferdig, M. T., Cooper, R. A., Mu, J., Deng, B., Joy, D. A., Su, X. Z. and Wellems, T. E. (2004). Dissecting the loci of low-level quinine resistance in malaria parasites. *Molecular Microbiology* **52**, 985–997. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2004.04035.x; MMI4035 [pii].

Fidock, D. A., Nomura, T., Talley, A. K., Cooper, R. A., Dzekunov, S. M., Ferdig, M. T., Ursos, L. M., Sidhu, A. B., Naude, B., Deitsch, K. W., Su, X. Z., Wootton, J. C., Roepe, P. D. and Wellems, T. E. (2000). Mutations in the *P. falciparum* digestive vacuole transmembrane protein PfCRT and evidence for their role in chloroquine resistance. *Molecular Cell* **6**, 861–871. doi: S1097-2765(05)00077-8 [pii].

Fogh, S., Jepsen, S. and Effersoe, P. (1979). Chloroquine-resistant Plasmodium falciparum malaria in Kenya. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 73, 228–229.

Fojo, A., Akiyama, S., Gottesman, M.M. and Pastan, I. (1985). Reduced drug accumulation in multiply drug-resistant human KB carcinoma cell lines. *Cancer Research* **45**, 3002–3007.

Foote, S. J., Galatis, D. and Cowman, A. F. (1990a). Amino acids in the dihydrofolate reductase-thymidylate synthase gene of *Plasmodium falciparum* involved in cycloguanil resistance differ from those involved in pyrimethamine resistance. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, USA **87**, 3014–3017.

Foote, S. J., Kyle, D. E., Martin, R. K., Oduola, A. M., Forsyth, K., Kemp, D. J. and Cowman, A. F. (1990b). Several alleles of the multidrugresistance gene are closely linked to chloroquine resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Nature* **345**, 255–258. doi: 10.1038/345255a0.

Foote, S. J., Thompson, J. K., Cowman, A. F. and Kemp, D. J. (1989). Amplification of the multidrug resistance gene in some chloroquineresistant isolates of *P. falciparum. Cell* **57**, 921–930. doi: 0092-8674(89) 90330-9 [pii].

Fry, M. and Pudney, M. (1992). Site of action of the antimalarial hydroxynaphthoquinone, 2-[trans-4-(4'-chlorophenyl) cyclohexyl]-3-hydroxy-1,4-naphthoquinone (566C80). *Biochemical Pharmacology* **43**, 1545–1553.

Geary, T. G., Jensen, J. B. and Ginsburg, H. (1986). Uptake of [3H] chloroquine by drug-sensitive and -resistant strains of the human malaria parasite *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Biochemical Pharmacology* **35**, 3805–3812. Hartinuta, T., Migasen, S. and Boonag, D. (1962). Chloroquine resistance in Thailand. In *UNESCO 1st Regional Symposium on Science Knowledge of Tropical Parasites*, 5–9 *November*, 1962, pp. 143–153.

Hartwig, C. L., Rosenthal, A. S., D'Angelo, J., Griffin, C. E., Posner, G. H. and Cooper, R. A. (2009). Accumulation of artemisinin trioxane derivatives within neutral lipids of *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria parasites is endoperoxide-dependent. *Biochemical Pharmacology* **77**, 322– 336. doi: S0006-2952(08)00726-0 [pii]; doi: 10.1016/j.bcp.2008.10.015.

Hastings, I. M. (2004). The origins of antimalarial drug resistance. *Trends in Parasitology* **20**, 512–518. doi: S1471-4922(04)00212-0 [pii]; doi: 10.1016/j.pt.2004.08.006.

Hastings, I. M. and Mackinnon, M. (1998). The emergence of drugresistant malaria. *Parasitology* 117, 411-417.

Henry, M., Briolant, S., Zettor, A., Pelleau, S., Baragatti, M., Baret, E., Mosnier, J., Amalvict, R., Fusai, T., Rogier, C. and Pradines, B. (2009). *Plasmodium falciparum* Na+/H+ exchanger 1 transporter is involved in reduced susceptibility to quinine. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* **53**, 1926–1930. doi: AAC.01243-08 [pii]; doi: 10.1128/AAC.01243-08. Hudson, A. T., Dickins, M., Ginger, C. D., Gutteridge, W. E., Holdich, T., Hutchinson, D. B., Pudney, M., Randall, A. W. and Latter, V. S. (1991). 566C80: a potent broad spectrum anti-infective agent with activity against malaria and opportunistic infections in AIDS patients. *Drugs under Experimental and Clinical Research* **17**, 427–435.

Hyde, J. E. (1989). Point mutations and pyrimethamine resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum. Parasitology Today* 5, 252–255. doi: 01694 75889 902573 [pii].

Jarcho, S. and Torti, F. (1993). *Quinine's Predecessor: Francesco Torti and the Early History of Cinchona*. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, Maryland, USA.

Klonis, N., Crespo-Ortiz, M. P., Bottova, I., Abu-Bakar, N., Kenny, S., Rosenthal, P. J. and Tilley, L. (2011). Artemisinin activity against *Plasmodium falciparum* requires hemoglobin uptake and digestion. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **108**, 11405–11410. doi: 1104063108 [pii]; doi: 10.1073/pnas.1104063108.

Knowles, G., Sanderson, A. and Walliker, D. (1981). *Plasmodium yoelii*: genetic analysis of crosses between two rodent malaria subspecies. *Experimental Parasitology* **52**, 243–247.

Korsinczky, M., Chen, N., Kotecka, B., Saul, A., Rieckmann, K. and Cheng, Q. (2000). Mutations in *Plasmodium falciparum* cytochrome b that are associated with atovaquone resistance are located at a putative drugbinding site. *Antimicrobial Agents Chemotherapy* **44**, 2100–2108.

Krogstad, D.J., Gluzman, I.Y., Kyle, D.E., Oduola, A.M., Martin, S.K., Milhous, W.K. and Schlesinger, P.H. (1987). Efflux of chloroquine from *Plasmodium falciparum*: mechanism of chloroquine resistance. *Science* 238, 1283–1285.

Kublin, J. G., Witzig, R. S., Shankar, A. H., Zurita, J. Q., Gilman, R. H., Guarda, J. A., Cortese, J. F. and Plowe, C. V. (1998). Molecular assays for surveillance of antifolate-resistant malaria. *Lancet* **351**, 1629–1630. doi: S0140-6736(98)24022-0 [pii]; doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736 (98)24022-0.

Lim, P., Chy, S., Ariey, F., Incardona, S., Chim, P., Sem, R., Denis, M.B., Hewitt, S., Hoyer, S., Socheat, D., Merecreau-Puijalon, O. and Fandeur, T. (2003). pfcrt polymorphism and chloroquine resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum* strains isolated in Cambodia. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 47, 87–94.

Looareesuwan, S., Viravan, C., Webster, H.K., Kyle, D.E., Hutchinson, D.B. and Canfield, C.J. (1996). Clinical studies of atovaquone, alone or in combination with other antimalarial drugs, for treatment of acute uncomplicated malaria in Thailand. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 54, 62–66.

Martin, S. K., Oduola, A. M. and Milhous, W. K. (1987). Reversal of chloroquine resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum* by verapamil. *Science* 235, 899–901.

Meshnick, S. R., Taylor, T. E. and Kamchonwongpaisan, S. (1996). Artemisinin and the antimalarial endoperoxides: from herbal remedy to targeted chemotherapy. *Microbiology Reviews* **60**, 301–315.

Mungthin, M., Bray, P.G. and Ward, S.A. (1999). Phenotypic and genotypic characteristics of recently adapted isolates of *Plasmodium falciparum* from Thailand. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **60**, 469–474.

Nash, D., Nair, S., Mayxay, M., Newton, P. N., Guthmann, J. P., Nosten, F. and Anderson, T. J. (2005). Selection strength and hitchhiking around two anti-malarial resistance genes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Science* **272**, 1153–1161. doi: GBBQXPNYG3WJ669D [pii]; doi: 10.1098/rspb.2004.3026. Nocht, B. and Werner, H. (1910). Beobachtungen uber eine relative Chininresistenz bei malaria aus Brasilien. *Deutsche Medizinische Wochenschrift* 36, 1557–1560.

Noedl, H., Se, Y., Schaecher, K., Smith, B.L., Socheat, D. and Fukuda, M.M. (2008). Evidence of artemisinin-resistant malaria in western Cambodia. *New England Journal of Medicine* **359**, 2619–2620. doi: NEJMc0805011 [pii]; doi: 10.1056/NEJMc0805011.

Nzila-Mounda, A., Mberu, E.K., Sibley, C.H., Plowe, C.V., Winstanley, P.A. and Watkins, W.M. (1998). Kenyan *Plasmodium falciparum* field isolates: correlation between pyrimethamine and chlorcycloguanil activity *in vitro* and point mutations in the dihydrofolate reductase domain. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* **42**, 164–169.

Okombo, J., Kiara, S. M., Rono, J., Mwai, L., Pole, L., Ohuma, E., Borrmann, S., Ochola, L. I. and Nzila, A. (2010). *In vitro* activities of quinine and other antimalarials and pfnhe polymorphisms in *Plasmodium* isolates from Kenya. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* **54**, 3302–3307. doi: AAC.00325-10 [pii]; doi: 10.1128/AAC.00325-10.

Olliaro, P. and Wells, T.N. (2009). The global portfolio of new antimalarial medicines under development. *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics* **85**, 584–595. doi: clpt200951 [pii]; doi: 10.1038/ clpt.2009.51.

Payne, D. (1988). Did medicated salt hasten the spread of chloroquine resistance in *P. falciparum? Parasitology Today* 4, 112–115.

Peters, W. (1985). The problem of drug resistance in Malaria. *Parasitology* **90**, 705–715.

Peterson, D.S., Di Santi, S.M., Povoa, M., Calvosa, V.S., do Rosario, V.E. and Wellems, T.E. (1991). Prevalence of the dihydrofolate reductase Asn-108 mutation as the basis for pyrimethamineresistant *falciparum* malaria in the Brazilian Amazon. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **45**, 492–497.

Peterson, D. S., Walliker, D. and Wellems, T. E. (1988). Evidence that a point mutation in dihydrofolate reductase-thymidylate synthase confers resistance to pyrimethamine in *falciparum* malaria. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **85**, 9114–9118.

Pickard, A. L. and Wernsdorfer, W. H. (2002). Epidemiology of drug resistant malaria. *Lancet Infectious Diseases* 2, 209–218.

Plowe, C. V., Cortese, J. F., Djimde, A., Nwanyanwu, O. C., Watkins, W. M., Winstanley, P. A., Estrada-Franco, J. G., Mollinedo, R. E., Avila, J. C., Cespedes, J. L., Carter, D. and Doumbo, O. K. (1997). Mutations in *Plasmodium falciparum* dihydrofolate reductase and dihydropteroate synthase and epidemiologic patterns of pyrimethamine-sulfadoxine use and resistance. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* **176**, 1590–1596.

Plowe, C. V., Kublin, J. G., Dzinjalamala, F. K., Kamwendo, D. S., Mukadam, R. A., Chimpeni, P., Molyneux, M. E. and Taylor, T. E. (2004). Sustained clinical efficacy of sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine for uncomplicated *falciparum* malaria in Malawi after 10 years as first line treatment: five year prospective study. *British Medical Journal* **328**, doi: 545-10.1136/bmj.37977.653750.EE; bmj.37977.653750.EE [pii].

Povoa, M. M., Adagu, I. S., Oliveira, S. G., Machado, R. L., Miles, M. A. and Warhurst, D. C. (1998). Pfmdr1 Asn1042Asp and Asp1246Tyr polymorphisms, thought to be associated with chloroquine resistance, are present in chloroquine-resistant and -sensitive Brazilian field isolates of *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Experimental Parasitology* 88, 64–68. doi: S0014-4894(98)94195-9 [pii]; doi: 10.1006/ expr.1998.4195.

Price, R. N., Cassar, C., Brockman, A., Duraisingh, M., van, V. M., White, N. J., Nosten, F. and Krishna, S. (1999). The *pfmdr1* gene is associated with a multidrug-resistant phenotype in *Plasmodium falciparum* from the western border of Thailand. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* **43**, 2943–2949.

Price, R.N., Nosten, F., Luxemburger, C., Ter Kuile, F.O., Paiphun, L., Chongsuphajaisiddhi, T. and White, N.J. (1996). Effects of artemisinin derivatives on malaria transmissibility. *Lancet* 347, 1654–1658.

Radloff, P.D., Philipps, J., Nkeyi, M., Hutchinson, D. and Kremsner, P.G. (1996). Atovaquone and proguanil for *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria. *Lancet* 347, 1511–1514.

Ranford-Cartwright, L. C. and Mwangi, J. M. (2012). Analysis of malaria parasite phenotypes using experimental genetic crosses of *Plasmodium falciparum*. *International Journal for Parasitology* **42**, 529–534. doi: S0020-7519(12)00057-4 [pii]; doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2012.03.004.

Roper, C., Pearce, R., Bredenkamp, B., Gumede, J., Drakeley, C., Mosha, F., Chandramohan, D. and Sharp, B. (2003). Antifolate antimalarial resistance in southeast Africa: a population-based analysis. *Lancet* **361**, 1174–1181. doi: S0140-6736(03)12951-0 [pii]; doi: 10.1016/ S0140-6736(03)12951-0. Saliba, K. J., Folb, P. I. and Smith, P. J. (1998). Role for the *Plasmodium* falciparum digestive vacuole in chloroquine resistance. *Biochemical Pharmacology* 56, 313–320. doi: S0006-2952(98)00140-3 [pii].

Sanchez, C.P., Stein, W. and Lanzer, M. (2003). Trans stimulation provides evidence for a drug efflux carrier as the mechanism of chloroquine resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Biochemistry* **42**, 9383–9394. doi: 10.1021/bi034269h.

Schinkel, A. H. and Borst, P. (1991). Multidrug resistance mediated by P-glycoproteins. Seminars in Cancer Biology 2, 213–226.

Schmidt, K.F. (1995). Malaria research. Inbred parasites may spur resistance. Science 269, 1670.

Schwobel, B., Alifrangis, M., Salanti, A. and Jelinek, T. (2003). Different mutation patterns of atovaquone resistance to *Plasmodium falciparum in vitro* and *in vivo*: rapid detection of codon 268 polymorphisms in the cytochrome b as potential *in vivo* resistance marker. *Malaria Journal* 2, 5.

Sen, S. and Ferdig, M. T. (2003). Qtl analysis for drug discovery of genes involved in drug responses. *Current Drug Targets – Infectious Disorders* 3, 115–128.

Sidhu, A. B., Verdier-Pinard, D. and Fidock, D. A. (2002). Chloroquine resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria parasites conferred by pfcrt mutations. *Science* 298, 210–213. doi: 10.1126/science.1074045; 298/5591/210 [pii].

Sirawaraporn, W. and Yuthavong, Y. (1984). Kinetic and molecular properties of dihydrofolate reductase from pyrimethamine-sensitive and pyrimethamine-resistant *Plasmodium chabaudi*. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology* **10**, 355–367.

Srivastava, I.K., Morrisey, J.M., Darrouzet, E., Daldal, F. and Vaidya, A.B. (1999). Resistance mutations reveal the atovaquone-binding domain of cytochrome b in malaria parasites. *Molecular Microbiology* 33, 704–711. doi: mmi1515 [pii].

Su, X., Ferdig, M. T., Huang, Y., Huynh, C. Q., Liu, A., You, J., Wootton, J. C. and Wellems, T. E. (1999). A genetic map and recombination parameters of the human malaria parasite *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Science* **286**, 1351–1353. doi: 7978 [pii].

Su, X., Hayton, K. and Wellems, T.E. (2007). Genetic linkage and association analyses for trait mapping in *Plasmodium falciparum. Nature Reviews Genetics* 8, 497–506. doi: nrg2126 [pii]; 10.1038/ nrg2126.

Su, X., Kirkman, L. A., Fujioka, H. and Wellems, T. E. (1997). Complex polymorphisms in an approximately 330 kDa protein are linked to chloroquine-resistant *P. falciparum* in Southeast Asia and Africa. *Cell* **91**, 593–603. doi: S0092-8674(00)80447-X [pii].

Surrey, A. R. and Hammer, H. F. (1946). Some 7-substituted aminoquinoline derivatives. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **68**, 113–116. doi: 10.1021/ja01205a036.

Sutherland, C. J., Laundy, M., Price, N., Burke, M., Fivelman, Q. L., Pasvol, G., Klein, J. L. and Chiodini, P. L. (2008). Mutations in the *Plasmodium falciparum* cytochrome b gene are associated with delayed parasite recrudescence in malaria patients treated with atovaquoneproguanil. *Malaria Journal* 7, 240-1475-2875-7-240 [pii]; doi: 10.1186/ 1475-2875-7-240.

Urscher, M., Alisch, R. and Deponte, M. (2011). The glyoxalase system of malaria parasites – Implications for cell biology and general glyoxalase research. *Seminars in Cell and Developmental Biology* **22**, 262–270. doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2011.02.003.

Van Tyne, D., Park, D. J., Schaffner, S. F., Neafsey, D. E., Angelino, E., Cortese, J. F., Barnes, K. G., Rosen, D. M., Lukens, A. K., Daniels, R. F. *et al.* (2011). Identification and functional validation of the novel antimalarial resistance locus PF10\_0355 in *Plasmodium falciparum*. *PLoS Genetics* 7, doi: e1001383-10.1371/journal. pgen.1001383.

Vijaykadga, S., Rojanawatsirivej, C., Cholpol, S., Phoungmanee, D., Nakavej, A. and Wongsrichanalai, C. (2006). *In vivo* sensitivity monitoring of mefloquine monotherapy and artesunate-mefloquine combinations for the treatment of uncomplicated *falciparum* malaria in Thailand in 2003. *Tropical Medicine and International Health* **11**, 211–219. doi: TMI1557 [pii]; doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3156.2005.01557.x.

Walliker, D., Carter, R. and Sanderson, A. (1975). Genetic studies on *Plasmodium chabaudi*: recombination between enzyme markers. *Parasitology* **70**, 19–24.

Walliker, D., Sanderson, A., Yoeli, M. and Hargreaves, B. J. (1976). A genetic investigation of virulence in a rodent malaria parasite. *Parasitology* **72**, 183–194.

Wellems, T.E., Panton, L.J., Gluzman, I.Y., do Rosario, V.E., Gwadz, R.W., Walker-Jonah, A. and Krogstad, D.J. (1990). Chloroquine resistance not linked to mdr-like genes in a *Plasmodium falciparum* cross. *Nature* 345, 253–255. doi: 10.1038/345253a0. Wellems, T. E. and Plowe, C. V. (2001). Chloroquine-resistant malaria. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 184, 770–776. doi: JID010488 [pii]; doi: 10.1086/322858.

Wellems, T.E., Walker-Jonah, A. and Panton, L.J. (1991). Genetic mapping of the chloroquine-resistance locus on *Plasmodium falciparum* chromosome 7. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 88, 3382–3386.

Wells, T. N., Alonso, P. L. and Gutteridge, W. E. (2009). New medicines to improve control and contribute to the eradication of malaria. *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery* 8, 879–891. doi: nrd2972 [pii]; doi: 10.1038/nrd2972.

Wernsdorfer, W. H. (1991). The development and spread of drug-resistant malaria. *Parasitolology Today* 7, 297–303. doi: 0169-4758(91)90262-M [pii]. Wernsdorfer, W. H. and Payne, D. (1991). The dynamics of drug resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Pharmacology and Therapeutics* 50, 95–121.

White, N.J. (1992). Antimalarial drug resistance: the pace quickens. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **30**, 571–585.

White, N.J. (2004). Antimalarial drug resistance. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* 113, 1084–1092.

Wichmann, O., Muehlberger, N., Jelinek, T., Alifrangis, M., Peyerl-Hoffmann, G., Muhlen, M., Grobusch, M.P., Gascon, J., Matteelli, A., Laferl, H., Bisoffi, Z., Ehrhardt, S., Cuadros, J., Hatz, C., Gjorup, I., McWhinney, P., Beran, J., da, C.S., Schulze, M., Kollaritsch, H., Kern, P., Fry, G. and Richter, J. (2004a). Screening for mutations related to atovaquone/proguanil resistance in treatment failures and other imported isolates of *Plasmodium falciparum* in Europe. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* **190**, 1541–1546. doi: JID32634 [pii]; doi: 10.1086/424469.

Wichmann, O., Muehlen, M., Gruss, H., Mockenhaupt, F.P., Suttorp, N. and Jelinek, T. (2004b). Malarone treatment failure not associated with previously described mutations in the cytochrome b gene. *Malaria Journal* 3, doi: 14-10.1186/1475-2875-3-14; 1475-2875-3-14 [pii]. Wilson, C. M., Serrano, A. E., Wasley, A., Bogenschutz, M. P., Shankar, A. H. and Wirth, D. F. (1989). Amplification of a gene related to mammalian mdr genes in drug-resistant *Plasmodium falciparum. Science* 244, 1184–1186.

Witkowski, B., Lelievre, J., Barragan, M. J., Laurent, V., Su, X. Z., Berry, A. and Benoit-Vical, F. (2010). Increased tolerance to artemisinin in *Plasmodium falciparum* is mediated by a quiescence mechanism. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 54, 1872–1877. doi: AAC.01636-09 [pii]; doi: 10.1128/AAC.01636-09.

Wongsrichanalai, C., Sirichaisinthop, J., Karwacki, J.J., Congpuong, K., Miller, R.S., Pang, L. and Thimasarn, K. (2001). Drug resistant malaria on the Thai-Myanmar and Thai-Cambodian borders. *Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public* 32, 41–49.

Wootton, J. C., Feng, X., Ferdig, M. T., Cooper, R. A., Mu, J., Baruch, D. I., Magill, A. J. and Su, X. Z. (2002). Genetic diversity and chloroquine selective sweeps in *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Nature* **418**, 320–323. doi: 10.1038/nature00813; nature00813 [pii].

World Health Organization (1961). Chemotherapy of Malaria. WHO Technical Report Series. WHO, Geneva.

World Health Organization (1973). Chemotherapy of Malaria and Resistance to Antimalarials. WHO, Geneva.

World Health Organization (1981). Drug-Resistant Malaria. World Health Organization, Geneva.

World Health Organization (1990). In vitro Microtest (Mark II) for the Assessment of Response of Plasmodium falciparum to Chloroquine, Mefloquine, Quinine, Sulfadoxine/Pyrimethamine and Amodiaquine. World Health Organisation, Geneva, Switzerland.

World Health Organization (2003). Assessment and Monitoring of Antimalarial Drug Efficacy for the Treatment of Uncomplicated Falciparum Malaria. World Health Organisation, Geneva, Switzerland.

World Health Organization (2010). Guidelines for the Treatment of Malaria. WHO, Geneva, Switzerland.