Forest fragmentation and edge effects on the genetic structure of *Clusia* sphaerocarpa and *C. lechleri* (Clusiaceae) in tropical montane forests

Amira Apaza Quevedo^{*, \ddagger ,¹, Matthias Schleuning^{*,}[†], Isabell Hensen^{*}, Fransisco Saavedra^{*,†,‡} and Walter Durka§}

* Institute of Biology/Geobotany and Botanical Garden, Martin Luther University Halle Wittenberg, Am Kirchtor 1, D-06108 Halle, Germany

† Biodiversity and Climate Research Centre (BiK-F) and Senckenberg Gesellschaft für Naturforschung, Senckenberganlage 25, D-60325 Frankfurt (Main), Germany t Herbario Nacional de Bolivia, Universidad Mayor de San Andrés, Correo Central, Casilla 10077, La Paz, Bolivia

§ Helmholtz-Centre for Environmental Research – UFZ, Department Community Ecology (BZF), Theodor-Lieser-Str. 4, 06120 Halle, Germany

(Received 29 November 2012; revised 11 May 2013; accepted 12 May 2013; first published online 3 June 2013)

Abstract: Fragmentation of tropical forests influences abiotic and biotic processes that affect the genetic structure of plant populations. In forest fragments, edge effects, i.e. changes of abiotic and biotic factors at forest edges, may be prevalent. In two forest fragments (*c*. 200 ha at *c*. 2450 m asl) of tropical montane forest in Bolivia, sympatric populations of the dioecious tree species *Clusia sphaerocarpa* and *C. lechleri* were used as case study species to compare genetic diversity and small-scale genetic structure (SGS) between edge and interior habitats. Eight microsatellite markers were employed to genotype 343 individuals including adults, juveniles and seedlings of *C. sphaerocarpa* and 196 of *C. lechleri*. Genetic differentiation was found between habitats in both species ($\Phi_{RT} = 0.071$ for *C. sphaerocarpa* and $\Phi_{RT} = 0.028$ for *C. lechleri*) and among ages in *C. sphaerocarpa* ($\Phi_{RT} = 0.016$). Overall, SGS was weak but significant with more pronounced SGS in *C. lechleri* (*Sp* = 0.0128) than in *C. sphaerocarpa* (*Sp* = 0.0073). However, positive spatial genetic autocorrelation extended only up to 10 m. For *C. sphaerocarpa*, SGS was stronger in seedling and juvenile stages than in adults and in the forest interior than at forest edges. Our results show that edge effects can extend to the genetic level by breaking-up local genetic structures, probably due to increased gene flow and enhanced pollination and seed-dispersal interactions at forest edges.

Key Words: Clusia, edge effects, genetic differentiation, montane forest, SGS

INTRODUCTION

Habitat fragmentation can lead to reduction in plant population size in remnant fragments (del Castillo *et al.* 2011), which in turn can affect the genetic structure of plant populations (Hamrick 2004) due to genetic drift (Ezard & Travis 2006, Young *et al.* 1996). However, whether and how the genetic structure is affected by drift depends on the level of gene flow within and among populations (Choo *et al.* 2012, Nason *et al.* 1997). Thus, potential fragmentation effects strongly depend on the mating system, pollen and seed dispersal distances and the effective population size of a species (Kettle *et al.* 2007). For tropical tree species it has been repeatedly shown that gene flow into forest fragments is larger than into comparable areas of continuous forest, through effects on pollen vectors or pollinator behaviour (Dick *et al.* 2008, Hamrick 2010, White *et al.* 2002).

Fragmentation leads to an increase of edge length relative to area in small habitat fragments (Laurance et al. 2007, Murcia 1995). Edge effects can have serious impacts on species diversity and composition, community dynamics, ecosystem functioning and interactions (Menke et al. 2012, Saunders et al. 1991, Vasconcelos & Luizaõ 2004). However, whether edge effects also extend to the genetic level in trees has rarely been studied. Since gene dispersal and the build-up of small-scale genetic structure (SGS) are often closely associated with seeddispersal mutualisms (García & Grivet 2011), responses of animal seed-dispersers to edge effects may be a major determinant of genetic edge effects. In fragmented tropical forests, both reduced (Kirika et al. 2008, Lehouck et al. 2009) and increased seed removal by avian frugivores have been reported at edges of forest fragments (Farwig et al. 2006, Menke et al. 2012). Thus, genetic edge effects

¹ Corresponding author. Email: amiraelvia@yahoo.es

of animal-dispersed tropical plants are expected; their direction, however, is not easy to predict.

Although Kramer *et al.* (2008) reported a considerable body of literature that does not show effects of fragmentation on genetic variability in long-lived plant species, these effects may be visible only in some life stages (Ramos *et al.* 2010, van Rossum & Triest 2006, van Geert *et al.* 2008). Considering that fragmentation may have occurred after the adult plants had established, their genotype will reflect historical rather than current genetic patterns. Therefore, only recent cohorts may show consequences of fragmentation (Farwig *et al.* 2008). For instance, lower genetic diversity and higher inbreeding and genetic differentiation have been found in seedlings and juveniles than in adults in fragmented forests (Aldrich *et al.* 1998, Hensen *et al.* 2012, Kettle *et al.* 2007).

The tropical montane forests of South America are considered one of the world's main biodiversity hotspots (Kessler & Beck 2001, Myers et al. 2000) and have been vastly deforested in many areas, including Bolivia (Killeen et al. 2005). In montane cloud forests Clusia species form a common element (de Roca 1993). Clusia species depend on animal mutualists for seed dispersal (Gustafsson et al. 2007). Thus, changes in seed-dispersal mutualisms between forest edges and forest interior likely influence the population genetic structure of Clusia species. Here, we use Clusia sphaerocarpa and C. lechleri to evaluate genetic variation and SGS in edge and interior populations and we hypothesize that (1) genetic diversity differs and genetic differentiation is present between forest edge and forest interior and that (2) small-scale genetic structure (SGS) differs between forest edge and interior and among age classes.

METHODS

Study species

The species *Clusia sphaerocarpa* Planch. & Triana and *C. lechleri* Rusby (Clusiaceae) are common, medium-sized (11 m) trees in montane cloud forests of Bolivia (de Roca 1993). In the study area, they occur in sympatry in a clumped spatial distribution. They are dioecious, flower between March and July and the fruiting is from December to March. Male flowers of *C. sphaerocarpa* are *c.* 5 cm diameter with white petals while in *C. lechleri* diameter is *c.* 2.5 cm and petals are light yellow. In both species the female flowers are around *c.* 1 cm smaller than male flowers. Pollination in *Clusia* species is mainly carried out by bees collecting resin, but also by beetles, flies, lepidoptera, wasps and hummingbirds (Gustafsson *et al.* 2007). Fruits are globular capsules that dehisce to expose six or seven diaspores in *C. sphaerocarpa* and

five in *C. lechleri*. The diaspores contain up 12 seeds for *C. sphaerocarpa* and up to six seeds for *C. lechleri* (Saavedra, unpubl. data). Due to their red lipid-rich aril, diaspores are primarily dispersed by small-to mediumsized birds, which mainly defecate the seeds. Some of them (e.g. *Anisognathus somptuosus*, *Diglossa cyanea*, *Myonectes striaticollis*) may move between forest fragments. Seeds are not dormant since they germinate directly after fruiting.

Study sites and sampling design

The study was conducted near Chulumani, province South Yungas, La Paz, Bolivia. As a result of the continuous action of anthropogenic fire, this region is characterized by huge deforested areas dominated by the bracken ferns Pteridium aquilinum var. arachnoideum and Lophosoria quadripinnata in the slopes of the valleys (socalled 'tropical savannas'; Killeen et al. 2005) and forest mainly remains on the montane tops and in gorges. The cover of the forest is further fragmented to grow coca, coffee and citrus fruits (Killeen et al. 2005). There is no exact information about the age of the fragments but information from local people indicates that the latest fires at edges can have happened no more than 5 y ago. The two Clusia species are quite common in the study area with densities of around 42 and 105 adult trees ha⁻¹. We sampled at two sites in fragments of c. 200 ha (site 1: 16°23′35.26″S, 67°33′44.26″W, 2440 m asl; site 2: 16°24'43.29"S, 67°34'03.34"W, 2450 m asl), and located at a distance of 2 km from each other. At each site, we installed a plot of 100×20 m in two habitat types: forest edge (3 m into the forest) and forest interior (300-400 m from the edge). Each plot was divided in 100 subplots of 2×10 m (Figure 1) in order to obtain accurate distances among individual for the analysis. We considered three age classes: seedlings (dbh ≤ 10 cm), juveniles (dbh > 10 cm and < 30 cm) and adults (dbh >30 cm or flowering/fruiting). We counted, mapped and sampled all adult trees within the whole plot and we included adult trees as potential parents in a buffer area of 20 m around the plot. Juveniles were mapped and sampled in 25 regularly spaced subplots of 2 \times 10 m (Figure 1). Seedlings were sampled in each of these subplots in a randomly positioned 1×1 -m area. We collected fresh leaves of all mapped individuals and stored them separately in plastic bags with silica gel until further genetic analysis.

DNA extraction and microsatellites analysis

The extraction method followed a standard protocol (Doyle & Doyle 1987) with modifications (Hensen *et al.*

Edge

-

•

-

- 20m - -I

-

Tropical

savanna



Figure 1. Schematic representation of the study design at two sites (forest fragments) of a Bolivian montane forest. At each site, we compared interior and edge plots adjacent to the deforested habitat matrix. Adults were sampled in the whole plot of 100×20 m and surroundings (20-m buffer). Juveniles were sampled in the smaller 25 subplots of 2×10 m inside of the whole plot and seedlings were sampled in subplots of 1×1 m randomly positioned inside of the subplots for juveniles.

2011). The individuals were genotyped using eight microsatellite markers (Clm1, Clm2, Clm5, Cln2, Cln5, Cln3, Cln7 and Cln8) previously developed from C. minor and C. nemorosa (Hale et al. 2002). Amplification was performed with 25 μ l of reaction medium containing 1 μ l of DNA (20 ng μ l⁻¹), 0.8 μ l (1 μ l Cln8) of fluorescence labelled forward primer (5 pmol μl^{-1}) and 0.8 μ l (1 μ l Cln8) of reverse primer (5 pmol μ l⁻¹) (metabion international, AG, Germany), 2.5 μ l 2 mM dNTPs (QBiogene), 2.5 μ l polymerase buffer with 2 μ l (1.5 μ l Clm1) MgCl₂ (Qbiogene), 0.2 μ l (0.125 μ l Cln8) Taq polymerase (Fermentas) and 13.6 µl (15.7 µl Clm1, 14.9 μ l Cln8) double-distilled H₂O. For primers Clm1, Clm2 and Clm5 the PCR program was 94 °C for 3 min followed by 35 cycles with 30 s of denaturation at 94 °C, 30 s of annealing at 50 °C (55 °C for Cln2, Cln5 and Cln8), a 60-s elongation step at 72 °C, and a final elongation at 72 °C for 3 min in a Mastercycler (Eppendorf). For primers Cln3 and Cln7 the PCR program was 95 °C for 12 min followed by 10 cycles with 15 s at 94 °C, 15 s at 55 °C, 15 s at 72 °C, followed by 30 cycles with 15 s at 89 °C, 15 s at 55 °C, and 15 s at 72 °C and a final elongation at 72 °C for 10 min. PCR products were diluted 1:5(1:10 for Clm 2 and Clm 5)and separated using capillary electrophoresis (MegaBace 1000, Amersham Bioscience, Uppsala, Sweden) with MegaBACE-ET ROX 400 (Amersham Bioscience) as a size standard. We used the MegaBace Fragment Profiler Software 1.2 (Amersham Bioscience) for genotyping.

Species identification

Since Clusia sphaerocarpa and C. lechleri co-occur in the plots and have similar vegetative characteristics, species identification was impossible in the field for nonflowering individuals. Therefore, we used the genotype data and applied Bayesian clustering of all individuals for the identification using the software STRUCTURE v. 2.3.3 (available at http://pritch.bsd.uchicago.edu/ structure.html). STRUCTURE assigns individuals into genetically homogeneous clusters without prior knowledge of their affiliation. For all individuals, we carried out 10 independent runs per K using a burn-in period of 50 000 and collected data for 50 000 iterations for K = 1 to 5. We used the individual *O*-values of the analysis at K = 2. Bayesian clustering of all 669 Clusia individuals identified two clusters that clearly distinguished the two species with membership coefficient of 0.937 of C. sphaerocarpa and C. lechleri for the two clusters respectively. We thus used the Q-values for K = 2 to assign individuals to species using 0.8/0.2 as a threshold to distinguish pure species from putative hybrids. Individuals identified in field fell in the correct resulting group by STRUCTURE. Finally, we obtained 434 individuals for *C. sphaerocarpa* (191 seedlings, 142 juveniles, 101 adults) and 196 individuals for C. lechleri (123 seedlings, 20 juveniles, 53 adults); 39 putative hybrid seedlings and juveniles (site 1 with 10 at the edge and 11 in the interior, site 2 with 12 and 6 respectively) were excluded from further analyses.

Population genetic analysis

We investigated genetic diversity and differentiation for both species at the habitat level (edge vs. interior) and for C. sphaerocarpa also at the level of age classes as it had sufficient sample size. Genetic diversity within populations was characterized by expected and observed heterozygosity (H_e, H_0) and fixation index (F_{IS}) using Genealex v. 6.5 (available at http://biology.anu.edu.au/GenAlEx/Welcome.html). To allow comparison between populations, that differed in sample sizes, we computed allelic richness (A_r) , obtained with a rarefaction method (Hurlbert 1971) with identical sample size in FSTAT v.2.9.3.2 (available at http://www2.unil.ch/popgen/softwares/fstat.htm). Genetic differentiation was analysed by two approaches. First, as genetic differentiation among all populations estimated as GST (Nei 1987) with FSTAT v.2.9.3.2 and a non-hierarchical analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA). Second, we used hierarchical AMOVA to jointly assess differentiation among sites and habitats. For C. sphaerocarpa, we combined data of the two sites that

with a rarefaction sample size of 11.								
Site	Habitat	Age class	Ν	H_e	H _o	Fis	A_r	
Clusia s	ohaerocarpa							
Site 1	Edge	All stages	51	0.38 ± 0.09	0.31 ± 0.09	0.18	3.19	
		Adults	35	0.37 ± 0.09	0.30 ± 0.09	0.21	3.22	
		Seedlings	15	0.37 ± 0.09	0.33 ± 0.11	0.14	2.82	
	Interior	All stages	142	0.42 ± 0.11	0.33 ± 0.12	0.22	3.39	
		Adults	17	0.45 ± 0.11	0.40 ± 0.13	0.12	3.44	
		Juveniles	17	0.38 ± 0.12	0.29 ± 0.10	0.27	3.05	
		Seedlings	108	0.42 ± 0.11	0.32 ± 0.12	0.23	3.42	
Site 2	Edge	All stages	136	0.43 ± 0.10	0.31 ± 0.10	0.29	3.21	
		Adults	22	0.42 ± 0.11	0.29 ± 0.10	0.33	3.33	
		Juveniles	76	0.44 ± 0.09	0.32 ± 0.10	0.29	3.16	
		Seedlings	38	0.39 ± 0.10	0.29 ± 0.10	0.25	3.09	
	Interior	All stages	105	0.44 ± 0.11	0.34 ± 0.09	0.25	3.49	
		Adults	27	0.42 ± 0.11	0.32 ± 0.10	0.25	3.53	
		Juveniles	48	0.41 ± 0.11	0.29 ± 0.09	0.32	3.17	
		Seedlings	30	0.48 ± 0.11	0.43 ± 0.10	0.13	3.61	
Clusia le	chleri							
Site 1	Edge	All stages	113	0.51 ± 0.06	0.38 ± 0.09	0.27	3.68	
	Interior	All stages	11	0.46 ± 0.08	0.36 ± 0.10	0.26	3.38	
Site 2	edge	All stages	38	0.47 ± 0.07	0.35 ± 0.08	0.27	3.72	
	interior	All stages	34	0.44 ± 0.08	0.24 ± 0.07	0.46	3.54	

Table 1. Genetic diversity of *Clusia sphaerocarpa* and *C. lechleri* at two sites (forest fragments) in a montane forest near Chulumani, South Yungas, Bolivia. Comparison of habitats (edge vs. interior) in each site and only for *C. sphaerocarpa*, of age classes (adults, juveniles and seedlings). A_r was obtained with a rarefaction sample size of 11.

were not differentiated and jointly assessed differentiation among habitats and age classes. AMOVA analyses were performed with GenAlex v. 6.5, with 999 permutations.

Small-scale genetic structure (SGS) was investigated both at the species level and for C. sphaerocarpa at the level of habitat (edge vs. interior) and age class (seedlings, juveniles and adults). These latter analyses could not be performed for C. lechleri due to low sample size. The SGS analyses included all age classes at species and habitat level. For all analyses, we used distance class limits of 10, 20, 30, 50, 90 and 200 m in order to assure a sufficient number of pairs of individuals per distance class. We applied two approaches to evaluate SGS. First, we used spatial genetic autocorrelation of correlation coefficients among genetic and spatial distance matrices in GenAlex v. 6.5 (Smouse et al. 2008). Where appropriate, 999 permutations were performed. As suggested by Banks & Peakall (2012), significance of the heterogeneity test can be declared when P < 0.01. Second, we used spatial genetic autocorrelation of pairwise kinship coefficients (F_{ii}) (Loiselle *et al.* 1995) in SPAGeDi v. 1.3d (available at http://ebe.ulb.ac.be/ebe/SPAGeDi.html) to quantify SGS with the Sp statistic Sp was calculated as Sp = $-b_{\log}/(1-F_{(1)})$, where b_{\log} is the slope of the regression of kinship coefficients on log geographic distance and $F_{(1)}$ is the mean kinship coefficient between individuals of the first distance class. Following Fenster et al. (2003) and Michalski & Durka (2012), we calculated approximate confidence intervals of Sp using $b_{\log} \pm$

twice the SE of $b_{\rm log}$ estimated by jack-knifing over loci.

RESULTS

Genetic diversity and population structure

Genetic diversity of C. sphaerocarpa and C. lechleri was high in all sites and habitats (Table 1). F_{IS} values did not show a consistent variation either between habitats or among class ages. F_{IS} values were positive, indicating lack of heterozygotes, most likely due to null alleles, which are commonly found when microsatellites are transferred between species.Values of allelic richness were very similar across sites, habitats and age classes. Considering all populations, genetic differentiation among populations was low but significant with overall $G_{ST} = 0.038$ and $\Phi_{RT} = 0.055$ (P = 0.001) for C. sphaerocarpa and $G_{ST} = 0.033$ and $\Phi_{RT} = 0.070$ (P = 0.001) for *C. lechleri*. In a hierarchical AMOVA, *C.* sphaerocarpa was not significantly differentiated among sites, but 7% of variation resided among habitats (Table 2). In contrast, C. lechleri, was differentiated both among sites (5% of variation) and among habitats (3%). When habitat and age were analysed in C. sphaerocarpa, both habitat and age were differentiated with a variation of 2% $(\Phi_{\text{RT}_\text{habitats}} = 0.023, P = 0.001; \Phi_{\text{RT}_\text{ages}} = 0.016, P =$ 0.001) (Table 2).

Table 2. Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) for *Clusia sphaerocarpa* and *C. lechleri* among two sites (forest fragments), habitats (edge vs. interior) and only for *C. sphaerocarpa*, at age classes (adults, juveniles and seedlings) in a Bolivian montane forest (Chulumani, South Yungas).

			%	Φ	Р
	df	Variance	Total	Statistic	value
Clusia sphaerocarpa					
Non-hierarchical					
Among populations	3	0.264	5	0.055	0.001
Within populations	433	4.57	95		
Hierarchical sites/habitats					
Among sites	1	0.00	0	-0.027	1.000
Among habitats	2	0.35	7	0.071	0.001
Within habitats	430	4.57	93	0.047	0.001
Hierarchical sites/habitats					
Among habitats	1	0.11	2	0.023	0.001
Among ages	4	0.08	2	0.016	0.001
Within ages	428	4.65	96	0.039	0.001
Clusia lechleri					
Non-hierarchical					
Among populations	3	0.431	7	0.070	0.001
Within populations	192	5.76	9		
Hierarchical sites/habitats					
Among sites	1	0.34	5	0.055	0.001
Among habitats	2	0.16	3	0.028	0.009
Within habitats	192	5.77	92	0.081	0.001

Small-scale genetic structure

Small-scale spatial genetic autocorrelation was observed at the species level for both *C. sphaerocarpa* ($\omega = 39.0$; P = 0.001) and *C. lechleri* ($\omega = 31.1$; P = 0.004). In both species, positive autocorrelation was detected only in the first distance class (10 m; Figure 2a). Separate analyses at the habitat level revealed significant spatial structure for *C. sphaerocarpa* in interior plots ($\omega = 43.2$; P = 0.001) but not so in edge populations ($\omega = 21.3$; P = 0.045). This was due to a higher autocorrelation coefficient in the first distance class in interior habitats (Figure 2b). The analysis across age classes in *C. sphaerocarpa* showed a significant spatial structure for both seedlings ($\omega = 39.5$; P = 0.002) and juveniles ($\omega = 28.9$; P = 0.009) but not so for adults ($\omega = 21.9$; P = 0.06).

The *S*p values indicated overall weak SGS (Table 3) which tended to be lower in *C. sphaerocarpa* (*Sp* = 0.0073) than in *C. lechleri* (*Sp* = 0.0128). For *C. sphaerocarpa*, *Sp* values were slightly higher in the forest interior (0.0092) than in the forest edge (0.0053).

DISCUSSION

Edge and fragmentation effects

Neither sympatric *Clusia* species showed differences in genetic diversity between populations at the edge and

Table 3. Estimates of small-scale genetic structure (*Sp*) of *Clusia* sphaerocarpa and *C. lechleri* in two sites (forest fragments) of a Bolivian montane forest (Chulumani, South Yungas) comparing edge and interior forest in each site. Density was extrapolated from plots of 100×20 m. CI = confidence intervals.

Species	Population	Density (ha ⁻¹)	Sp (CI)
C. sphaerocarpa	Overall	105	0.0073 (0.0052-0.0091)
C. lechleri	Overall	43	0.0128 (0.0048-0.0207)
C. sphaerocarpa	Edge	130	0.0053 (0.0012-0.0094)
C. sphaerocarpa	Interior	85	0.0092 (0.0020-0.0165)

in the interior of forest fragments. This finding essentially shows that the investigated populations and fragments are still large enough to maintain genetic diversity and had not yet undergone strong genetic drift. In fact, the forest fragments analysed are large and the two Clusia species are quite common in the study area. The temporal maintenance of genetic diversity is additionally fostered by life-history traits such as the outcrossing breeding system of the dioecious species and the longevity of the trees which allows for transgenerational gene flow (Bawa 1992, Kramer et al. 2008). Our study is also in line with Ramos et al. (2010) who reported no significant differences in genetic diversity neither for Psychotria tenuinervis nor for Guarea guidonia among fragment interior, natural and anthropogenic edge areas in an Atlantic Forest. Similarly, genetic diversity was similarly high in populations of Prunus africana growing in forest fragments and in continuous forests (Farwig et al. 2008).

Both Clusia species showed low but significant levels of genetic differentiation among populations. Differentiation was in the range previously observed for outcrossing tropical and subtropical tree species studied with microsatellites (Debout et al. 2011, Shi et al. 2011). The hierarchical AMOVA showed that in C. sphaerocarpa this differentiation does not exist between sites but between edge and interior habitats, indicating that edge effects may extend to the genetic level via effects on gene flow (Lowe et al. 2005). According to Dick et al. (2008), low population density together with density-dependent animal pollination contributes to population genetic differentiation in tropical forest trees. Thus, differences in population density of the studied Clusia species and composition and activity of animal pollinators between edge and interior in our study area (Kambach et al. 2013) could foster genetic differentiation between habitats. In C. sphaerocarpa, age classes were also slightly differentiated indicating that not all resident adults were similarly represented in the offspring gene pool. Other studies have also shown that fragmentation has effects on genetic structure and differ among age classes. In Prunus africana, differentiation was higher in seedlings than in adults



Figure 2. Significant small-scale spatial genetic autocorrelation (SGS) of *Clusia sphaerocarpa* and *C. lechleri* in edge and interior populations at two sites (forest fragments) in a Bolivian montane forest was detected in the first distance class (a) and, for *C. sphaerocarpa*, in the interior plots (b). The analysis includes individuals of all age classes. Filled symbols denote individually significant (P < 0.05) spatial autocorrelation, empty symbols indicate non-significant values. Error bars bound the 95% confidence interval as determined by bootstrap resampling.

(Farwig *et al.* 2008) and in *Symphonia globulifera* only populations of seedlings were genetically differentiated (Aldrich *et al.* 1998). Thus, the seedling generation may be more sensitive than adults to indicate fragmentation effects.

Small-scale genetic structure

Our results showed significant albeit weak SGS for both *Clusia* species. The *Sp* values quantifying SGS were typical for outcrossing species (Kloss *et al.* 2011, Michalski & Durka 2012) and in particular for trees (Vekemans & Hardy 2004, Shi *et al.* unpubl. data). SGS is expected to be weak in plant species with high adult densities, high pollen dispersal distances, overlapping seed shadows and homogeneous distribution of suitable recruitment

sites (Dyer 2007, Hamrick & Nason 1996, Hamrick *et al.* 1993). Thus, several life-history traits contributed to the weak SGS in *Clusia*. First, the high density of adults which reduces the distance between flowering and fruiting trees and produces overlapping pollen clouds and seed shadows (Doligez *et al.* 1998, Gonzales *et al.* 2010). Second, pollination by a large guild of insects and birds which supports long-distance pollen dispersal (Gustafsson *et al.* 2007, Kettle *et al.* 2011). Finally, seed dispersal by frugivorous birds is efficient in *Clusia* and will also blur SGS (García & Grivet 2011, Hamrick & Trapnell 2011).

In both species, positive genetic autocorrelation up to a distance of 10 m was detected. In *C. sphaerocarpa*, SGS was more pronounced in the two early life stages than in adult trees. This suggests that while gene flow in general prevents the build-up of local SGS, locally, more closely related individuals have established, likely influenced by demographic thinning between life stages. In other Clusia species a clumped spatial distribution was associated with the dispersal of diaspores containing multiple seeds (Bittrich & Amaral 1996). Thus, contiguous establishment of codistributed halfsibs may lead to local SGS. Seeds of Clusia are also displaced secondarily by ants (Passos & Oliveira 2002) which has also been observed in the study area (Gallegos, unpubl. data). Ants move seeds over short distances and may increase recruitment success by dispersing seeds to suitable establishment sites (Hanzawa et al. 1988, Passos & Oliveira 2002). However, it is difficult to predict whether ant-mediated secondary seed dispersal will lead to strong or weak SGS as it may increase establishment success of closely related bird-dispersed halfsibs but may also lead to small-scale mix of seeds from different bird droppings.

In C. sphaerocarpa, we found significant SGS and higher Sp values for populations in the forest interior compared with forest edges. This may be due to two non-exclusive factors. First, it is consistent with the interior population having lower adult densities. Second, an edge effect of enhanced gene flow that could be mediated by increased pollinator or seed-disperser activities. An analysis of pollinator guilds in our study area found an increase in bee species richness and abundance from forest interior to deforested habitat types (Kambach et al. 2013). At forest edges, bee richness and abundance tended to be higher than in the forest interior (Kambach et al. 2013). Higher acitivity of pollinators and increased pollen flow would be consistent with the observed edge effect on SGS in this study. This is also supported by the trend to a higher gene flow by long-distance pollen movement in disturbed and isolated trees reported for Swietenia humilis in tropical dry forest (White et al. 2002). Similarly, frugivorous birds may congregate at forest edges, leading to an increase in seed removal rates (Menke et al. 2012). Similar patterns of an increase in frugivore activity at forest edges have been found in the study area (Saavedra, unpubl. data). It is therefore likely that the SGS of populations of *Clusia* is blurred at forest edges because these populations receive higher gene flow than those in the forest interior, mediated by both increased pollination and seed-dispersal functions at forest edges.

In conclusion, our study provides evidence for edge effects in populations of *Clusia* species because edge and interior populations were genetically differentiated and weak patterns of SGS were wiped out at forest edges. These effects were most likely due to changes in plant–animal mutualisms at forest edges and an associated increase in pollination and seed-dispersal functions. While levels of genetic diversity were not affected in the large populations of *Clusia*, changes in the patterns of genetic structure suggest that changes in biotic interactions at forest edges extend to the genetic level in *Clusia* populations. Considering the importance of *Clusia* as a common

element in montane forests, modified genetic structures at the edges of forest remnants are relevant for future conservation measures.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the local participants of the community Chulumani who allowed and collaborated to our research. We also thank Humbert Alberto and Marcelo Reguerin for assisting field work, Birgit Mueller, Matthias Hartmann and Arely Palabral for assisting laboratory work and the Herbario Nacional de Bolivia for the technical support. Alfredo Fuentes advised species identification. This study was funded by the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD) and by the DFG project Regeneration of Tropical Montane Forest Species at Burned Sites in the Eastern Cordillera of Bolivia (HE3041/20-1). M.S. was also supported by the research funding program Landes-Offensive zur Entwicklung Wissenschaftlich-ökonomischer Exzellenz (LOEWE) of Hesse's Ministry of Higher Education, Research, and the Arts.

LITERATURE CITED

- ALDRICH, P. R., HAMRICK, J. L., CHAVARRIAGA, P. & KOCHERT, G. 1998. Microsatellite analysis of demographic genetic structure in fragmented populations of the tropical tree *Symphonia globulifera*. *Molecular Ecology* 7:933–944.
- BANKS, S. C. & PEAKALL, R. 2012. Genetic spatial autocorrelation can readily detect sex-biased dispersal. *Molecular Ecology* 21:2092– 2105.
- BAWA, K. S. 1992. Mating systems, genetic differentiation and speciation in tropical rain forest plants. *Biotropica* 24:250–255.
- BITTRICH, V. & AMARAL, M. C. E. 1996. Flower morphology and pollination biology of some *Clusia* species from the Gran Sabana (Venezuela). *Kew Bulletin* 51:681–694.
- CHOO, J., JUENGER, T. E. & SIMPSON, B. B. 2012. Consequences of frugivore-mediated seed dispersal for the spatial and genetic structures of a neotropical palm. *Molecular Ecology* 21:1019–1031.
- DEBOUT, G. D. G., DOUCET, J. L. & HARDY, O. J. 2011. Population history and gene dispersal inferred from spatial genetic structure of a Central African timber tree, *Distemonanthus benthamianus* (Caesalpinioideae). *Heredity* 106:88–99.
- DEROCA, S. 1993. Guttiferae. Pp. 337–351 in Killeen, T. J., Beck, S. G. & Garcia, E. (eds.). *Guía de arboles de Bolivia*. Herbario Nacional de Bolivia & Missouri Botanical Garden, La Paz.
- DEL CASTILLO, R. F., TRUJILLO-ARGUETA, S., SÁNCHEZ-VARGAS, N. & NEWTON, A. C. 2011. Genetic factors associated with population size may increase extinction risks and decrease colonization potential in a keystone tropical pine. *Evolutionary Applications* 4:574–588.
- DICK, C. W., HARDY, O. J., JONES, F. A. & PETIT, R. J. 2008. Spatial scales of pollen and seed-mediated gene flow in tropical rain forest trees. *Tropical Plant Biology* 1:20–33.

- DOLIGEZ, A., BARIL, C. & JOLY, H. I. 1998. Fine-scale spatial genetic structure with nonuniform distribution of individuals. *Genetics* 148:905–919.
- DOYLE, J. J. & DOYLE, J. L. 1987. A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. *Phytochemical Bulletin* 19:11–15.
- DYER, R. J. 2007. Powers of discerning: challenges to understanding dispersal processes in natural populations. *Molecular Ecology* 16:4881–4882.
- EZARD, T. H. G. & TRAVIS, J. M. J. 2006. The impact of habitat loss and fragmentation on genetic drift and fixation time. *Oikos* 114:367–375.
- FARWIG, N., BÖHNING-GAESE, K. & BLEHER, B. 2006. Enhanced seed dispersal of *Prunus africana* in fragmented and disturbed forests? *Oecologia* 147:238–252.
- FARWIG, N., BRAUN, C. & BÖHNING-GAESE, K. 2008. Human disturbance reduces genetic diversity of an endangered tropical tree, *Prunus africana* (Rosaceae). *Conservation Genetics* 9:317–326.
- FENSTER, C. B., VEKEMANS, X. & HARDY, O. J. 2003. Quantifying gene flow from spatial genetic structure data in a metapopulation of *Chamaecrista fasciculata* (Leguminosae). *Evolution* 57:995–1007.
- GARCÍA, C. & GRIVET, D. 2011. Molecular insights into seed dispersal mutualisms driving plant population recruitment. *Acta Oecologica* 37:632–640.
- GONZALES, E., HAMRICK, J. L., SMOUSE, P. E., TRAPNELL, D. W. & PEAKALL, R. 2010. The impact of landscape disturbance on spatial genetic structure in the guanacaste tree, *Enterolobium cyclocarpum* (Fabaceae). *Journal of Heredity* 101:133–143.
- GUSTAFSSON, M. H. G., WINTER, K. & BITTRICH, V. 2007. Diversity, phylogeny and classification of *Clusia*. Pp. 95–116 in Lüttge, U. (ed.). Clusia: *a woody neotropical genus of remarkable plasticity and diversity*. Springer, Berlin.
- HALE, M. L., SQUIRRELL, J., BORLAND, A. M. & WOLFF, K. 2002. Isolation of polymorphic microsatellite loci in the genus *Clusia* (Clusiaceae). *Molecular Ecology Notes* 2:506–508.
- HAMRICK, J. 2004. Response of forest trees to global environmental changes. *Forest Ecology and Management* 197:323–335.
- HAMRICK, J. L. 2010. Pollen and seed movement in disturbed tropical landscapes. Pp. 190–211 in DeWoody, J. A., Bickham, J. W., Michler, C. H, Nichols, K. M., Rhodes, O. E. & Woeste, K. E. (eds.). *Molecular approaches in natural resource conservation and management*. Cambridge University Press, New York.
- HAMRICK, J. L. & NASON, J. D. 1996. Consequences of dispersal in plants. Pp. 203–236 in Rhodes, O. E., Chesser, R. K. & Smith, M. H. (eds.). *Population dynamics in ecological space and time*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- HAMRICK, J. L. & TRAPNELL, D. W. 2011. Using population genetic analyses to understand seed dispersal patterns. *Acta Oecologica* 37:641–649.
- HAMRICK, J. L., MURAWSKI, D. A. & NASON, J. D. 1993. The influence of seed dispersal mechanisms on the genetic structure of tropical tree populations. *Plant Ecology* 107/108:281–297.
- HANZAWA, F. M., BEATTIE, A. J. & CULVER, D. C. 1988. Directed dispersal: demographic analysis of an ant–seed mutualism. *American Naturalist* 131:1–13.
- HENSEN, I., TEICH, I., HIRSCH, H., VON WEHRDEN, H. & RENINSON, D. 2011. Range-wide genetic structure and diversity of the endemic

tree line species *Polylepis australis* (Rosaceae) in Argentina. *American Journal of Botany* 11:1825–1833.

- HENSEN, I., CIERJACKS, A., HIRSCH, H., KESSLER, M., ROMOLEROUX, K., RENISON, D. & WESCHE, K. 2012. Historic and recent fragmentation coupled with altitude affect the genetic population structure of one of the world's highest tropical tree line species. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 21:455–464.
- HURLBERT, S. H. 1971. The non concept of species diversity: a critique and alternative parameters. *Ecology* 52:577–586.
- KAMBACH, S., GUERRA, F., BECK, S., HENSEN, I. & SCHLEUNING, M. 2013. Human-induced disturbance alters pollinator communities in tropical montane forests. *Diversity* 5:1–14.
- KESSLER, M. & BECK, S. G. 2001. Bolivia. Pp. 581–622 in Kappelle, M. & Brown, A. D. (eds.). Bosques nublados del neotropico. INBio, Costa Rica.
- KETTLE, C. J., HOLLINGSWORTH, P. M., JAFFRÉ, T., MORAN, B. & ENNOS, R. A. 2007. Identifying the early genetic consequences of habitat degradation in a highly threatened tropical conifer, *Araucaria nemorosa* Laubenfels. *Molecular Ecology* 16:3581–3591.
- KETTLE, C. J., HOLLINGSWORTH, P. M., BURSLEM, D. F. R. P., MAYCOCK, C. R., KHOO, E. & GHAZOUL, J. 2011. Determinants of fine-scale spatial genetic structure in three co-occurring rain forest canopy trees in Borneo. *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* 13:47–56.
- KILLEEN, T. J., SILES, T., SORI, L. & BORREA, L. 2005. Estratificación de vegetación y cambio de uso de suelo en los Yungas y Alto Beni de La Paz. Ecología en Bolivia: Revista del Instituto de Ecología 40: 32–69.
- KIRIKA, J. M., BLEHER, B., BÖHNING-GAESE, K., CHIRA, R. & FARWIG, N. 2008. Fragmentation and local disturbance of forests reduce frugivore diversity and fruit removal in *Ficus thonningii* trees. *Basic* and Applied Ecology 9:663–672.
- KLOSS, L., FISCHER, M. & DURKA, W. 2011. Land-use effects on genetic structure of a common grassland herb: a matter of scale. *Basic and Applied Ecology* 12:440–448.
- KRAMER, A. T., ISON, J. L., ASHLEY, M. V. & HOWE, H. F. 2008. The paradox of forest fragmentation. *Conservation Biology* 22:878–885.
- LAURANCE, W. F., NASCIMENTO, H. E. M., LAURANCE, S. G., ANDRADE, A., EWERS, R. M., HARMS, K. E., LUIZÃO, R. C. C. & RIBEIRO, J. E. 2007. Habitat fragmentation, variable edge effects, and the landscape-divergence hypothesis. *Plos ONE* 2:e1017.
- LEHOUCK, V., SPANHOVE, T., VANGESTEL, C., CORDEIRO, N. J. & LENS, L. 2009. Does landscape structure affect resource tracking by avian frugivores in a fragmented afrotropical forest? *Ecography* 32:789–799.
- LOISELLE, B. A., SORK, V. L., NASON, J. & GRAHAM, C. 1995. Spatial genetic structure of a tropical understory shrub, *Psychotria officinalis* (Rubiaceae). *American Journal of Botany* 82:1420–1425.
- LOWE, A. J., BOSHIER, D., WARD, M., BACLES, C. F. E. & NAVARRO, C. 2005. Genetic resource impacts of habitat loss and degradation; reconciling empirical evidence and predicted theory for neotropical trees. *Heredity* 95:255–273.
- MENKE, S., BÖHNING-GAESE, K. & SCHLEUNING, M. 2012. Plantfrugivore networks are less specialized and more robust at forestfarmland edges than in the interior of a tropical forest. *Oikos* 121:1553–1566.

- MICHALSKI, S. G. & DURKA, W. 2012. Assessment of provenance delineation by genetic differentiation patterns and estimates of gene flow in the common grassland plant *Geranium pratense*. *Conservation Genetics* 13:581–592.
- MURCIA, C. 1995. Edge effects in fragmented forests: implications for conservation. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 10:58–62.
- MYERS, N., MITTERMEIER, R. A., MITTERMEIER, C. G., FONSECA, G. A. B. & KENT, J. 2000. Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. *Nature* 403:853–858.
- NASON, J. D., ALDRICH, P. R. & HAMRICK, J. L. 1997. Dispersal and the dynamics of genetic structure in fragmented tropical tree populations. Pp. 304–320 in Laurance, W. F. & Bierregaard, R. O. (eds.). *Tropical forest remnants: ecology, management and conservation of fragmented communities*. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- NEI, M. 1987. Molecular evolutionary genetics. Columbia University Press, New York, 512 pp.
- PASSOS, L. & OLIVEIRA, P. S. 2002. Ants affect the distribution and performance of seedlings of *Clusia criuva*, a primarily bird-dispersed rain forest tree. *Journal of Ecology* 90:517–528.
- RAMOS, F. N., DE LIMA, P. F., ZUCCHI, M. I., COLOMBO, C. A. & SOLFERINI, V. N. 2010. Genetic structure of tree and shrubby species among anthropogenic edges natural edges and interior of an Atlantic forest fragment. *Biochemical Genetics* 48:215–228.
- SAUNDERS, D. A., HOBBS, R. J. & MARGULES, C. R. 1991. Biological consequences of ecosystem fragmentation: a review. *Conservation Biology* 5:18–32.

- SHI, M., MICHALSKI, S. G., CHEN, X. Y. & DURKA, W. 2011. Isolation by elevation: genetic structure at neutral and putatively non-neutral loci in a dominant tree of subtropical forest, *Castanopsis eyrei*. *Plos ONE* 6:e21302.
- SMOUSE, P. E., PEAKALL, R. & GONZALES, E. 2008. A heterogeneity test for fine-scale genetic structure. *Molecular Ecology* 17:3389– 3400.
- VAN GEERT, A., VAN ROSSUM, F. & TRIEST, L. 2008. Genetic diversity in adult and seedling populations of *Primula vulgaris* in a fragmented agricultural landscape. *Conservation Genetics* 9:845–853.
- VAN ROSSUM, F. & TRIEST, L. 2006. Fine-scale genetic structure of the common *Primula elatior* (Primulaceae) at an early stage of population fragmentation. *American Journal of Botany* 93:1281–1288.
- VASCONCELOS, H. L. & LUIZÃO, F. J. 2004. Litter production and litter nutrient concentrations in a fragmented Amazonian landscape. *Ecological Applications* 14:884–892.
- VEKEMANS, X. & HARDY, O. J. 2004. New insights from fine-scale spatial genetic structure analyses in plant populations. *Molecular Ecology* 13:921–935.
- WHITE, G. M., BOSHIER, D. H. & POWELL, W. 2002. Increased pollen flow counteracts fragmentation in a tropical dry forest: an example from Swietenia humilis Zuccarini. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 99:2038–2042.
- YOUNG, A., BOYLE, T. & BROWN, T. 1996. The population genetic consequences of habitat fragmentation for plants. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 11:413–418.