# Influence of target plasma nuclei collisions on correlated motion of fragmented $H_2^+$ protons

MANUEL D. BARRIGA-CARRASCO

E. T. S. I. Industriales, Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Ciudad Real, Spain (RECEIVED 22 November 2005; ACCEPTED 18 December 2005)

#### Abstract

The aim of this paper is to describe the influence of target plasma nuclei on the correlated motion of  $H_2^+$  protons traversing classical plasma matter. Electronic stopping of the protons pair is treated by means of the dielectric formalism, while nuclear collisions are dealt within the classical dispersion theory through a Monte Carlo method. It is shown that vicinage electronic forces screen Coulomb repulsion between the two protons from  $H_2^+$  ion decelerating the increase of their relative distance. Vicinage forces also align the interproton vector along the motion direction. However, proton interactions with plasma nuclei mask most of these vicinage effects. These nuclear collisions hide the screening effect produced by the vicinage forces, increasing the proton relative distance even faster than for bare Coulomb repulsion. The interproton vector along motion direction is also misaligned due to nuclear collisions. Nuclear collisions effects are more significant in reducing projectile velocity. In particular, all these effects are studied in a deuterium (D) plasma with temperature  $T_e = 10 \text{ eV}$  and electronic density  $n = 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ .

**Keywords:** Dielectric formalism; Electronic interactions; Molecular dynamics; Monte Carlo method; Nuclear interactions; Particle beam interactions in plasmas; Plasma simulation

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, sub-picosecond high intensity lasers can generate short bunches of energetic ion beams with good efficiency (Pegoraro *et al.*, 2004; Roth *et al.*, 2005). Many applications of these ion beams are emerging: (1) ignition of an inertial fusion target (Tahir *et al.*, 1997; Roth *et al.*, 2001; Deutsch, 2004), (2) ion radiography (King *et al.*, 1999) or ion imaging (Borghesi *et al.*, 2001, 2005; Breschi *et al.*, 2004) of plasma targets, and (3) isochoric heating of solid into plasmas (Patel *et al.*, 2003), etc. All of them require an accurate description of the interactions between the ion beam and the plasma target. This paper is focused on the effects of target plasma nuclei on correlated transport of the beam ions.

When the density of an atomic beam increases, the ions get closer, and new interactions take place between them. But these interactions can also be observed in low density molecular ion beams. These ions dissociate when entering the target, so that the resulting charged fragments are very close to each other and their motion is also highly correlated (Zwicknagel *et al.*, 1995; Zwicknagel & Deutsch, 1996). Because the energy loss of molecular beams is higher than that of atomic beams, they have also been proposed as drivers for inertial confinement fusion (Deutsch, 1990, 1992; Eliezer *et al.*, 1995). Regardless of the origin of the closeness of the charges, the interaction forces between them when they move through an electronic medium (plasma) are called vicinage forces (Neufeld & Ritchie, 1955). These vicinage forces change the movement of the ions giving rise to a correlated motion.

The correlated motion of the ions will be studied through a molecular dynamic method included in a computer code. Dielectric formalism has been used to describe the forces due to electronic excitations in the medium; the selfretarding ion force and the vicinage forces created by its partner ions. Nuclear collisions with target plasma nuclei are incorporated in the computer code through a Monte Carlo method.

In Section 2 we detail the dielectric formalism used to study the electronic stopping of cluster ions. This formalism has been successfully applied to the interaction of protons with plasmas (Barriga-Carrasco *et al.*, 2004) and molecular protons with solid targets (Barriga-Carrasco & Garcia-Molina, 2004). Ion scattering due to target nuclei are treated

Address correspondence and reprint requests to: Manuel D. Barriga-Carrasco, E. T. S. I. Industriales, Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, E-13071 Ciudad Real, Spain. E-mail: manueld.barriga@uclm.es

in Section 3. The final purpose of this paper is to include our conclusions in the computer code, TAMIM (Transport of Atomic and Molecular Ions in Matter), former MBC-ITFIP (Barriga-Carrasco & Maynard, 2005).

## 2. ELECTRONIC STOPPING OF CLUSTER IONS

In the dielectric formalism, the dielectric function  $\epsilon(k, \omega)$  defines the target taking into account its response to electronic excitations induced by a charged ion that travels through it. This function depends on the momentum *k* and the energy  $\omega$  of the produced excitation. To simplify theoretical calculations, atomic units (a.u.) will be used, except for results where plasma units will be stated. In the random phase approximation, the longitudinal dielectric function of a classical electron plasma is written as (Peter & Meyer-ter-Vehn, 1991):

$$\epsilon_{\text{RPA}}(k,\omega) = 1 + \frac{k_D^2}{k^2} \left( W(\xi) + iY(\xi) \right), \tag{1}$$

with

$$W(\xi) = 1 - \sqrt{2}\xi \exp(-\xi^2/2) \int_0^{\xi/\sqrt{2}} \exp(-x^2) \,\mathrm{d}x, \qquad (2)$$

and

$$Y(\xi) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \xi \exp(-\xi^2/2),$$
 (3)

where  $\xi = \omega/(kv_{th})$  with  $v_{th} = \sqrt{k_BT}$  the electron thermal velocity,  $k_D = \omega_p/v_{th}$  the inverse Debye length and  $\omega_p = \sqrt{4\pi n}$  the plasma frequency. *T* and *n* are the temperature (in eV) and the electronic density (in a.u.) of the target plasma, respectively.

Following the dielectric formalism, the induced force produced by a point-like charge  $Z_{p1}$ , moving at velocity v inside an uniform electron gas, on a neighbor charge  $Z_{p2}$  is (Barriga-Carrasco & Garcia-Molina, 2003):

$$F_{z}(z,\sigma) = \frac{2Z_{p1}Z_{p2}}{\pi v_{p1}^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{k} \int_{0}^{kv} \mathrm{d}\omega \,\omega J_{0}(\sigma \sqrt{k^{2} - \omega^{2}/v_{p1}^{2}})$$
$$\times \left\{ \sin(\omega z/v_{p1}) \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{1}{\epsilon(k,\omega)} - 1\right] + \cos(\omega z/v_{p1}) \operatorname{Im}\left[\frac{1}{\epsilon(k,\omega)} - 1\right] \right\}, \tag{4}$$

$$F_{\sigma}(z,\sigma) = \frac{2Z_{p1}Z_{p2}}{\pi v_{p1}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{k}$$

$$\times \int_{0}^{kv} \mathrm{d}\omega J_{1}(\sigma \sqrt{k^{2} - \omega^{2}/v_{p1}^{2}}) \sqrt{k^{2} - \omega^{2}/v_{p1}^{2}}$$

$$\times \left\{ \cos(\omega z/v_{p1}) \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{1}{\epsilon(k,\omega)} - 1\right] \right\}$$

$$- \sin(\omega z/v_{p1}) \operatorname{Im}\left[\frac{1}{\epsilon(k,\omega)} - 1\right] \right\}, \quad (5)$$

where z and  $\sigma$  are the coordinates parallel and perpendicular of the neighbor projectile from the projectile that generates the potential in the reference frame of the motion of the last one.  $J_0(x)$  and  $J_1(x)$  are the zeroth and the first order Bessel functions. It is worthwhile to mention that the induced or vicinage forces in Eqs. (4) and (5) do not include the Coulomb force and they only depend on the target through the dielectric function  $\epsilon(k, \omega)$ . As an example, Figure 1 shows the vicinage forces that one proton traveling with velocities  $v_p = v_{th}$ ,  $2v_{th}$  and  $3v_{th}$  produces on another proton at a distance  $\sigma = 1/k_D = \lambda_D$ , as a function of the longitudinal distance  $zk_D$ .



**Fig. 1.** Induced forces that one proton with velocity  $v_p = v_{th}$ ,  $2v_{th}$  and  $3v_{th}$  produces on another proton at a distance  $\sigma = \lambda_D$  through a plasma with  $n = 10^{23}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> and T = 10 eV, as a function of longitudinal distance  $zk_D$ . Forces are normalized to  $F_0 = Z_{p1}Z_{p2}/\lambda_D^2$ .

Assigning  $z = \sigma = 0$  and  $Z_{p1} = Z_{p2}$  to Eqs. (4) and (5) results  $F_{\sigma} = 0$ , and  $F_z$  yields the self-stopping particle force  $F_s$ . The variation of the projectile kinetic energy is  $dE = \mathbf{F}_s \mathbf{v}_{p1} dt$ , so the electronic stopping,  $S_e$ , defined as the energy loss per unit path length, becomes

$$S_{\rm e} = \frac{-\mathrm{d}E}{v_{p1}\,\mathrm{d}t} = -F_{{\rm s},z}(z=0,\sigma=0). \tag{6}$$

Then, the well-known electronic stopping formula for one projectile is recovered

$$S_{\rm e}(v) = \frac{2Z_{p1}^2}{\pi v_{p1}^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{k} \int_0^{kv} \mathrm{d}\omega \,\omega \,\mathrm{Im}\left[\frac{-1}{\epsilon(k,\omega)}\right]. \tag{7}$$

Thus, the sum of forces on projectile 1 and 2 are

$$\vec{F}_{1}(z',\sigma',v_{1},v_{2}) = -S_{e}(v_{1})\vec{e}_{z} + (F_{z2\to1}(z',\sigma',v_{2}) + F_{cz'2\to1}(z',\sigma'))\vec{e}_{z'} + (F_{\sigma2\to1}(z',\sigma',v_{2}) + F_{c\sigma'2\to1}(z',\sigma'))\vec{e}_{\sigma'}$$
(8)

$$\vec{F}_{2}(z,\sigma,v_{1},v_{2}) = -S_{e}(v_{2})\vec{e}_{z'} + (F_{z1\to2}(z,\sigma,v_{1}) + F_{cz1\to2}(z,\sigma))\vec{e}_{z} + (F_{\sigma1\to2}(z,\sigma,v_{1}) + F_{c\sigma1\to2}(z,\sigma))\vec{e}_{\sigma},$$
(9)

where the values without and with prima refer to the projectile 1 and to the projectile 2 movement frames, and  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ are their respective velocities. Coulomb forces are denoted by  $F_{\rm C}$ .

#### 3. NUCLEAR SCATTERING MODEL

The classical dispersion theory will be used to treat projectile interactions with the target nuclei. Let us consider  $E_p = m_p v_p^2/2$ ,  $m_p$  and  $Z_p$  as the projectile energy, mass and charge; and  $m_n$  and  $Z_n$  as the target nucleus mass and charge, in the center of mass frame. The target nucleus produces a potential energy V(r) at the projectile position during the collision, so the projectile is scattered with an angle  $\theta$ (Goldstein, 1980)

$$\theta(s) = \pi - 2s \int_{R_{\min}}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}r}{r^2 \sqrt{1 - V(r)/E_r - s^2/r^2}},$$
 (10)

where  $E_r = 4m_p m_n E_p / (m_p + m_n)^2$  is the maximum transferable energy in a collision, *r* is the distance between the projectile and the force center,  $R_{\min}$  is the minimum *r*, and *s* is the impact parameter.

The potential energy V(r) is written as

$$V(r) = \frac{Z_p Z_n}{r} \Phi\left(\frac{r}{a}\right). \tag{11}$$

For a fully ionized plasma  $\Phi$  is the Debye potential  $\Phi(r/a) = \exp(-r/a)$  and *a* is the dynamical adiabatic screening length, which depends on temperature

$$a = (\sqrt{v_{th}^2 + v_p^2})/\omega_p.$$
 (12)

 $\Phi$  and *a* are obtained from the average atom model (Maynard *et al.*, 2002) for a partially ionized plasma.

The elastic collision transfers an energy  $E_{\rm T}$  to the nucleus which is lost by the projectile. The energy transferred is related to the scattering angle  $\theta$  by

$$E_{\rm T} = \frac{4m_p m_n E_p}{(m_p + m_n)^2} \sin^2(\theta/2).$$
 (13)

Therefore, the greater the scattering angle, the greater the energy loss. In our energy regime, Eq. (13) introduces only a small correction to the inelastic proton energy loss, Eq. (7). Nuclear collisions mainly produce changes on the movement direction of the projectiles, so they are not considered in Eqs. (8) and (9).

This nuclear scattering model is included in our computer code (TAMIM) through a binary collision model described by Möller *et al.* (1975) and using a Monte Carlo technique.

### 4. RESULTS

This section shows the effects of the nuclear scattering in the transport process of a fragmented  $H_2^+$  ion. The interest in the study of these ions is that  $H_2^+$  is the simplest molecular projectile and therefore, it can be used to test the effects due to the correlated motion of two charged particles through a target. But the same study can be done for other cluster ions.

The H<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> ion will lose its electron just entering the target and will dissociate into two protons,  $Z_{p1} = Z_{p2} = 1$  and  $m_p =$ 1 in proton units (p.u.), separated by an initial distance  $r_0 =$ 1.08 × 10<sup>-8</sup> cm (Garcia-Molina & Barriga-Carrasco, 2003). This gives two protons that move in close proximity, interacting between them and with the target electrons and nuclei. The target is considered to be deuterium,  $Z_n = 1$  and  $m_n = 2$  in p.u., in a plasma state characterized by its density,  $n = 10^{23}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, and its temperature, T = 10 eV. The velocities of the H<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> projectiles impinging on the plasma target are low enough to see the effects of nuclear collisions in its slowing down, so  $v_p \approx v_{th}$ .

The main difference on the transport between two correlated or isolated protons is due to the Coulomb and vicinage forces. Thus, we are going to analyze how nuclear collisions influence two fundamental quantities for calculating these forces: the interproton distance, r, and the angle between the interproton vector and the motion direction,  $\alpha$ . It is worth to introduce here the logarithm of the dimensionless dwell time  $\tau = \log 10(t/t_c)$ , where  $t_c = 1.47$  fs is the characteristic Coulomb explosion time of the two protons fragmented from the H<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> ion.

Figure 2 shows the evolution of the adimensional interproton distance  $rk_D$  as a function of the logarithm of the dwell time  $\tau$  during the Coulomb explosion of H<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> protons traversing the target plasma with different velocities (a)  $v_p =$  $v_{th}$ , (b)  $v_p = 2v_{th}$  and (c)  $v_p = 3v_{th}$ . Each graph represents this



**Fig. 2.** Evolution of the adimensional interproton distance  $rk_D$  as a function of the logarithm of dwell time  $\tau$  during the Coulomb explosion of H<sup>+</sup><sub>2</sub> protons traversing the plasma with different velocities (**a**)  $v_p = v_{th}$ , (**b**)  $v_p = 2v_{th}$  and (**c**)  $v_p = 3v_{th}$ . Each graph represents this evolution taking into account only electronic stopping (square symbols), electronic stopping and Coulomb force (circle symbols), electronic stopping, Coulomb and vicinage forces (up triangle symbols), and electronic stopping, Coulomb force, vicinage force and nuclear collisions (down triangle symbols).

evolution taking into account only electronic stopping (square symbols), electronic stopping and Coulomb force (circle symbols), electronic stopping, Coulomb, and vicinage forces (up triangle symbols), and electronic stopping, Coulomb force, vicinage force, and nuclear collisions (down triangle symbols). We see that Coulomb repulsion separates the protons. When vicinage forces are also taken into account, the Coulomb repulsion is always delayed. This screening is a consequence of the asymmetry of vicinage forces that tries to join the two protons in  $\sigma$  and z direction. This is more significant in the late dwell times when the two protons are quite separated and the Coulomb force is smaller than the vicinage forces. These results are also more noticeable for low velocities. If nuclear collisions are considered, the delay produced by the vicinage forces is now canceled. Indeed, now r increases faster than for the bare Coulomb explosion. To check this, a new graph is plotted in Figure 2

for  $v_p = 2v_{th}$ . In this case, electronic stopping and nuclear collisions are only considered in the calculations. If it is compared with the graph of electronic stopping plus Coulomb force (circle symbols), it is concluded that nuclear collisions are more responsable of proton separation than Coulomb forces. This separation is faster for low impact projectile velocities as nuclear scattering effects are more significant.

Many published works (see Arista (2000) for a complete list) have mentioned that vicinage forces tend to align the interproton vector in the motion direction due to its asymmetry. We studied this effect through the time evolution of the azimutal angle  $\alpha$  between the interproton vector and the motion direction. Figure 3 shows the number of protons pairs with azimutal angle  $|\alpha| \leq 20^{\circ}$  (Nal), as a function of the logarithm of dwell time  $\tau$  for the same conditions as in Figure 2. When only self-stopping electronic forces are considered, the number of protons pairs aligned (Nal) does not vary as expected. Then adding Coulomb forces to the same calculations, the Nal slightly decreases for low velocities and slightly increases for high velocities, at long dwell times, because of the different self-stopping electronic force



**Fig. 3.** Evolution of the number of aligned proton pairs (Nal) as a function of the logarithm of dwell time  $\tau$ ; for same conditions as in Figure 2.

produced by these Coulomb forces in each proton of the same pair. Vicinage forces substantially increase the Nal percentage indicating that most part of protons pairs align their relative vectors along the motion direction. This also results from the asymmetry of the vicinage forces. In this case, the aligning of the interproton vector means that the relative averaged force  $F_{\sigma}$  is higher than the relative averaged  $F_z$  during protons flight. These effects are more significant at high velocities, as the ratio between the averaged  $F_{\sigma}$  and the averaged  $F_z$  forces is more important. When nuclear collisions are included in the calculations the interproton vector misaligns and the effects of the vicinage forces cancel. This cancellation happens more or less at the same dwell time,  $\tau \simeq 1$ , for the three examined velocities.

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

In this work the correlated motion of two charged particles through a classical electron plasma has been studied. In particular, results have been shown for the case of two protons fragmented from a  $H_2^+$  molecular ion in a  $T_e = 10 \text{ eV}$  and  $n = 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  deuterium plasma. The same study can be made for other correlated ions and target plasmas. The interest in the study of these ions is that  $H_2^+$  is the simplest molecular projectile, and therefore, it can be used to investigate the correlated motion of two protons.

It has been shown that vicinage forces screen the Coulomb repulsion between the two protons and tend to align the interproton vector along motion direction. These effects are more important at low projectile velocities and for long dwell times. On the other hand, when proton collisions with target nuclei are considered, the effects of the vicinage forces are masked and surpassed: the relative proton distances increases more than for Coulomb repulsion and the number of proton pairs aligned decreases even more than in the initial percentage. The main conclusion of this work is that correlated motion of ions can not be investigated without considering vicinage forces and ion collisions with target nuclei.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was financed by he Spanish Ministerio de Educacion y Cultura.

## REFERENCES

- ARISTA, N.R. (2000). Stopping of molecules and clusters. *Nucl. Instr. Meth. B* 164–165, 108–130.
- BARRIGA-CARRASCO, M.D. & GARCIA-MOLINA, R. (2003). Vicinage forces between molecular and atomic fragments dissociated from small hydrogen clusters and their effects on energy distributions. *Phys. Rev. A* 68, 062902 (8).
- BARRIGA-CARRASCO, M.D. & GARCIA-MOLINA, R. (2004). Simulation of the energy spectra of original versus recombined H<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> molecular ions transmitted through thin foils. *Phys. Rev. A* 70, 032901 (8).

- BARRIGA-CARRASCO, M.D., MAYNARD, G. & KURILENKOV, YU. (2004). Influence of transverse diffusion within the proton beam fast ignitor scenario. *Phys. Rev. E* **70**, 066407 (9).
- BARRIGA-CARRASCO, M.D. & MAYNARD, G. (2005). A 3D trajectory numerical simulation of the transport of energetic light ion beams in plasma targets. *Laser Part. Beams* 23, 211–217.
- BORGHESI, M., SCHIAVI, A., CAMPBELL, D.H., HAINES, M.G., WILLI O., MACKINNON, A.J., GIZZI, L.A., GALIMBERTI, M., CLARKE, R.J. & RUHL, H. (2001). Proton imaging: A diagnostic for inertial confinement fusion/fast ignitor studies. *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **43**, A267–276.
- BORGHESI, M., AUDEBERT, P., BULANOV, S.V., COWAN, T., FUCHS, J., GAUTHIER, J.C., MACKINNON, A.J., PATEL, P.K., PRETZLER, G., ROMAGNANI, L., SCHIAVI, A., TONCIAN, T. & WILLI, O. (2005). High-intensity laser-plasma interaction studies employing laser-driven proton probes. *Laser Part. Beams* 23, 291.
- BRESCHI, E., BORGHESI, M., GALIMBERTI, M., GIULIETTI, D., GIZZI, L.A., ROMAGNANI, G., SCHIAVI, A. & WILLI, O. (2004). Spectral and angular characterization of laser-produced proton beams from dosimetric measurements. *Laser Part. Beams* 22, 393–397.
- DEUTSCH, C. (1990). Interaction of ion cluster beams with cold matter and dense-plasmas. *Laser Part. Beams* **8**, 541–553.
- DEUTSCH, C. (1992). Ion cluster interaction with cold targets for ICF—Fragmentation and stopping. *Laser Part. Beams* 10, 217–226.
- DEUTSCH, C. (2004). Penetration of intense charged particle beams in the outer layers of precompressed thermonuclear fuels. *Laser Part. Beams* 22, 115–120.
- ELIEZER, S., MARTINEZVAL, J.M. & DEUTSCH, C. (1995). Inertial fusion-targets driven by cluster ion-beam: The hydrodynamic approach. *Laser Part. Beams* 13, 43–69.
- GARCIA-MOLINA, R. & BARRIGA-CARRASCO, M.D. (2003). Simulation of the molecular recombination yield for swift  $H_2^+$  ions through thin carbon foils. *Phys. Rev. A* **68**, 054901 (4).
- GOLDSTEIN, H. (1980). *Classical Mechanics*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- KING, N.S.P., ABLES, E., ADAMS, KEN, ALRICK, K.R., AMANN, J.F., BALZAR, S., BARNES JR., P.D., CROW, M.L., CUSHING, S.B., EDDLEMAN, J.C., FIFE, T.T., FLORES, P., FUJINO, D., GALLEGOS, R.A., GRAY, N.T., HARTOUNI, E.P., HOGAN, G.E., HOLMES, V.H., JARAMILLO, S.A., KNUDSSON, J.N., LONDON, R.K., LOPEZ, R.R., MCDONALD, T.E., MCCLELLAND, J.B., MERRILL, F.E., MORLEY, K.B., MORRIS, C.L., NAIVAR, F.J., PARKER, E.L., PARK, H.S., PAZUCHANICS, P.D., PILLAI, C., RIEDEL, C.M., SARRACINO, J.S., SHELLEY JR., F.E., STACY, H.L., TAKALA, B.E., THOMPSON, R., TUCKER, H.E., YATES, G.J., ZIOCK, H.-J. & ZUMBRO, J.D. (1999). AN 800-MeV proton radiography facility for dynamic experiments. *Nucl. Instr. Meth. A* 424, 84–91.
- MAYNARD, G., DEUTSCH, C., DIMITRIOU, K., KATSONIS, K. & SARRAZIN, M. (2002). Evaluation of the energy deposition profile for swift heavy ions in dense plasmas. *Nucl. Instr. Meth. B* **195**, 188–215.
- MÖLLER, W., POSPIECH, G. & SCHRIEDER, G. (1975). Multiple scattering calculations on ions passing through thin amorphous foils. *Nucl. Instr. Meth.* **130**, 265–270.
- NEUFELD, J. & RITCHIE, R. H. (1955). Passage of charged particles through plasma. *Phys. Rev.* **98**, 1632–1642.
- PATEL, P.K., MACKINNON, A.J., KEY, M.H., COWAN, T.E., FOORD, M.E., Allen, M., Price, D.F., Ruhl, H., Springer, P.T. &

STEPHENS, R. (2003). Isochoric heating of solid-density matter with an ultrasfast proton beam. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 125004 (4).

- PETER, TH. & MEYER-TER-VEHN, J. (1991). Energy loss of heavy ions in dense plasma. I. Linear and nonlinear Vlasov theory for the stopping power. *Phys. Rev. A* **43**, 1998–2014.
- PEGORARO, F., ATZENI, S., BORGHESI, M., BULANOV, S., ESIRKEPOV, T., HONRUBIA, J., KATO, Y., KHOROSHKOV, V., NISHIHARA, K., TAJIMA, T., TEMPORAL, M. & WILLI, O. (2004). Production of ion beams in high-power laser-plasma interactions and their applications. *Laser Part. Beams* 22, 19–24.
- ROTH, M., COWAN, T. E., KEY, M. H., HATCHETT, S. P., BROWN,
  C., FOUNTAIN, W., JOHNSON, J., PENNINGTON, D. M., SNAVELY,
  R. A., WILKS, S. C., YASUIKE, K., RUHL, K., PEGORARO, F.,
  BULANOV, S.V., CAMPBELL, E. M., PERRY, M. D. & POWELL,
  H. (2001). Fast ignition by intense laser-accelerated proton
  beams. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 86, 436–439.
- ROTH, M., BRAMBRINK, E., AUDEBERT, P., BLAZEVIC, A., CLARKE, R., COBBLE, J., COWAN, T.E., FERNANDEZ, J., FUCHS, J., GEISSEL, M., HABS, D., HEGELICH, M., KARSCH, S., LEDINGHAM, K., NEELY, D., RUHL, H., SCHLEGEL, T. & SCHREIBER, J. (2005). Laser accelerated ions and electron transport in ultraintense laser matter interaction. *Laser Part. Beams* 23, 95–100.
- TAHIR, N.A., LUTZ, K.J., GEB, O., MARUHN, J.A., DEUTSCH, C. & HOFFMANN, D.H.H. (1997). Inertial confinement fusion using hohlraum radiation generated by heavy-ion clusters. *Phys. Plasmas* 4, 796–816.
- ZWICKNAGEL, G., TOEPFFER, C. & REINHARD, P.G. (1995). Stopping power of heavy ions in strongly coupled plasmas. *Laser Part. Beams* **13**, 311–319.
- ZWICKNAGEL, G. & DEUTSCH, C. (1996). Basic features of correlated ion stopping in plasmas. *Laser Part. Beams* 14, 749–763.