

# Global shadowing of pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms

MICHAEL HANDEL

*Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA*

(Received 10 January 1985)

**Abstract.** We prove that if  $f: M^2 \rightarrow M^2$  is pseudo-Anosov and if  $g \simeq f$ , then there is a closed subset  $X \subset M^2$  and a continuous surjection  $\pi: X \rightarrow M$  that is homotopic to inclusion such that  $f \circ \pi = \pi \circ g|_X$ .

## 0. Introduction

In this paper we consider A. B. Katok's notion of  $K$ -global shadowing (defined in §1) as it applies to a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism  $f: M^2 \rightarrow M^2$  on a closed surface. It is an equivalence relation which allows one to compare the orbits of  $f$  with the orbits of any map  $g: M^2 \rightarrow M^2$  that is homotopic to  $f$ . We write  $(f, x) \sim^K (g, y)$ , or  $(f, x) \sim (g, y)$  when  $K$  is unspecified.

Global shadowing in a pseudo-Anosov homotopy class generalizes two other well known and useful equivalence relations:

(A) When  $x$  and  $y$  are fixed points of  $f^n$  and  $g^n$  respectively ( $n > 0$ ), then  $(f, x) \sim (g, y)$  if and only if  $(f^n, x)$  is Nielsen equivalent to  $(g^n, y)$ .

(B) When  $K = \varepsilon$  is sufficiently small and  $g$  is  $\varepsilon$ -close to  $f$  in the  $C^0$ -topology, then  $(f, x) \sim (g, y)$  if and only if the  $f$ -orbit of  $x$   $\varepsilon$ -shadows (in the sense of [B]) the pseudo-orbit of  $f$  defined by the  $g$ -orbit of  $y$ . Lewowicz [L] has considered  $\varepsilon$ -shadowing in the pseudo-Anosov context.

Thurston showed ([T]; Lemma 2.1 below) that from the point of view of Nielsen equivalence,  $f$  has the minimal number of periodic points among all maps in its homotopy class. Our first theorem shows that from the point of view of global shadowing,  $f$  has the minimal number of orbits among all maps in its homotopy class.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f: M^2 \rightarrow M^2$  be a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism on a closed surface, and let  $g: M^2 \rightarrow M^2$  be any map that is homotopic to  $f$ . Then*

- (i)  $(f, x_1) \sim (f, x_2) \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2$ ;
- (ii) *for all  $x \in M^2$ , there exists  $y \in M^2$  such that  $(f, x) \sim (g, y)$ ; if  $x$  is  $f$ -periodic with least period  $n$ , then  $y$  can be chosen to be  $g$ -periodic with least period  $n$ .*

Our second theorem is a uniformization of theorem 1. When  $f$  is Anosov rather than pseudo-Anosov, then theorem 2 reduces to the fact (proposition 2.1 of [F])

that any map that is homotopic to an Anosov diffeomorphism is semi-conjugate (by a map which is homotopic to the identity) to that Anosov diffeomorphism.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $f: M^2 \rightarrow M^2$  be a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism of a closed surface and let  $g: M^2 \rightarrow M^2$  be any map that is homotopic to  $f$ . Then there exists a closed set  $Y \subset M^2$  and a surjective map  $\varphi: Y \rightarrow M$  which is homotopic to the inclusion map such that  $f\varphi = \varphi g|_Y$ .*

Theorem 1 is in response to a question of A. B. Katok. I am grateful to him for bringing it to my attention. I would also like to thank P. Boyland for several interesting conversations which provoked theorem 2.

This research was supported in part by NSF Grant No. MCS8120790.

1. *Notation and definitions*

For the remainder of the paper,  $f: M^2 \rightarrow M^2$  will be a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism of a closed surface and  $g: M^2 \rightarrow M^2$  will be a map that is homotopic to  $f$ . The reader that is not familiar with pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms should consult [T] and [F-L-P] as required. We will use only the following properties of  $f: M^2 \rightarrow M^2$ .

- (1.1) The periodic points of  $f$  are dense.
- (1.2) The action induced by  $f$  on the free homotopy classes of  $M$  has no periodic orbits.
- (1.3) The fixed point index of a fixed point  $x$  of  $f^n$  is never 0.
- (1.4) There exist  $\lambda > 1$  and an equivariant metric  $\tilde{D}$  on the universal cover  $\tilde{M}$  of  $M$  such that  $\tilde{D} = \sqrt{\tilde{D}_s^2 + \tilde{D}_u^2}$ , where  $\tilde{D}_s: \tilde{M} \times \tilde{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $\tilde{D}_u: \tilde{M} \times \tilde{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  are equivariant functions satisfying:

$$\tilde{D}_u(\tilde{f}\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{f}\tilde{x}_2) = \lambda\tilde{D}_u(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{D}_s(\tilde{f}^{-1}\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{f}^{-1}\tilde{x}_2) = \lambda\tilde{D}_s(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2)$$

for all  $\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2 \in \tilde{M}$  and all lifts  $\tilde{f}$  of  $f$ .

*Remark.* The stable and unstable foliations for  $f$  lift to stable and unstable foliations for  $\tilde{f}$ . Given  $\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2 \in \tilde{M}$ ,  $\tilde{D}_s(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2)$  is defined to be the minimum length, with respect to the transverse measure on the stable foliation of  $\tilde{f}$ , of an arc connecting  $\tilde{x}_1$  to  $\tilde{x}_2$ . The function  $\tilde{D}_u$  is defined similarly with respect to the unstable foliation.

We fix once and for all a lift  $\tilde{f}: \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$  of  $f$  to the universal cover  $\tilde{M}$  of  $M$ . As  $g$  is homotopic to  $f$  there is a unique lift  $\tilde{g}: \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$  which is equivariantly homotopic to  $\tilde{f}$ .

*Definition 1.5* (A. B. Katok). The  $f$ -orbit of  $x$  is  $K$ -globally shadowed by the  $g$ -orbit of  $y$  if there are lifts  $\tilde{x}$  of  $x$  and  $\tilde{y}$  of  $y$  such that  $\tilde{D}(\tilde{f}^k(\tilde{x}), \tilde{g}^k(\tilde{y})) \leq K$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We write  $(f, x) \sim^K (g, y)$  or  $(f, x) \sim (g, y)$  if the shadowing constant  $K$  is unspecified.

*Remark.* The equivalence classes  $(f, x) \sim (g, y)$  are not dependent on the choice of equivariant metric in the definition of global shadowing. We use  $\tilde{D}$  because it is convenient for computations.

*Definition 1.6.* If  $x$  is a fixed point of  $f^n$  and  $\tilde{x}$  is a lift of  $x$ , then  $\tilde{f}^n(\tilde{x}) = s\tilde{x}$  for some covering translation  $s$  of  $\tilde{M}$ . Similarly, if  $y$  is a fixed point of  $g^n$  and  $\tilde{y}$  is a

lift of  $y$ , then  $\tilde{g}^n(\tilde{y}) = t\tilde{y}$  for some covering translation  $t$ . We say that  $(f^n, x)$  and  $(g^n, y)$  are *Nielsen equivalent* if there exist  $\tilde{x}$  and  $\tilde{y}$  such that  $s = t$ .

The following lemma establishes relationship (A) stated in the introduction.

LEMMA 1.7. *If  $x$  is a fixed point of  $f^n$  and  $y$  is a fixed point of  $g^n$ , then  $(f^n, x)$  is Nielsen equivalent to  $(g^n, y)$  if and only if  $(f, x) \sim (g, y)$ .*

*Proof.* (only if) Suppose that  $\tilde{f}^n(\tilde{x}) = t\tilde{x}$  and  $\tilde{g}^n(\tilde{y}) = t\tilde{y}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}(\tilde{f}^k(\tilde{x}), \tilde{g}^k(\tilde{y})) &= \tilde{D}(\tilde{f}^{k-n}(t\tilde{x}), \tilde{g}^{k-n}(t\tilde{y})) \\ &= \tilde{D}(t'\tilde{f}^{k-n}(\tilde{x}), t'\tilde{g}^{k-n}(\tilde{y})) = \tilde{D}(\tilde{f}^{k-n}(\tilde{x}), \tilde{g}^{k-n}(\tilde{y})), \end{aligned}$$

since  $t' = \tilde{f}^{k-n}t\tilde{f}^{-(k-n)} = \tilde{g}^{k-n}t\tilde{g}^{-(k-n)}$  is a covering translation and  $\tilde{D}$  is equivariant. Thus  $\tilde{D}(\tilde{f}^k(\tilde{x}), \tilde{g}^k(\tilde{y}))$  takes on only finitely many values and is bounded.

(if) Suppose that  $\tilde{f}^n(\tilde{x}) = s \cdot \tilde{x}$ ,  $\tilde{g}^n(\tilde{y}) = t \cdot \tilde{y}$  and that  $(f, x) \sim^K (g, y)$ . Then

$$\tilde{D}(\tilde{x}, (s^{-1}\tilde{g}^n)^k\tilde{y}) = \tilde{D}((s^{-1}\tilde{f}^n)^k\tilde{x}, (s^{-1}\tilde{g}^n)^k\tilde{y}) \leq K \quad \text{all } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Since any bounded subset of  $\tilde{M}$  intersects only finitely many lifts of  $y$ ,  $(s^{-1}\tilde{g}^n)^k$  fixes both  $\tilde{y}$  and  $s^{-1}\tilde{g}^n(\tilde{y}) = s^{-1}t\tilde{y}$  for some  $k > 0$ . This implies that  $(s^{-1}\tilde{g}^n)^k$  commutes with the covering translation  $s^{-1}t$  and hence by property (1.2) that  $s^{-1}t = \text{identity}$ . □

### 2. Proofs of theorems 1 and 2

We begin this section with a proof of a result of Thurston which, in conjunction with lemma 1.7, implies that  $f$ -periodic orbits of least period  $n$  are globally shadowed by  $g$ -periodic orbits of least period  $n$ . The heart of this paper (lemma 2.2) is the observation that there is a uniform bound to the shadowing constants produced by lemma 2.1.

LEMMA 2.1 [T]. (i) *If  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are distinct fixed points of  $f^n$  then  $(f^n, x_1)$  and  $(f^n, x_2)$  are not Nielsen equivalent.*

(ii) *If  $x$  is  $f$ -periodic with least period  $n$ , then there exists  $y$  which is  $g$ -periodic with least period  $n$  and such that  $(f^n, x)$  is Nielsen equivalent to  $(g^n, y)$ .*

*Proof.* Property (1.4) implies that no lift of any iterate of  $f$  can fix two distinct points. This immediately implies (i). The existence of  $y$  such that  $(g^n, y)$  is Nielsen equivalent to  $(f^n, x)$  is now a consequence of property (1.3) and [Br; theorem 3, p. 94]. It suffices to show that  $y$  has least period  $n$ .

There exist lifts  $\tilde{x}$  and  $\tilde{y}$  and a covering translation  $t$  such that  $t^{-1}\tilde{f}^n(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{x}$  and  $t^{-1}\tilde{g}^n(\tilde{y}) = \tilde{y}$ . If  $y$  has least period  $m_1 < n$ , then (by the uniqueness of lift of  $g^n$  fixing  $\tilde{y}$ ) there is a covering translation  $t_1$  such that  $t^{-1}\tilde{g}^n = (t_1\tilde{g}^{m_1})^{m_2}$  where  $m_2 = n/m_1 > 1$ . Since equivariantly homotopic lifts of  $f^n$  are equal,

$$t^{-1}\tilde{f}^n = (t_1\tilde{f}^{m_1})^{m_2}.$$

This implies that the entire  $t_1\tilde{f}^{m_1}$  orbit of  $\tilde{x}$  is fixed by  $t^{-1}\tilde{f}^n$  in contradiction to our observation above that no lift of an iterate of  $f$  can fix two distinct points. □

LEMMA 2.2. *There exists  $K = K(g)$  such that  $(f, x) \sim (g, y)$  if and only if  $(f, x) \sim^K (g, y)$ . In particular, if  $x_n \rightarrow x, y_n \rightarrow y$ , and  $(f, x_n) \sim (g, y_n)$  then  $(f, x) \sim (g, y)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $R = \max [\sup_{\tilde{x} \in \tilde{M}} \tilde{D}(\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}), \tilde{g}(\tilde{x})), \sup_{\tilde{x} \in \tilde{M}} \tilde{D}(\tilde{f}^{-1}(\tilde{x}), \tilde{g}^{-1}(\tilde{x}))]$ . Note that by equivariance of  $\tilde{D}$  and compactness of  $M, R < \infty$ . Property (1.4) implies that

$$\tilde{D}_u(\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}), \tilde{g}(\tilde{y})) \geq \lambda \tilde{D}_u(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) - R$$

and

$$\tilde{D}_s(\tilde{f}^{-1}(\tilde{x}), \tilde{g}^{-1}(\tilde{y})) \geq \lambda \tilde{D}_s(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) - R.$$

Let  $K = 2(R+1)/(\lambda - 1)$ . If  $\tilde{D}_u(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) > K/2$ , then  $\tilde{D}_u(\tilde{f}\tilde{x}, \tilde{g}\tilde{y}) > 1 + \tilde{D}_u(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$ . Similarly, if  $\tilde{D}_s(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) > K/2$ , then  $\tilde{D}_s(\tilde{f}^{-1}(\tilde{x}), \tilde{g}^{-1}(\tilde{y})) \geq 1 + \tilde{D}_s(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$ . It follows that if  $(f, x) \sim (g, y)$  then  $(f, x) \sim^K (g, y)$ .

Suppose now that  $\tilde{x}_n \rightarrow \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}_n \rightarrow \tilde{y}$  and that  $\tilde{D}(\tilde{f}^k \tilde{x}_n, \tilde{g}^k \tilde{y}_n) \leq K$  for all  $k$  and  $n$ . Since  $\tilde{D}(\tilde{f}^k \tilde{x}, \tilde{g}^k \tilde{y}) \leq \tilde{D}(\tilde{f}^k \tilde{x}, \tilde{f}^k \tilde{x}_n) + \tilde{D}(\tilde{f}^k \tilde{x}_n, \tilde{g}^k \tilde{y}_n) + \tilde{D}(\tilde{g}^k \tilde{y}_n, \tilde{g}^k \tilde{y})$  for all  $n$ , and the first and third terms in this sum tend to zero as  $n$  tends to infinity,

$$\tilde{D}(\tilde{f}^k \tilde{x}, \tilde{g}^k \tilde{y}) \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{D}(\tilde{f}^k \tilde{x}_n, \tilde{g}^k \tilde{y}_n) \leq K.$$

Thus  $(f, x) \sim^K (g, y)$  as desired. □

*Proof of theorem 1.* Part (i) follows immediately from property (1.4). Part (ii) follows from lemmas 1.7, 2.1, and 2.2 and from property (1.1). □

*Proof of theorem 2.* Define  $Y = \{y \in M^2: \exists x \in M^2 \text{ such that } (f, x) \sim (g, y)\}$ . Theorem 1 implies that for each  $y_0 \in Y$  there is a unique  $x_0 \in M^2$  such that  $(f, x_0) \sim (g, y_0)$ ; define  $\varphi: Y \rightarrow M$  by  $\varphi(y_0) = x_0$ . Lemma 2.2 implies that  $Y$  is closed and  $\varphi$  is continuous. By construction,  $\tilde{\varphi}: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$  is a bounded distance from the inclusion. This implies that  $\varphi$  is homotopic to the inclusion. Finally, since  $(f, x) \sim (g, y)$  implies  $(f, f(x)) \sim (g, g(y)), f\varphi = \varphi g|_Y$ . □

*Remark 2.3.* The proof of theorem 2 carries over to the case that  $M = T^2$  and  $f$  is an Anosov diffeomorphism. Note that the usual  $\varepsilon$ -shadowing arguments (e.g. [B]) apply to  $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  with no restriction on the size of  $\varepsilon$ . Since for each  $\tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  the  $\tilde{g}$ -orbit of  $\tilde{y}$  is an  $R$ -pseudo-orbit for  $\tilde{f}$ , it is always possible to find  $x \in T^2$  such that  $(f, x) \sim (g, y)$ . Thus the set  $Y \subset T^2$  constructed in the proof of theorem 2 equals all of  $T^2$  and  $g$  is semi-conjugate to  $f$  (cf. proposition 2.1 of [F]).

*Remark 2.4.* When  $\partial M \neq 0, \tilde{D}$  is an incomplete metric on  $\text{int } \tilde{M}$ . In terms of the proofs, one thinks of  $\tilde{D}$  as a complete metric on  $\tilde{M}^*$  which is obtained from  $\tilde{M}$  by collapsing each component of  $\partial \tilde{M}$  to a point. The only change required in theorem 1 is that (i) should read:  $(f, x_1) \sim (f, x_2) \Rightarrow x_1, x_2$  are in the same component of  $\partial M$ . In theorem 2, change  $M$  to  $\text{int } M$  in the conclusions.

REFERENCES

[B] R. Bowen. On Axiom A diffeomorphisms. *Regional conference series in mathematics*; no. 35 (1978).  
 [Br] R. Brown. *The Lefschetz Fixed Point Theorem*. Scott Foresman, 1971.  
 [F-L-P] A. Fathi, F. Laudenbach & V. Poénaru. Travaux de Thurston sur les surfaces. *Asterisque* 66-67 1979.

- [F] J. Franks. Anosov diffeomorphisms. *Proceedings of the Symposium in Pure Mathematics*, **14** pp. 61–94.
- [L] J. Lewowicz. Persistence in expansive systems. Preprint.
- [T] W. Thurston. On the geometry and dynamics of diffeomorphisms of surface. Preprint.