

# Practical fertilization procedure and embryonic development of the New Zealand geoduck clam (*Panopea zelandica*)

DUNG V. LE<sup>1,3</sup>, TIM YOUNG<sup>1</sup>, ANDREA C. ALFARO<sup>1</sup>, NORMAN L.C. RAGG<sup>2</sup>, ZOË HILTON<sup>2</sup>, ELLIE WATTS<sup>2</sup> AND NICK KING<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Applied Ecology New Zealand, School of Sciences, Faculty of Health and Environmental Sciences, Auckland University of Technology, Private Bag 92006, Auckland 1142, New Zealand, <sup>2</sup>Cawthron Institute, Nelson, New Zealand, <sup>3</sup>Center of Aquaculture Biotechnology, Research Institute for Aquaculture No.1, Bac Ninh, Vietnam

*Cultivation of the geoduck Panopea zelandica (Quoy & Gaimard, 1835) requires knowledge on embryonic development to produce spat in hatcheries. This study investigated the development of P. zelandica embryos at 15°C and 35 ppt and the optimal sperm:egg ratios for fertilization under hatchery conditions. Panopea zelandica broodstock were induced to spawn by serotonin injection. Sperm and eggs were collected and fertilization was conducted at sperm:egg ratios of: 50:1, 100:1, 500:1, 1000:1 and 10,000:1 over 40 min. The optimal sperm:egg ratio was <500:1 and the normal embryo yield at 3 and 18 h post-fertilization (hpf) ranged from 83–96%. Panopea zelandica eggs (~80 µm diameter) developed the first and second polar bodies within 15–20 and 50–55 min post-fertilization, respectively. The blastula appeared at ~8 hpf, including the X<sup>R</sup> and X<sup>L</sup> cells and the presumptive shell field depression. Gastrulation occurred at 12–18 hpf with organic material apparent at the shell field depression. The mid-stage trochophore, which appeared at around 35 hpf had an apical plate with an apical tuft. The shell field spread to form the periostracum, which expanded and folded into right and left segments covering the late trochophore. The early D-stage veliger appeared at 45 hpf with the soft body being enclosed by two valves and the appearance of the velum. These observations will serve as the basis for future analyses of P. zelandica embryogenesis and for optimization of commercial production of D-veliger larvae.*

**Keywords:** *Panopea zelandica*, New Zealand geoduck, embryogenesis, blastula, gastrula, trochophore, fertilization, sperm:egg ratio

Submitted 20 February 2016; accepted 2 November 2016; first published online 19 December 2016

## INTRODUCTION

The New Zealand aquaculture sector has set a target to achieve annual sales of NZD \$1 billion by 2025 (Carter, 2012), more than doubling current revenues. Alongside adding value to existing aquaculture species (e.g. salmon, Pacific oysters, Greenshell™ mussels), another strategic priority to accomplish this goal is to identify new shellfish species with commercial potential and develop techniques for their production (Carter, 2012). Geoducks are a high value species, currently selling for up to USD \$200–300 per kg in Asian restaurants (Shamshak & King, 2015). The endemic geoduck clam *Panopea zelandica* (Quoy & Gaimard, 1835) has been chosen as an emerging species for aquaculture within this strategy (King, 2010). *Panopea zelandica* populations have been found in both North and South islands of New Zealand (Breen *et al.*, 1991; Gribben *et al.*, 2004). However, the wild fishery is unlikely to fulfil potential market demands sustainably (see review in Gribben & Heasman, 2015). Thus, geoducks have become an object of significant aquaculture research and development.

The success of any shellfish aquaculture depends on the availability of seed/spat to stock farms. For many bivalves, such as mussels and oysters, intensive recruitment of wild juveniles onto spat-catching ropes or frames can result in a relatively efficient way to obtain wild seed to supply the farms (Buestel *et al.*, 2009; Alfaro *et al.*, 2010). However, geoduck spat do not attach or cement to substrates but bury in sand. This attribute makes it practically impossible to collect wild geoduck spat; hence, the geoduck aquaculture industry must rely on hatchery-based spat production.

Successful embryo development is critical for reliable spat production. The yield of embryos can be substantially affected by the ratio of sperm:egg during fertilization (Dong *et al.*, 2012). For example, low sperm:egg ratios can reduce the probability of gamete contact, while high ratios can increase the risk of polyspermy (Gribben *et al.*, 2014). Polyspermy can then cause dissolution of egg membranes and abnormal embryo development (Stephano & Gould, 1988; Clotteau & Dubé, 1993; Encena *et al.*, 1998). Abnormal embryos either terminate prior to the shell development or result in deformed D-larvae, which cannot survive to the pediveliger stage. Hence, it is important to determine the optimal sperm:egg ratio so that polyspermy can be avoided without compromising fertilization ratios. This optimal ratio varies among different bivalve species. For example, a sperm:egg ratio of 10,000:1 is optimal for the cockle *Clinocardium nuttallii* (Liu *et al.*, 2008), whereas 1000:1 is optimal for the oysters *Crassostrea*

Corresponding author:  
A.C. Alfaro  
Email: andrea.alfaro@aut.ac.nz

*virginica* and *Crassostrea gigas* (Alliegro & Wright, 1985; Stephano & Gould, 1988), and a ratio of  $\leq 200:1$  is ideal for the blood clam *Tegillarca granosa* (Dong *et al.*, 2012).

Although the hatchery production of Pacific geoduck (*Panopea generosa*) spat is commercially well-established in the USA and Canada, limited information on optimal sperm:egg ratios has been released. In a study to investigate the production of triploid *P. generosa*, Vadopalas & Davis (2004) successfully used a sperm:egg ratio of 40:1. More recently, in New Zealand, Gribben *et al.* (2014) conducted a comprehensive study to investigate the fertilization kinetics of *P. zelandica*, and recommended a broad sperm:egg ratio of 5,000–50,000:1 for hatchery production with fresh gametes (<30 min old), a starting egg density of 20 eggs  $\text{ml}^{-1}$ , and a sperm-egg contact time of 5–10 min. Under these conditions, greater sperm densities resulted in high percentages of polyspermy and poor fertilization success. While the fertilization kinetics model provided highly valuable information, the suggested gamete age and sperm-egg contact time by Gribben *et al.* (2014) may not be feasible for commercial hatchery operations. It is well-established that gamete age and sperm-egg contact time considerably affects fertilization success and the optimal sperm:egg ratio (Stephano & Gould, 1988; Levitan, 2006). A more practical commercial scenario would be to cold-store gametes for up to 2 h, enabling a sufficient number of eggs to be used (Adams *et al.*, 2004), and then to provide sperm-egg contact times of >30 min in order to evaluate fertilization success as is routine with other bivalve species (Helm *et al.*, 2004). Thus, there is a need to determine the optimal *P. zelandica* sperm:egg ratio for commercial fertilization purposes.

Fundamental biological knowledge of embryonic and larval development can be an important source for phylogenetic theories and for the hatchery culture of bivalves. Bivalve embryogenesis has two notable features that relate to organ development and shell formation of early larvae (Kin *et al.*, 2009). The cleavage pattern feature determines the normal development of embryos, and consequently the normal development of organs, such as the velum, mouth, apical tuft and stomach in D-larvae (Hashimoto *et al.*, 2015). The normal shape and integrity of larval shells is dependent on the successful cleavage and development of the shell-founding cell during the zygote and morula stages, the invagination, evagination and expansion of the shell field during the gastrula stage, and the secretion of shell matrices and calcification during the trochophore stage (Kin *et al.*, 2009). Surprisingly, there are very few studies on embryonic development for any geoduck species. Most studies on geoduck embryos have only focused on the effects of temperature and salinity on the success of embryogenesis (i.e. D-larval yield), but not on the embryonic development itself. A detailed embryogenesis description of this unique genus of soft-sediment bivalves will contribute to the analysis of evolution. However, comparative embryology might need a more thorough examination on several species. *Panopea zelandica* embryogenesis, particularly the timing of developmental stages and characterization of key phenotypes, would be extremely valuable for future advancements and optimization of hatchery technologies. Specifically, without information on optimal sperm:egg ratios and embryonic development, the deformities we have observed in geoduck larvae may not be well understood and the yield of larvae and spat may not be reliably optimized. Thus, the aims of the current study are to describe the

normal embryonic development and determine the optimal sperm:egg ratio under hatchery conditions in *P. zelandica*. This information will not only assist in the development of hatchery protocols for this species, but also other related bivalve species.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Broodstock conditioning and gamete collection

*Panopea zelandica* broodstock (105–130 mm shell length, 500–800 g live weight) were collected from Golden Bay (South Island, New Zealand) and conditioned in flow-through 1  $\mu\text{m}$ -filtered seawater at 15°C with microalgae (*Tisochrysis lutea* and *Chaetoceros muelleri*, 1:1 cell counts) for 3 months (after Le *et al.*, 2014). Geoduck broodstock were induced to spawn by injecting 1–2 ml of 2 mM serotonin solution into their mantle. After their sex was revealed by initial gamete release, males and females were separated into different containers. Gametes were collected within 30 min of release, then rinsed through a 100  $\mu\text{m}$  sieve to remove particulate matter. Eggs were caught on a 40  $\mu\text{m}$  mesh screen and re-suspended in 500 ml seawater. Sperm and egg solutions were then stored at 4°C for up to 2 and 1 h, respectively. Before fertilization, gametes were examined for quality and quantity. All gametes were in good quality according to the characterization of egg shape and sperm motility as in Baker & Tyler (2001). Sperm and egg concentrations were determined from three replicate counts of 20- $\mu\text{l}$  and 200- $\mu\text{l}$  aliquots, respectively. Sperm aliquots were diluted 1000 $\times$ , transferred to a hemocytometer, and cells were counted under a light microscope (Olympus BX41, America Inc., New York, USA) at 400 $\times$  magnification. Egg densities were counted under 200 $\times$  magnification under an inverted light microscope (Olympus CKX41, America Inc., New York, USA).

### Embryonic development

About 1 million eggs were fertilized in a 10 l bucket with a sperm:egg ratio of 500:1, screened (22  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and washed with fresh 1  $\mu\text{m}$ -filtered seawater. Approximately 500,000 embryos were transferred to a beaker containing 5 l of 1  $\mu\text{m}$ -filtered seawater and 4  $\mu\text{mol}$  EDTA. The temperature of the incubation seawater was maintained at 15°C in a thermostat-controlled incubator. Triplicate 1 ml samples of suspended embryos were pipetted from the 5 l beaker every 10 min for the first 2 h, then every 30 min for the next 4 h, and every 2 h thereafter until the D-veliger larval stage. Samples were fixed in Davidson's solution and stored at 4°C until visual assessment. Embryos were observed using a light microscope and a scanning electron microscope (SEM, Hitachi SU-70 Skottky). The cleavage pattern was described following the standard terms in Hashimoto *et al.* (2015).

### Scanning electron microscopy

Preserved embryos were washed with phosphate buffer (138 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM  $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4$ , 1.8 mM  $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$ ; pH = 7.4) for 5 min, then rinsed for 1 min with

deionized water. Embryos were then dehydrated through an ascending series of analytical grade ethanol 50, 60, 70, 80, 90 and 100% for 15 min intervals each (Turner & Boyle, 1974). After dehydration, samples were soaked in 98% chloroform for 30 s, and then dried for 12 h in a desiccator. To dry samples in a vaporous condition, a chloroform-soaked filter paper was also placed in the desiccator as suggested by Wassnig & Southgate (2012). Dried samples were placed on adhesive carbon discs and mounted on aluminium stubs. Samples were sputter coated with carbon for 40 s using an ion sputter coater (Hitachi E-1045), then imaged via SEM at 5.0 kV.

### Sperm:egg ratio optimization trial

Approximately 3000 eggs from one female were fertilized and incubated at 15 °C in each of fifteen 50 ml Falcon™ tubes containing 30 ml of 1 µm filtered seawater and 4 µmol EDTA. Sperm aliquots from two males were pipetted into the Falcon tubes to provide sperm:egg ratios of 50:1, 100:1, 500:1, 1000:1 and 10,000:1 (3 replicates for each ratio). After a 40 min contact time, embryos and any unfertilized eggs were filtered and washed on a 22 µm mesh screen to remove excess sperm. Samples were incubated in 50 ml Falcon™ tubes containing fresh 1 µm filtered seawater with 4 µmol EDTA. After 3 and 18 h post-fertilization (hpf), embryos were carefully resuspended and 1 ml of each 30 ml embryo suspension was fixed in Davidson's solution and stored at 4 °C for subsequent visual assessment. A total sample of 2230 and 3890 embryos were assessed in the 3 and 18 hpf groups, respectively. The embryonic development was assessed visually at 400x magnification using the inverted light microscope. Embryos that showed signs of irregular cleavage, incomplete blastula development and discolouration were recorded as 'abnormal' (Lewis & Galloway, 2009). Unfertilized eggs were also categorized as 'abnormal' for the calculations. The proportion of normally developed embryos was determined by expressing the number of normal embryos as a percentage of the number of eggs initially present.

### Statistical analysis

The ratios of normal embryos were arcsine-transformed (Sokal & Rohlf, 1995) to achieve homogeneity of variance and normality. The effect of sperm:egg ratios on the normal embryo yield were analysed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Tukey pairwise comparison at the significance level  $\alpha = 0.05$  using the statistical software Minitab v. 17. All data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD.

## RESULTS


### Embryonic development

Newly released eggs were pear-shaped and then became more spherical (with a diameter of 75–80 µm) immediately post-spawning. The first polar body became evident after 15–20 min post-fertilization (Figures 1A & 2A). The second polar body was typically observed about 35 min later (50–55 min post-fertilization; Figure 1B). The first cleavage started with polar lobe formation occurring at 1.5 hpf from

the vegetal region, resulting in two unequal cells (small cell: AB, and large cell: CD; Figures 1C & 2B). The polar body was located in the plane of cleavage. The second cleavage appeared at 2.5 hpf. Polar lobe formation occurred again, producing three smaller cells of similar size, referred to as the A, B and C blastomeres and one larger blastomere (D; Figures 1D & 2D). The third cleavage occurred at 4 hpf. The third cleavage was uneven, creating the first quartet of smaller apical micromeres (1a–1d; Figures 1E & 2E). The fourth cleavage occurred at 5 hpf, producing 16-cell embryos with the second micromere quartet (1a<sup>2</sup>–1d<sup>2</sup>; Figure 2F). The fifth cleavage appeared at 6 hpf, producing 32-cell embryos, or morulae, with the third micromere quartet (Figures 1F & 2G, H). The blastula appeared at ~ 8 hpf and showed a symmetric division pattern. The bilaterally symmetric cell division yielded X<sup>L</sup> and X<sup>R</sup> regions and a presumptive shell field (Figures 1H–I & 2I–L). Occasional cilia were apparent surrounding the anterior circular margin, forming the early prototroch. Two cellular depressions started at the late blastula within the vegetal side. The shell field depression in the dorsal region was recognizable as a crescent-shaped orifice in the blastomere X region. The other depression within the ventral region represented the blastopore. The early gastrula appeared at 12 hpf. The shell field and blastopore depressions at this stage were deeper than at the blastula stage (Figure 2M, N). The prototrochal pad developed and correlated well with the general timing at which embryos began rotating, following circular trajectories within the water column. Gastrulation appeared at 18 hpf, by which time overall shape was no longer spherical. The dorsal region was distinguishable by an open orifice, which expanded under and posterior to the developing prototrochal pad (Figure 2O). The new shell material (pellicle) appeared as a wrinkle and accumulated at either side of the orifice. A mid-stage trochophore appeared at around 35 hpf. The trochophores were ovoid with a broad animal region and narrower vegetal region (Figure 2P). The well-developed prototroch was characterized as a crown of motile cilia (Figure 1J) and divided the trochophore into two regions (Figure 2P). The posterior region contained the blastopore on the ventral side and the shell field on the dorsal side. The anterior region contained the apical plate on which the cilia elongated and thickened to form an apical tuft that acts as a sensory organ (Figure 2P–R). The cilia developed on the posterior area of embryos and formed the presumptive telotroch (Figure 2S). Late-stage trochophores appeared at 39 hpf. The shell field spread out to form a flat and smooth periostracum on the posterior-dorsal region (Figure 2T). The periostracum then expanded and folded into right and left segments covering the trochophore (Figure 2U). Early D-stage veligers appeared at 45 hpf with the soft body enclosed by two valves and the appearance of the velum (Figure 2V, X). Mineralization began along the hinge, and then continued along the shell edge while the centre of the valve remained uncalcified. A summary of the timing of development stages is given in Table 1.

### Sperm:egg ratio


The original aim of this study was to determine the optimal sperm:egg ratio; unfortunately, only one female geoduck spawned. Hence, the result in this study cannot be generalized to the entire geoduck population. The sperm:egg ratio



**Fig. 1.** Light microscopy images of *P. zelandica* embryonic development. (A)–(E) initial cell divisions; (F) morula; (G) blastula; (H)–(I) gastrula and (J) trochophore. Abbreviations are summarized in [Table 2](#).

significantly affected the percentage of normally developed embryos at 3 hpf (one-way ANOVA,  $df = 14$ ,  $F = 6.62$ ,  $P = 0.007$ ) and at 18 hpf (one-way ANOVA,  $df = 14$ ,  $F = 27.99$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ; [Figure 3](#)). The highest normal embryo percentage was achieved at a sperm:egg ratio of 50:1 as confirmed by both the 3 hpf and 18 hpf sampling events. The percentage of normal embryos after 3 hpf with a sperm:egg ratio of 50:1 was significantly higher than those obtained

from 500:1 and 10,000:1 ratios. However, there was no significant difference in the quantity of normal embryos obtained after 3 hpf between sperm:egg ratios of 50:1 and 100:1. Moreover, at 18 hpf, significantly higher numbers of normal embryos were obtained at a sperm:egg ratio of 50:1 compared with those obtained at ratios of 1000:1 and 10,000:1 ([Figure 3](#)). However, there was no significant difference in normal embryo development after 18 hpf



**Fig. 2.** SEM images of *P. zelandica* embryonic development. (A) fertilized egg; (B) 2 cell stage; (C) 3 cell; (D) 4 cell; (E) 8 cell; (F) 16 cell; (G) 32 cell; (H) morula; (I)–(J) early blastula; (K)–(L) late blastula; (M)–(N) early gastrula; (O) gastrula; (P)–(S) trochophore; (T)–(V) late trochophore and (W)–(X) early D-veliger. Abbreviations summarized in Table 2 and the results text.

among sperm:egg ratios from 50:1 to 500:1. Although the power of the statistical analysis might not be strong, overall, there was a decreasing trend in the quantities of normally developed embryos as the sperm:egg ratio increased.

## DISCUSSION

### Embryonic development

The developmental time of *P. zelandica* embryos to D-veliger larvae was <65 h at 15°C and <48 h at 17°C in our commercial batches (unpublished data). These developmental periods

were similar to those determined for *P. japonica* by Lee & Rho (1997), who incubated embryos at 14 and 17°C (Table 1). However, the incubation period for *P. japonica* embryos could be shortened to 27 h at 19°C (Nam *et al.*, 2014). While it may be beneficial for geoduck hatcheries to maximize the developmental rate, the thermal threshold for normal development should not be exceeded (Santos & Nascimento, 1985). Thus, the development of *P. zelandica* embryos at higher temperatures may be examined in future research, to improve hatchery efficiency and understanding impacts of climate change.

In the current study, the formation times for the first and second polar bodies at 15°C and 35 ppt were 20–25 and 50–55 min, respectively. The appearance times of the




Fig. 2. Continued

second polar body of *P. zelandica* observed in this study were similar to those of the geoduck *P. generosa* at 15°C (Vadopalas & Davis, 2004). This information is important for the triploidy induction in bivalves, when using chemicals to block the second polar body formation (Barber *et al.*, 1992; Gerard *et al.*, 1994; Vadopalas & Davis, 2004). The present study provides the first record of early shell formation in geoducks. The presumptive shell field depression appeared at the blastomere X of *P. zelandica* blastula and started to depress at late blastula stage. The shell field depression occurring when the X<sup>R</sup> and X<sup>L</sup> were still present may confirm that the differentiation of the shell gland in *P. zelandica* occurs at the late blastula stage, while there are only a small number of cilia associated with the prototroch, and the embryos are spherical. The commencement of shell field depression in *P. zelandica* embryos

was earlier than in other clams, e.g. *Ruditapes decussatus* (gastrula stage, Aranda-Burgos *et al.*, 2014) and *Spisula solidissima* (early trochophore stage, Eyster & Morse, 1984). The process of shell field depression at the gastrula stage for *P. zelandica* was similar to that of other clams (e.g. *Chione cancellata*, *Venerupis pullastra*, and *Ruditapes decussatus*) in which the shell field did not undergo invagination (Mouëza *et al.*, 2006; Aranda-Burgos *et al.*, 2014). However, the shell invagination needed to close either completely or partially before the shell could be formed in other bivalves (e.g. the mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis* (Kniprath, 1980), the scallop *Pecten maximus* (Casse *et al.*, 1998), the clam *Spisula solidissima* (Eyster & Morse, 1984), and the oysters *Saccostrea kegaki* (Kin *et al.*, 2009) and *C. gigas* (Zhang *et al.*, 2012). This study also revealed that the shell mineralization only commenced once




Fig. 2. Continued

the periostracum covered the whole embryo, and began along the hinge, then continued along the shell margin, but did not initially include the centre of the valves. This shell mineralization process was similar to *M. galloprovincialis* (Kniprath, 1980) and *Tridacna squamosa* (LaBarbera, 1974). Furthermore, we observed that the shell valves preceded the ligament formation in *P. zelandica*. The same observation has been reported in *C. cancellata* (Mouëza *et al.*, 2006).

### Sperm:egg ratio

The reported values of optimal sperm:egg ratios for fertilization and successful development vary greatly for different bivalve species. The low range of sperm:egg ratio ( $\leq 100:1$ ) as found in this study was also optimal for fertilization in the scallop *Placopecten magellanicus* (Desrosiers *et al.*, 1996)

and the clams *Spisula solidissima* (Clotteau & Dubé, 1993), and *Tegillarca granosa* (Dong *et al.*, 2012). The medium range of sperm:egg ratio (100–1000:1) has been found to optimize D-veliger larval yields in *C. gigas* (Song *et al.*, 2009) and normal embryo yields in *C. gigas* (Stephano & Gould, 1988) and *C. virginica* (Alliegro & Wright, 1985). In addition, a high range of sperm:egg ratio (1000–5000:1) has been found to be optimal for normal D-larvae yield in the oyster *Crassostrea rhizophorae* (Santos & Nascimento, 1985) and the scallop *Chlamys asperrima* (O'Connor & Heasman, 1995). An even higher range of sperm:egg ratio ( $\geq 10,000:1$ ) has been found to be optimal for fertilization in the cockle *Clinocardium nuttallii* (Liu *et al.*, 2008).

Since the sperm:egg ratio and the fertilization ratio are usually confounded by other factors (i.e. sperm motility, egg density, oocyte maturation or/and contact time) we discussed

**Table 1.** The approximate post-fertilization developmental time sequence for geoduck embryos. *Panopea zelandica* data are derived from the current study and compared to *P. japonica* raised at different temperatures by Lee & Rho (1997).


Stage	<i>P. zelandica</i> at	<i>P. japonica</i> at		
	15°C	11°C	14°C	17°C
1st polar body	15–20 min			
2nd polar body	50–55 min			
2 cells	1.5 h	2 h		
4 cells	2.5 h	4 h		
8 cells	4 h	9 h	5.4 h	4.3 h
16 cells	5 h	15 h		
32 cells	6 h			
Morula	6 h			
Blastula	8 h	23 h	18.7 h	12.3 h
Early gastrula	12 h			
Gastrula	18 h			
Early trochophore	28 h			
Trochophore	35 h	2 days	33.8 h	23.6 h
Late trochophore	39 h			
Early veliger	45 h			
D-Veliger	62 h	3 days	62.4 h	42.7 h

here some potential reasons underlining the discrepancy between results on *P. zelandica*. The fertilization ratio for *P. zelandica* (81–91% 3 hpf and 88–96% 18 hpf) in the present study is higher than that (max. 70% 9 hpf) reported by Gribben *et al.* (2014). The procedures common to both the present study and Gribben *et al.* (2014) are spawning method and sperm motility evaluation before fertilization. The low range of sperm:egg ratio ( $\leq 100:1$ ), which was found to be optimal for normal embryo yields for the New Zealand geoduck *P. zelandica* in the present study was also used for the Pacific geoduck *P. generosa* by Vadopalas & Davis (2004). In contrast, Gribben *et al.* (2014) found the ultra-high range ( $\geq 10,000:1$ ) of sperm:egg ratio to be optimal for fertilization of the *P. zelandica*. The egg density was fixed at 100 eggs  $\text{ml}^{-1}$  in the present study, while 20 eggs  $\text{ml}^{-1}$  were used by Gribben *et al.* (2014), and Vadopalas & Davis (2004) did not report the egg density. The egg density may affect the numbers of sperm reaching the egg in marine invertebrates (Gould & Stephano, 2003). O'Connor & Heasman (1995) observed that the higher the egg density was the fewer sperm were required to elicit

maximum fertilization. Besides, the egg density affected the fertilization ratio in the clam *S. solidissima* (Clotteau & Dubé, 1993). Similarly, the percentage fertilization in the scallop *C. asperima* significantly increased from ~87%– to ~97% while the egg density increased from 1 to 500 eggs  $\text{ml}^{-1}$  (O'Connor & Heasman, 1995). In contrast, Levitan *et al.* (1991) did not find an effect of egg concentration on fertilization for the sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus franciscanus*. However, their lowest egg concentration was over 600 eggs  $\text{ml}^{-1}$ . Over 500 eggs  $\text{ml}^{-1}$  did not increase the fertilization ratio in *C. asperima* (O'Connor & Heasman, 1995). Hence, the difference in optimal sperm:egg ratios and fertilization ratio results between this study and Gribben *et al.* (2004) might be due to the differences in the egg density. Further investigation of the effect of egg density on the fertilization ratio for *P. zelandica* should be conducted.

The sperm-egg contact time in the present study (40 min) was also longer than that (5–10 min) used by Gribben *et al.* (2014). Interestingly, Gribben *et al.* (2014) also observed fertilization at low sperm concentrations if the contact time was increased. Another potential factor influencing the higher normal embryo yield or lower polyspermy in the present study may be the age of eggs prior to fertilization (1.5 h), which was a longer storage period than that ( $< 30$  min) used by Gribben *et al.* (2014). It must be noted that *P. zelandica* eggs obtained in the present study and Gribben *et al.* (2014) were the result of serotonin-induced spawning. Serotonin-spawned eggs have been suggested to be more vulnerable to polyspermy (Misamore *et al.*, 1996). However, the polyspermic susceptibility of serotonin-spawned eggs can be reduced if incubated for over 1 h (O'Connor & Heasman, 1995). Similarly, the incidence of polyspermy of *C. gigas* artificially stripped eggs was significantly reduced from 98% to 4% if eggs were incubated 1–1.5 h prior to fertilization (Stephano & Gould, 1988). This might be due to the maturation of oocytes after incubation in seawater. As oocytes become mature, they develop an effective electrical block to polyspermy (Schlichter & Elinson, 1981). For instance, it took the clam *Tivela stultorum* oocytes, which were treated with serotonin, up to 40 min to become mature (Alvarado-Alvarez *et al.*, 1996). Moreover, a decreased conductance, which strengthens the polyspermy block, developed slowly in serotonin treated oocytes (Alvarado-Alvarez *et al.*, 1996).

In addition to those potential factors mentioned above (i.e. egg density, contact time and egg age), the temperature for storing gametes is a critical factor influencing fertilization practices and success. Gribben *et al.* (2014) found that



**Fig. 3.** Proportion of apparently normal embryos, expressed as a percentage of initial egg numbers, 3 and 18 hpf using different sperm:egg ratio treatments. Bars represent mean  $\pm$  SD,  $N = 3$ ; significant differences are identified by distinct letters ( $P < 0.05$ ).



**Table 2.** List of abbreviations used in Figure 1 & 2.

ap	apical plate
at	apical tuft
b	blastopore
Ci	cilia
dp	depression
h	hinge
pb	polar body
pel	pellicle
ps	periostracum
psb	pseudo-blastopore
pSF	presumptive shell field
pt	prototroch
s	shell
SF	shell field
sp	sperm
Tt	telotroch
Ve	velum

*P. zelandica* gametes stored at 15°C for over 30 min had reduced viability. This reduction in viability has also been observed in other bivalves (e.g. *Clinocardium nuttallii*, Liu *et al.*, 2008) at their spawning temperatures. However, when gametes are stored at lower temperatures the gamete viability can be maintained for up to 1.5–4 h (O'Connor & Heasman, 1995; Liu *et al.*, 2008; Adams *et al.*, 2004, 2009). Similarly, in the present study no negative effects of storing *P. zelandica* gametes at 4°C were found. Thus, it seems that reducing the temperature may be a factor in resolving inconsistencies between the age of eggs and their susceptibility to polyspermy.

Inevitably, in a commercial operation, eggs need to be pooled until sufficient quantities have been collected to stock an incubation tank, which may take several hours. Thus, cold storage adds flexibility to spawning and fertilization times and prolongs the viability of both sperm and egg. Further research may usefully be focused on the mechanisms underlying the viability of geoduck gametes at low temperatures.

In conclusion, embryo cleavage follows a spiral and unequal pattern while the shell field depresses and expands to create the periostracum. However, the ligament is not formed until the shell field covers the entire embryo. Sperm:egg ratios of 50–500:1 with a 40 min sperm-egg contact time gave the highest normal embryo yield under the experimental conditions. Eggs and sperm can be stored at 4°C to extend their viability up to 1.5 h, making the fertilization practical since geoducks typically continue to spawn for 4 h. In addition, incubating eggs at 4°C for over 1 h may make the eggs less susceptible to polyspermy. An experiment with more female spawned would confirm the finding of sperm:egg ratio in this study. Further research is needed to determine the extent to which cold storage can prolong gamete viability, and whether incubation times exceeding 1 h can reduce the polyspermic susceptibility of eggs, as well as confirming the shell field pattern for *P. zelandica*.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project was funded by the Cawthron Cultured Shellfish Programme (NZ Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment contracts CAWS0802, CAW1315). Logistical

and technical support was provided by the School of Applied Sciences, Auckland University of Technology (AUT). We are grateful to the Aquaculture Biotechnology Group at AUT for fruitful discussions that improved this research. We would like to thank Patrick Conor and Marcel Schaefer from School of Engineering, AUT for SEM guidance and Clara & Richard for editing photos. This project is part of a PhD thesis, which was supported by a New Zealand Aid scholarship awarded to D.V. Le under the supervision of A.C. Alfaro.

## REFERENCES

- Adams S.L., Smith J.F., Roberts R.D., Janke A.R., Kaspar H.F., Tervit H.R., Pugh P.A., Webb S.C. and King N. (2004) Cryopreservation of sperm of the Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*): development of a practical method for commercial spat production. *Aquaculture* 242, 271–282.
- Adams S.L., Tervit H.R., McGowan L.T., Smith J.F., Roberts R.D., Salinas-Flores L., Gale S.L., Webb S.C., Mullen S.F. and Critser J.K. (2009) Towards cryopreservation of Greenshell™ mussel (*Perna canaliculus*) oocytes. *Cryobiology* 58, 69–74.
- Alfaro A.C., McArdle B. and Jeffs A.G. (2010) Temporal patterns of arrival of beachcast green-lipped mussel (*Perna canaliculus*) spat harvested for aquaculture in New Zealand and its relationship with hydrodynamic and meteorological conditions. *Aquaculture* 302, 208–218.
- Alliegro M.C. and Wright D.A. (1985) Polyspermy inhibition in the oyster, *Crassostrea virginica*. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 227, 127–137.
- Alvarado-Alvarez R., Gould M.C. and Stephano J.L. (1996) Spawning, in vitro maturation, and changes in oocyte electrophysiology induced by serotonin in *Tivela stultorum*. *Biological Bulletin* 190, 322–328.
- Aranda-Burgos J.A., Da Costa F., Novoa S., Ojea J. and Martinez-Patino D. (2014) Embryonic and larval development of *Ruditapes decussatus* (Bivalvia: Veneridae): a study of the shell differentiation process. *Journal of Molluscan Studies* 80, 8–16.
- Baker M.C. and Tyler P.A. (2001) Fertilization success in the commercial gastropod *Haliotis tuberculata*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 211, 205–213.
- Barber B.J., Mann R. and Allen S.K. (1992) Optimization of triploidy induction for the oyster, *Crassostrea virginica* (Gmelin). *Aquaculture* 106, 21–26.
- Breen P., Gabriel C. and Tyson T. (1991) Preliminary estimates of age, mortality, growth, and reproduction in the Hiatellid clam *Panopea zelandica* in New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 25, 231–237.
- Buestel D., Ropert M., Prou J. and Gouletquer P. (2009) History, status, and future of oyster culture in France. *Journal of Shellfish Research* 28, 813–820.
- Carter D. (2012) *The Government's aquaculture strategy and five-year action plan to support aquaculture*. New Zealand Government, Ministry for Primary Industries, pp 4.
- Casse N., Devauchelle N. and Pennec M.L. (1998) Embryonic shell formation in the scallop *Pecten maximus* (Linnaeus). *The Veliger* 41, 133–141.
- Clotteau G. and Dubé F. (1993) Optimization of fertilization parameters for rearing surf clams (*Spisula solidissima*). *Aquaculture* 114, 339–353.
- Desrosiers R.R., Désilets J. and Dubé F. (1996) Early developmental events following fertilization in the giant scallop *Placopecten magellanicus*. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 53, 1382–1392.
- Dong Y., Yao H., Lin Z. and Zhu D. (2012) The effects of sperm-egg ratios on polyspermy in the blood clam, *Tegillarca granosa*. *Aquaculture Research* 43, 44–52.

- Encena V.C., Capinpin J.E.C. and Bayona N.C.** (1998) Optimal sperm concentration and time for fertilization of the tropical abalone, *Haliotis asinina* Linné 1758. *Aquaculture* 165, 347–352.
- Eyster L.S. and Morse M.P.** (1984) Early shell formation during molluscan embryogenesis, with new studies on the surf clam, *Spisula solidissima*. *American Zoologist* 24, 871–882.
- Gerard A., Naciri Y., Peignon J.-M., Ledu C. and Phelipot P.** (1994) Optimization of triploid induction by the use of 6-DMAP for the oyster *Crassostrea gigas* (Thunberg). *Aquaculture and Fisheries Management* 25, 709–719.
- Gould M.C. and Stephano J.L.** (2003) Polyspermy prevention in marine invertebrates. *Microscopy Research and Technology* 61, 379–388.
- Gribben P.E. and Heasman K.G.** (2015) Developing fisheries and aquaculture industries for *Panopea zelandica* in New Zealand. *Journal of Shellfish Research* 34, 5–10.
- Gribben P.E., Helson J. and Millar R.B.** (2004) Population abundance estimates of the New Zealand geoduck clam, *Panopea zelandica*, using North American methodology: is the technology transferable? *Journal of Shellfish Research* 23, 683–691.
- Gribben P.E., Millar R.B. and Jeffs A.G.** (2014) Fertilization success of the New Zealand geoduck, *Panopea zelandica*: effects of sperm concentration, gamete age and contact time. *Aquaculture Research* 45, 1380–1388.
- Hashimoto N., Kurita Y., Murakami K. and Wada H.** (2015) Cleavage pattern and development of isolated D blastomeres in bivalves. *Journal of Experimental Zoology. Part B, Molecular and Developmental Evolution* 324, 13–21.
- Helm M.M., Bourne N. and Lovatelli A.** (2004) *Hatchery culture of bivalves: a practical manual*. Rome: FAO.
- Kin K., Kakoi S. and Wada H.** (2009) A novel role for dpp in the shaping of bivalve shells revealed in a conserved molluscan developmental program. *Developmental Biology* 329, 152–166.
- King N.** (2010) What's next in shellfish aquaculture? *New Zealand Aquaculture* 38, 14–15.
- Kniprath E.** (1980) Larval development of the shell and shell gland in *Mytilus* (Bivalvia). *Wilhelm Roux's Archives* 188, 201–204.
- LaBarbera M.** (1974) Calcification of the first larval shell of *Tridacna squamosa* (Tridacnidae: Bivalvia). *Marine Biology* 25, 233–238.
- Le D.V., Alfaro A.C. and King N.** (2014) Broodstock conditioning of New Zealand geoduck (*Panopea zelandica*) within different temperature and feeding ration regimes. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 48, 356–370.
- Lee C.S. and Rho S.** (1997) Studies on the artificial seedling production of geoduck clam, *Panope japonica* II. Development of egg and larvae. *Journal of Aquaculture* 10, 25–32.
- Leviton D.R.** (2006) The relationship between egg size and fertilization success in broadcast-spawning marine invertebrates. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 46, 298–311.
- Leviton D.R., Sewell M.A. and Chia F.-S.** (1991) Kinetics of fertilization in the sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus franciscanus*: interaction of gamete dilution, age, and contact time. *Biological Bulletin* 181, 371–378.
- Lewis C. and Galloway T.** (2009) Reproductive consequences of paternal genotoxin exposure in marine invertebrates. *Environmental Science and Technology* 43, 928–933.
- Liu W., Alabi A.O. and Pearce C.M.** (2008) Fertilization and embryonic development in the basket cockle, *Clinocardium nuttallii*. *Journal of Shellfish Research* 27, 393–397.
- Misamore M., Silverman H. and Lynn J.W.** (1996) Analysis of fertilization and polyspermy in serotonin-spawned eggs of the zebra mussel, *Dreissena polymorpha*. *Molecular Reproduction and Development* 43, 205–216.
- Moužeza M., Gros O. and Frenkiel L.** (2006) Embryonic development and shell differentiation in *Chione cancellata* (Bivalvia, Veneridae): an ultrastructural analysis. *Invertebrate Biology* 125, 21–33.
- Nam M.-M., Lee C., Kim M., Kim J.W. and Kim Y.D.** (2014) Development and growth in fertilized eggs and larvae of the Japanese geoduck, *Panopea japonica* reared in the laboratory. *Korean Journal of Malacology* 30, 303–309.
- O'Connor W.A. and Heasman M.P.** (1995) Spawning induction and fertilisation in the doughboy scallop *Chlamys (Mimachlamys) asperrima*. *Aquaculture* 136, 117–129.
- Santos A.E.D. and Nascimento I.A.** (1985) Influence of gamete density, salinity and temperature on the normal embryonic development of the mangrove oyster *Crassostrea rhizophorae* Guilding, 1828. *Aquaculture* 47, 335–352.
- Schlichter L.C. and Elinson R.P.** (1981) Electrical responses of immature and mature *Rana pipiens* oocytes to sperm and other activating stimuli. *Developmental Biology* 83, 33–41.
- Shamshak G.L. and King J.R.** (2015) From cannery to culinary luxury: the evolution of the global geoduck market. *Marine Policy* 55, 81–89.
- Sokal R.R. and Rohlf F.J.** (1995) *Biometry: the principles and practice of statistics in biological research*, 3rd edition. New York, NY: W.H. Freeman.
- Song Y.P., Suquet M., Quéau I. and Lebrun L.** (2009) Setting of a procedure for experimental fertilisation of Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*) oocytes. *Aquaculture* 287, 311–314.
- Stephano L.J. and Gould M.** (1988) Avoiding polyspermy in oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*). *Aquaculture* 73, 295–307.
- Turner R.D. and Boyle P.J.** (1974) Studies of bivalve larvae using the scanning electron microscope and critical point drying. *Bulletin of the American Malacological Union, Inc.* 40, 59–65.
- Vadopalas B. and Davis J.P.** (2004) Optimal chemical triploid induction in geoduck clams, *Panopea abrupta*, by 6-dimethylaminopurine. *Aquaculture* 230, 29–40.
- Wassnig M. and Southgate P.C.** (2012) Embryonic and larval development of *Pteria penguin* (Roding, 1798) (Bivalvia: Pteriidae). *Journal of Molluscan Studies* 78, 134–141.
- and
- Zhang G., Fang X., Guo X., Li L., Luo R., Xu F., Yang P., Zhang L., Wang X., Qi H., Xiong Z., Que H., Xie Y., Holland P.W.H., Paps J., Zhu Y., Wu F., Chen Y., Wang J., Peng C., Meng J., Yang L., Liu J., Wen B., Zhang N., Huang Z., Zhu Q., Feng Y., Mount A., Hedgecock D., Xu Z., Liu Y., Domazet-Loso T., Du Y., Sun X., Zhang S., Liu B., Cheng P., Jiang X., Li J., Fan D., Wang W., Fu W., Wang T., Wang B., Zhang J., Peng Z., Li Y., Li N., Wang J., Chen M., He Y., Tan F., Song X., Zheng Q., Huang R., Yang H., Du X., Chen L., Yang M., Gaffney P.M., Wang S., Luo L., She Z., Ming Y., Huang W., Zhang S., Huang B., Zhang Y., Qu T., Ni P., Miao G., Wang J., Wang Q., Steinberg C.E.W., Wang H., Li N., Qian L., Zhang G., Li Y., Yang H., Liu X., Wang J., Yin Y. and Wang J.** (2012) The oyster genome reveals stress adaptation and complexity of shell formation. *Nature* 490, 49–54.

#### Correspondence should be addressed to:

A.C. Alfaro

Institute for Applied Ecology New Zealand, School of Sciences, Faculty of Health and Environmental Sciences, Auckland University of Technology, Private Bag 92006, Auckland 1142, New Zealand

email: [andrea.alfaro@aut.ac.nz](mailto:andrea.alfaro@aut.ac.nz)