

THE PROBABILITY OF ZERO MULTIPLICATION IN FINITE RINGS

DAVID DOLŽAN 

(Received 16 August 2021; accepted 25 November 2021; first published online 24 January 2022)

Abstract

Let R be a finite ring and let $z_p(R)$ denote the nullity degree of R , that is, the probability that the multiplication of two randomly chosen elements of R is zero. We establish the nullity degree of a semisimple ring and find upper and lower bounds for the nullity degree in the general case.

2020 Mathematics subject classification: primary 16P10; secondary 16N40, 16U99.

Keywords and phrases: finite ring, zero divisor, probability.

1. Introduction

Group theoretical problems related to discrete probabilities have been extensively studied (see, for example, [2, 8, 9, 12, 16]). Recently, there has been interest in using a similar approach with rings, mainly concerning the commuting probability (also called the commutativity degree) [3–5, 13] and the probability of zero multiplication (also called the nullity degree) [6, 7] of finite rings. However, all recent results regarding the nullity degree have been obtained in the setting of finite commutative rings.

We investigate the nullity degree of an arbitrary finite ring with identity. The nullity degree of R is $z_p(R) = |\{(x, y) \in R \times R : xy = 0\}|/|R|^2$, that is, the probability that the multiplication of two randomly chosen elements of R is zero. In Section 2, we find the nullity degree of an arbitrary semisimple ring. In Section 3, we generalise the notion of a nullity degree to ideals and consider the relations between the nullity degree of the ring and the nullity degree of an ideal, with an emphasis on the Jacobson radical. In the final section, we find upper and lower bounds for the nullity degree, whereby we also generalise and improve some of the results from [6].

We recall some basic definitions that are needed in this paper. Let $Z(R)$ be the set of zero divisors of the finite ring R . Note that by [10, Proposition 1.3], every left zero divisor is also a right zero divisor and *vice versa*, so we do not need to make a distinction between left and right zero divisors. We denote by R^* the group of invertible

The author acknowledges financial support from the Slovenian Research Agency (research core funding no. P1-0222).

© The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc.

elements of R , while for an element $x \in R$, we denote the right annihilator of x in R by $\text{Ann}(x) = \{y \in R : xy = 0\}$. We say that $e \in R$ is an idempotent if $e^2 = e$, and e is a nontrivial idempotent if $e \neq 0, 1$. Idempotents e and f are orthogonal if $ef = fe = 0$. We introduce a binary relation on the set of idempotents with $e \geq f$ if $ef = fe = e$. The set of all idempotents is partially ordered with this relation, and we call any smallest nonzero idempotent under this relation a minimal idempotent. For a set X , let $|X|$ denote its cardinality. If p is a prime, we say that R is a p -ring if $|R| = p^k$ for some integer k . We denote the Jacobson radical of R by $J(R)$ and say that R is a basic ring if $R/J(R)$ is a direct product of finite fields. Also, we denote by $\bar{x} \in R/J(R)$ the image of $x \in R$ under the canonical homomorphism. For a field F , let $M_n(F)$ denote the full matrix ring of n -by- n matrices over F , while the ring of integers modulo n will be denoted by \mathbb{Z}_n . We denote the disjoint union of two sets A and B by $A \sqcup B$.

2. Semisimple rings

In this section, we investigate the nullity degree of a semisimple ring. The following lemma is obvious.

LEMMA 2.1. *For any finite ring R , we have $\text{zp}(R) = \sum_{x \in R} |\text{Ann}(x)|/|R|^2$.*

The following lemma will be useful.

LEMMA 2.2. *For any finite rings R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n , we have $\text{zp}(R_1 \times R_2 \times \dots \times R_n) = \text{zp}(R_1)\text{zp}(R_2) \cdots \text{zp}(R_n)$.*

PROOF. Observe that $\text{Ann}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \text{Ann}(x_1) \times \text{Ann}(x_2) \times \dots \times \text{Ann}(x_n)$ and use Lemma 2.1. □

Any finite semisimple ring is a direct product of fields and full matrix rings over fields. Thus, to find the nullity degree of an arbitrary finite semisimple ring, we have to investigate the nullity degrees of finite fields and matrix rings over finite fields.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let F be a finite field and n an integer. Then, the following equations hold:*

- (1) $\text{zp}(F) = (2|F| - 1)/|F|^2$;
- (2) $\text{zp}(M_n(F)) = (1 + \sum_{r=1}^n (\prod_{j=0}^{r-1} (|F|^n - |F|^j)^2 / |F|^n (|F|^r - |F|^j))) / |F|^{2n^2}$.

PROOF. (1) follows from [6, Lemma 3.1]. To see (2), observe first that $\text{zp}(M_n(F)) = \sum_{r=0}^n \sum_{\text{rank}(A)=r} |\text{Ann}(A)|/|F|^{2n^2}$. Now, $\dim_F(\ker(A)) = n - r$ for any matrix A of rank r . Thus, every column of a matrix $B \in \text{Ann}(A)$ is a linear combination of $n - r$ vectors from $\ker(A)$ and thus $|\text{Ann}(A)| = |F|^{n(n-r)}$. By [15], the number of matrices of rank r in $M_n(F)$ is exactly $\prod_{j=0}^{r-1} (|F|^n - |F|^j)^2 / (|F|^r - |F|^j)$. Therefore,

$$\text{zp}(M_n(F)) = \frac{|F|^{n^2} + \sum_{r=1}^n |F|^{n(n-r)} (\prod_{j=0}^{r-1} (|F|^n - |F|^j)^2 / (|F|^r - |F|^j))}{|F|^{2n^2}}$$

and thus the desired equality follows. □

Since for any ring R , the factor ring $R/J(R)$ is a semisimple ring, we can now calculate its nullity degree. We thus have the following upper bound for $\text{zp}(R)$.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *For any finite ring R , we have $\text{zp}(R) \leq \text{zp}(R/J(R))$.*

PROOF. Denote $J = J(R)$. By Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{zp}(R/J) &= \frac{\sum_{x+J \in R/J} |\text{Ann}(x+J)|}{|R/J|^2} \geq \frac{\sum_{x+J \in R/J} |\text{Ann}(x)|/|J|}{|R/J|^2} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{x \in R} |\text{Ann}(x)|/|J|^2}{|R/J|^2} = \frac{\sum_{x \in R} |\text{Ann}(x)|}{|R|^2} = \text{zp}(R). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

3. Generalised nullity degree

In this section, we generalise the nullity degree of the ring to the ideals. Let I be an ideal of R .

DEFINITION 3.1. The generalised nullity degree of a finite ring R corresponding to an ideal I is equal to

$$\text{zp}_I(R) = \frac{|\{(x, y) \in R \times R; xy \in I\}|}{|R|^2}.$$

Obviously, $\text{zp}_{(0)}(R) = \text{zp}(R)$. In the general case, we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.2. *For any finite ring R and an ideal I of R , we have $\text{zp}_I(R) = \text{zp}(R/I)$.*

PROOF. Observe that for every $x, y \in R$, $xy \in I$ if and only if $(x+I)(y+I) = I$, so for every $x \in R$ we have $|\{y \in R; xy \in I\}| = |\text{Ann}(x+I)||I|$. Therefore, $\sum_{x \in R} |\{y \in R; xy \in I\}| = \sum_{x \in R} |\text{Ann}(x+I)||I| = \sum_{x+I \in R/I} |\text{Ann}(x+I)||I|^2$, which is equal to $\text{zp}(R/I)/|R|^2$ by Lemma 2.1. \square

We can now prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.3. *For any finite ring R and an ideal I of R , we have $\text{zp}_I(R) \geq |I|(2|R| - |I|)/|R|^2$, and the equality holds if and only if the factor ring R/I is a field.*

PROOF. Choose $x \in R$. If $x \in I$ then $xy \in I$ for all $y \in R$, and if $x \notin I$ then $xy \in I$ for all $y \in I$. Thus, $\sum_{x \in R} |\{y \in R; xy \in I\}| \geq |I||R| + |R \setminus I||I| = |I|(2|R| - |I|)$ and $\text{zp}_I(R) \geq |I|(2|R| - |I|)/|R|^2$. Suppose now that R/I is a field. Choose $x \in R \setminus I$. Since $\bar{x} \in R/I$ is invertible, the fact that $xy \in I$ implies $\bar{y} = \bar{0}$ and thus $y \in I$. So, $xy \in I$ if and only if $y \in I$. We have proved that $\text{zp}_I(R) = |I|(2|R| - |I|)/|R|^2$. On the other hand, if $\text{zp}_I(R) = |I|(2|R| - |I|)/|R|^2$, then for every $x \in R \setminus I$, the fact that $xy \in I$ has to imply that $y \in I$. So, for every nonzero $\bar{x} \in R/I$, the fact that $\bar{x}\bar{y} = \bar{0}$ implies that $\bar{y} = \bar{0}$. We have proved that R/I is a ring without nontrivial zero divisors and hence a field. \square

This immediately yields the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3.4. *For any finite ring R , we have $\text{zp}(R/J(R)) \geq |J(R)|(2|R| - |J(R)|)/|R|^2$, and the equality holds if and only if R is a local ring.*

4. Bounds for the nullity degree

In this section, we find some further upper and lower bounds for the nullity degree of finite rings. First, we investigate the upper bounds for $zp(R)$.

THEOREM 4.1. *For a finite ring R , we have $zp(R) \leq (|Z(R)|^2 + 2|R \setminus Z(R)|)/|R|^2$, and the equality holds if and only if R is a local ring with $J(R)^2 = 0$.*

PROOF. By Lemma 2.1, we have $zp(R) = \sum_{x \in R} |\text{Ann}(x)|/|R|^2$. Obviously, $|\text{Ann}(x)| = 1$ for every x that is not a zero divisor, and $|\text{Ann}(x)| \leq |Z(R)|$ for any nonzero zero divisor x , and thus $zp(R) \leq (|R \setminus Z(R)| + |R| + (|Z(R)| - 1)|Z(R)|)/|R|^2$, so we have proved the desired inequality. If R is local with $J(R)^2 = 0$, then $|\text{Ann}(x)| = |Z(R)|$ for every nonzero zero divisor x , so the equality does indeed hold. Conversely, if R is not a local ring, then by [14, Theorem VII.7] there exists a nontrivial idempotent $e \in Z(R)$. However, if the equality holds, then $|\text{Ann}(x)| = |Z(R)|$ for every nonzero zero divisor x , which implies that $e^2 = 0$, a contradiction. So, R is local and thus $J(R) = Z(R)$, so $J(R)^2 = 0$. □

We can actually find an even better bound if we have some knowledge of the structure of the orthogonal minimal idempotents in R and the corresponding Peirce decomposition of R . Recall at this point that R is called a basic ring if the factor ring $R/J(R)$ is a direct product of fields.

THEOREM 4.2. *Let R be a finite ring, and let e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n be mutually orthogonal minimal idempotents in R such that $\sum_{i=1}^n e_i = 1$. Denote $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then,*

$$zp(R) \leq \sum_{I_1 \sqcup I_2 \subseteq N} \prod_{i \in I_1} \frac{|(e_i R e_i)^*|}{|e_i R| |e_i R e_i|} \prod_{j \in I_2} \frac{|J(e_j R e_j)| - 1}{|(e_j R e_j)^*| |e_j R e_j|}, \tag{4.1}$$

and the equality holds if and only if R is a basic ring with $J(R)^2 = 0$.

PROOF. By Lemma 2.1, we have $zp(R) = \sum_{x \in R} |\text{Ann}(x)|/|R|^2$. Choose $x \in R$. Let $I_1(x) = \{i : e_i x e_i \in (e_i R e_i)^*\} \subseteq N$ and $I_2(x) = \{i : 0 \neq e_i x e_i \in J(e_i R e_i)\} \subseteq N$. Observe that $I_1(x)$ and $I_2(x)$ are disjoint sets. Note also that every x can be written as $x = \sum_{i,j=1}^n e_i x e_j$. Thus, for any disjoint sets $I_1, I_2 \subseteq N$, there exist exactly

$$\prod_{i \in I_1} |(e_i R e_i)^*| \prod_{j \in I_2} (|J(e_j R e_j)| - 1) \frac{|R|}{\prod_{i \in I_1 \cup I_2} |e_i R e_i|}$$

elements in R such that $I_1(x) = I_1$ and $I_2(x) = I_2$. Choose $y \in \text{Ann}(x)$. For any $i \in I_1(x)$, we have $e_i x e_i y = 0$ and therefore $e_i y = 0$. Also, if $j \in I_2(x)$ then $j \notin I_1(y)$. Thus, $y \in \sum_{k \notin I_1 \cup I_2} e_k R + \sum_{k \in I_2, l \neq k} e_k R e_l + \sum_{k \in I_2} J(e_k R e_k)$. Therefore, $|\text{Ann}(x)|$ is bounded by

$$\prod_{i \notin I_1 \cup I_2} |e_i R| \prod_{j \in I_2} \frac{|e_j R|}{|(e_j R e_j)^*|} = \frac{|R|}{\prod_{i \in I_1 \cup I_2} |e_i R|} \prod_{j \in I_2} \frac{|e_j R|}{|(e_j R e_j)^*|} = \frac{|R|}{\prod_{i \in I_1} |e_i R|} \prod_{j \in I_2} \frac{1}{|(e_j R e_j)^*|},$$

and (4.1) holds.

Now, if R is a basic ring with $J(R)^2 = 0$ then $e_k R e_l \in J(R)$ for all $k \neq l$, so the equality holds in (4.1). Conversely, assume that the equality holds in (4.1). If R is not a basic ring, then by the Wedderburn–Artin theorem there exists a full matrix ring $M_r(F)$ as a subring of $R/J(R)$ for a field F and an integer r . Thus, there exist two orthogonal minimal idempotents $\bar{e}, \bar{f} \in M_r(F) \subseteq R/J(R)$ such that $\bar{e} = P\bar{f}P^{-1}$ for some invertible (permutation) matrix $P \in M_r(F)$. Therefore, $\bar{e}P\bar{f}P^{-1}\bar{e} = \bar{e}$. By [14, Theorem VII.12], there exist orthogonal idempotents $e, f \in R$ such that $e + J(R) = \bar{e}$ and $f + J(R) = \bar{f}$. Also, by [14, Theorem VII.13], there exist $a \in R^*$ and $i, j \in N$ such that $e = ae_i a^{-1}$ and $f = ae_j a^{-1}$. Choose an invertible $p \in R$ such that $p + J(R) = P$. The equality in (4.1) now implies that $(e_i a^{-1} p a e_j)(e_j a^{-1} p^{-1} a e_i) = 0$; thus, $(epf)(fp^{-1}e) = 0$ and consequently $\bar{e}P\bar{f}P^{-1}\bar{e} = \bar{0}$, a contradiction. We have proved that R/J is indeed a basic ring. Now, $J(R) = \sum_{i \in N} J(e_i R e_i) + \sum_{i \neq j \in N} e_i R e_j$, so the fact that $J(R)^2 = 0$ follows immediately from the equality in (4.1). \square

Next, we investigate lower bounds for $zp(R)$. Obviously, by Lemma 2.2, there is no nonzero constant that can be a lower bound for the nullity degree of an arbitrary ring. However, since every finite ring is uniquely expressible as a direct product of rings of prime power order (see, for example, [14, Theorem I.1]), we can limit ourselves (again by Lemma 2.2) to studying the bounds for the nullity degrees of rings of prime power orders.

We can prove the following lemma, thereby improving upon the lower bound of [6, Lemma 2.5] and generalising it to noncommutative and nonlocal rings.

LEMMA 4.3. *Let R be a p -ring that is not a field. Then,*

$$zp(R) \geq (2|R| + (p - 1)\sqrt{|R| - p})/|R|^2,$$

where the equality holds if and only if $R = \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ or $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(x^2)$.

PROOF. By Lemma 2.1, we have $zp(R) = \sum_{x \in R} |\text{Ann}(x)|/|R|^2$. Since any element in R that is not a zero divisor is invertible and $\text{Ann}(x)$ is a nontrivial subgroup of the group $(R, +)$,

$$\begin{aligned} zp(R) &= \frac{|R \setminus Z(R)| + \sum_{x \in Z(R)} |\text{Ann}(x)|}{|R|^2} = \frac{2|R| - |Z(R)| + \sum_{0 \neq x \in Z(R)} |\text{Ann}(x)|}{|R|^2} \\ &\geq \frac{2|R| - |Z(R)| + p(|Z(R)| - 1)}{|R|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|Z(R)| \geq \sqrt{|R|}$ by [11], this implies that $zp(R) \geq (2|R| + (p - 1)\sqrt{|R| - p})/|R|^2$. Obviously, if $R = \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ or $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(x^2)$, we have $zp(R) = (2p^2 + (p - 1)p - p)/p^2$, so the equality holds. On the other hand, if $zp(R) = (2|R| + (p - 1)\sqrt{|R| - p})/|R|^2$ then $|Z(R)| = \sqrt{|R|}$ and $|\text{Ann}(x)| = p$ for any nonzero $x \in Z(R)$. Now, $|Z(R)| = \sqrt{|R|}$ together with [10, Corollary 2.6] implies that R is a local ring with $J(R)^2 = 0$. Since $|\text{Ann}(x)| = p$, we conclude that $|J(R)| = p$. Finally, we use [1, Theorem 3] to see that $R = \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ or $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(x^2)$. \square

This immediately yields the following theorem, which is an improvement on [6, Theorem 3.2] and a generalisation of it to noncommutative rings.

THEOREM 4.4. *Let R be a finite ring such that no direct factor of R is a field. Suppose that $|R| = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_k^{\alpha_k}$ for distinct primes p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k and integers $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k$. Then, $\text{zp}(R) \geq \prod_{i=1}^k (2p_i^{\alpha_i} + (p_i - 1)p_i^{\alpha_i/2} - p_i)/|R|^2$, where the equality holds if and only if R is a direct product of rings isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} or $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[x]/(x^2)$.*

PROOF. This follows directly from Lemmas 2.2 and 4.3 and [14, Theorem I.1]. \square

References

- [1] S. Akbari and A. Mohammadian, ‘Zero-divisor graphs of non-commutative rings’, *J. Algebra* **296**(2) (2006), 462–479.
- [2] F. Barry, D. MacHale and A. Ni She, ‘Some supersolvability conditions for finite groups’, *Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad.* **106A**(2) (2006), 163–177.
- [3] S. M. Buckley and D. MacHale, ‘Commuting probability for subrings and quotient rings’, *J. Algebra Comb. Discrete Struct. Appl.* **4**(2) (2016), 189–196.
- [4] S. M. Buckley, D. MacHale and A. Ni She, ‘Finite rings with many commuting pairs of elements’, Preprint, 2014. Available online at <https://archive.maths.nuim.ie/staff/sbuckley/Papers/bms.pdf>.
- [5] J. Dutta, D. K. Basnet and R. K. Nath, ‘On commuting probability of finite rings’, *Indag. Math. (N.S.)* **28**(2) (2017), 372–382.
- [6] M. A. Esmkhani and S. M. Jafarian Amiri, ‘The probability that the multiplication of two ring elements is zero’, *J. Algebra Appl.* **17**(3) (2018), 1850054.
- [7] M. A. Esmkhani and S. M. Jafarian Amiri, ‘Characterization of rings with nullity degree at least $1/4$ ’, *J. Algebra Appl.* **18**(4) (2019), 1950076.
- [8] R. M. Guralnick and G. R. Robinson, ‘On the commuting probability in finite groups’, *J. Algebra* **300** (2006), 509–528.
- [9] W. H. Gustafson, ‘What is the probability that two group elements commute?’, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **80**(9) (1973), 1031–1034.
- [10] Y. Kobayashi and K. Koh, ‘A classification of finite rings by zero divisors’, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **40**(2) (1986), 135–147.
- [11] K. Koh, ‘On properties of rings with a finite number of zero divisors’, *Math. Ann.* **171** (1967), 79–80.
- [12] P. Lescot, ‘Isoclinism classes and commutativity degrees of finite groups’, *J. Algebra* **177** (1995), 847–869.
- [13] D. MacHale, ‘Commutativity in finite rings’, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **83** (1976), 30–32.
- [14] B. R. McDonald, *Finite Rings with Identity*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 28 (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1974).
- [15] K. E. Morrison, ‘Integer sequences and matrices over finite fields’, *J. Integer Seq.* **9**(2) (2006), 06.2.1.
- [16] D. Rusin, ‘What is the probability that two elements of a finite group commute?’, *Pacific J. Math.* **82**(1) (1979), 237–247.

DAVID DOLŽAN, Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, University of Ljubljana,
Jadranska 21, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
e-mail: david.dolzan@fmf.uni-lj.si