Degree Ramsey Numbers of Graphs

WILLIAM B. KINNERSLEY[1†], KEVIN G. MILANS[2†]

and DOUGLAS B. WEST[1‡]

[1]Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801, USA
(e-mail: `wkinner2@illinois.edu`, `west@math.uiuc.edu`)
[2]Mathematics Department, University of South Carolina, USA
(e-mail: `milans@math.sc.edu`)

Let $H \xrightarrow{s} G$ mean that every s-colouring of $E(H)$ produces a monochromatic copy of G in some colour class. Let the *s-colour degree Ramsey number* of a graph G, written $R_\Delta(G;s)$, be $\min\{\Delta(H): H \xrightarrow{s} G\}$. If T is a tree in which one vertex has degree at most k and all others have degree at most $\lceil k/2 \rceil$, then $R_\Delta(T;s) = s(k-1) + \epsilon$, where $\epsilon = 1$ when k is odd and $\epsilon = 0$ when k is even. For general trees, $R_\Delta(T;s) \leqslant 2s(\Delta(T) - 1)$.

To study sharpness of the upper bound, consider the *double-star* $S_{a,b}$, the tree whose two non-leaf vertices have degrees a and b. If $a \leqslant b$, then $R_\Delta(S_{a,b};2)$ is $2b - 2$ when $a < b$ and b is even; it is $2b - 1$ otherwise. If s is fixed and at least 3, then $R_\Delta(S_{b,b};s) = f(s)(b-1) - o(b)$, where $f(s) = 2s - 3.5 - O(s^{-1})$.

We prove several results about edge-colourings of bounded-degree graphs that are related to degree Ramsey numbers of paths. Finally, for cycles we show that $R_\Delta(C_{2k+1};s) \geqslant 2^s + 1$, that $R_\Delta(C_{2k};s) \geqslant 2s$, and that $R_\Delta(C_4;2) = 5$. For the latter we prove the stronger statement that every graph with maximum degree at most 4 has a 2-edge-colouring such that the subgraph in each colour class has girth at least 5.

1. Introduction

Given a target graph G classical graph Ramsey theory seeks a graph H such that every 2-edge-colouring of H produces a monochromatic copy of G. Such a graph H is a *Ramsey host* for G; we then write $H \to G$ and say that H *arrows* or *forces* G. More generally, we write $H \xrightarrow{s} G$ when every s-edge-colouring of $E(H)$ produces a monochromatic copy of G.

The classical *Ramsey number* of a graph G, written $R(G; s)$ in the general s-colour setting, is the least n such that $K_n \overset{s}{\rightarrow} G$, guaranteed to exist by Ramsey's Theorem [23]. Note that $R(G; s) = \min\{|V(H)|: H \overset{s}{\rightarrow} G\}$. More generally, for any monotone graph parameter ρ, the ρ-*Ramsey number* of G, written $R_\rho(G; s)$, is $\min\{\rho(H): H \overset{s}{\rightarrow} G\}$.

The general study of parameter Ramsey numbers was initiated by Burr, Erdős and Lovász [8]. The notion has been studied with $\rho(G)$ being the clique number [14, 21], the chromatic number [8, 27, 28], the number of edges (called the *size Ramsey number*) [3, 4, 10, 12, 15, 24], and the maximum degree [8]. Parameter Ramsey numbers are more difficult than ordinary Ramsey numbers in the sense that one may need to consider many more potential host graphs to determine whether $R_\rho(G) \leqslant k$.

In this paper, we study the *degree Ramsey number*, $R_\Delta(G; s)$, where $\Delta(G)$ denotes the maximum degree of G. Burr, Erdős and Lovász [8] began its study (for $s = 2$), showing that $R_\Delta(K_n; s) = R(K_n; s) - 1$ and computing $R_\Delta(K_{1,m}; 2)$. In Theorem 2.2 we extend that computation to the s-colour setting, showing that $R_\Delta(K_{1,m}; s)$ is $s(m-1) + 1$ when m is odd and $s(m-1)$ when m is even. The computation for stars proves sharpness of an upper bound that holds for a large family of trees. In particular, $R_\Delta(T; s) \leqslant R_\Delta(K_{1,m}; s)$ whenever T is a tree with one vertex of degree at most m, whose other vertices all have degree at most $\lceil m/2 \rceil$ (Theorem 2.4). Letting m be $2\Delta(T)$ gives the general upper bound $R_\Delta(T; s) \leqslant 2s\Delta(T) - s + 1$, which can be improved to $2s(\Delta(T) - 1)$.

For general trees and large s, the upper bound is not far from sharp. To obtain a lower bound for trees having adjacent vertices of high degree, consider the *double-star* $S_{a,b}$, the tree having adjacent vertices of degrees a and b and no other non-leaf vertices. For fixed s at least 3, we prove in Section 3 that $R_\Delta(S_{b,b}; s) = f(s)(b-1) - o(b)$, where $f(s) = 2s - 3.5 - O(s^{-1})$. The lower-bound argument colours any graph with smaller maximum degree probabilistically, so that with positive probability the resulting colouring has no monochromatic $S_{b,b}$. The situation is simpler when $s = 2$; we prove that $R_\Delta(S_{a,b}; 2)$ is $2b - 2$ when $a < b$ and b is even, and otherwise it is $2b - 1$ when $a \leqslant b$.

In Section 4, we study edge-colourings of bounded-degree graphs in relation to $R_\Delta(P_n; s)$. A short argument by Alon, Ding, Oporowski and Vertigan [2] involving counting arguments and girth proves $R_\Delta(P_n; s) \leqslant 2s$ for all n. They used a probabilistic construction to prove that equality holds when n is sufficiently large (for fixed s), showing the existence of an edge-colouring of any graph with maximum degree at most $2s - 1$ that has no large monochromatic connected subgraph. With a more detailed look at the upper bound, we prove for fixed n that $H \overset{s}{\rightarrow} P_n$ for almost all graphs H with maximum degree at most $2s$. For the case $s = 2$, Thomassen [25] showed that the edges of any 3-regular graph can be 2-coloured so that every monochromatic connected subgraph is contained in P_6. This yields $R_\Delta(P_n; 2) = 4$ for $n \geqslant 7$. Thomassen's proof was long; we give a short combinatorial proof of a weaker result implying that $R_\Delta(P_n; 2) = 4$ for $n > 15$. Although $R_\Delta(P_n; 2) = 3$ for $n \in \{4, 5\}$, it remains open whether $R_\Delta(P_6; 2)$ is 3 or 4. For short paths, an old result of Egawa, Urabe, Fukuda and Nagoya [11] yields $R_\Delta(P_4; s) \leqslant 2s - 3$ for $s \geqslant 4$, and we show that always $R_\Delta(P_4; s) \geqslant s + 1$.

Section 5 concerns cycles. The values for C_3 follow from $R_\Delta(K_n; s) = R(K_n; s) - 1$, as noted earlier. Using Brooks' Theorem [7] and the fact that every 2^s-chromatic graph decomposes into s bipartite subgraphs, we obtain $R_\Delta(C_{2k+1}; s) \geqslant 2^s + 1$ for all odd cycles.

For even cycles we obtain only $R_\Delta(C_{2k}; s) \geqslant 2s$ (Proposition 5.3); no better general lower bounds are known for even cycles.

In Theorem 5.5, we prove that $R_\Delta(C_4; 2) \geqslant 5$; equality holds from the result of Beineke and Schwenk [5] that $K_{5,5} \to C_4$. To obtain the lower bound, we prove the stronger statement that every graph with maximum degree at most 4 has a 2-edge-colouring such that the subgraph in each colour class has girth at least 5. The strongest known general upper bounds for $s = 2$ are $R_\Delta(C_{2k}; 2) \leqslant 96$ and $R_\Delta(C_{2k+1}; 2) \leqslant 3458$ (see [17]). In addition to $R_\Delta(C_3; 2) = R_\Delta(C_4; 2) = 5$, the only other degree Ramsey number for cycles that is known exactly is $R_\Delta(C_3; 3) = 16$, which follows from $R(C_3; 3) = 17$.

The results of [17] show in addition that the 2-colour degree Ramsey number of any graph with maximum degree 2 is bounded by 3458. It is natural to ask whether in general the 2-colour (or s-colour) degree Ramsey number is bounded by a function of the maximum degree.

2. Trees

We begin with the computation of $R_\Delta(K_{1,m}; s)$, applying classical results of graph theory. One upper bound uses a variation of a result of Bollobás, Saito and Wormald [6]. They proved the existence of r-regular graphs without k-factors (for odd k); we will need such graphs with large girth. A *k-factor* of a graph G is a k-regular spanning subgraph of G. The *girth* of a graph G is the length of a shortest cycle in G. We use the result of Erdős and Sachs [13] that for each k and g there exist k-regular graphs with girth at least g.

Lemma 2.1. *If $r > k$ with k odd, and $g \geqslant 3$, then there exists a graph that is r-regular, has girth at least g, and has no k-factor.*

Proof. First consider even r. Let G be an r-regular graph with girth at least $g + 1$. If $|V(G)|$ is odd, then G has no k-factor. Otherwise, fix $v \in V(G)$. Since G is triangle-free, no neighbours of v are adjacent. Remove v and add a matching on its neighbours to create an r-regular graph G' with an odd number of vertices. A cycle C in G' that was not in G uses at least one new edge. If C uses only one new edge, then replacing it with two edges at v yields a cycle in G. If C uses at least two new edges, then C contains a path in G from one such edge to the next one, joining two neighbours of v, making C at least as long as a cycle in G. Hence every cycle in G' has length at least g.

Now consider odd r. We use a construction like that in [6] (for their case $\lambda = 1$). Let J be a graph in which all vertices have degree r except for one vertex x having degree $r - 1$. Construct a graph G by taking r copies of J and adding a new vertex y adjacent to all r copies of x. Suppose that G has a k-factor, H. Since r is odd and $r - 1$ is even, $|V(J)|$ is odd; thus J has no k-factor. Since a k-factor has degree k at *every* vertex, in H all r copies of J receive an edge from y, which contradicts $d_H(y) = k$. Thus G has no k-factor.

To complete the proof, it suffices to show that such a graph J exists with girth at least g (no cycles are added through y). Let F be an r-regular graph with girth at least $g + 1$, and fix $v \in V(F)$. Again the neighbours of v form an independent set. Form J by removing v

and adding a matching of size $(r-1)/2$ on the neighbours of v. By the argument in the first paragraph, J has girth at least g, and the vertex degrees are as desired. $\qquad\square$

We will use Lemma 2.1 to determine $R_\Delta(K_{1,m};s)$, but we do not yet need its full power; for the upper bound on $R_\Delta(K_{1,m};s)$ we will not need large girth. The other results we need are *Vizing's Theorem* [26], which states that the edge-chromatic number of a graph G is at most $\Delta(G)+1$, and *Petersen's Theorem* [22], which states that every regular graph of even degree decomposes into 2-factors.

Theorem 2.2. *If $s \geqslant 2$, then*

$$R_\Delta(K_{1,m};s) = \begin{cases} s(m-1) & m \text{ even,} \\ s(m-1)+1 & m \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. For the upper bound, the pigeonhole principle yields $K_{1,s(m-1)+1} \xrightarrow{s} K_{1,m}$. When m is even, we can improve the upper bound. By Lemma 2.1, there is an $(s(m-1))$-regular graph H having no $(m-1)$-factor; $H \xrightarrow{s} K_{1,m}$, since an s-edge-colouring of G with no monochromatic $K_{1,m}$ would be a decomposition of H into $(m-1)$-factors.

For the lower bound, let H be a graph with $\Delta(H) < s(m-1)$. By Vizing's Theorem, H is $s(m-1)$-edge-colourable, so $E(H)$ is the disjoint union of $s(m-1)$ matchings. Taking each colour class to be the union of $m-1$ of these matchings yields an s-edge-colouring of H with no monochromatic $K_{1,m}$. When m is odd, we can improve the lower bound. For any graph H with maximum degree $s(m-1)$, let H' be an $s(m-1)$-regular supergraph of H. By Petersen's Theorem, H' decomposes into 2-factors. Taking each of s colour classes to be the union of $(m-1)/2$ of these 2-factors yields an s-edge-colouring of H' with degree $m-1$ in each colour at each vertex. $\qquad\square$

Alon, Ding, Oporowski and Vertigan [2] showed that $R_\Delta(P_n;s) = 2s$ for sufficiently large n. Thus Theorem 2.2 shows that it is 'harder' to force stars than paths. Tao Jiang (unpublished) generalized the upper bound argument to show for any tree T that $R_\Delta(T) \leqslant 2s(\Delta(T)-1)$. For trees with only one vertex of large degree (including all those having exactly one vertex with degree exceeding 2), Jiang's argument can be improved. The upper bound meets the lower bound from stars and hence computes the exact value for these trees, since $G \subseteq G'$ implies $R_\Delta(G;s) \leqslant R_\Delta(G';s)$. The lemma we need is a variation on a well-known fact.

Lemma 2.3. *Fix $r, q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $q \geqslant 2(r-1)$. If a graph H has average degree more than q, then H contains a subgraph with minimum degree at least r and average degree more than q.*

Proof. Let H be a smallest counterexample; let $n = |V(H)|$. If H has a vertex x with degree at most $r-1$, then $H-x$ has more than $\frac{1}{2}nq - (r-1)$ edges and hence has average degree more than q. Hence $H-x$ contains the desired subgraph. $\qquad\square$

Theorem 2.4. *If T is a tree in which one vertex has degree at most k and all others have degree at most $\lceil k/2 \rceil$, then*

$$R_\Delta(T;s) \leqslant \begin{cases} s(k-1) & k \text{ even,} \\ s(k-1)+1 & k \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $\epsilon = 1$ if k is odd and $\epsilon = 0$ if k is even. Let H be a regular graph having degree $s(k-1) + \epsilon$ and girth more than $|V(T)|$; by Lemma 2.1, we may also require H to have no $(k-1)$-factor when k is even. Given an s-edge-colouring of H, we seek a monochromatic subgraph H' that has a vertex x of degree at least k and has minimum degree at least r, where $r = \lceil k/2 \rceil$. In such a graph H', we can 'grow' T from x by successively adding children. When we want to grow from a current leaf, it has $r-1$ neighbours in H' that (by the girth condition) are not already in the tree.

To obtain H', first consider odd k, so $r = (k+1)/2$. Since $\epsilon = 1$, in any s-edge-colouring of H some colour class forms a spanning subgraph C with average degree more than $k-1$. Since $k-1 = 2(r-1)$, by Lemma 2.3 C has a subgraph H' with minimum degree at least r and average degree more than $k-1$. By the condition on average degree, H' has a vertex of degree at least k.

Now consider even k, with H as specified; note that $2(r-1) = k-2$. Since $\epsilon = 0$, some colour class yields a spanning subgraph C with average degree at least $k-1$. Since H has no $(k-1)$-factor, C has a vertex of degree at least k. If it also has minimum degree at least $k/2$, then it is the desired monochromatic subgraph H'. Otherwise, delete a vertex x with degree in C at most $k/2-1$ (less than $(k-1)/2$). The average degree in $C - x$ is more than $k-1$. Now Lemma 2.3 yields a monochromatic subgraph H' with minimum degree at least r and average degree more than $k-1$. Again H' has a vertex of degree at least k. $\square$

As noted previously, the bound in Theorem 2.4 holds with equality when T also satisfies $\Delta(T) = k$. We stated it for k as an upper bound on $\Delta(T)$ in order to obtain a general upper bound for trees. For any tree T, setting $k = 2\Delta(T) - 1$ in Theorem 2.4 yields $R_\Delta(T;s) \leqslant 2s(\Delta(T)-1)+1$. Jiang's earlier unpublished observation, by an argument like that of Theorem 2.4, improves this general bound by 1.

Theorem 2.5 (T. Jiang). *If T is a tree, then $R_\Delta(T;s) \leqslant 2s(\Delta(T)-1)$.*

Proof. Let $r = \Delta(T)$, and let H be a $2s(r-1)$-regular graph with girth more than $|V(T)|$ (which exists by the result of Erdős and Sachs [13]). Consider an s-edge-colouring of H. By the pigeonhole principle, some colour class yields a monochromatic spanning subgraph C with average degree at least $2(r-1)$. By Lemma 2.3 (the proof is essentially the same when 'more than' is changed to 'at least' twice in Lemma 2.3), C has a monochromatic subgraph H' with minimum degree at least r. Now T can be grown inside H' from any vertex, as in the proof of Theorem 2.4. $\square$

The result of [2] that $R_\Delta(P_n; s) = 2s$ shows that Theorem 2.5 is sharp when T is a path. For large s, in the next section we will use trees with exactly two non-leaf vertices to show that the coefficient $2s$ in Theorem 2.5 is almost sharp when s and $\Delta(T)$ are large.

3. Double-stars

The *double-star* $S_{a,b}$ is the tree having two adjacent vertices of degrees a and b and no other non-leaf vertices. The double-star $S_{a,b}$ contains the star $K_{1,b}$. Surprisingly, when $s = 2$ and $a \leqslant b$ always $R_\Delta(S_{a,b}; s) = R_\Delta(K_{1,b}; s)$, except when $a = b$ and b is even. This behaviour fails for larger s, so we begin with the exact results for $s = 2$. Given graphs G and H, we say that an edge-colouring of H *avoids* G if no monochromatic copy of G appears in it.

Theorem 3.1. *If $a \leqslant b$, then*

$$R_\Delta(S_{a,b}; 2) = \begin{cases} 2b - 2 & \text{if } a < b \text{ and } b \text{ is even,} \\ 2b - 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Theorem 2.2 with $s = 2$ yields the lower bound except when $a = b$ and b is even. In that case, let H be any connected graph with $\Delta(H) \leqslant 2b - 2$, and let H' be a $(2b - 2)$-regular connected graph that contains H. Starting with a vertex v, follow an Eulerian circuit C in H', colouring the edges by alternating red and blue along C. Each vertex of H' other than v receives an edge of each colour with each passage through it, totalling $b - 1$ edges of each colour (this holds also for v if and only if $|E(H')|$ is even). Since every vertex other than v has degree at most $b - 1$ in each colour, it follows that $H' \nrightarrow S_{b,b}$.

For the upper bound, we first prove $R_\Delta(S_{a,b}) \leqslant 2b - 1$ by showing that $H \to S_{b,b}$ whenever H is a triangle-free $(2b - 1)$-regular graph. Consider a red/blue edge-colouring of H that avoids $S_{b,b}$. Call a vertex *red* when the majority (at least b) of its incident edges are red; otherwise it is *blue*. Without loss of generality, at least half the vertices are red. Since H is triangle-free, any red edge with red endpoints yields a red $S_{b,b}$, so each red edge has at least one blue endpoint. Since a red vertex lies on at least b red edges and a blue vertex lies on at most $b - 1$ red edges, H has more blue vertices than red vertices, a contradiction.

We improve the upper bound by 1 when $a < b$ and b is even by showing that $H \to S_{a,b}$ for a particular $(2b - 2)$-regular graph H. Form H using five disjoint vertex sets $S_0, \ldots, S_4$ of size $b - 1$, making the neighbourhood of each vertex in S_i consist of $S_{i-1} \cup S_{i+1}$ (indices taken modulo 5). (The graph H is often called the $(b - 1)$-*blowup* of a 5-cycle.)

Consider a red/blue edge-colouring of H that avoids $S_{a,b}$. Each vertex is *red* (at least b incident red edges), *blue* (at least b incident blue edges), or *tied* ($b - 1$ incident edges of each colour). Not all are tied, since that would yield a regular subgraph with odd degree and odd order (since b is even). Without loss of generality, assume that S_0 contains a red vertex u.

Since H has no triangles and $a < b$, a red edge joining a red vertex to a red or tied vertex yields a red copy of $S_{a,b}$. Therefore, the neighbours of a red vertex along red edges

are blue; similarly, neighbours of a blue vertex along blue edges are red. Since each S_i has size $b-1$, and coloured vertices have at least b incident edges in their colour, when S_i contains a coloured vertex it follows that some vertex of S_{i+1} has the opposite colour. Starting from our red vertex u in S_0, we alternate finding blue and red vertices in successive sets to obtain a blue vertex v in S_0. Now in $S_1 \cup S_4$, the vertices adjacent to u along red edges are blue, and those adjacent to v along blue edges are red. This requires $|S_1 \cup S_4| \geqslant 2b$, but $|S_1 \cup S_4| = 2b - 2$. □

For $s > 2$, determining $R_\Delta(S_{a,b}; s)$ is more difficult. The proof of Theorem 3.1 does not extend, because it is no longer necessary for more than half of the vertices in the graph being coloured to have high degree in the same colour. Nevertheless, Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.2 together compute $R_\Delta(S_{a,b}; s)$ when $b \geqslant 2a - 1$; the value is $s(b-1) + 1$ or $s(b-1)$, depending on the parity of b. Hence we focus our attention on $R_\Delta(S_{b,b}; s)$. The additional motivation for doing so is that this small tree shows that the general upper bound for trees in Theorem 2.5 is nearly sharp.

Definition. Given an s-edge-colouring of a graph H, we say that a vertex v is *major* in some colour if it lies on at least b edges of that colour and *minor* otherwise. A *minor edge* is an edge whose colour is minor at both endpoints. Note that when the degree of a vertex exceeds $s(b-1)$, the vertex must be major in at least one colour. Let $d^*(v)$ be the number of edges incident to v whose colours are minor at v.

Lemma 3.2. *Let H be a triangle-free graph. If an edge-colouring of H that has r minor edges avoids $S_{b,b}$, then*

$$|E(H)| + r = \sum_v d^*(v).$$

Proof. To avoid $S_{b,b}$, the colour on each edge must be minor for at least one endpoint of the edge. Grouping the edges by the endpoints at which their colours are minor yields the sum on the right. Exactly r edges are counted twice. □

This lemma yields a slight improvement for $S_{b,b}$ of the general upper bound for trees.

Corollary 3.3. *If $s \geqslant 2$, then $R_\Delta(S_{b,b}; s) \leqslant 2(s-1)(b-1) + 1$.*

Proof. Let H be a k-regular triangle-free graph, and consider an s-edge-colouring that avoids $S_{b,b}$. If $k > s(b-1)$, then each vertex is minor in at most $s-1$ colours. From Lemma 3.2, we then obtain $\frac{nk}{2} \leqslant \frac{nk}{2} + r \leqslant n(s-1)(b-1)$, which simplifies to $k \leqslant 2(s-1)(b-1)$. □

We next improve Corollary 3.3 asymptotically, for fixed s with $s \geqslant 3$. For clarity, we split the proof into several lemmas. The proof of the first closely mirrors that of a lemma by Alon [1], which he used to prove the existence of graphs having no 'large' bipartite

subgraphs. We bound the number of edges in a k-partite subgraph of a d-regular graph in terms of the sizes of the parts.

Definition. Let G be an n-vertex graph, and let $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ be nonnegative real numbers summing to 1. An $(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$-*subgraph* of G is a k-partite subgraph having partite sets of sizes $nx_1, \ldots, nx_k$.

A standard result in linear algebra states that the smallest eigenvalue of a real symmetric matrix A of order n is $\inf_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\langle Az, z \rangle}{\langle z, z \rangle}$, where $\langle x, y \rangle$ denotes the inner product of x and y.

Lemma 3.4. *Let G be a d-regular graph with n vertices and m edges, and let λ be its smallest eigenvalue. If $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ are nonnegative real numbers summing to 1, then no $(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$-subgraph of G has more than $(m - \lambda n/2) \sum_i x_i (1 - x_i)$ edges.*

Proof. Let A be the adjacency matrix of G. As remarked above, for every n-dimensional vector φ we have $\lambda \langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle \leqslant \langle A\varphi, \varphi \rangle$. Consider any partition of $V(G)$ into $X_1, \ldots, X_k$, where $|X_i| = nx_i$, and let F be the $(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$-subgraph of G with partite sets $X_1, \ldots, X_k$ that includes all edges with endpoints in different parts. For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, define the vector $\varphi^{(i)}$ by setting $\varphi_v^{(i)} = 1 - x_i$ when $v \in X_i$ and $\varphi_v^{(i)} = -x_i$ when $v \notin X_i$. Now

$$\langle A\varphi^{(i)}, \varphi^{(i)} \rangle = 2 \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \varphi_u^{(i)} \varphi_v^{(i)} = d \sum_{v \in V(G)} (\varphi_v^{(i)})^2 - \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \left(\varphi_u^{(i)} - \varphi_v^{(i)} \right)^2$$

$$= d \langle \varphi^{(i)}, \varphi^{(i)} \rangle - |[X_i, V(G) - X_i]|,$$

where $[X, Y]$ denotes the set of edges joining X and Y. Summing over i now yields

$$\lambda \sum \langle \varphi^{(i)}, \varphi^{(i)} \rangle \leqslant \sum \langle A\varphi^{(i)}, \varphi^{(i)} \rangle = d \sum \langle \varphi^{(i)}, \varphi^{(i)} \rangle - 2|E(F)|.$$

Thus

$$|E(F)| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}(d - \lambda) \sum \langle \varphi^{(i)}, \varphi^{(i)} \rangle.$$

Since

$$\langle \varphi^{(i)}, \varphi^{(i)} \rangle = |X_i|(1 - x_i)^2 + (n - |X_i|)x_i^2 = nx_i(1 - x_i)^2 + n(1 - x_i)x_i^2 = nx_i(1 - x_i),$$

we have

$$|E(F)| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}(d - \lambda)n \sum x_i(1 - x_i),$$

which simplifies to the claimed bound on $|E(F)|$. $\qquad\square$

To apply this lemma, we need regular graphs whose smallest eigenvalues are large. Lubotzky, Phillips and Sarnak [18] defined a *Ramanujan graph* to be a regular graph whose smallest eigenvalue is at least $-2\sqrt{p-1}$, where p is the vertex degree. They constructed p-regular Ramanujan graphs for all primes p congruent to 1 modulo 4. Morgenstern [19] later constructed for each prime power p an infinite family of p-regular

Ramanujan graphs G having girth at least $\frac{2}{3}\log_p|V(G)|$. Morgenstern's constructions and Lemma 3.4 together yield the following result.

Proposition 3.5. *Let p be a prime power, and let $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ be nonnegative real numbers summing to 1. For infinitely many n, there is a p-regular triangle-free n-vertex graph G having no $(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$-subgraph F with more than $\left(\sum_i x_i(1-x_i)\right)(m+n\sqrt{p-1})$ edges, where $m = np/2 = |E(G)|$.*

Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.5 together yield an asymptotic upper bound on $R_\Delta(S_{b,b};s)$.

Lemma 3.6. *For fixed integer s, let U be the set of nonnegative s-tuples summing to 1. If $s \geqslant 3$, then $R_\Delta(S_{b,b};s) \leqslant 2(M+o(1))(b-1)$, where*

$$M = \max_{y \in U} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{s}(s-i)y_i}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{s} y_i\left[1 - \frac{y_i}{\binom{s}{i}}\right]}.$$

Proof. Let H be a d-regular triangle-free n-vertex Ramanujan graph with m edges. Suppose that H has an s-edge-colouring avoiding $S_{b,b}$. We will show that $d \leqslant 2(M + o(1))(b-1)$. Taking $y_1 = 1$ and $y_2 = \cdots = y_s = 0$ yields $M \geqslant s/2$, so the bound already holds unless $d > s(b-1)$. Thus each vertex is major in at least one colour.

Let C be the set of colours used. For $A \subseteq C$, let X_A be the set of vertices for which the set of major colours is A (note that $X_\varnothing = \varnothing$). For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$, let $Y_i = \bigcup\{X_A : |A| = i\}$. Let $y_i = |Y_i|/n$. Let F be the maximal (spanning) subgraph of H in which each X_A for $A \subseteq C$ is an independent set.

Let r be the number of minor edges in the given edge-colouring of H. Every edge joining two vertices that are major in exactly the same colours must be minor, since otherwise it would be the central edge of a monochromatic $S_{b,b}$. Thus $r \geqslant m - |E(F)|$. Also, each vertex in Y_i lies on at most $(s-i)(b-1)$ minor edges. With Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$2m - |E(F)| \leqslant m + r = \sum_{v \in V(H)} d^*(v) \leqslant n(b-1)\sum_i (s-i)y_i. \tag{$*$}$$

To obtain an upper bound on m and hence on d, we need an upper bound on $|E(F)|$. When $y_1, \ldots, y_s$ are fixed, the upper bound in Proposition 3.5 is maximized when $|X_A|/n = y_{|A|}/\binom{s}{|A|}$ for each $A \subseteq C$. Thus, $|E(F)| \leqslant \sum_i y_i[1 - y_i/\binom{s}{i}](m + n\sqrt{d-1})$. Since $\sum y_i = 1$, we have $\sum_i y_i(1 - y_i/\binom{s}{i}) \leqslant 1$. Hence $|E(F)| \leqslant m(\sum_i y_i[1 - y_i/\binom{s}{i}]) + n\sqrt{d-1}$; this simplification will not change the asymptotics. Substituting into $(*)$ yields

$$m\left(2 - \sum_i y_i\left[1 - \frac{y_i}{\binom{s}{i}}\right]\right) - n\sqrt{d-1} \leqslant 2m - |E(F)| \leqslant n(b-1)\sum_i(s-i)y_i.$$

Since $m = nd/2$, this further simplifies to

$$d\left(2 - \sum_i y_i\left[1 - \frac{y_i}{\binom{s}{i}}\right] - \frac{2\sqrt{d-1}}{d}\right) \leqslant 2(b-1)\sum_i(s-i)y_i.$$

Thus

$$d \leqslant 2(b-1)\frac{\sum_i(s-i)y_i}{2-\sum_i y_i[1-y_i/\binom{s}{i}]-o(1)},$$

where the $o(1)$ term tends to 0 as b tends to infinity (since $d > s(b-1)$). Since $\sum_i(s-i)y_i$ and $2-\sum_i y_i[1-y_i/\binom{s}{i}]$ are bounded, we may rewrite this as

$$d \leqslant 2(b-1)\left(\frac{\sum_i(s-i)y_i}{2-\sum_i y_i[1-y_i/\binom{s}{i}]}+o(1)\right) \leqslant 2(M+o(1))(b-1).$$

Finally, it suffices to show that there exists a d-regular Ramanujan graph when d is just a bit larger than this bound, which we call M'. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. For sufficiently large b, it follows from Proposition 3.5 and the prime number theorem that there exist d-regular Ramanujan graphs with $M' < d < (1+\epsilon)M'$. □

We next show how to compute the value M in the statement of Lemma 3.6. The key insight is that the maximum is attained when all but at most two of the variables are zero.

Lemma 3.7. *For $s \geqslant 3$, with U being the set of nonnegative s-tuples summing to 1,*

$$M = \max_{y \in U} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{s}(s-i)y_i}{2-\sum_{i=1}^{s} y_i\left[1-\frac{y_i}{\binom{s}{i}}\right]} = \frac{s-1}{2}\frac{s+\sqrt{s^2+s+2+4/(s-1)}}{s+1+2/s},$$

attained when $y_k = 0$ for $k \geqslant 3$ and $y_1 = 2-s+\sqrt{s^2-3s+6-8/(s+1)}$.

Proof. For notational convenience, let $f(y_1,\ldots,y_s) = \sum_i(s-i)y_i$ and

$$g(y_1,\ldots,y_s) = 2-\sum_i y_i\left(1-y_i/\binom{s}{i}\right).$$

Suppose that the claim is false, and let $y_1,\ldots,y_s$ be real numbers maximizing f/g subject to $y \in U$.

We first claim that $y_j = 0$ when $j \geqslant 3$. If $y_j > 0$, then define $y_1',\ldots,y_s'$ by $y_j' = 0$ and $y_1' = y_1 + y_j$, with $y_i' = y_i$ for $i \notin \{1,j\}$. Now $f(y_1',\ldots,y_s') = f(y_1,\ldots,y_s) + (j-1)y_j$ and

$$g(y_1',\ldots,y_s') = g(y_1,\ldots,y_s) + y_1\left(1-\frac{y_1}{s}\right) + y_j\left(1-\frac{y_j}{\binom{s}{j}}\right) - (y_1+y_j)\left(1-\frac{y_1+y_j}{s}\right)$$

$$= g(y_1,\ldots,y_s) - \frac{y_j^2}{\binom{s}{j}} + \frac{2y_1y_j+y_j^2}{s}.$$

We claim that $f(y_1',\ldots,y_s')/g(y_1',\ldots,y_s') > f(y_1,\ldots,y_s)/g(y_1,\ldots,y_s)$. For positive real numbers a,b,c,d, the inequality $a/b < (a+c)/(b+d)$ holds if and only if $a/b < c/d$. Letting $a = f(y_1,\ldots,y_s)$, $b = g(y_1,\ldots,y_s)$, $c = (j-1)y_j$, and

$$d = \frac{2y_1y_j+y_j^2}{s} - \frac{y_j^2}{\binom{s}{j}},$$

it suffices to show that

$$\frac{f(y_1,\ldots,y_s)}{g(y_1,\ldots,y_s)} < \frac{(j-1)y_j}{\frac{2y_1y_j+y_j^2}{s}-\frac{y_j^2}{\binom{s}{j}}}.$$

We compute

$$\frac{(j-1)y_j}{\frac{2y_1y_j+y_j^2}{s}-\frac{y_j^2}{\binom{s}{j}}} = \frac{j-1}{\frac{2y_1+y_j}{s}-\frac{y_j}{\binom{s}{j}}} > \frac{s(j-1)}{2y_1+y_j} > \frac{s(j-1)}{2} \geqslant s,$$

but $f(y_1,\ldots,y_s)/g(y_1,\ldots,y_s) \leqslant s-1$, since the numerator is at most $s-1$ and the denominator is at least 1.

Thus $y_i = 0$ for $i \geqslant 3$; consequently, $y_2 = 1 - y_1$. It remains to choose $y \in [0,1]$ to maximize

$$\frac{f(y,1-y,0,\ldots,0)}{g(y,1-y,0,\ldots,0)},$$

which simplifies to $s(s-1)h(y)$, where

$$h(y) = \frac{s-2+y}{s(s-1)+(s-1)y^2+2(1-y)^2}.$$

Note that $h(1) = 1/(s+1)$ and $h(0) < 1/(s+1)$. Setting $h'(y) = 0$ yields a quadratic equation for y whose solution $\hat{y}$ is $2 - s + \sqrt{s^2 - 3s + 6 - 8/(s+1)}$. This value is 1 when $s = 3$ (hence the requirement $s \geqslant 3$) and declines slowly toward $1/2$ as s increases, so it lies in $[0,1]$. Rationalizing the denominator in the expression for $h(\hat{y})$ yields

$$h(\hat{y}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{s^4 - s^3 + s^2 + s - 2} + s(s-1)}{s^3 + s - 2}.$$

Dividing the denominator by $s(s-1)$ and extracting a factor of $s-1$ from the numerator yields the claimed expression for M. □

Theorem 3.8. *For $s \geqslant 3$,*

$$R_\Delta(S_{b,b};s) \leqslant \left((s-1)\frac{s+\sqrt{s^2+s+2+4/(s-1)}}{s+1+2/s} + o(1) \right)(b-1),$$

where the $o(1)$ term tends to 0 as b tends to infinity.

Proof. Using the formula in Lemma 3.7 as the value of M, this becomes simply the statement of Lemma 3.6. □

Our lower bound for $R_\Delta(S_{b,b};s)$ asymptotically matches this upper bound. The value of $\hat{y}$ given in Lemma 3.6 will guide the construction.

Theorem 3.9. *For $s \geqslant 3$,*

$$R_\Delta(S_{b,b};s) \geqslant \left((s-1)\frac{s+\sqrt{s^2+s+2+4/(s-1)}}{s+1+2/s} - o(1)\right)(b-1),$$

where the $o(1)$ term tends to 0 as b tends to infinity.

Proof. Given a graph H with $\Delta(H) \leqslant d$, we construct a random s-colouring of $E(H)$ that avoids $S_{b,b}$ with positive probability when d is suitably chosen. We may assume that H is d-regular. Let C be a set of s colours.

We will assign each $v \in V(H)$ a set $c(v)$ of one or two colours in C, wanting only colours in $c(v)$ to be major for v. We try to match the bound from Lemma 3.7 by having the expected fractions of vertices that are major in one colour or two colours be $\hat{y}$ and $1 - \hat{y}$, respectively.

To this end, let $p = 2 - s + \sqrt{s^2 - 3s + 6 - 8/(s+1)}$. For each vertex v of H, let $\epsilon(v) = 1$ with probability p and $\epsilon(v) = 2$ otherwise. Next choose $c(v)$ uniformly at random from among all subsets of C with size $\epsilon(v)$. Given the resulting colouring of the vertices with colour sets of size at most 2, we produce a colouring of $E(H)$, again probabilistically.

Fix $uv \in E(H)$. First consider $|c(u)| = |c(v)| = 1$. If $c(u) = c(v)$, then colour uv with a random colour from $C - c(u)$. If $c(u) \neq c(v)$, then give uv the colour in $c(u)$ or $c(v)$, each with probability $1/2$. Next suppose $|c(u)| = 1$ but $|c(v)| = 2$. If $c(u) \subset c(v)$, then give uv the colour in $c(v) - c(u)$. If instead $c(u) \cap c(v) = \varnothing$, then give uv the colour in $c(u)$ with probability q and one of the colours in $c(v)$ with probability $(1-q)/2$ each, where q will be specified later. Finally, suppose $|c(u)| = |c(v)| = 2$. If $c(u) = c(v)$, then colour uv randomly from $C - c(u)$. If $|c(u) \cap c(v)| = 1$, then give uv a colour from the symmetric difference, each with probability $1/2$. Finally, if $c(u) \cap c(v) = \varnothing$, then colour uv at random from $c(u) \cup c(v)$.

We claim that with positive probability every vertex v is major only in the colours in $c(v)$, when d and q are suitably chosen. It then holds by construction that no edge has a colour that is major at both endpoints, so the colouring avoids $S_{b,b}$.

Fix a vertex v and a colour c' not in $c(v)$. Let X be a random variable denoting the number of edges of colour c' incident to v. Let the neighbours of v be $v_1, \ldots, v_d$. Now $X = Y_1 + \cdots + Y_d$, where Y_i is the indicator variable for the event that vv_i has colour c'. If $|c(v)| = 1$, then $Y_i = 1$ can occur in four ways: $c(v_i) = c(v)$, $c(v_i) = \{c'\}$, $c(v) \subset c(v_i)$, and $|c(v_i)| = 2$ with $c(v) \not\subset c(v_i)$. Using conditional probability in each case,

$$\mathbb{P}\big[Y_i = 1 \,\big|\, \epsilon(v) = 1\big] = \frac{1}{s-1} \cdot \frac{p}{s} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{p}{s} + 1 \cdot \frac{2(1-p)}{s(s-1)} + \frac{1-q}{2} \cdot (s-2)\frac{2(1-p)}{s(s-1)}.$$

Similarly, if $|c(v)| = 2$, then the cases in which vv_i can receive colour c' are $c(v_i) = \{c'\}$, $c(v_i) = c(v)$, and $|c(v_i)| = 2$ with $|c(v_i) \cap c(v)|$ being 1 or 0. Thus

$$\mathbb{P}\big[Y_i = 1 \,\big|\, \epsilon(v) = 2\big] = q \cdot \frac{p}{s} + \left(\frac{1}{s-2} + 1 + \frac{s-3}{4}\right) \cdot \frac{2(1-p)}{s(s-1)}.$$

Let p_1 and p_2 denote these two conditional probabilities. Since assigning colour c' to vv_i is dangerous, we want to choose q to minimize $\max\{p_1, p_2\}$. As q increases, p_2 increases

and p_1 decreases, so we choose q to produce $p_1 = p_2$, which requires

$$q = \frac{(s-2)(s+1) - 2s(1-p)}{2(s-2)(s-2+p)}.$$

We observed in Lemma 3.7 that $p > 1/2$, which easily implies $q > 0$, and comparing the numerator and denominator yields $q < 1$. Henceforth let $\hat{p}$ denote the common value of p_1 and p_2 when q is so chosen. Since $p_1 = p_2$, we now have $\mathbb{P}[Y_i = 1] = \hat{p}$. With $\hat{p} = \mathbb{P}[Y_i = 1]$ and H being d-regular, we have $\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}[\sum_i Y_i] = d\hat{p}$.

Now let $d = \lfloor (1 - b^{-1/3})(b-1)/\hat{p} \rfloor$, so $\mathbb{E}[X] \leqslant (1 - b^{-1/3})(b-1)$. Since $b - 1 \geqslant (1 + \delta)\mathbb{E}[X]$, where $\delta = 1/(b^{1/3} - 1)$, the Chernoff Bound yields

$$\mathbb{P}[X > b-1] \leqslant \mathbb{P}[X > (1+\delta)\mathbb{E}[X]] < e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{3}(1 - b^{-1/3})(b-1)}.$$

Note that $\delta^2(1 - b^{-1/3})(b-1) = b^{1/3} + 1 + b^{-1/3}$. Let B_v be the event that v is major in a colour outside $c(v)$. By the Union Bound,

$$\mathbb{P}[B_v] < (s-1)e^{-\frac{1}{3}(b^{1/3} + 1 + b^{-1/3})}.$$

The occurrence of B_v is determined by the colour sets chosen at v and its neighbours and some choices made for edges incident to v. If v and w have a common neighbour, then B_v and B_w both make use of the colour set chosen at that common neighbour. Nevertheless, B_v is mutually independent of the set of all B_u such that the distance between u and v is at least 3. Thus B_v is mutually independent of a set of all but at most d^2 other events. The symmetric version of the Lovász Local Lemma now states that $\mathbb{P}[\bigcap_v \overline{B}_v] > 0$ so long as

$$e \cdot (s-1)e^{-\frac{1}{3}(b^{1/3} + 1 + b^{-1/3})} \cdot (d^2 + 1) < 1.$$

Since d is bounded by a polynomial in b divided by a constant ($\hat{p}$ depends only on s), the inequality holds for sufficiently large b.

We have now shown that for some outcome of the vertex colouring (when b is sufficiently large), there is an outcome of the edge-colouring process that avoids $S_{b,b}$. It remains only to show that the degree for which we produced this colouring is $(2M - o(1))(b-1)$. Since $d = (1 - o(1))(b-1)/\hat{p}$, to complete the proof it suffices to prove that $\hat{p} = 1/(2M)$.

We show that $s(s-1)(\frac{1}{2M} - \hat{p}) = 0$. Since $\hat{y} = p$, we have

$$\frac{s(s-1)}{2M} = \frac{1}{2h(\hat{y})} = \frac{s(s-1) + (s-1)p^2 + 2(1-p)^2}{2(s-2+p)}.$$

Since $h'(\hat{y}) = 0$ yields

$$s(s-1) + (s-1)p^2 + 2(1-p)^2 = (s-2+p)[2p(s-1) - 4(1-p)], \qquad (*)$$

we obtain $\frac{s(s-1)}{2M} = p(s-1) - 2(1-p)$. Using the formula for p_2, we have

$$s(s-1)\hat{p} = qp(s-1) + \left(\frac{1}{s-2} + 1 + \frac{s-3}{4}\right)2(1-p).$$

Now

$$s(s-1)\left(\frac{1}{2M} - \hat{p}\right) = (1-q)p(s-1) - \left(\frac{1}{s-2} + 2 + \frac{s-3}{4}\right)2(1-p).$$

Multiply by $2(s-2)(s-2+p)$, the denominator in the formula for q; this does not change whether the value on both sides is 0. For the right side, we compute

$$[2(s-2)(s-2+p) - (s-2)(s+1) + 2s(1-p)]p(s-1) - (s^2 + 3s - 6)(1-p)(s-2+p)$$
$$= (s-2)[(s+1)p^2 + 2(s+1)(s-2)p - (s^2 + 3s - 6)] = 0,$$

since having the last quadratic factor equal to 0 is equivalent to (*). □

Note that $p = 1$ when $s = 3$, so in this case the colouring for the lower bound makes every vertex major in exactly one colour. For large s, the leading terms of the numerator and denominator in the expression we obtained for $R_\Delta(S_{b,b}; s)$ suggest that the value asymptotically equals the bound $2(s-1)(b-1)$ obtained in Corollary 3.3. However, the coefficient on $b-1$ is actually smaller; we need the two leading terms when multiplying by $s-1$.

Corollary 3.10. *For fixed s (with $s \geqslant 3$) and large b, we have $R_\Delta(S_{b,b}; s) = (c_s + o(1))(b-1)$, where $c_3 = 3$, $c_4 = 2 + \frac{2}{11}(1 + \sqrt{210}) \approx 4.8166$, and in general $c_s = 2s - 3.5 + O(s^{-1})$.*

Proof. Divide the numerator and denominator of the expression for $2M$ by s. Then take the two leading terms of the series expansions for the square root and for the reciprocal of the denominator. This yields

$$2M = (s-1)\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + s^{-1} + 2s^{-2} + 4s^{-2}(s-1)^{-1}}}{1 + s^{-1} + 2s^{-2}}$$
$$= (s-1)(1 + 1 + \tfrac{1}{2}s^{-1} + O(s^{-2}))(1 - s^{-1} + O(s^{-2})) = 2s - 3.5 + O(s^{-1}). \quad \square$$

4. Paths

For the path P_m, the degree Ramsey number $R_\Delta(P_m; s)$ is bounded, independent of m. Alon, Ding, Oporowski and Vertigan [2] proved that $R_\Delta(P_m; s) = 2s$ when m is sufficiently large (for fixed s). The upper bound holds for all m; the argument is a special case of that given in Theorem 2.5. In fact, the main result of this section is that $H \overset{s}{\to} P_m$ for almost every graph H with maximum degree at most $2s$.

Given an edge-coloured graph G, we call a maximal monochromatic connected subgraph a *slice* of G. For the lower bound, it was proved in [2] that, for each s, there is a constant c such that every graph with maximum degree less than $2s$ has an s-edge-colouring in which every slice has fewer than c edges. Thus $R_\Delta(P_m; s) \geqslant 2s$ for $m > c$.

In [2], the authors did not give a sharp analysis of this value c. When $s = 2$, more precise results are known. Thomassen [25] showed that if $\Delta(H) \leqslant 3$, then there is a 2-edge-colouring of H in which every slice is a subgraph of P_6. Consequently, $R_\Delta(P_m; 2) = 4$ when $m \geqslant 7$. The other exact values are easy except for P_6.

Proposition 4.1. $R_\Delta(P_m; 2) = m - 1$ *for* $m \leqslant 3$, *and* $R_\Delta(P_m; 2) = 3$ *for* $m \in \{4, 5\}$.

Figure 1. A 2-edge-colouring of the Heawood graph.

Proof. For P_2 use an edge, for P_3 an odd cycle. For $m \in \{4, 5\}$, we have $R_\Delta(P_m) \geqslant 3$ because paths and cycles can be 2-edge-coloured without three consecutive edges of the same colour.

To show $R_\Delta(P_m) \leqslant 3$ for $n \in \{4, 5\}$, we prove that $H \to P_5$ when H is the Petersen graph. Consider a red/blue edge-colouring of H. Since H contains odd cycles, we may assume a red P_3. If there is no red P_4, then the four edges incident to the endpoints of this P_3 are all blue. Furthermore, the edges incident to the endpoints of these blue copies of P_3 must all be red, but this set of red edges contains P_4.

Hence we may assume a red P_4. If there is no red P_5, then the four edges incident to the endpoints of this P_4 are all blue, but these four edges form P_5. $\qquad\square$

It remains open whether $R_\Delta(P_6; 2)$ is 3 or 4. It is easy to colour the Petersen graph avoiding P_6; colour a perfect matching blue, and the remaining edges form two disjoint red 5-cycles. Also the Heawood graph (the 3-regular 14-vertex $(3, 6)$-cage, also known as the incidence graph of the Fano plane) has a 2-edge-colouring that avoids P_6, as in Figure 1. (The graph in the bold colour is $2P_5 + P_3$; the graph in the solid colour is $2P_5 + K_{1,3}$.)

Conjecture 4.2. $R_\Delta(P_6; 2) = 4$.

Thomassen's proof that graphs with maximum degree 3 have 2-edge-colourings with every slice contained in P_6 is long. We give a short proof of the weaker result that there is a 2-edge-colouring in which every slice has at most 25 vertices, and more importantly every monochromatic path has at most 15 vertices; this yields $R_\Delta(P_m; 2) = 4$ for $m > 15$. In the bipartite case, it is easy to prove a result similar to Thomassen's.

Lemma 4.3. *Let P_7' be the tree obtained from P_7 by adding a leaf adjacent to the central vertex. If G is a bipartite graph with $\Delta(G) \leqslant 3$, then G has a 2-edge-colouring in which all slices are subgraphs of P_7'.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the claim when G is 3-regular, in which case G has a perfect matching M. Let X and Y be the partite sets of G, and let $H = G - M$. Since H is 2-regular, it consists of disjoint even cycles.

First colour $E(H)$. When the length of a component of H is an even multiple of 2, alternate two red edges and two blue edges so that the centre of each monochromatic 3-vertex path is in X. When the length is an odd multiple of 2, do the same, except that one of the monochromatic paths has length 4. All leaves of all monochromatic paths in H lie in Y.

It remains to colour M. A vertex $x \in X$ is an internal vertex of some monochromatic path in H; give the edge of M incident to x the opposite colour. Monochromatic subgraphs of H grow only by adding edges of M at vertices of Y; a monochromatic copy of P_3 can only grow to a monochromatic copy of P_4 or P_5, and a monochromatic copy of P_5 can grow to as large as P_7' if it grows at each of its vertices in Y. $\square$

Theorem 4.4. *If $\Delta(G) \leqslant 3$, then G has a 2-edge-colouring in which each slice has at most 25 vertices and each monochromatic path has at most 15 vertices.*

Proof. We may assume that G is connected and that $G \neq K_4$, so Brooks' Theorem yields $\chi(G) \leqslant 3$. By Lemma 4.3, we may assume that $\chi(G) = 3$.

Let $\{V_1, V_2, V_3\}$ be a proper 3-colouring of G with each vertex of V_3 having neighbours in both V_1 and V_2. For $u \in V_3$, choose neighbours $u_1 \in V_1$ and $u_2 \in V_2$. Let H be the graph on $V_1 \cup V_2$ obtained from $G - V_3$ by adding the edge $u_1 u_2$ for each $u \in V_3$ such that $u_1 u_2 \notin E(G)$. Since $\Delta(H) \leqslant 3$, Lemma 4.3 yields a 2-edge-colouring f of H whose slices are subgraphs of P_7'.

Colour $E(G)$ as follows. Edges joining V_1 and V_2 keep the colour they have under f. Each remaining edge is incident to a vertex $u \in V_3$. Colour uu_1 and uu_2 in G with the colour that $u_1 u_2$ has under f, and use the opposite colour on a third edge incident to u, if it exists.

In progressing from H to G, monochromatic connected subgraphs can grow via edges incident to vertices in V_3. Two edges incident to $u \in V_3$ receive the same colour only if their other endpoints form an edge of that colour in H. Therefore, monochromatic connected subgraphs in H can grow only by adding leaves to monochromatic trees in H or by adding a common neighbour to vertices already adjacent in H. In particular, no two connected subgraphs of H having the same colour can combine by adding edges of that colour to V_3.

Because $\Delta(H) \leqslant 3$ and each slice F of H is a tree with k vertices, where $k \leqslant 8$, at most $3k - 2(k-1)$ edges can join F to vertices of V_3 in G in addition to the $k - 1$ vertices of V_3 that can produce elements of $E(H) - E(G)$. Hence F grows by adding at most $2k + 1$ vertices to the original k vertices, so slices in the edge-colouring of G have at most 25 vertices.

Consider also monochromatic paths. Again they arise from connected subgraphs of H that are monochromatic under f. Such a path can have one edge at each end incident to V_3. Other edges incident to V_3 occur only by visiting $u \in V_3$ between u_1 and u_2. This may occur for each edge of a monochromatic path in H, since those edges need not exist

in G. Since the maximum number of vertices in a monochromatic path under f is 7, we thus obtain 15 as the maximum number of vertices in a monochromatic path in the edge-colouring of G. $\square$

For fixed s, we have $R_\Delta(P_m; s) = 2s$ when m is sufficiently large. In addition to finding the least m where equality holds, it would also be interesting to know the s-colour degree Ramsey numbers for small paths. Since $P_3 = K_{1,2}$, Theorem 2.2 yields $R_\Delta(P_3; s) = s$, so the first nontrivial problem is for P_4. When H is bipartite, an s-edge-colouring that avoids P_4 is simply a decomposition of H into star-forests (forests in which each component is a star). The minimum number of star-forests needed to decompose H is the *star-arboricity* of H. The star-arboricity was determined for regular complete bipartite graphs by Egawa, Urabe, Fukuda and Nagoya [11].

Lemma 4.5 ([11]). *When $s \geqslant 4$, the star arboricity is $s + 1$ for both $K_{2s-3,2s-3}$ and $K_{2s-2,2s-2}$.*

Although [11] claims this result only for $s \geqslant 5$, in fact their elegant counting argument to show that $K_{2s-3,2s-3}$ does not decompose into s star-forests is valid also for $s = 4$.

Theorem 4.6. *If $s \geqslant 1$, then $R_\Delta(P_4; s) \geqslant s + 1$, with equality when $s \leqslant 4$. If $s \geqslant 4$, then $R_\Delta(P_4; s) \leqslant 2s - 3$.*

Proof. If $R_\Delta(P_4; s) \leqslant s$, then among the graphs with maximum degree s whose s-edge-colourings all have a monochromatic copy of P_4, choose H with fewest vertices. For $v \in V(H)$, there is an s-edge-colouring f of $H - v$ having no monochromatic copy of P_4. Since $\Delta(H) \leqslant s$, each neighbour w of v in H has degree at most $s - 1$ in $H - v$, and hence some colour does not yet appear at w. Extend f to H by choosing such a colour for the edge vw. All monochromatic subgraphs containing v are stars, and without v there is no monochromatic copy of P_4, so H in fact does not force P_4.

The general upper bound is immediate from Lemma 4.5 when $s \geqslant 4$. Since the star-arboricity of $K_{2s-3,2s-3}$ is $s + 1$, every s-edge-colouring of $K_{2s-3,2s-3}$ fails to decompose it into star-forests and hence has a monochromatic P_4.

The upper bound $s + 1$ is trivial for $s = 1$, since $P_4 \subseteq K_{2,2}$. For $s = 2$, a 2-edge-colouring of $K_{3,3}$ has five edges in some colour. A bipartite graph avoiding P_4 is a forest of stars, but the largest forest of stars in $K_{3,3}$ has four edges.

For $s = 3$, consider a 3-edge-colouring of $K_{4,4}$. There are 16 edges, so some colour (say red) is used on at least six edges. A forest of stars with six edges on eight vertices has only two components and hence must be $2K_{1,3}$. Now the subgraph in the remaining two colours contains $K_{3,3}$, which forces P_4 as shown above. $\square$

Lemma 4.5 states that $K_{2s-4,2s-4}$ has an s-edge-colouring with no monochromatic P_4 (the proof is an explicit construction of such a colouring for $K_{2s-2,2s-4}$). That is, the s-colour bipartite Ramsey number of P_4 is $2s - 3$. Although we proved only $s + 1$ as a general lower bound, it may be that equality holds in $R_\Delta(P_4; s) \leqslant 2s - 3$ for $s \geqslant 4$.

Problem 4.7. *Determine $R_\Delta(P_4; s)$.*

Finally, we return to the *s*-colour setting to show that $H \xrightarrow{s} P_m$ for almost every graph with maximum degree at most 2*s*. Let $[n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Theorem 4.8. *Fix $m, s \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathcal{G}_n$ be the family of graphs with vertex set $[n]$ and maximum degree at most 2s. With $\mathcal{F}_n = \{H \in \mathcal{G}_n : H \xnrightarrow{s} P_m\}$, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|\mathcal{F}_n|}{|\mathcal{G}_n|} = 0$.*

Proof. We first obtain a lower bound on $|\mathcal{G}_n|$. For each 2*s*-tuple $(M_1, \ldots, M_{2s})$ of perfect matchings on $[n]$, form a graph H by letting $E(H) = \bigcup M_i$, and give each edge uv the colour that is the set $\{j : uv \in M_j\}$. The number of ways to do this is z^{2s}, where z is the number of perfect matchings on $[n]$. Since

$$z = \prod_{j=1}^{n/2}(2j - 1) = \frac{n!}{2^{n/2}(n/2)!},$$

Stirling's Formula yields $z \sim \sqrt{2}\left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^{n/2}$. Dropping the $\sqrt{2}$, we observe that $z^{2s} > e^{sn\ln(n/e)}$ for sufficiently large *n*. The resulting structures consist of a graph in $\mathcal{G}_n$ with each edge coloured by a subset of $[2s]$, and there are at most *ns* edges. Hence

$$|\mathcal{G}_n| > \frac{e^{sn\ln(n/e)}}{4^{s^2 n}} \geqslant e^{sn\ln(n/e) - (s^2\ln 4)n} \geqslant e^{sn\ln n - \alpha n},$$

where α is a constant.

Now we obtain an upper bound on $|\mathcal{F}_n|$. Let *c* be the maximum number of vertices in a graph with maximum degree at most 2*s* and diameter less than $m - 1$. For each graph $H \in \mathcal{F}_n$, some *s*-edge-colouring of H witnesses $H \xnrightarrow{s} P_m$. Every slice in this colouring has at most *c* vertices, since otherwise there is a monochromatic P_m. With H we associate this edge-colouring as a code; it is a decomposition of H into spanning subgraphs $(H_1, \ldots, H_s)$ such that $E(H_i)$ is the set of edges with colour *i*. As we have noted, each component of each H_i has at most *c* vertices. Also, distinct graphs in $\mathcal{F}_n$ have distinct codes.

We bound $|\mathcal{F}_n|$ by bounding the number of codes that can be formed. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be the set of all graphs having vertex set $[n]$, maximum degree at most 2*s*, and components with at most *c* vertices. We have $|\mathcal{F}_n| \leqslant |\mathcal{Q}|^s$.

We bound $|\mathcal{Q}|$ by building such a graph H' in three steps. First we specify a composition of *n* to record the numbers of vertices in the components of H'. That is, we specify positive integers $n_1, \ldots, n_k$ with sum *n* such that n_i is the number of vertices in the component of H' containing the least vertex of $[n]$ that is not in the components indexed earlier. It is well known that there are 2^{n-1} compositions of *n*. We use only those whose parts are at most *c*, so there are at least n/c parts.

Next we record the distribution of vertices to components. To describe a graph with *k* components, we form a word of length $n - k$ from the characters in $[k]$, using $n_i - 1$ copies of *i* for each $i \in [k]$. For *i* from 1 to *k*, the vertices in the *i*th component are the least vertex not yet distributed plus the $n_i - 1$ vertices among the remainder whose relative position in the remaining word contains the character *i*. We only use words such

that each character appears fewer than c times, but in any case there are at most $n^{n-n/c}$ such words, totalled over all choices of k. We write the bound as $e^{(1-1/c)n\ln n}$.

Finally, we record adjacencies within components. For each vertex v, we list its neighbours by recording a $2s$-tuple $(u_1, \ldots, u_{2s})$, where each u_j is a neighbour of v or is a dummy symbol 0. Many $2s$-tuples designate the same set of neighbours, but in any case there are at most c^{2s} possible $2s$-tuples for each vertex once the vertices have been distributed to components.

Multiplying all choices for the three steps, we have

$$|\mathcal{Q}| \leqslant 2^{n-1} \cdot e^{(1-1/c)n\ln n} \cdot c^{2sn} \leqslant e^{(n-1)\ln 2 + (1-1/c)n\ln n + 2sn\ln c}.$$

Now $|\mathcal{F}_n| \leqslant e^{(1-1/c)sn\ln n + \beta n}$, where β is a constant. Thus

$$\frac{|\mathcal{F}_n|}{|\mathcal{G}_n|} \leqslant e^{-(s/c)n\ln n + (\alpha+\beta)n} \to 0. \qquad \square$$

5. Cycles

Since $C_3 = K_3$, the value of $R_\Delta(C_3; s)$ follows from the result of Burr, Erdős and Lovász [8] that $R_\chi(G; s) = R(\text{Hom}(G); s)$, where $\text{Hom}(G)$ is the family of homomorphic images of G. If $H \xrightarrow{s} G$, then $\chi(H) \leqslant \Delta(H) + 1$, so $R_\Delta(G; s) \geqslant R_\chi(G; s) - 1$. Since every homomorphic image of K_n contains K_n, it follows that $R_\chi(K_n; s) = R(K_n; s)$, and hence $R_\Delta(K_n; s) \geqslant R(K_n; s) - 1$. Since $K_{R(K_n; s)} \xrightarrow{s} K_n$, equality holds. In particular, $R_\Delta(C_3; 2) = 5$ and $R_\Delta(C_3; 3) = 16$.

It appears that $R_\Delta(C_n; s)$ behaves quite differently for odd and even n. The following Lemma is well known; Harary, Hsu and Miller [16] noted the special case $k = 2$.

Lemma 5.1. *If $\chi(H) \leqslant k^s$, then H decomposes into s graphs that are k-colourable.*

Proof. In a proper colouring f of H, encode the colours as k-ary s-tuples. Assign the edges of H to subgraphs $H_1, \ldots, H_s$ by putting uv in some H_i such that the colours of u and v differ in coordinate i. Each H_i is now properly coloured by the values in the ith coordinate of f. $\qquad \square$

Proposition 5.2. *If $s \geqslant 2$ and $k \geqslant 1$, then $R_\Delta(C_{2k+1}; s) \geqslant 2^s + 1$.*

Proof. If $2 < \Delta(H) \leqslant 2^s$ and H does not contain K_{2^s+1}, then H is 2^s-colourable (using Brooks' Theorem when $\Delta(H) = 2^s$). Hence H decomposes into s bipartite subgraphs, by Lemma 5.1. Therefore, $H \xrightarrow{s} C_{2k+1}$.

It remains to consider $H = K_{2^s+1}$. For $s = 2$, the edges of K_5 can be 2-coloured to avoid any monochromatic fixed odd cycle. For $s > 2$, we proceed by induction on s. Partition the vertex set of K_{2^s+1} into two cliques, one of size $2^{s-1} + 1$ and one of size 2^{s-1}. Colour all edges between the cliques red. Use the other $s - 1$ colours to colour the edges within the cliques inductively while avoiding C_{2k+1}. $\qquad \square$

A result in bipartite Ramsey theory combines with Proposition 5.2 to show a qualitative difference between C_4 and odd cycles. Carnielli and Monte Carmelo [9] proved $\lim_{s\to\infty} \frac{B(C_4;s)}{s^2} = 1$, where $B(G;s) = \min\{d : K_{d,d} \xrightarrow{s} G\}$. Thus $B(C_4;s)$ grows quadratically in s. Since $R_\Delta(G;s) \leqslant B(G;s)$, it follows that $R_\Delta(C_4;s)$ grows at most quadratically in s. In contrast, Theorem 5.2 shows that the s-colour degree Ramsey number of any odd cycle grows at least exponentially in s.

Lower bounds for even cycles are weaker. The technique involving chromatic numbers does not help, because large complete bipartite graphs are 2-chromatic but force long even cycles, by the bipartite Ramsey theorem. The easiest way to avoid monochromatic long even cycles in a decomposition is to avoid all cycles. The *arboricity* of a graph G, denoted $\Upsilon(G)$, is the minimum number of forests in a decomposition of G.

Proposition 5.3. *If $k \geqslant 1$, then $R_\Delta(C_{2k};s) \geqslant 2s$.*

Proof. Let G be a graph with $\Delta(G) \leqslant 2s - 1$. By a famous result of Nash-Williams [20],

$$\Upsilon(G) = \max_{H \subseteq G} \left\lceil \frac{|E(H)|}{|V(H)| - 1} \right\rceil \leqslant \max_{H \subseteq G} \left\lceil \frac{\frac{1}{2}(2s - 1)|V(H)|}{|V(H)| - 1} \right\rceil \leqslant s$$

so G decomposes into s forests. The resulting s-edge-colouring yields $R_\Delta(C_{2k};s) \geqslant 2s$. □

For $s = 2$, we have $R_\Delta(C_4;s) \geqslant 4$. Beineke and Schwenk [5] showed that $K_{5,5} \to C_4$, so $R_\Delta(C_4;2) \leqslant 5$. We will show that equality holds. It suffices to prove $R_\Delta(C_4;2) > 4$. We will prove the stronger statement that for any graph G with $\Delta(G) \leqslant 4$, some 2-edge-colouring of G avoids both C_3 and C_4. We will reach a contradiction by studying a smallest counterexample G. We show that such a graph G cannot contain various induced subgraphs, ultimately showing that G contains no triangle and no induced subgraph containing a 4-cycle.

Before proceeding, we need a lemma. We use $H \cdot e$ to denote the graph obtained from a graph H by contracting edge e.

Lemma 5.4 (Contraction Lemma). *Let H be a graph with $\Delta(H) \leqslant 4$. Let uv be an edge of H in no triangle, such that $d(u) + d(v) \leqslant 6$. If $H \cdot uv$ has a 2-edge-colouring avoiding C_3 and C_4, then choosing either colour for uv yields a 2-edge-colouring of H that avoids C_3 and C_4.*

Proof. We need only consider monochromatic triangles and 4-cycles through uv. There are none of the former, since uv lies on no triangles. With either colour on uv, there are none of the latter, since they would contract to monochromatic triangles in $H \cdot uv$. □

The subgraph of G induced by a vertex set S is denoted $G[S]$.

Theorem 5.5. $R_\Delta(C_4;2) = 5$.

Figure 2. (a) G is K_4-free. (b) G is 4-regular.


Figure 3. (a) G is $K_{1,2,2}$-free. (b, c) G is K_4^--free.

Proof. As mentioned earlier, it suffices to prove that every graph with maximum degree at most 4 has a 2-edge-colouring that avoids both C_3 and C_4. Let G be a smallest counterexample. We reach a contradiction by excluding various induced subgraphs from G.

In Figures 2–6, the colours for the edges are solid and bold; dashed edges may have either of these colours. Vertices joined by dotted lines are nonadjacent. Every graph with fewer vertices than G has a solid/bold edge-colouring with no monochromatic C_3 or C_4; call this a *good colouring*. Often we will obtain a good colouring of G by 'extending' a good colouring of an induced subgraph $G - S$ or a graph H that is not a subgraph of G; in the latter case, edges of H that are not in G are dropped. The proof of validity of the extension is always that every monochromatic cycle in the resulting edge-colouring of G is at least as long as some monochromatic cycle in the good colouring of the smaller graph, which is easily checked.

Step 1: G is K_4-free. Let S induce K_4. Extend a good colouring of $G - S$ by decomposing $G[S]$ into a solid P_4 and a bold P_4 and giving the edge leaving S at each vertex (if such an edge exists) the colour of the copy of P_4 having it as a leaf (see Figure 2).

Step 2: G is 4-regular. If $d(v) \leqslant 2$, then a good colouring of $G - v$ extends by using each colour on at most one edge at v. If $d(v) = 3$, then v has nonadjacent neighbours x and y, since G is K_4-free. Obtain H by adding xy to $G - v$. Extend a good colouring of H by

Figure 4.   G is triangle-free.

giving vx and vy the colour of xy in H and giving the third edge at v the opposite colour (see Figure 2).

Step 3: G is $K_{1,2,2}$-free. If G contains $K_{1,2,2}$ with vertex set S, then $G[S] = K_{1,2,2}$, since G is K_4-free. Extend a good colouring of $G - S$ by decomposing $G[S]$ into two copies of P_5 and giving to each edge leaving S the colour of the copy of P_5 ending there (Figure 3).

Step 4: G is K_4^--free, where K_4^- is the graph obtained from K_4 by deleting one edge. Such a subgraph must be induced, since G is K_4-free; let S be a vertex set such that $G[S] = K_4^-$. Let x and v be the nonadjacent vertices in S, with $\{u, w\} = S - \{x, y\}$.

If u and v have no common neighbours outside S, and w and x have no common neighbours outside S (see Figure 3(b)), then let $H = (G \cdot uv) \cdot wx$, and let e be the edge that $G[S]$ contracts into. Extend a good colouring of H by giving the path through x, u, w, v the same colour as e and giving the edges uw and wx the opposite colour.

If neither reduction of this type is available, then we may assume by symmetry (and maximum degree 4) that u and v have a common neighbour y, and v and w have a common neighbour z (see Figure 3(c)). Let $S = \{u, v, w, x, y, z\}$. The graph $G[S]$ contains no additional edges, since G is $K_{1,2,2}$-free. Extend a good colouring of $G - \{u, v, w\}$ by using one colour on $\{yu, uw, wx, vz\}$ and the opposite colour on the rest. Note that each monochromatic path in $G[S]$ joining two vertices of $\{x, y, z\}$ has length at least 3.

Step 5: G is C_3-free. Suppose that $G[S] = C_3$, where $S = \{w, x, y\}$. Since G is K_4^--free, no two vertices of S have another common neighbour. Let u and v be the neighbours of w outside S. We consider two cases, depending on whether $uv \in E(G)$.

If $uv \in E(G)$, then let $H = (G - w) \cdot xy$. Extend a good colouring of H by giving xy the opposite colour from uv (valid by the Contraction Lemma), then giving wx and wy the colour of uv and giving wu and wv the colour of xy (see Figure 4(a)). Monochromatic cycles through x, w, y are long enough for the usual reason; monochromatic cycles through u, w, v are long enough because u and v have no other common neighbour, since G is K_4^--free.

If $uv \notin E(G)$, then let $H = (G + uv - w) \cdot xy$. Extend a good colouring of H by giving $\{uw, wv, xy\}$ the same colour as uv and giving wx and wy the opposite colour (see Figure 4(b)). Again the Contraction Lemma allows us to colour xy arbitrarily, and for cycles through the other edges we have the usual reason.

Figure 5. G is $K_{2,3}$-free.

Step 6: G is $K_{2,3}$-free. Suppose that $G[S] = K_{2,3}$; let X and Y be the partite sets of $G[S]$, with $X = \{x, y\}$ and $Y = \{u, v, w\}$. Since G is C_3-free, vertices of S having a common neighbour outside S must both lie in X or both in Y. We consider cases depending on whether two vertices of Y have a common neighbour outside S. Let xz and yz' be the edges leaving S from X; possibly $z = z'$.

If u and v have no common neighbour outside S, then let $H = (G - X) \cdot wb$, where a and b are the neighbours of w outside S. Extend a good colouring of H by giving $\{ux, vx, yz', wb\}$ the same colour as wa and giving the opposite colour to the remaining edges incident to X (see Figure 5(a)). The Contraction Lemma allows us to colour wb as specified, and then all monochromatic cycles containing an edge incident to X must pass through u and v; these are long enough because u and v have no common neighbour outside S. The possibility of $z = z'$ is irrelevant in this case.

Therefore, we may assume that every two vertices in Y have a common neighbour. Possibly some vertex outside S is adjacent to all of Y. If there are two such vertices z and z', then let $S' = S \cup \{z, z'\}$; now $G[S'] = K_{4,3}$. In this case, extend a good colouring of $G - S'$ by decomposing $G[S']$ into two copies of P_7 and colouring the edges leaving S' with the colour of the P_7 whose endpoint they are adjacent to (see Figure 5(b)). It does not matter whether the vertices in $S' - Y$ have common neighbours outside S'.

If only one vertex outside S is adjacent to all of Y, call it z and let $S' = S \cup \{z\}$; now $G[S'] = K_{3,3}$ (see Figure 5(c)). Each vertex of S' has one neighbour outside S'. Since G is now $K_{4,3}$-free, we may assume that no pair in Y except possibly $\{u, w\}$ and no pair in $\{x, y, z\}$ except possibly $\{x, z\}$ has a common neighbour outside S'. Now extend a good colouring of $G - S'$ by making the edges leaving S' from Y and the path through u, z, v, x, w bold and making the edges leaving S' from $\{x, y, z\}$ and the remaining edges of $G[S']$ solid.

The final possibility is that each pair in Y has a common neighbour outside S, but no vertex outside S is adjacent to all of Y. Extend a good colouring of $G - S$ by decomposing the set of edges incident to S into two copies of $K_2 + T$, where T is the 7-vertex tree obtained by subdividing each edge of $K_{1,3}$ (see Figure 5(d)).

Figure 6.   G is C_4-free.

Step 7: *G does not contain* C_4. Since G is K_4-free and K_4^--free, any 4-cycle is an induced subgraph. If $G[S] = C_4$ for some S, then let the vertices be u, v, w, x in cyclic order. Since G is C_3-free and $K_{2,3}$-free, no two vertices of S have a common neighbour outside S. Let v' and v'' be the neighbours of v outside S, and let w' and w'' be the neighbours of w outside S.

Obtain H from $G - \{v, w\}$ by adding the edges $v'v''$ and $w'w''$ and contracting the edge ux. Note that $v'v'', w'w'' \notin E(G)$, since G is C_3-free. By the Contraction Lemma, when extending a good colouring of H we may give ux whichever colour we want. We give vv' and vv'' the colour of $v'v''$, and we give ww' and ww'' the colour of $w'w''$.

For the remaining edges, we consider two cases. If $v'v''$ and $w'w''$ have the same colour in H, then give that colour to ux and give the opposite colour to the rest of $G[S]$ (see Figure 6(a)). If $v'v''$ and $w'w''$ have opposite colours in H, then give the colour of $v'v''$ to vw and xw, and give the colour of $w'w''$ to xu and vu (see Figure 6(b)). By the usual arguments, the resulting monochromatic cycles are long enough, using the fact that no two vertices of S have a common neighbour outside S.

Since G has neither a 3-cycle nor a 4-cycle, every 2-edge-colouring avoids both.   □

References

[1] Alon, N. (1996) Bipartite subgraphs. *Combinatorica* **16** 301–311.
[2] Alon, N., Ding, G., Oporowski, B. and Vertigan, D. (2003) Partitioning into graphs with only small components. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **87** 231–243.
[3] Beck, J. (1983) On size Ramsey number of paths, trees and cycles I. *J. Graph Theory* **7** 115–130.
[4] Beck, J. (1990) On size Ramsey number of paths, trees and cycles II. In *Mathematics of Ramsey Theory*, Vol. 5 of *Algorithms and Combinatorics*, Springer, pp. 34–45.
[5] Beineke, L. W. and Schwenk, A. J. (1975) On a bipartite form of the Ramsey problem. *Congress. Numer.* **15** 17–22.
[6] Bollobás, B., Saito, A. and Wormald, N. C. (1985) Regular factors of regular graphs. *J. Graph Theory* **9** 97–103.
[7] Brooks, R. L. (1941) On colouring the nodes of a network. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **37** 194–197.

[8] Burr, S., Erdős, P. and Lovász, L. (1976) On graphs of Ramsey type. *Ars Combinatoria* **1** 167–190.

[9] Carnielli, W. A. and Monte Carmelo, E. L. (1999) On the Ramsey problem for multicolor bipartite graphs. *Adv. Appl. Math.* **22** 48–59.

[10] Donadelli, J., Haxell, P. E. and Kohayakawa, Y. (2005) A note on the size-Ramsey number of long subdivisions of graphs. *RAIRO-Inf. Theor. Appl.* **39** 191–206.

[11] Egawa, Y., Urabe, M., Fukuda, T. and Nagoya, S. (1986) A decomposition of complete bipartite graphs into edge-disjoint subgraphs with star components. *Discrete Math.* **58** 93–95.

[12] Erdős, P., Faudree, R. J., Rousseau, C. C. and Schelp, R. H. (1978) The size Ramsey number. *Period. Math. Hungar.* **9** 145–161.

[13] Erdős, P. and Sachs, H. (1963) Reguläre Graphen gegebener Taillenweite mit minimaler Knotenzahl (in German). *Wiss. Z. Martin-Luther-Univ. Halle-Wittenberg Math.-Natur. Reihe* **12** 251–257.

[14] Folkman, J. (1970) Graphs with monochromatic complete subgraphs in every edge coloring. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **18** 19–24.

[15] Friedman, J. and Pippenger, N. (1987) Expanding graphs contain all small trees. *Combinatorica* **7** 71–76.

[16] Harary, F., Hsu, D. and Miller, Z. (1977) The biparticity of a graph. *J. Graph Theory* **1** 131–133.

[17] Jiang, T., Milans, K. G. and West, D. B. Degree Ramsey numbers for cycles and blowups of trees, submitted.

[18] Lubotzky, A., Phillips, R. and Sarnak, P. (1986) Explicit expanders, and the Ramanujan conjectures. In *Proc. 18th ACM STOC*, pp. 240–246.

[19] Morgenstern, M. (1994) Existence and explicit constructions of $(q+1)$-regular Ramanujan graphs for every prime power q. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **62** 44–62.

[20] Nash-Williams, C. St. J. A. (1964) Decomposition of a finite graph into forests. *J. London Math. Soc.* **39** 12.

[21] Nešetřil, J. and Rödl, V. (1976) The Ramsey property for graphs with forbidden complete subgraphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **20** 243–249.

[22] Petersen, J. (1891) Die Theorie der regulären Graphen. *Acta Math.* **15** 193–220.

[23] Ramsey, F. P. (1930) On a problem of formal logic. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **30** 264–286.

[24] Rödl, V. and Szemerédi, E. (2000) On size Ramsey numbers of graphs with bounded maximum degree. *Combinatorica* **20** 257–262.

[25] Thomassen, C. (1999) Two-coloring the edges of a cubic graph such that each monochromatic component is a path of length at most 5. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **75** 100–109.

[26] Vizing, V. G. (1964) On an estimate of the chromatic class of a p-graph. *Diskret. Anal.* **3** 25–30.

[27] Zhu, X. (1998) Chromatic Ramsey numbers. *Discrete Math.* **190** 215–222.

[28] Zhu, X. (2011) The fractional version of Hedetniemi's conjecture is true. *European J. Combin.* **32** 1168–1175.