X-ray powder diffraction analysis of a new magnesium chromate hydrate, MgCrO₄·11H₂O

A. Dominic Fortes,^{1,2,a)} and Ian G. Wood^{1,2}

¹Department of Earth Sciences, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom ²Centre for Planetary Sciences at UCL/Birkbeck, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom

(Received 13 December 2011; accepted 20 December 2011)

A new hydrate of magnesium chromate is synthesized by quenching aqueous solutions of MgCrO₄ in liquid nitrogen. MgCrO₄·11H₂O is isostructural with the rare mineral meridianiite (MgSO₄·11H₂O) being triclinic, $P\bar{1}$, Z=2, with unit-cell parameters $a=6.811\ 33(8)$ Å, $b=6.958\ 39(9)$ Å, c=17.3850(2) Å, $\alpha=87.920(1)^\circ$, $\beta=89.480(1)^\circ$, $\gamma=62.772(1)^\circ$, and V=732.17(1) Å³ at -15 °C. The difference in unit-cell parameters between SO₄- and CrO₄-bearing species is only partially accounted for by the difference in S–O and Cr–O bond lengths; the remainder of the difference (over 90% in the cell volume) is attributed to weakening of the interpolyhedral hydrogen-bond network. © 2012 International Centre for Diffraction Data. [doi:10.1017/S088571561200005X]

Key words: magnesium chromate, undecahydrate, meridianiite

I. INTRODUCTION

Crystalline hydrates with the formula $M^{2+}XO_4 \cdot nH_2O$ occur with a range of compositions, including isomorphous or isodimorphous series with $M^{2+} = Mg$, V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, and Cd, X = S, Se, Cr, Mo, and W, and *n* varying from 0 to 11 (e.g., Cotton et al., 1999). Hitherto, the only known compound with n = 11 was the cryohydrate MgSO₄·11H₂O, which occurs naturally in periglacial environments on Earth and perhaps globally on Mars as the mineral meridianiite (Peterson et al., 2007). This compound has a narrow range of stability in contact with aqueous solution, between a eutectic in the MgSO₄-H₂O system at -3.9 °C and an incongruent melting point at +2 °C. Although discovered 175 years ago (Fritzsche, 1837), this substance has received scant attention until recently, when its structure and anisotropic thermal expansion have been determined (Peterson and Wang, 2006; Fortes et al., 2008). Given the extensive range of substitution, involving both the divalent metal cations and divalent oxyanions, observed in all lower hydrates, it is interesting from a chemical crystallographic perspective to establish whether analogues of meridianiite exist with different compositions. In a pair of companion papers, Fortes et al. (2012a, 2012b) reported a systematic study of cation doping, involving replacement of Mg²⁺ with Mn²⁺, Fe²⁺, Co²⁺, Ni²⁺, Cu²⁺, and Zn²⁺, in which no end-member analogues of meridianiite were observed. However, the study determined the substitution limits of these ions in the meridianiite structure, characterized the chemically induced elastic strain, and discovered two new hydrates. The objective of this paper is to report a parallel study of oxyanion doping, starting with the investigation of a possible MgCrO₄ end member.

The MgCrO₄–H₂O system has been characterized from 0 to 75 °C (Hill *et al.*, 1940), exhibiting solubility curves for a pentahydrate and a heptahydrate. No investigations are known at lower temperature, which might reveal the existence

of another hydrate. The pentahydrate was first characterized by Wyrouboff (1890) and found to be isomorphous with CuSO₄·5H₂O; later analysis by X-ray single-crystal diffraction methods showed that it is isostructural with the CuSO₄ and MgSO₄ pentahydrates (Bertrand *et al.*, 1971; Baur and Rolin, 1972). The heptahydrate was described by Kopp (1842) as being isomorphous with orthorhombic MgSO₄·7H₂O. X-ray crystallographic analysis confirmed that the two compounds share the same space group, $P2_12_12_1$ (Westenbrink, 1926), and it is likely that they are isostructural, although there appears to be no refinement of the MgCrO₄·7H₂O structure in the literature. Fock (1880) reported refractive indices as a function of composition across the series MgSO₄·7H₂O–MgCrO₄·7H₂O.

In previous studies, we produced MgSO₄·11H₂O in one of two ways. As the solubility is known, we were able to grow large single crystals from saturated aqueous solutions kept below 0 °C for several days. However, we also produced polycrystalline mixtures of MgSO₄·11H₂O + ice by flash freezing concentrated solutions in liquid nitrogen. In the absence of solubility data for the MgCrO₄–H₂O system below 0 °C, the most effective method of making an initial survey is to use the quenching technique. As information is obtained in this fashion, it will allow us to progress in the future to equilibrium crystal growth experiments.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

A. Sample preparation

Magnesium chromate hydrate (Alfa Aesar 40503, MgCrO₄·xH₂O, 99.8% metals basis, CAS 16569-85-0) was dissolved in ACS reagent grade water (Sigma Aldrich 320072, CAS 7732-18-5). A substantial quantity of insoluble material was filtered, and the remaining liquid, with a concentration later determined to be ~18 wt% MgCrO₄, was evaporated to a concentration of ~30 wt% MgCrO₄ at 60 °C using a magnetic stirrer hot-plate; higher temperatures lead to decomposition of the chromate. A pipette filled with this aqueous MgCrO₄ solution was taken into the UCL Department of Earth Sciences cold room facility (at an air temperature of

https://doi.org/10.1017/S088571561200005X Published online by Cambridge University Press

^{a)}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Electronic mail andrew.fortes@ucl.ac.uk

Table I. Atomic coordinates (x, y, and z) and isotropic thermal displacement parameters (U_{iso}) for the heavy atoms in MgCrO₄·11H₂O.^a

MgCrO	₄ ·11H ₂ O. Space gro	oup Pī		
<i>a</i> (Å) 6.811 327(75)		α (°)	87.9201(12)	
b (Å)	6.958 385(85)	β (°)	89.4803(12)	
c (Å)	17.385 04(23)	γ (°)	62.7724(7)	
	x	У	z	$U_{\rm iso} (\times 100 \text{ Å}^2)$
Mg1	1.0	0.0	0.0	4.6(4)
Ow1	0.6581(2)	0.1854(13)	0.0084(6)	1.3(1)
Ow2	0.9780(17)	-0.2619(10)	0.0546(5)	1.3(1)
Ow3	1.0413(16)	0.1052(14)	0.1070(2)	1.3(1)
Mg2	1.0	0.0	0.5	4.6(4)
Ow4	1.1527(15)	-0.1051(14)	0.3942(3)	1.3(1)
Ow5	0.8180(14)	-0.1700(14)	0.4854(6)	1.3(1)
Ow6	0.7498(11)	0.2725(9)	0.4445(5)	1.3(1)
Cr	0.4070(7)	0.3184(8)	0.2026(3)	4.9(3)
01	0.2938(17)	0.3385(18)	0.1179(3)	1.3(1)
O2	0.2117(13)	0.4441(14)	0.2650(4)	1.3(1)
O3	0.5442(15)	0.0628(7)	0.2301(6)	1.3(1)
O4	0.5768(15)	0.4260(15)	0.1998(7)	1.3(1)
Ow7	0.3156(21)	0.4060(20)	0.4208(7)	1.3(1)
Ow8	0.6047(19)	-0.2391(20)	0.1159(6)	1.3(1)
Ow9	0.5562(19)	-0.1516(21)	0.3616(7)	1.3(1)
Ow10	0.8311(20)	0.4439(20)	0.3136(7)	1.3(1)
Ow11	1.0005(20)	-0.0975(20)	0.2473(6)	1.3(1)

^aSee also the supplementary CIF data.

-10 to -12 °C) and added drop-wise to liquid nitrogen held in a steel cryomortar. Once frozen, the 2–6-mm-diameter spherules were ground to a powder using a nitrogen-cooled steel pestle.

B. X-ray powder diffraction

X-ray powder diffraction data were collected on a PANanalytical X'Pert Pro multipurpose powder diffractometer (using germanium monochromated Co $K\alpha_1$ radiation, $\lambda = 1.788$ 996 Å, and an X'Celerator multi-strip detector) equipped with a thermoelectrically cooled cold stage (Wood *et al.*, 2012). This portable cold stage was stored in a chest freezer at -30 °C while the powder specimen was prepared, and then placed on a bed of dry-ice pellets during loading.



Figure 1. (Color online) Diffraction pattern of the quenched hydrate + ice specimen measured at -15 °C. Filled circular symbols (red) are the measured data and the solid line (green) represents the calculated intensity. Tick marks beneath the pattern are for MgCrO₄·11H₂O (upper set) and ice Ih (lower set). The difference profile is the solid line (purple) below the tick marks. Asterisks (in blue) indicate the locations of the strongest contaminant peaks attributable to MgCrO₄·7H₂O.

The bright yellow powder was transferred to the cold stage with a nitrogen-cooled spoon and the surface was flattened with the spoon handle, forming a top-loaded pressed powder specimen. The cover and fan assembly of the cold stage were screwed into place, with the body of the stage still embedded in dry ice, and then the apparatus was moved quickly from the cold room to the X-ray diffraction laboratory. The power supply to the Peltier element and cooling fans was connected and the stage was screwed onto the diffractometer mounting bracket. With loadings at dry-ice temperature, the duralumin body of the cold stage typically becomes heavily frosted, but this melts over ~30 mins as waste heat from the Peltier element is dumped into the heat-sink fins. Once the specimen temperature has stabilized, and the measurement has begun, the stage is entirely free of ice and moisture.

Diffraction patterns were integrated in steps of 0.0167° in the 2θ range 5–90°; the active length of the X'Celerator detector (2.122°) means that the chosen dwell time of 175 s per step translated into an overall measurement time of 1 h 57 min. Four scans of this length were collected (total time = 7 h 47 min) and subsequently summed. This long integration time was used to overcome the high background resulting from fluorescence of Cr when illuminated by Co X-rays. Between each scan, the doors of the instrument housing were opened and cool air was blown in using a desk fan. Data were collected with variable divergence and receiving slits, converted to fixed-slit geometry with the proprietary X'Pert Pro HighScore Plus software package, and exported in an appropriate format for analysis in the GSAS/Expgui package (Larsen and Von Dreele, 2000; Toby, 2001).

III. RESULTS

Inspection of the first diffraction scan revealed Bragg reflections from water ice (phase Ih) and a set of additional peaks that strongly resembled the diffraction pattern of MgSO₄·11H₂O (see, e.g., Figure 2 in Wood et al., 2012). The first 15 peaks of the non-ice phase were indexed using DICVOL06 (Boultif and Louër, 2004), yielding a triclinic solution with unit-cell dimensions a = 6.8120 Å, b = 6.9566 Å, c = 17.3961 Å, $\alpha = 87.929^{\circ}$, $\beta = 89.500^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 62.771^{\circ}$, and $V = 732.51 \text{ Å}^3$, and the figures of merit M(15) = 119.0(de Wolff, 1968) and F(15) = 245.4 (0.0024, 25) (Smith and Snyder, 1979). This unit cell is similar to that of MgSO₄·11H₂O (see Table II), and we therefore hypothesized that the non-ice component was the chromate-analogue, MgCrO₄·11H₂O, the first example of an 11-hydrate isostructural with the mineral meridianiite that contains an alternative cation or anion species.

We tested the hypothesis by carrying out Rietveld refinement of a CrO₄-substituted meridianiite model against the complete 7.79-h integrated dataset. The sample temperature of -15 °C was used to obtain appropriate unit-cell parameters for the ice component in the specimen, from a linear interpolation of the values tabulated for H₂O ice Ih by Röttger *et al.* (1994). With the ice unit-cell parameters fixed, the specimen height and transparency (SHFT and TRNS parameters in GSAS peak shape function 3) were refined, these quantities being constrained to undergo equal shifts for both phases in the refinement. Sample scale, phase fraction, and 10 background coefficients were also refined, followed by the specimen unit-cell parameters and the Lorentzian "microstrain"

Table II. Unit-cell parameter of MgSO₄- and MgCrO₄-bearing pentahydrates and undecahydrates, and the relative change produced by replacing S with Cr.

	MgSO ₄ ·5H ₂ O ^a	$MgCrO_4 \cdot 5H_2O^b$	Δ (%)	MgSO ₄ ·11H ₂ O ^c	MgCrO ₄ ·11H ₂ O ^d	Δ (%)
a (Å)	6.314(5)	6.384	+1.11	6.748 95(13)	6.811 327(75)	+0.92
b (Å)	10.565(18)	10.702	+1.30	6.817 68(13)	6.958 385(85)	+2.06
c (Å)	6.030(6)	6.115	+1.41	17.2993(3)	17.385 04(23)	+0.50
α (°)	81.1(2)	81.55	+0.55	88.118(2)	87.9201(12)	-0.22
β (°)	109.8(2)	108.75	-0.96	89.467(2)	89.4803(12)	+0.01
γ (°)	105.08(5)	104.33	-0.71	62.712(1)	62.7724(7)	+0.10
$V(Å^3)$	362.3	382.3	+5.52	707.002(15)	732.169(9)	+3.56

^aBaur and Rolin (1972).

^bBertrand et al. (1971)—uncertainties not given in original work.

^cFortes et al. (2012b).

^dThis work.

peak profile parameter, LY. At this point, it was clear that a simple substitution of Cr for S in the meridianiite structure gave a good fit to the observations.¹ Given the complexity of the structure, atomic coordinates were only refined after a series of bond distance and bond angle restraints were entered; the values used were intended to produce regular CrO₄ tetrahedra with Cr–O bond lengths of 1.64 Å and regular MgO_6 octahedra with Mg–O bond lengths of 2.09 Å. These values are typical of other MgCrO₄-bearing crystals (e.g., Bertrand et al., 1971). After refinement of all atomic coordinates (except Mg1 and Mg2, which are on special positions) and of isotropic thermal displacement parameters, the χ^2 value for the fit was 4.924, with weighted and unweighted profile R-factors (including the background) of 0.0359 and 0.0246, respectively. Table I reports the final structural parameters of the title substance: further details of this refinement are contained in a Crystallographic Information File included in the electronic supplement to this article; the reader may inspect the diffraction data using the freely available pdCIFplot software (Toby, 2003). The fit to the diffraction data is shown in Figure 1. Several additional very weak peaks, the strongest of which are indicated in Figure 1 with asterisks, were attributed to the orthorhombic heptahydrate, MgCrO₄·7H₂O. The refined phase fraction of MgCrO₄·11H₂O was found to be 68.4(2) wt%, which corresponds to a bulk composition of 28.35(7) wt% MgCrO₄, in good agreement with the composition of the starting liquid.

IV. DISCUSSION

The increases in unit-cell dimensions on substitution of SO_4^{2-} by CrO_4^{2-} in both the pentahydrate and the undecahydrate are relatively large (Table II). In work on substitution of the divalent metal cation in MgSO₄·11H₂O, Fortes *et al.* (2012a, 2012b) observed a range of effects, from substantial unit-cell inflation on doping with Mn²⁺ to a large unit-cell deflation on doping with Ni²⁺. Westenbrink (1926) reported only a very small difference in unit-cell parameters between MgSO₄·7H₂O and MgCrO₄·7H₂O; in contrast, however, the molar volume of MgCrO₄·7H₂O as computed from the specific gravities measured by Kopp (1842) is 2.8% larger than that of MgSO₄·7H₂O. Hence, the volumetric strains caused by replacement of SO₄²⁻ by CrO₄²⁻ are roughly similar

(~3–5%) for the pentahydrates, heptahydrates, and undecahydrates. As observed in earlier work on cation substitution, the chemically induced strain caused by anion exchange in the undecahydrate is highly anisotropic—much more so than in the pentahydrate—and the most strained direction is along the *b*-axis. This direction is perpendicular to the corrugated sheets in the MgSO₄·11H₂O structure, which are connected by a mixture of single and bifurcated hydrogen bonds (Fortes *et al.*, 2008).

Although the volume of the chromate tetrahedron $(V = 2.25 \text{ Å}^3)$ is 38% greater than the volume of the sulfate tetrahedron $(V = 1.63 \text{ Å}^3)$, this ΔV contributes only 2.482 Å³ to the 25.167 Å³ difference in unit-cell volume between MgSO₄·11H₂O and MgCrO₄·11H₂O reported in Table II (i.e., ~9.5% of the total). Evidently, the chromate ion has a much greater effect on its environment than its intrinsic volumetric contribution. We believe that changes in electron density around the chromate ion act to weaken the interpolyhedral hydrogen-bond network (Figure 2), and thereby cause an additional inflation of the MgCrO₄·11H₂O unit cell. *Ab initio* calculations reveal differences in the ability of various



Figure 2. (Color online) The structure of MgCrO₄·11H₂O, illustrating the interpolyhedral network of hydrogen-bonded water molecules. $Mg(H_2O)_6$ octahedra are colored green and the CrO₄ tetrahedra are colored yellow. The O–O connections are shown as solid or dashed gray rods merely for ease of viewing. Note the prevalence of pentagonal rings in the network of hydrogen-bonded water molecules surrounding the metal ions.

¹ Corrections for the substantial anomalous dispersion of Cr illuminated by Co $K\alpha$ radiation, $\Delta f'$ and $\Delta f''$, were adopted after Cooper (1963).

tetrahedral anions, including CrO_4^{2-} and SO_4^{2-} , to influence the structure of surrounding aqueous networks (Hinteregger *et al.*, 2010), and the measurement of neutron single-crystal diffraction data from both MgCrO₄ heptahydrate and undecahydrate is desirable to characterize the details of any structural changes, particularly in the hydrogen-bond network.

V. CONCLUSION

We have discovered the first new hydrate of magnesium chromate to be reported for over a century, an undecahydrate that is isostructural with the sulfate analogue, $MgSO_4 \cdot 11H_2O_2$. The chromate has a substantially larger unit cell than the sulfate; less than 10% of this volume difference can be attributed directly to the greater volume of the CrO_4^{2-} tetrahedron, and it is likely that the ionic substitution also leads to a change in the relative strength of some or all of the hydrogen bonds linking the coordination polyhedra. We have recently established that a selenate analogue of meridianiite, MgSeO₄·11H₂O, can also be formed in the same fashion as the chromate analogue reported in this work, despite the apparent lack of a stability in the solubility data of Meyer and Aulich (1928). However, this result might be expected given the similarity in dimensions of these tetrahedral oxyanions (Se-O average = 1.639 Å, Kolitsch, 2002). It remains to be seen whether the molybdate ion (MoO₄²⁻, Mo–O average = 1.756 Å, Bars *et al.*, 1977) can also form analogues of the MgSO₄·11H₂O structure.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

ADF acknowledges financial support from the Science and Technology Facilities Council, Fellowship number PP/ E006515/1.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS AND METHODS

The supplementary material refered to in this paper can be found online at journals.cambridge.org/pdj.

- Bars, P. O., Le Marouille, J. Y., and Grandjean, D. (1977). "Etude de chromates, molybdates et tungstates hydratés. I. Etude structural de MgMoO₄:5H₂O," Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B 33, 1155–1157.
- Baur, W. and Rolin, J. L. (1972). "Salt hydrates. IX. The comparison of the crystal structure of magnesium sulfate pentahydrate with copper sulfate pentahydrate and magnesium chromate pentahydrate," Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B 28, 1448–1455.
- Bertrand, G., Dusausoy, Y., Protas, J., and Watelle-Marion, G. (1971). "Détermination de la structure du chromate de magnésium pentahydraté. Influence du facteur structural sur une déshydratation," C. R. Hebd. Acad. Sci. C 272, 530–534.
- Boultif, A. and Louër, D. (2004). "Powder pattern indexing with the dichotomy method," J. Appl. Cryst. 37, 724–731.

- Cooper, M. J. (1963). "Dispersion corrections for X-ray scattering of atoms for Ag *K*α and Co *K*α radiations," Acta Crystallogr., 16, 1067–1069.
- Cotton, F. A., Wilkinson, G., Marillo, C. A., and Bochman, M. (1999). Advanced Inorganic Chemistry (John Wiley & Sons, New York), 6th ed.
- de Wolff, P. M. (1968). "A simplified criterion for the reliability of a powder pattern indexing," J. Appl. Cryst. 5, 108–113.
- Fock, A. L. (1880). "Ueber die aenderung der brechsungsexponenten isomorpher mischungen mit deren chemischer zusammensetzung," Z. Krist. 4, 583–608.
- Fortes, A. D., Browning, F., and Wood, I. G. (2012a) "Cation substitution in synthetic meridianiite (MgSO₄·11H₂O) I: X-ray powder diffraction analysis of quenched polycrystalline aggregates," Phys. Chem. Min. (In press).
- Fortes, A. D., Browning, F., and Wood, I. G. (**2012b**) "Cation substitution in synthetic meridianiite ($MgSO_4$ ·11H₂O) II: Variation in unit-cell parameters determined from X-ray powder diffraction data," Phys. Chem. Min. (In press).
- Fortes, A. D., Wood, I. G., and Knight, K. S. (2008). "The crystal structure and thermal expansion tensor of MgSO₄·11D₂O (meridianiite) determined by neutron powder diffraction," Phys. Chem. Min. 35, 207–221.
- Fritzsche, C. J. (1837). "Ueber eine neue verbindung der schwefelsauren talkerde mit wasser," Ann. Phys. Chem. 42, 577–580.
- Hill, A. E., Soth, G. C., and Ricci, J. E. (1940). "The systems magnesium chromate-water and ammonium chromate-water from 0 to 75°," J. Am. Chem. Soc. 62, 2131–2134.
- Hinteregger, E., Pribil, A. B., Hofer, T. S., Randolf, B. R., Weiss, A. K. H., and Rode, B. M. (2010). "Structure and dynamics of the chromate ion in aqueous solution. An ab initio QMCF-MD simulation," Inorg. Chem. 49, 7964–7968.
- Kolitsch, U. (2002). "Magnesium selenate hexahydrate, MgSeO₄·6H₂O," Acta Crystallogr., Sect. E 58, i3–i5.
- Kopp, H. (1842). "Notiz über einige chromsaure salze," Liebig's Ann. Chem. 42, 97–103.
- Larson, A. C., and Von Dreele, R. B. (2000). General Structure Analysis System (GSAS) (Report, LAUR 86-748). Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico.
- Meyer, J., and Aulich, W. (1928). "Zur kenntnis der doppelsalze der selensäure," Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 172, 321–343.
- Peterson, R. C. and Wang, R. (2006). "Crystal molds on Mars: melting of a possible new mineral species to create Martian chaotic terrain," Geology 34, 957–960.
- Peterson, R. C., Nelson, W., Madu, B., Shurvell, H. F. (2007). "Meridianiite: a new mineral species observed on Earth and predicted to exist on Mars," Am. Min. 92, 1756–1759.
- Röttger, K., Endriss, A., Ihringer, J., Doyle, S., and Kuhs, W. F. (1994). "Lattice constants and thermal expansion of H₂O and D₂O ice Ih between 10 and 265 K," Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B 50, 644–648.
- Smith, G. S., and Snyder, R. L. (1979). "F_N: a criterion for rating powder diffraction patterns and evaluating the reliability of powder-pattern indexing," J. Appl. Cryst. 12, 60–65.
- Toby, B. H. (2001). "EXPGUI, a graphical user interface for GSAS," J. Appl. Cryst. 34, 210–213.
- Toby, B. H. (2003). "CIF applications. XII. Inspecting Rietveld fits from pdCIF: pdCIFplot," J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 1285–1287.
- Westenbrink, H. G. K. (1926). "The space-groups of the rhombic and monoclinic heptahydrates of the sulphates of the bivalent metals," Proc. Sect. Sci. K. Akad. Weten. Amsterdam 29, 1223–1232.
- Wood, I. G., Hughes, N., Browning, F., and Fortes, A. D. (2012). "A compact transportable, thermoelectrically-cooled cold stage for reflection geometry X-ray powder diffraction," J. Appl. Cryst. (In press).
- Wyrouboff, G. (**1890**). "Sur la forms crystalline de quelques sels," Bull. Soc. Français Min. **12**, 69–76.