

## Callous–unemotional traits and autistic psychopathy

Viding *et al* (2007) made no reference to autistic psychopathy (Asperger, 1944) nor did any of the other papers in Supplement 49 on assessment risk and outcome in severe personality disorder. The severe unempathic conduct and aggression problems were well recognised by Asperger (1944) and overlap with what Viding *et al* (2007) describe as ‘more severe, aggressive, and stable pattern of antisocial behaviour and a specific neurocognitive profile indicative of defects in affect processing’. This is precisely what children (and adults) with autistic psychopathy and antisocial behaviour demonstrate (Fitzgerald, 2001, 2003).

**Asperger, H. (1944)** Die ‘autistischen Psychopathen’ im Kindesalter. *Archiv für Psychiatrie und Nervenkrankheiten*, **117**, 76–136.

**Fitzgerald, M. (2001)** Autistic psychopathy. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, **40**, 870.

**Fitzgerald, M. (2003)** Callous–unemotional traits and Asperger’s syndrome? *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, **42**, 1011.

**Viding, E., Frick, P. J. & Plomin, R. (2007)** Aetiology of the relationship between callous–unemotional traits and conduct problems in childhood. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **190** (suppl. 49), s33–s38.

**M. F. Fitzgerald** Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Trinity College, Dublin, Ireland. Email: FitzM@ol.ie

doi: 10.1192/bjp.191.3.265

**Authors’ reply:** Asperger’s use of the term psychopathy refers to personality disorder/psychopathology rather than to psychopathy as defined by current criteria. Recent research carried out with colleagues indicates that although there are individuals who have the neurocognitive profile associated with both autistic-spectrum disorders and psychopathy, most individuals with autistic-spectrum disorders (even those with antisocial behaviour) do not show neurocognitive deficits characteristic of psychopathy (Rogers *et al*, 2006). More importantly, a case review of 177 cases originally diagnosed by Asperger found no raised incidence of criminal offences compared with rates in the general population (Hippler & Klicpera, 2003). It is clear that there are individuals with Asperger’s syndrome/autistic-spectrum disorder who commit crimes (Baron-Cohen, 1988; Scragg & Shah, 1994). However, Asperger’s

psychopathy does not equal psychopathy as defined by current practice.

**Baron-Cohen, S. (1988)** An assessment of violence in a young man with Asperger’s syndrome. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, **29**, 351–360.

**Hippler, K. & Klicpera, C. (2003)** A retrospective analysis of the clinical case records of ‘autistic psychopaths’ diagnosed by Hans Asperger and his team at the University Children’s Hospital, Vienna. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, **358**, 291–301.

**Rogers, J. S. C., Viding, E., Blair, R. J. R., et al (2006)** Autism spectrum disorder and psychopathy: shared cognitive underpinnings or double hit? *Psychological Medicine*, **36**, 1789–1798.

**Scragg, P. & Shah, A. (1994)** Prevalence of Asperger’s syndrome in a secure hospital. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **165**, 679–682.

**E. M. Viding** Department of Psychology, University College London, London, UK. Email: e.viding@ucl.ac.uk

doi: 10.1192/bjp.191.3.265a

## Diagnostic stability: clinical v. research

Baca-Garcia *et al* (2007) highlight some of the important issues related to current nosological systems but other issues need consideration. They voice their concern that with such a high degree of diagnostic instability, the validity of epidemiological, clinical and pharmacological research is questionable. However, in most studies appropriate diagnostic schedules and interviews are used for assessment of patients and a high degree of diagnostic stability has been shown for patients assessed in this manner (Tsuang *et al*, 1981; Schimmelmann *et al*, 2005).

Baca-Garcia *et al* (2007) did not discuss factors such as the level of qualification and number of years of experience in psychiatry of the evaluators, whether the patients were evaluated by the same or different assessors at each visit, the place (i.e. in-patient, out-patient, emergency setting) of first contact, the mean duration of contact, etc., which can influence diagnostic stability. It is also not clear whether at each follow-up proper diagnostic evaluations of patients were performed before diagnosis was recorded.

Furthermore, diagnosis was recorded using ICD–9 codes, but clinicians were using the ICD–10 classification system and this might have led to errors in conversions and reconversions. Although Baca-Garcia *et al* reported that clinicians entered one or two diagnoses at the time

of evaluation, they have not presented any data regarding comorbidity. Furthermore, when we compare the ‘diagnosis received in at least 76% of evaluations’ the diagnostic stability in the emergency setting was more than in the out-patient setting for all disorders except eating disorders. This perhaps reflects the likelihood of the evaluators recording the previous diagnosis rather than doing a complete diagnostic evaluation in the emergency setting.

Baca-Garcia *et al* raise issues which are common in day-to-day practice and highlight the fact that the proper evaluation of the patient requires use of appropriate diagnostic schedules and obtaining information from all possible sources. It is inappropriate to conclude from the study that our diagnostic systems and all research based on this nosological system are flawed.

**Baca-Garcia, E., Perez-Rodriguez, M. M., Basurte-Villamor, I., et al (2007)** Diagnostic stability of psychiatric disorders in clinical practice. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, **190**, 210–216.

**Schimmelmann, B. G., Conus, P., Edwards, J., et al (2005)** Diagnostic stability 18 months after treatment initiation for first-episode psychosis. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, **66**, 1239–1246.

**Tsuang, M. T., Woolson, R. F. & Crowe, R. R. (1981)** Stability of psychiatric diagnosis. Schizophrenia and affective disorders followed up over a 30- to 40-year period. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, **38**, 535–539.

**P. P. Das** Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, India. Email: drparthapratimdas@yahoo.com

**S. Grover** Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, India  
doi: 10.1192/bjp.191.3.265b

**Authors’ reply:** Our article reports on diagnoses of real patients in the real world and hence variability ranges and the diagnostic process may be affected by factors such as psychiatrist or practice characteristics.

Regarding the question of whether full assessments were performed at each visit, we believe that practitioners tend not to update diagnoses if there is no salient clinical change. We hypothesised that clinicians would be less likely to change diagnoses, biasing the data against our reported finding.

Perhaps the most compelling point is that not all diagnoses were unstable. Thus, it is more likely that our findings reflect inconsistencies in our nosological