

# Non-pulmonic sounds in European languages: Introduction

**Adrian P. Simpson**

Institut für Germanistische Sprachwissenschaft, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena  
*adrian.simpson@uni-jena.de*

Varieties of English and German are not generally associated with non-pulmonic sound production. Unlike languages that have non-pulmonic sounds as part of their regular phonological inventories, clicks, ejectives and percussives in English or German have received little systematic attention. Thus, for instance, in Catford's (1977) seminal introduction to phonetics, English ejectives get only passing mention:

In English they occasionally occur as the realization of final [p, t, k] in pathological speech, and in some northern English dialects. (Catford 1977: 70)

However, in recent years, systematic descriptions of the forms and functions of non-pulmonic sounds, especially in English and German, have slowly been growing (e.g. Fuchs, Koenig & Winkler 2007; Simpson 2007, in press; Wright 2007, 2011a, b; Ogden 2009). Possibly one of the most interesting recent developments is the apparent increase in the prevalence of ejectives in a number of varieties of English (Simpson, in press). This themed issue of the *Journal of the International Phonetic Association* represents a contribution to the growing body of research in this area by bringing together five papers which use a range of different observational and instrumental techniques to examine form, function and distribution of sounds produced with glottalic and lingual airstream mechanisms in English and German. The papers by Gordeeva & Scobbie and McCarthy & Stuart-Smith look at different aspects of ejectives in Scottish English. While Gordeeva & Scobbie examine intriguing questions of the complex glottal mechanisms at work, McCarthy & Stuart-Smith address sociophonetic distributional issues. The remaining three studies concentrate on sound production using a lingual airstream mechanism. Fuchs & Rodgers look at intraoral air pressure changes in a variety of different consonant sequences in German, continuing a line of work begun in Marchal (1987) with an examination of intraoral pressure changes in French consonant sequences. Different aspects of the intricate interactional work carried out by clicks and percussives in English are described in detail in the paper by Ogden. Finally, the study by Gold, French & Harrison analyses data from the DyViS corpus (Nolan et al. 2009) to show how the shape and occurrence of clicks might be exploited in a forensic phonetic context.

## References

- Catford, J. C. 1977. *Fundamental problems in phonetics*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.
- Fuchs, Susanne, Laura L. Koenig & Ralf Winkler. 2007. Weak clicks in German? *16th International Congress of the Phonetic Sciences (ICPhS XVI)*, Saarbrücken, 449–452.

- Marchal, Alain. 1987. Des clics en français? *Phonetica* 44, 30–37.
- Nolan, Francis, Kirsty McDougall, Gea de Jong & Toby Hudson. 2009. The DyViS database: Style-controlled recordings of 100 homogeneous speakers for forensic phonetic research. *International Journal of Speech, Language and the Law* 16(1), 31–57.
- Ogden, Richard. 2009. *An introduction to English phonetics*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.
- Simpson, Adrian P. 2007. Acoustic and auditory correlates of non-pulmonic sound production in German. *Journal of the International Phonetic Association* 37(2), 173–182.
- Simpson, Adrian P. In press. Ejectives in English and German – linguistic, sociophonetic, interactional, epiphenomenal? In Chiara Celata & Silvia Calamai (eds.), *Advances in sociophonetics*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Wright, Melissa. 2007. Clicks as markers of new sequences in English conversation. *16th International Congress of the Phonetic Sciences (ICPhS XVI)*, Saarbrücken, 1069–1072.
- Wright, Melissa. 2011a. On clicks in English talk-in-interaction. *Journal of the International Phonetic Association* 41(2), 207–229.
- Wright, Melissa. 2011b. The phonetics–interaction interface in the initiation of closings in everyday English telephone calls. *Journal of Pragmatics* 43(4), 1080–1099.