



ARTICLE

# Recent *versus* lifetime experiences of discrimination and the mental and physical health of older lesbian women and gay men

Anthony Lyons<sup>1\*</sup> , Beatrice Alba<sup>1</sup>, Andrea Waling<sup>1</sup> , Victor Minichiello<sup>1,2</sup>, Mark Hughes<sup>3</sup>, Catherine Barrett<sup>4</sup>, Karen Fredriksen-Goldsen<sup>5</sup>, Samantha Edmonds<sup>6</sup> and Michelle Blanchard<sup>7,8</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Australian Research Centre in Sex, Health and Society, School of Psychology and Public Health, La Trobe University, Melbourne, Australia, <sup>2</sup>School of Justice, Faculty of Law, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Australia, <sup>3</sup>School of Arts and Social Sciences, Southern Cross University, Gold Coast, Australia, <sup>4</sup>Alice's Garage, Melbourne, Australia, <sup>5</sup>School of Social Work, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA, <sup>6</sup>Silver Rainbow, National LGBTI Health Alliance, Sydney, Australia, <sup>7</sup>SANE Australia, Melbourne, Australia and <sup>8</sup>Anne Deveson Research Centre, Melbourne, Australia

\*Corresponding author. Email: [a.lyons@latrobe.edu.au](mailto:a.lyons@latrobe.edu.au)

(Accepted 1 October 2019; first published online 30 October 2019)

## Abstract

This study examines the potential health-related impact of recent *versus* lifetime experiences of sexual orientation discrimination among older Australian lesbian women and gay men. In a nationwide survey, a sample of 243 lesbian women and 513 gay men aged 60 years and over reported on their experiences of sexual orientation discrimination and their mental and physical health, including psychological distress, positive mental health and self-rated health. Among both lesbian women and gay men, recent discrimination uniquely predicted lower positive mental health after adjusting for experiences of discrimination across the lifetime and socio-demographic variables. In addition, recent discrimination uniquely predicted higher psychological distress among gay men. Experiences of discrimination over the lifetime further predicted higher psychological distress and poorer self-rated health among gay men after adjusting for recent experiences of discrimination and socio-demographic variables. However, there were no associations between lifetime discrimination and any of the outcome variables among lesbian women. Overall, recent and lifetime experiences of sexual orientation discrimination were related to mental and physical health in different ways, especially among the men. These findings have potential implications for policy/practice, and suggest that distinguishing between recent and lifetime experiences of discrimination may be useful when assessing potential health-related impacts of sexual orientation discrimination among older lesbian women and gay men, while also taking account of differences between these two groups.

**Keywords:** gay men; lesbian women; ageing; discrimination; wellbeing

## Introduction

Compared to the general population, lesbian women and gay men have poorer mental health outcomes on a range of variables including depression, anxiety and suicidal thoughts (Bradford *et al.*, 1994; Paul *et al.*, 2002; Mills *et al.*, 2004; Conron *et al.*, 2010; Chakraborty *et al.*, 2011; Plöderl and Tremblay, 2015), as well as poorer physical health (Conron *et al.*, 2010). Research has shown that these negative outcomes are linked to experiences of sexual orientation discrimination (Mays and Cochran, 2001; Mills *et al.*, 2004; Kelleher, 2009; Kuyper and Fokkema, 2011; Burns *et al.*, 2012; Lea *et al.*, 2014; Baams *et al.*, 2015).

While lesbian women and gay men of any age can experience sexual orientation discrimination, its impact on those who are older may be different for two reasons. One reason is that as well as potentially experiencing recent discrimination, older people are more likely to have accumulated a greater number of experiences of discrimination over their lifetime compared to younger people. The other reason is that older gay men and lesbian women lived through a time when stigma and prejudice regarding their sexuality was far greater than it is today, at least in most Western nations, where the law not only failed to recognise their rights but in many cases made homosexual acts an illegal and criminal offence (Fredriksen-Goldsen and Muraco, 2010; Fredriksen-Goldsen *et al.*, 2015; Lyons *et al.*, 2015; Yarns *et al.*, 2016). Experiences related to sexual orientation discrimination might also be further compounded by ageist beliefs that older people lack the capacity for sex or should not be having sex (Heywood *et al.*, 2019). Also, the context in which discrimination occurs may be different for older people compared to younger people, such as discrimination in aged care settings. Therefore, it is important to examine the impact of both recent and lifetime sexual orientation discrimination, since the latter may have a more significant or potentially enduring impact in this population.

Both the cumulative effect of a lifetime of sexual orientation discrimination and more recent experiences of discrimination are likely to impact on wellbeing. This is clearly articulated by Minority Stress Theory, which outlines how the discrimination and prejudice that lesbian women and gay men are exposed to can lead to poorer mental health through chronic or repeated stress (Meyer, 2003). Another pertinent ecological model, integrating a lifecourse perspective, is the Health Equity Promotion Model (Fredriksen-Goldsen *et al.*, 2014, 2017a, 2017b, 2017c), which explains how health outcomes are influenced by both positive and negative pathways, which themselves intersect with structural and individual factors. These structural factors include ways that marginalisation and exclusion occur at the societal and institutional level, such as through legislation, policy and stigmatising cultural norms. At the individual level, these factors include personal experiences of discrimination, victimisation and abuse.

Both these structural and individual factors, as potential social determinants of health, intersect with health-promoting and adverse pathways, which include behavioural, social, psychological and biological mechanisms. Importantly, the model also includes lifecourse factors such as the role of the social and historical context in the lives of older lesbian women and gay men, given that they came of age during a time when homosexuality was far more marginalised than it is

today in most Western nations (Fredriksen-Goldsen and Muraco, 2010; Fredriksen-Goldsen *et al.*, 2015; Lyons *et al.*, 2015; Yarns *et al.*, 2016). In addition, because the model accounts for health-promoting pathways, it can explain more effectively both positive and negative health outcomes than the Minority Stress Model.

Researchers have found some support for the predictions made by Minority Stress Theory and the Health Equity Promotion Model among older lesbian women and gay men. These groups experience a higher risk of negative mental and physical health outcomes than older heterosexual adults (Valanis *et al.*, 2000; Fredriksen-Goldsen *et al.*, 2011, 2013b; Guasp, 2011; Gonzales and Henning-Smith, 2015; Yarns *et al.*, 2016; Hughes, 2017), in line with the research above on lesbian women and gay men of all ages. Furthermore, experiences of discrimination related to sexuality are known to be associated with poorer mental and physical outcomes among older lesbian women and gay men (D'Augelli and Grossman, 2001; Grossman *et al.*, 2001; Fredriksen-Goldsen *et al.*, 2013a, 2015, 2017b). Of course, this is not unique to those who identify as lesbian or gay. Other marginalised groups, such as those who identify as bisexual or are transgender, have also been found to have disproportionately higher rates of mental and physical health challenges, sometimes at rates higher than lesbian and gay adults (Fredriksen-Goldsen *et al.*, 2011; Jessup and Dibble, 2012; Jorm *et al.*, 2018). Research also suggests that discrimination impacts lesbian women and gay men differently, with some studies finding that the link between experiences of discrimination and poorer mental health outcomes was stronger for males than for females across a range of age groups (Grossman *et al.*, 2001; De Graaf *et al.*, 2006; Almeida *et al.*, 2009).

Given the links between experiences of sexual orientation discrimination and wellbeing in older lesbian women and gay men, it is important to examine more closely how the nature of discrimination relates to mental and physical health. Since older individuals may have accumulated a greater number of experiences of discrimination across their lifetime, it is necessary to examine the unique impact of lifetime discrimination in comparison to more recent experiences of discrimination. Doing so would allow policy makers, researchers, health professionals and support organisations to have a clearer understanding of the potential impact of discrimination over the lifetime on the current and future health of older lesbian women and gay men. Previous research on a nationally representative general population of older American adults found that everyday experiences of discrimination of any form had a more negative relationship with mental health compared to major lifetime experiences of discrimination (Ayalon and Gum, 2011). One study in the United States of America (USA) examined the association of both lifetime and what the authors referred to as day-to-day discrimination with the physical and mental health-related quality of life of LGBT older adults and found that only lifetime discrimination was negatively associated with both outcomes (Kim *et al.*, 2017). Their paper, however, did not assess whether there were gender differences in these relationships.

The associations between recent and lifetime discrimination and predictors may also vary across differing cultural contexts, and there are currently no studies we know of that have examined this distinction in Australia. Experiences of sexual orientation discrimination are of potential significance in this context, given that

Australia has been slow to legalise same-sex marriage compared to other developed countries (Johnson, 2015), which only occurred in December 2017. Furthermore, most previous studies conducted elsewhere have assessed experiences of discrimination over the lifetime (D'Augelli and Grossman, 2001; Grossman *et al.*, 2001; Fredriksen-Goldsen *et al.*, 2013a, 2015), or combining lifetime experiences and day-to-day discrimination (Fredriksen-Goldsen *et al.*, 2017b). Studies have also tended to focus on poorer mental health, such as symptoms of depression and anxiety, and less so on positive mental health. However, the absence of negative symptoms does not necessarily mean that an individual is feeling fulfilled or happy in life. It is therefore important to examine how experiences of discrimination might be related to positive mental health, and in particular whether more frequent experiences are linked to a lower likelihood of flourishing.

In this study, we investigated the unique relationships that recent and lifetime sexual orientation discrimination have with indicators of mental and physical health in a large sample of older lesbian women and gay men in Australia. While we acknowledge that some lesbian women identify as gay, we refer to lesbian and gay women as lesbian women in this paper as a shorthand. To examine a broad spectrum of mental health, we included not only negative mental health, such as depressive symptoms and anxiety, but also positive mental health, such as flourishing. We had two main aims. The first aim was to identify socio-demographic factors that are uniquely associated with recent discrimination and lifetime discrimination. The second aim was to identify the degree to which recent discrimination and lifetime discrimination are uniquely associated with specific aspects of mental and physical health. Throughout, we examined lesbian women and gay men separately to identify whether patterns varied between the two groups.

## Method

### Participants

Australian adults who identified as lesbian or gay aged 60 years and older completed a national survey of health and wellbeing. Data collection took place between August and December 2017. A total of 895 participants completed the survey, of whom 139 participants were excluded due to group sizes being too small for the purposes of this analysis. These included participants who indicated their gender as being transgender women ( $N = 35$ ), transgender men ( $N = 4$ ), or a gender identity other than male, female, transgender or did not specify ( $N = 16$ ). Also excluded for the purposes of the analysis in this paper were those who indicated they were bisexual ( $N = 48$ ) or indicated a sexual orientation other than lesbian, gay or bisexual, or did not specify ( $N = 56$ ). These groups can have a range of different experiences to lesbian women and gay men with regard to sexual orientation discrimination, and therefore would require dedicated, targeted analyses. Women who selected gay as their sexual orientation were re-coded to lesbian ( $N = 10$ ). We also excluded any participants who did not respond to any of our key variables. This left a final sample of 234 cisgender lesbian-identified women and 505 cisgender gay-identified men who were all aged between 60 and 85 years (mean = 65.97, standard deviation (SD) = 4.72).

## Measures

The survey examined numerous aspects of the lives of participants. Measures relevant to this article included the following.

### *Psychological distress*

We used the K10 Psychological Distress Scale (Kessler *et al.*, 2002). The K10 is a validated ten-item scale that is widely used and shown to identify cases of depression and anxiety, including in the Australian population (Andrews and Slade, 2001; Furukawa *et al.*, 2003) and among older Australians (Anderson *et al.*, 2013). Participants were asked 'During the past 30 days, about how often did...' before being presented with ten items such as '...you feel tired for no reason?' and '...you feel nervous'. Response options range from 1 (none of the time) to 5 (all of the time). Item scores were summed (ranging from 10 to 50) with high scores indicating greater levels of psychological distress. Internal reliability, measured using Cronbach's alpha, for the K10 in this study was  $\alpha = 0.92$ .

### *Positive mental health*

The Short Warwick Edinburgh Mental Well-Being Scale (SWEMWBS) was used to measure positive mental health (Stewart-Brown *et al.*, 2009) or the degree to which people are flourishing, and has been found to be similar in validity to the longer version of the scale (Fat *et al.*, 2017). Participants were asked to respond to seven items describing their feelings over the last two weeks (*e.g.* 'I have been feeling optimistic about the future'). The SWEMWBS is measured on a five-point scale ranging from 1 (none of the time) to 5 (all of the time). Item scores were summed to compute a total score ranging from 5 to 35, with higher scores indicating greater experiences of positive mental health. Internal reliability (Cronbach's alpha) for the SWEMWBS in this study was  $\alpha = 0.91$ .

### *General health*

Self-rated general health was measured using the question, 'In general, would you say your health is...' to rate their overall health (1 = poor, 2 = fair, 3 = good, 4 = very good, 5 = excellent). Research has shown that this single-item subjective measure reliably predicts actual physical health as measured through objective means (Idler and Benyamini, 1997; DeSalvo *et al.*, 2006).

### *Experiences of sexual orientation discrimination*

We took a broad approach to assessing experiences of discrimination in this study, specifically asking participants how often they felt they had been treated unfairly where they attributed this unfair treatment to their sexual orientation. Thus, our measure was subjective and self-reported. This is a common approach when assessing relationships between experiences of discrimination and health and wellbeing, as the subjective or psychological experience is an important factor. For lifetime discrimination, participants were asked, 'Thinking back across your lifetime, to what degree have you been treated unfairly as a direct result of your sexual orientation?' (1 = not at all, 2 = rarely, 3 = sometimes, 4 = often, 5 = very often). For recent experiences of discrimination, participants were asked, 'In the last 12 months, how often were you treated unfairly as a direct result of your sexual orientation?'

(1 = not at all, 2 = rarely, 3 = sometimes, 4 = often, 5 = very often). Both these variables were recoded into dichotomous variables, such that responses of 1 or 2 were coded as rarely or never, and responses from 3 to 5 were coded as sometimes or often.

### *Socio-demographic variables*

Participants were asked a range of socio-demographic questions. These included age, gender, sexual orientation, residential location (*i.e.* living in a capital city or inner-suburban, outer-suburban, regional (population 5,000 or more), rural or remote area), highest educational qualification, employment status (such as working full-time, part-time, casual, retired), pre-tax income, country of birth and their relationship status (*i.e.* have a relationship partner or not). A regional area in Australia was defined as one that exists outside the major state capital cities, and therefore comprises smaller cities or towns that are surrounded by rural areas.

### *Procedure*

Participants completed the survey either online or on paper. Study advertisements were distributed across a variety of platforms in order to reach a diverse sample. Paid advertisements were run through Facebook, and advertisements were also placed in LGBTI ageing and aged care newsletters. Relevant community organisations also promoted the survey to their contacts lists. Paper surveys were shared and promoted at a range of LGBTI seniors' events in Victoria, Australia, including at an LGBTI ageing conference in Melbourne. Attendees of this conference included a range of practitioners and support workers from health and community organisations, as well as academics and older LGBTI people from the general community. All study advertisements contained the web address for the online survey as well as details of how participants could request a paper copy of the survey. Paper surveys were returned to the research team using reply paid envelopes. All participants were informed that their responses were anonymous and approval for the study was granted by the La Trobe University Human Ethics Committee.

### *Statistical analysis*

We first compiled a sample profile by computing descriptive statistics for the socio-demographic variables conducted separately for men and women. We then conducted a series of regressions to examine relationships involving the study variables and recent and lifetime sexual orientation discrimination. In all regressions, we controlled for one type of discrimination when examining the other type. In other words, when examining recent discrimination, we used lifetime discrimination as a control variable, and *vice versa*. This allowed us to analyse and present results for lifetime and recent discrimination separately for clarity. We first focused on experiences of recent and lifetime discrimination as outcome variables and examined whether the socio-demographic variables predicted these measures. Multivariable logistic regressions were conducted separately for recent discrimination and lifetime discrimination. All socio-demographic variables were included in each regression to identify significant independent factors. We then focused

on experiences of recent and lifetime discrimination as predictor variables with the health measures as the outcome variables, *i.e.* psychological distress, positive mental health and self-rated health. For each discrimination variable, we conducted two sets of linear regressions. The first set did not make any adjustments for the socio-demographic variables. This allowed us to identify simple relationships between discrimination and the outcome variables. The second set involved adjustment for the socio-demographic variables, which allowed us to determine the extent to which demographic factors accounted for any associations between discrimination and the outcome variables, especially as demographic factors are often linked to health outcomes. Where a participant had missing data on a variable, they were excluded from the relevant analysis. All analyses were performed separately by gender and were conducted using Stata version 14.1 (StataCorp, College Station, TX).

## Results

### Sample profile

Almost all participants completed the survey online, with only eight completing it on paper. [Table 1](#) shows the sample profile, including descriptive data for all study variables. The largest proportion of participants was in the 60–64 years age group, with only about a fifth of the sample over the age of 70 years. More than a third of the men lived in a capital city or inner-suburban area (36.7%), whereas the women were more evenly distributed across the residential locations. The majority of women (59.9%) and almost half of the men (46.7%) had a university education, and slightly more than half the sample were retired (women = 51.9%, men = 57.5%). Most participants (women = 88.9%, men = 88.2%) had a pre-tax income between Aus \$20,000 and 99,999, and were born in Australia (women = 68.9%, men = 75.0%). The majority of the women (66.1%) and just over half of the men (50.9%) were in a relationship. Given that approximately 18.4 per cent of men and 17.4 per cent of women in Australia aged 65–74 years have a university undergraduate (bachelor) degree or above, our sample was more highly educated than the broader community of older people (Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2018). This is consistent with previous research from the USA which has found that older lesbian women and gay men tend to have a higher education than heterosexual older people, however, their incomes also tend to be similar to those of heterosexual older people (Fredriksen-Goldsen *et al.*, 2013b). A total of 20.9 per cent of women and 18.4 per cent of men reported sometimes or often experiencing recent sexual orientation discrimination and 61.5 per cent of women and 54.7 per cent of men reported sometimes or often experiencing sexual orientation discrimination in their lifetime.

### Socio-demographic variables associated with recent and lifetime sexual orientation

There were no gender differences in experiences of recent sexual orientation discrimination,  $\chi^2(1) = 0.66$ ,  $p = 0.42$ , although lifetime discrimination approached significance,  $\chi^2(1) = 3.09$ ,  $p = 0.08$ , with a slightly higher proportion of women reporting experiences of lifetime discrimination, as displayed in [Table 1](#). [Table 2](#)



Table 1. Sample profile

	Women		Men	
	N	%	N	%
Age:				
60–64	104	44.4	215	42.6
65–69	86	36.8	178	35.2
70+	44	18.8	112	22.2
Residential location:				
Capital city or inner-suburban	62	26.6	185	36.7
Suburban	58	24.9	131	26.0
Regional	62	26.6	132	26.2
Rural or remote	51	21.9	56	11.1
Education:				
Secondary or lower	37	15.8	133	26.3
Non-university tertiary	57	24.4	136	26.9
Undergraduate university degree	72	30.8	145	28.7
Postgraduate university degree	68	29.1	91	18.0
Employment status:				
Full-time	35	15.0	85	16.9
Part-time or casual	47	20.2	83	16.5
Retired	121	51.9	290	57.5
Other	30	12.9	46	9.1
Income (Aus \$):				
0–19,999	25	11.1	58	11.8
20,000–49,999	92	40.7	202	41.2
50,000–99,999	66	29.2	140	28.6
100,000+	43	19.0	90	18.4
Country of birth:				
Australia	157	68.9	372	75.0
Overseas	71	31.1	124	25.0
Relationship status:				
No relationship	77	33.9	242	49.1
Relationship	150	66.1	251	50.9
Discrimination in past year:				
Rarely or never	185	79.1	412	81.6
Sometimes or often	49	20.9	93	18.4

(Continued)



**Table 1.** (Continued.)

	Women		Men	
	N	%	N	%
Lifetime discrimination:				
Rarely or never	90	38.5	229	45.3
Sometimes or often	144	61.5	276	54.7
Mean psychological distress (SD)	15.99 (6.30)		15.80 (6.45)	
Mean positive mental health (SD)	26.79 (4.97)		26.87 (5.13)	
Mean self-rated health (SD)	3.26 (1.07)		3.39 (1.07)	

Notes: N = 739. SD: standard deviation.

displays the demographic variables that significantly predicted recent discrimination, while controlling for lifetime discrimination. For the women, more frequent recent experiences of discrimination were linked to being employed full-time ( $p = 0.04$ ) and having an income between Aus \$0 and 19,999 compared to \$100,000 and over ( $p = 0.03$ ). None of the socio-demographic variables were significantly linked to recent discrimination among the men. Table 3 reports the demographic variables that significantly predicted lifetime discrimination, while controlling for recent discrimination. Among women, more frequent experiences of lifetime discrimination were linked to living in a suburban area compared to a capital city or inner-suburban area ( $p = 0.02$ ) and having a tertiary education ( $p = 0.01$ ). For the men, more frequent experiences of lifetime discrimination were linked to not being in a relationship ( $p = 0.03$ ).

### **Recent sexual orientation discrimination and mental and physical health**

Table 4 displays the linear regressions for all outcome variables with recent sexual orientation discrimination as the predictor, first without socio-demographic adjustment and then with socio-demographic adjustment. Prior to adjusting for socio-demographic variables, recent discrimination significantly predicted greater psychological distress,  $F(1, 219) = 4.59$ ,  $p = 0.03$ , and lower positive mental health,  $F(1, 228) = 8.68$ ,  $p = 0.004$ , among the women, and greater psychological distress,  $F(1, 483) = 20.39$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ , lower positive mental health,  $F(1, 498) = 15.90$ ,  $p = 0.0001$ , and lower self-rated health,  $F(1, 501) = 7.91$ ,  $p = 0.005$ , among the men. After further adjusting for the socio-demographic variables, recent discrimination significantly predicted greater psychological distress,  $F(1, 434) = 23.00$ ,  $p < 0.001$ , lower positive mental health,  $F(1, 450) = 17.40$ ,  $p < 0.001$ , and lower self-rated health,  $F(1, 452) = 9.91$ ,  $p = 0.002$ , among the men, and lower positive mental health,  $F(1, 190) = 5.48$ ,  $p = 0.02$ , among the women. However, the association with psychological distress was no longer significant among the women. There were no significant links between recent discrimination and self-rated health in the unadjusted or adjusted results for the women.

**Table 2.** Recent sexual orientation discrimination as an outcome of demographic variables among Australian lesbian women and gay men aged 60+

	Women		Men	
	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i>	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i>
Age:		0.30		0.23
60–64	–		–	
65–69	1.51 (0.62, 3.70)		0.57 (0.29, 1.12)	
70+	0.56 (0.13, 2.30)		0.61 (0.25, 1.46)	
Residential location:		0.28		0.26
Capital city or inner-suburban	–		–	
Suburban	0.87 (0.25, 2.99)		1.47 (0.71, 3.05)	
Regional	1.51 (0.44, 5.19)		1.29 (0.65, 2.55)	
Rural or remote	2.37 (0.73, 7.76)		0.49 (0.17, 1.46)	
Education:		0.58		0.18
Secondary or lower	–		–	
Non-university tertiary	0.84 (0.20, 3.48)		1.51 (0.68, 3.36)	
Undergraduate university degree	0.45 (0.11, 1.86)		1.13 (0.51, 2.51)	
Postgraduate university degree	0.69 (0.16, 3.05)		2.35 (1.00, 5.52)	
Employment status:		0.04		0.70
Full-time	–		–	
Part-time or casual	0.09 (0.02, 0.50)		0.77 (0.30, 2.00)	
Retired	0.13 (0.02, 0.67)		0.61 (0.27, 1.40)	
Other	0.10 (0.01, 0.62)		0.73 (0.24, 2.19)	
Income (Aus \$):		0.03		0.53
0–19,999	–		–	
20,000–49,999	0.70 (0.18, 2.70)		1.01 (0.42, 2.40)	
50,000–99,999	1.18 (0.28, 5.04)		0.58 (0.21, 1.61)	
100,000+	0.11 (0.01, 0.90)		0.87 (0.29, 2.57)	
Country of birth:		0.50		0.74
Australia	–		–	
Overseas	1.34 (0.57, 3.16)		0.90 (0.47, 1.72)	
Relationship status:		0.17		0.77
No relationship	–		–	
Relationship	2.00 (0.75, 5.31)		1.09 (0.61, 1.97)	
N	211		471	

Notes: OR: odds ratio. CI: confidence interval. Results are from a multivariable logistic regression, conducted separately for the women and men. Lifetime discrimination was also included as a control variable.

**Table 3.** Lifetime sexual orientation discrimination as an outcome of demographic variables among Australian lesbian women and gay men aged 60+

	Women		Men	
	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i>	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i>
Age:		0.84		0.16
60–64	–		–	
65–69	1.26 (0.58, 2.71)		0.77 (0.47, 1.26)	
70+	1.06 (0.41, 2.79)		0.56 (0.31, 1.01)	
Residential location:		0.02		0.25
Capital city or inner-suburban	–		–	
Suburban	2.77 (1.05, 7.32)		0.61 (0.36, 1.04)	
Regional	0.62 (0.26, 1.49)		0.92 (0.54, 1.57)	
Rural or remote	1.11 (0.43, 2.84)		1.10 (0.55, 2.18)	
Education:		0.01		0.67
Secondary or lower	–		–	
Non-university tertiary	6.18 (1.89, 20.17)		1.13 (0.65, 1.99)	
Undergraduate university degree	6.44 (2.06, 20.14)		1.21 (0.71, 2.08)	
Postgraduate university degree	6.89 (2.13, 22.22)		0.84 (0.44, 1.58)	
Employment status:		0.28		0.66
Full-time	–		–	
Part-time or casual	1.84 (0.55, 6.21)		1.07 (0.51, 2.23)	
Retired	2.01 (0.60, 6.75)		1.20 (0.63, 2.27)	
Other	4.33 (0.99, 18.96)		1.78 (0.69, 4.59)	
Income (Aus \$):		0.78		0.86
0–19,999	–		–	
20,000–49,999	1.16 (0.35, 3.87)		0.79 (0.39, 1.58)	
50,000–99,999	0.73 (0.20, 2.77)		0.75 (0.35, 1.58)	
100,000+	0.77 (0.17, 3.46)		0.87 (0.37, 2.03)	
Country of birth:		0.43		0.16
Australia	–		–	
Overseas	1.34 (0.65, 2.78)		1.40 (0.87, 2.26)	
Relationship status:		0.29		0.03
No relationship	–		–	
Relationship	1.51 (0.70, 3.27)		0.61 (0.39, 0.95)	
N	211		471	

Notes: OR: odds ratio. CI: confidence interval. Results are from a multivariable logistic regression, conducted separately for the women and men. Recent discrimination was also included as a control variable.

**Table 4.** Outcome variables predicted by recent sexual orientation discrimination among Australian lesbian women and gay men aged 60+

	Discrimination		Regression results					
	Rarely or never	Sometimes or often	Unadjusted <sup>1</sup>			Adjusted <sup>2</sup>		
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	N	<i>b</i> (95% CI)	<i>p</i>	N	<i>b</i> (95% CI)	<i>p</i>
<b>Women:</b>								
Psychological distress	15.38 (6.07)	18.33 (6.65)	222	2.30 (0.18, 4.42)	0.03	200	2.04 (−0.15, 4.23)	0.07
Positive mental health	27.40 (4.71)	24.51 (5.29)	231	−2.41 (−4.02, −0.80)	0.004	208	−1.96 (−3.58, −0.33)	0.02
Self-rated health	3.31 (1.08)	3.06 (1.03)	234	−0.13 (−0.48, 0.23)	0.48	211	−0.09 (−0.46, 0.28)	0.62
<b>Men:</b>								
Psychological distress	14.90 (5.87)	19.84 (7.35)	486	3.49 (1.97, 5.01)	<0.001	452	4.01 (2.39, 5.63)	<0.001
Positive mental health	27.40 (4.99)	24.44 (5.12)	501	−2.53 (−3.77, −1.28)	<0.001	468	−2.73 (−4.01, −1.44)	<0.001
Self-rated health	3.48 (1.03)	2.98 (1.15)	504	−0.37 (−0.63, −0.11)	0.01	470	−0.43 (−0.69, −0.16)	0.002

Notes: SD: standard deviation. CI: confidence interval. Results are from linear regressions conducted separately for each outcome variable. All analyses controlled for lifetime discrimination. 1. Not adjusted for socio-demographic variables. 2. Adjusted for the following socio-demographic variables: age, residential location, education, employment status, income, country of birth and relationship status.

### **Lifetime sexual orientation discrimination and mental and physical health**

Table 5 displays the linear regressions for all outcome variables with lifetime sexual orientation discrimination as the predictor, first without socio-demographic adjustment and then with socio-demographic adjustment. Prior to adjusting for socio-demographic variables, lifetime discrimination significantly predicted lower self-rated health,  $F(1, 231) = 4.14, p = 0.04$ , among the women, and greater psychological distress,  $F(1, 483) = 22.25, p < 0.001$ , and lower self-rated health,  $F(1, 501) = 6.68, p = 0.01$ , among the men. After further adjusting for the socio-demographic variables, lifetime discrimination significantly predicted greater psychological distress,  $F(1, 434) = 12.78, p = 0.0004$ , among the men. However, the association with self-rated health was no longer significant for either the women or the men. There were no significant associations between lifetime discrimination and the outcome variables for women in the adjusted analyses.

### **Discussion**

This study examined how recent sexual orientation discrimination and discrimination over the lifetime predicted indicators of mental and physical health in older lesbian women and gay men in Australia. Analyses revealed some notable gender differences. After adjusting for socio-demographic variables and lifetime discrimination, recent discrimination was linked to higher psychological distress, lower positive mental health and lower self-rated health among gay men, but only lower positive mental health among lesbian women. On the other hand, lifetime discrimination predicted higher psychological distress after adjusting for socio-demographic variables and recent discrimination, but only among gay men. Lifetime discrimination did not predict any of the outcome variables among lesbian women. It therefore appears, at least based on the measures used in this study, that experiences of sexual orientation discrimination, whether recent or lifetime, have a greater unique relationship to wellbeing for older gay men than for older lesbian women. This is not to say that experiences of discrimination more generally are not linked to the wellbeing of older lesbian women, as studies show (D'Augelli and Grossman, 2001; Grossman *et al.*, 2001; Fredriksen-Goldsen *et al.*, 2013a, 2015, 2017b), but rather, distinguishing between recent and lifetime discrimination appears to provide more information about wellbeing outcomes among the men.

Our findings for gay men are in line with previous research that has found a link between lifetime experiences of sexual orientation discrimination and poorer wellbeing among older gay men (D'Augelli and Grossman, 2001; Grossman *et al.*, 2001; Fredriksen-Goldsen *et al.*, 2013a, 2015, 2017b). They are also consistent with research that suggests that the link between sexual orientation discrimination and wellbeing is stronger for males than for females (Grossman *et al.*, 2001; De Graaf *et al.*, 2006; Almeida *et al.*, 2009). However, unlike previous research, this study examined the unique effects of recent and lifetime discrimination. For gay men, both types of discrimination were linked to psychological distress, but only recent discrimination was uniquely linked to self-rated general health. Previous research suggests that experiences of sexual orientation discrimination across the lifetime among older lesbian women and gay men are linked to physical health

**Table 5.** Outcome variables predicted by lifetime sexual orientation discrimination among Australian lesbian women and gay men aged 60+

	Discrimination		Regression results					
	Rarely or never	Sometimes or often	Unadjusted <sup>1</sup>			Adjusted <sup>2</sup>		
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	N	<i>b</i> (95% CI)	<i>p</i>	N	<i>b</i> (95% CI)	<i>p</i>
<b>Women:</b>								
Psychological distress	14.73 (5.84)	17.22 (6.98)	222	1.70 (−0.07, 3.46)	0.06	200	1.27 (−0.50, 3.04)	0.16
Positive mental health	27.82 (4.80)	25.89 (5.16)	231	−1.24 (−2.60, 0.11)	0.07	208	−0.90 (−2.26, 0.46)	0.19
Self-rated health	3.45 (1.14)	3.09 (1.03)	234	−0.31 (−0.60, −0.01)	0.04	211	−0.17 (−0.48, 0.14)	0.28
<b>Men:</b>								
Psychological distress	13.70 (4.44)	17.51 (7.25)	486	2.82 (1.64, 1.97)	<0.001	452	2.17 (0.97, 3.38)	<0.001
Positive mental health	27.75 (4.83)	26.16 (5.23)	501	−0.83 (−1.79, 0.13)	0.09	468	−0.15 (−1.10, 0.81)	0.76
Self-rated health	3.60 (0.95)	3.22 (1.13)	504	−0.26 (−0.46, −0.06)	0.01	470	−0.19 (−0.39, −0.01)	0.07

Notes: OR: odds ratio. CI: confidence interval. Results are from linear regressions conducted separately for each outcome variable. All analyses controlled for recent discrimination. 1. Not adjusted for socio-demographic variables. 2. Adjusted for the following socio-demographic variables: age, residential location, education, employment status, income, country of birth and relationship status.

(Fredriksen-Goldsen *et al.*, 2013a, 2015, 2017b). Our findings may suggest that recent experiences of discrimination may be a stressor that has more immediate consequences to general physical wellbeing compared to those experienced over the lifetime. While our findings do not suggest that experiences of lifetime discrimination do not relate to self-rated general health, they do suggest that recent experiences of discrimination might be a stronger unique predictor of self-rated general health than lifetime discrimination. On the other hand, it is also possible that poorer physical health can put people in contact with services that expose them to experiences of discrimination, which may be even more likely for older gay men who are living with HIV. Similarly, lower positive mental health was only linked to recent experiences of discrimination among men and women. These results are broadly in line with Minority Stress Theory (Meyer, 2003) and the Health Equity Promotion Model (Fredriksen-Goldsen *et al.*, 2014, 2017a, 2017b, 2017c). While neither theory explicitly distinguishes between recent and lifetime discrimination, both suggest that the accumulation of stressors related to stigma and discrimination can impact health. Our findings are consistent in that experiences of discrimination were uniquely related to poorer health overall. However, our findings also provide additional detail in showing that recent and lifetime experiences of discrimination can be linked to health in different ways, and differently again for older lesbian women compared to older gay men.

A possible explanation for the gender difference is that, although we did not find gender differences in the reported frequency of experiences of sexual orientation discrimination, the severity or the types of discrimination experienced by gay men may have been different compared to lesbian women. Given that research has found that gay men report more experiences of sexual orientation discrimination than lesbian women when the measure includes acts of violence (Grossman *et al.*, 2001), it is possible that the nature of the discrimination that the gay men in our sample experienced was different to that experienced by the women. Scholars have noted that gay men's experiences of homophobia are much more visible and direct, particularly around sexual practices and the HIV/AIDS epidemic (Harry, 1990; Huebner *et al.*, 2004). This is often due to extreme homophobia, particularly in regard to gay men's sexual practices, such as the engagement in anal intercourse and outward affection, as well as subsequent concerns regarding valid expressions of masculinity (Connell, 1992; Adam, 1998; Coston and Kimmel, 2012). Gay men are thus highly likely to be targets of violence and outward discrimination because of their sexual orientation. By contrast, older lesbian women are often noted to have experienced a triple invisibility to their age, gender and sexual orientation (Brown, 2009), as well as the overall fetishisation of lesbian sexual activity for the heterosexual male gaze (Diamond, 2005). As such, it could be argued that such invisibility has resulted in lesbian women having less overt experiences of homophobia than gay men. However, it is worth noting that marginalisation can have other effects that can be just as damaging as overt experiences, such as internalised homophobia. However, further research that delves into specific types of discrimination experienced recently and across the lifetime would be needed to determine whether any of this is in fact the case.

It is also possible that older lesbians' primary experience of discrimination relates to their gender and/or age rather than their sexual orientation. Another



possible explanation is that lesbian women may have developed coping strategies across their lifetime, such as seeking social support, to help counter the effects of discrimination. Previous research has indeed found that lesbian women tend to have larger social networks than gay men (Grossman *et al.*, 2001). They are also likely to have encountered gender discrimination across their lifetime (Swim *et al.*, 2001), which may have prompted additional coping strategies that might be applied to their experience of sexual orientation discrimination. Indeed, many older lesbian women would have experienced the women's rights as well as the gay and lesbian rights movements, thus not only fighting for recognition of their sexual orientation, but also their gender identity (Vaccaro, 2009; McGovern and Vinjamuri, 2016). In addition, previous anti-homosexual legislation in Australia criminalised male homosexual activity, while overlooking female homosexual activity. This could further account for these gender differences by perhaps suggesting that the types of discrimination experiences have varied more greatly between recent times and other times across their lifetime for older gay men, which may make recent and lifetime discrimination more likely to serve as a unique predictor of their wellbeing. Again, however, these points are simply speculative and represent areas that could be investigated further to help explain our findings.

It is important to note that past research has shown that older lesbian women experience significant health disparities and have been found to have higher rates of disability and more chronic health conditions than older gay men (Fredriksen-Goldsen *et al.*, 2013b, 2017c, 2017d). This could be the result of the systemic and institutionalised downplaying of women's health issues more broadly (Tuana, 2006), with lesbian women experiencing additional discrimination factors due to their gender and sexual orientation. While chronic as well as acute stress has been identified as a mechanism linking discrimination to health (Williams *et al.*, 1997), it may be that stress models better account for the experiences of older gay men compared to older lesbian women. One study, for example, found that day-to-day discrimination was significantly associated with excessive drinking among older gay men (Bryan *et al.*, 2017), which has been found to be strongly linked to poor health. Interestingly, the same study identified a positive association between social support and adverse health behaviours and poor health outcomes among older lesbian women. Thus, the social network structures of older lesbian women may influence social support dynamics and community norms, which can result in adverse health outcomes in unique ways. Further studies are needed to understand the mechanisms that underlie such gender differences and the distinct mental and physical health trajectories of older lesbian women.

While previous research suggests that sexual orientation discrimination can affect the lives of older lesbian women and gay men in profound ways (D'Augelli and Grossman, 2001; Grossman *et al.*, 2001; Fredriksen-Goldsen *et al.*, 2013a, 2015, 2017b), our findings suggest that in some respects, discrimination may impact older gay men differently compared to older lesbian women. One exception is positive mental health. Recent discrimination predicted a lower likelihood of experiencing positive mental health among both the women and the men in our study. For women, in particular, recent sexual orientation discrimination was not significantly linked to distress, but it was linked to positive mental health. This suggests that discrimination may not always have implications for psychological distress, such as

depression or anxiety, but may nevertheless have potential implications for the extent to which a person may feel happy and satisfied in their lives, or experience flourishing.

Overall, the differing patterns we found between the women and men in our study suggest that different strategies may be required when seeking to address experiences of discrimination. This is useful knowledge for support organisations, aged care services and policy makers interested in the health and wellbeing of older lesbian women and gay men. In particular, recent and lifetime experiences of sexual orientation discrimination may be predictors for potential current and future challenges in physical and mental health for older gay men, such as psychological distress and poorer overall health. Interventions designed to promote wellbeing in older gay men might focus on mitigating the negative impacts of current and past experiences of discrimination in particular. This is not to suggest, however, that discrimination does not have negative impacts on older lesbian women, and this was evident in our finding on positive mental health. It is also possible that discrimination impacts them in other ways, such as affecting their social networks (Grossman *et al.*, 2001; Fredriksen-Goldsen *et al.*, 2017b), or that their experience of discrimination relates more to their gender and/or age than sexual orientation. Indeed, as men and women age further, it is possible that age-related discrimination impacts more on their access to health and aged care services (Barrett *et al.*, 2015).

To assist further with targeting support strategies, we found several socio-demographic variables linked to recent and lifetime discrimination. For the women, more frequent recent sexual orientation discrimination was linked to being employed full-time and having a lower income, which may be due to the fact that the workplace and lower socio-economic status professions, in particular, may expose people to higher levels of sexual orientation discrimination. On the other hand, more frequent lifetime discrimination was associated with living in a suburban area compared to a capital city or inner-suburban area, which may be due to greater activity of the LGBTI communities taking place in inner-city areas. More frequent lifetime discrimination was also associated with having a tertiary education among women. Studies have found that lesbian women and gay men with a higher education are more likely to be open about their sexual orientation (Bariola *et al.*, 2015), which might explain their greater exposure to experiences of discrimination. For the men, more frequent lifetime discrimination was linked to not being in a relationship. This may be due to experiences of discrimination leading to social isolation, and thus the lower likelihood of meeting a partner, but would require further research to investigate.

Surprisingly, none of the demographic variables predicted recent discrimination among the men. This may be because sexual orientation discrimination is currently so widespread that it affects those from all walks of life, at least for the men. These gender differences in the patterns further suggest that discrimination, or the causes or reasons for being discriminated against, may not be experienced the same by both men and women, whether recently or across their lifetimes. In any case, the above patterns suggest that there are some groups that are more likely to report experiencing sexual orientation discrimination and this could be taken into account when considering who might be most vulnerable to the impact of discrimination.

One limitation of this study was that it involved convenience sampling rather than a fully representative or probabilistic sample. Unfortunately, there is no available census data in Australia on the demographic profile of the older lesbian and gay population. This makes it impossible to construct a representative sample, as the baseline is not known. However, our sample was relatively large and was rather demographically diverse. Furthermore, we controlled for demographic differences in assessing associations between experiences of discrimination and health and wellbeing variables, which gives greater confidence in the robustness of the results. That said, additional studies are needed that use a range of different sampling strategies to corroborate our findings before drawing firm conclusions.

This study also involved a cross-sectional design, which means that we cannot infer causality about experiences of discrimination and their impact on mental and physical health. Longitudinal studies would provide a better picture of the accumulative impact of discrimination over time, and its relationship to trajectories in mental and physical health over these periods of time. It is also possible that there may be other factors that impact this relationship, such as sexual identity disclosure. Individuals who have been open about their sexual identity for longer periods of time may be more likely to have experienced discrimination. Future research should examine this variable in relation to experiences of discrimination and wellbeing.

This study was also limited to older adults who identified as gay or lesbian. Research is needed that examines those with other sexual orientations, such as bisexual, pansexual or queer. Unfortunately, the numbers of participants in these groups were too small to permit any reliable analyses to be conducted. As mentioned earlier, these groups may have a range of different experiences related to discrimination. For example, some bisexual men and women report feeling marginalised by both the gay/lesbian communities as well as the broader mainstream community (Roberts *et al.*, 2015). It is therefore important to conduct separate analyses of these groups, and it is recommended that future work focuses on recruiting larger samples to facilitate this. Transgender or gender-diverse populations are also likely to have experienced considerable discrimination at stages in their lives (Fredriksen-Goldsen *et al.*, 2011), and studies examining these experiences in relation to health and wellbeing in older age would likewise be valuable.

It is also worth noting that this study only focused on the frequency of discrimination related to sexuality rather than individuals' total experience of discrimination, their experience related to other personal or group characteristics (*e.g.* gender, age, ethnicity), or the intersection of these experiences in different contexts. It will be important in future research to investigate the potential additional impact of differing types of discrimination and their association with mental and physical health and wellbeing. Along similar lines, we do not know how far back in time participants experienced discrimination over their lifetime, regardless of how long they have been open about their sexuality. For some, experiences of discrimination may have only commenced a couple of years ago, while for others it may have been mostly in their younger years. That said, it is likely that many participants were experiencing discrimination at various times in their lives. It is further possible that depending on life circumstances, some lesbian women and gay men may be more sensitive to the impact of discrimination. For example, those who are experiencing disabilities, such

as physical impairments, may be more aware of discrimination in general and could potentially experience sexuality-related discrimination, and other forms of discrimination, more acutely. Thus, in future, a finer-grained analysis would be valuable that involves examining the self-reported occurrence of discrimination over the lifetime and in relation to a range of life circumstances and contexts in more detail. Finally, our sample was fairly highly educated and may therefore be less representative of the broader lesbian and gay community. Gaining a broader sample may therefore be important in future research.

In conclusion, this study found that making a distinction between experiences of sexual orientation discrimination either recently or in the lifetime were uniquely associated with poorer mental and physical health among older gay men, but less so for older lesbian women. While both recent and lifetime experiences were each uniquely linked to poorer mental health for older gay men, recent experiences were additionally linked to poorer self-rated physical health. Among lesbian women, only recent experiences of discrimination were uniquely linked to their mental health and specifically to positive mental health. Our findings suggest that it is important to examine recent and lifetime experiences of discrimination separately, particularly when considering the potential relationship to physical health among older gay men. Policy makers, health professionals and support organisations can play an important role in addressing the effects of sexual orientation discrimination by considering the impact of these experiences when providing support and interventions, and recognising that the experience of sexual orientation discrimination and its links to health and wellbeing may have some differences between older lesbian women and older gay men.

**Acknowledgements.** We wish to acknowledge the following organisations for their input and feedback on this project: The National LGBTI Health Alliance, COTA Australia, Carers Australia and SANE Australia.

**Financial support.** This work was supported by the Australian Research Council (grant number LP160100221).

**Conflict of interest.** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

**Ethical standards.** This study was approved by the La Trobe University Human Ethics Committee.

## References

- Adam BD (1998) Theorizing homophobia. *Sexualities* **1**, 387–404.
- Almeida J, Johnson RM, Corliss HL, Molnar BE and Azrael D (2009) Emotional distress among LGBT youth: the influence of perceived discrimination based on sexual orientation. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence* **38**, 1001–1014.
- Anderson TM, Sunderland M, Andrews G, Titov N, Dear BF and Sachdev PS (2013) The 10-Item Kessler Psychological Distress Scale (K10) as a screening instrument in older individuals. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* **21**, 596–606.
- Andrews G and Slade T (2001) Interpreting scores on the Kessler psychological distress scale (K10). *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health* **25**, 494–497.
- Australian Bureau of Statistics (2018) *Education and Work Australia* (Cat. 6227.0). Available at <https://www.abs.gov.au/AUSSTATS/abs@nsf/DetailsPage/6227.0May%202018?OpenDocument>.
- Ayalon L and Gum AM (2011) The relationships between major lifetime discrimination, everyday discrimination, and mental health in three racial and ethnic groups of older adults. *Aging and Mental Health* **15**, 587–594.

- Baams L, Grossman AH and Russell ST** (2015) Minority stress and mechanisms of risk for depression and suicidal ideation among lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth. *Developmental Psychology* **51**, 688–696.
- Bariola E, Lyons A and Leonard W** (2015) The mental health benefits of relationship formalisation among lesbians and gay men in same-sex relationships. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health* **39**, 530–535.
- Barrett C, Whyte C, Comfort J, Lyons A and Cramer P** (2015) Social connection, relationships and older lesbian and gay people. *Sexual and Relationship Therapy* **30**, 131–142.
- Bradford J, Ryan C and Rothblum ED** (1994) National Lesbian Health Care Survey: implications for mental health care. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* **62**, 228–242.
- Brown MT** (2009) LGBT aging and rhetorical silence. *Sexuality Research and Social Policy* **6**, 65–78.
- Bryan AEB, Kim H-J and Fredriksen-Goldsen KI** (2017) Factors associated with high-risk alcohol consumption among LGB older adults: the roles of gender, social support, perceived stress, discrimination, and stigma. *The Gerontologist* **57**, S95–S104.
- Burns MN, Kamen C, Lehman KA and Beach SR** (2012) Minority stress and attributions for discriminatory events predict social anxiety in gay men. *Cognitive Therapy and Research* **36**, 25–35.
- Chakraborty A, McManus S, Brugha TS, Bebbington P and King M** (2011) Mental health of the non-heterosexual population of England. *British Journal of Psychiatry* **198**, 143–148.
- Connell RW** (1992) A very straight gay: masculinity, homosexual experience, and the dynamics of gender. *American Sociological Review* **57**, 735–751.
- Conron KJ, Mimiaga MJ and Landers SJ** (2010) A population-based study of sexual orientation identity and gender differences in adult health. *American Journal of Public Health* **100**, 1953–1960.
- Coston BM and Kimmel M** (2012) Seeing privilege where it isn't: marginalized masculinities and the intersectionality of privilege. *Journal of Social Issues* **68**, 97–111.
- D'Augelli A and Grossman A** (2001) Disclosure of sexual orientation, victimization, and mental health among lesbian, gay, and bisexual older adults. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence* **16**, 1008–1027.
- De Graaf R, Sandfort TG and ten Have M** (2006) Suicidality and sexual orientation: differences between men and women in a general population-based sample from the Netherlands. *Archives of Sexual Behavior* **35**, 253–262.
- DeSalvo KB, Bloser N, Reynolds K, He J and Muntner P** (2006) Mortality prediction with a single general self-rated health question. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* **21**, 267–275.
- Diamond LM** (2005) 'I'm straight, but I kissed a girl': the trouble with American media representations of female–female sexuality. *Feminism and Psychology* **15**, 104–110.
- Fat LN, Scholes S, Boniface S, Mindell J and Stewart-Brown S** (2017) Evaluating and establishing national norms for mental wellbeing using the short Warwick–Edinburgh Mental Well-being Scale (SWEMWBS): findings from the Health Survey for England. *Quality of Life Research* **26**, 1129–1144.
- Fredriksen-Goldsen KI, Bryan AE, Jen S, Goldsen J, Kim H-J and Muraco A** (2017a) The unfolding of LGBT lives: key events associated with health and well-being in later life. *The Gerontologist* **57**, S15–S29.
- Fredriksen-Goldsen KI, Emler C A, Kim H-J, Muraco A, Erosheva EA, Goldsen J and Hoy-Ellis CP** (2013a) The physical and mental health of lesbian, gay male, and bisexual (LGB) older adults: the role of key health indicators and risk and protective factors. *The Gerontologist* **53**, 664–675.
- Fredriksen-Goldsen KI, Kim H-J, Barkan SE, Muraco A and Hoy-Ellis CP** (2013b) Health disparities among lesbian, gay, and bisexual older adults: results from a population-based study. *American Journal of Public Health* **103**, 1802–1809.
- Fredriksen-Goldsen KI, Kim H-J, Bryan AE, Shiu C and Emler CA** (2017b) The cascading effects of marginalization and pathways of resilience in attaining good health among LGBT older adults. *The Gerontologist* **57**, S72–S83.
- Fredriksen-Goldsen KI, Kim H-J, Emler CA, Muraco A, Erosheva EA, Hoy-Ellis CP, Goldsen J and Petry H** (2011) *The Aging and Health Report: Disparities and Resilience Among Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender Older Adults*. Seattle, WA: Institute for Multigenerational Health. Available at [https://www.lgbtagingcenter.org/resources/pdfs/LGBT%20Aging%20and%20Health%20Report\\_final.pdf](https://www.lgbtagingcenter.org/resources/pdfs/LGBT%20Aging%20and%20Health%20Report_final.pdf).
- Fredriksen-Goldsen KI, Kim H-J and Shiu C** (2017c) The science of conducting research with LGBT older adults – an introduction to Aging with Pride: National Health, Aging, and Sexuality/Gender Study. *The Gerontologist* **57**, S1–S14.

- Fredriksen-Goldsen KI, Kim H-J, Shiu C and Bryan AEB** (2017d) Chronic health conditions and key health indicators among lesbian, gay, and bisexual older US adults, 2013–2014. *American Journal of Public Health* **107**, 1332–1338.
- Fredriksen-Goldsen KI, Kim H-J, Shiu C, Goldsen J and Emlert CA** (2015) Successful aging among LGBT older adults: physical and mental health-related quality of life by age group. *The Gerontologist* **55**, 154–168.
- Fredriksen-Goldsen KI and Muraco A** (2010) Aging and sexual orientation: a 25-year review of the literature. *Research on Aging* **32**, 372–413.
- Fredriksen-Goldsen KI, Simoni JM, Kim H-J, Lehavot K, Walters KL, Yang J, Hoy-Ellis CP and Muraco A** (2014) The Health Equity Promotion Model: reconceptualization of lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) health disparities. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry* **84**, 653–663.
- Furukawa TA, Kessler RC, Slade T and Andrews G** (2003) The performance of the K6 and K10 screening scales for psychological distress in the Australian National Survey of Mental Health and Well-being. *Psychological Medicine* **33**, 357–362.
- Gonzales G and Henning-Smith C** (2015) Disparities in health and disability among older adults in same-sex cohabiting relationships. *Journal of Aging and Health* **27**, 432–453.
- Grossman A, D'Augelli A and O'Connell T** (2001) Being lesbian, gay, bisexual, and 60 or older in North America. *Journal of Gay and Lesbian Social Services* **13**, 23–40.
- Guasp A** (2011) *Lesbian, Gay and Bisexual People in Later Life*. London: Stonewall. Available at <https://www.stonewall.org.uk/resources/lesbian-gay-and-bisexual-people-later-life-2011>.
- Harry J** (1990) Conceptualizing anti-gay violence. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence* **5**, 350–358.
- Heywood W, Minichiello V, Lyons A, Fileborn B, Hussain R, Hinchliff S, Malta S, Barrett C and Dow B** (2019) The impact of experiences of ageism on sexual activity and interest in later life. *Ageing & Society* **39**, 795–814.
- Huebner DM, Rebchook GM and Kegeles SM** (2004) Experiences of harassment, discrimination, and physical violence among young gay and bisexual men. *American Journal of Public Health* **94**, 1200–1203.
- Hughes M** (2017) Health and well being of lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender and intersex people aged 50 years and over. *Australian Health Review* **42**, 146–151.
- Idler EL and Benyamini Y** (1997) Self-rated health and mortality: a review of twenty-seven community studies. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior* **38**, 21–37.
- Jessup MA and Dibble SL** (2012) Unmet mental health and substance abuse treatment needs of sexual minority elders. *Journal of Homosexuality* **59**, 656–674.
- Johnson C** (2015) Why Australia is so far behind the times on same-sex marriage. *The Conversation*. Available at <https://theconversation.com/why-australia-is-so-far-behind-the-times-on-same-sex-marriage-42327>.
- Jorm AF, Korten AE, Rodgers B, Jacomb PA and Christensen H** (2018) Sexual orientation and mental health: results from a community survey of young and middle-aged adults. *British Journal of Psychiatry* **180**, 423–427.
- Kelleher C** (2009) Minority stress and health: implications for lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and questioning (LGBTQ) young people. *Counselling Psychology Quarterly* **22**, 373–379.
- Kessler RC, Andrews G, Colpe LJ, Hiripi E, Mroczek DK, Normand S-L, Walters EE and Zaslavsky AM** (2002) Short screening scales to monitor population prevalences and trends in non-specific psychological distress. *Psychological Medicine* **32**, 959–976.
- Kim H-J, Jen S and Fredriksen-Goldsen KI** (2017) Race/ethnicity and health-related quality of life among LGBT older adults. *The Gerontologist* **57**, S30–S39.
- Kuyper L and Fokkema T** (2011) Minority stress and mental health among Dutch LGBs: examination of differences between sex and sexual orientation. *Journal of Counseling Psychology* **58**, 222–233.
- Lea T, de Wit J and Reynolds R** (2014) Minority stress in lesbian, gay, and bisexual young adults in Australia: associations with psychological distress, suicidality, and substance use. *Archives of Sexual Behavior* **43**, 1571–1578.
- Lyons A, Croy S, Barrett C and Whyte C** (2015) Growing old as a gay man: how life has changed for the gay liberation generation. *Ageing & Society* **35**, 2229–2250.
- Mays VM and Cochran SD** (2001) Mental health correlates of perceived discrimination among lesbian, gay, and bisexual adults in the United States. *American Journal of Public Health* **91**, 1869–1876.



- McGovern J and Vinjamuri M** (2016) Intergenerational practice with different LGBTQ cohorts: a strengths-based, affirmative approach to increasing well-being. *The International Journal of Diverse Identities* **16**, 11–20.
- Meyer IH** (2003) Prejudice, social stress, and mental health in lesbian, gay, and bisexual populations: conceptual issues and research evidence. *Psychological Bulletin* **129**, 674–697.
- Mills TC, Paul J, Stall R, Pollack L, Canchola J, Chang YJ, Moskowitz JT and Catania JA** (2004) Distress and depression in men who have sex with men: the Urban Men's Health Study. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **161**, 278–285.
- Paul JP, Catania J, Pollack L, Moskowitz J, Canchola J, Mills T, Binson D and Stall R** (2002) Suicide attempts among gay and bisexual men: lifetime prevalence and antecedents. *American Journal of Public Health* **92**, 1338–1345.
- Plöderl M and Tremblay P** (2015) Mental health of sexual minorities. A systematic review. *International Review of Psychiatry* **27**, 367–385.
- Roberts TS, Horne SG and Hoyt WT** (2015) Between a gay and a straight place: bisexual individuals' experiences with monosexism. *Journal of Bisexuality* **15**, 554–569.
- Stewart-Brown S, Tennant A, Tennant R, Platt S, Parkinson J and Weich S** (2009) Internal construct validity of the Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-Being Scale (WEMWBS): a Rasch analysis using data from the Scottish health education population survey. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes* **7**, 15.
- Swim JK, Hyers LL, Cohen LL and Ferguson MJ** (2001) Everyday sexism: evidence for its incidence, nature, and psychological impact from three daily diary studies. *Journal of Social Issues* **57**, 31–53.
- Tuana N** (2006) The speculum of ignorance: the women's health movement and epistemologies of ignorance. *Hypatia* **21**, 1–19.
- Vaccaro A** (2009) Intergenerational perceptions, similarities and differences: a comparative analysis of lesbian, gay, and bisexual millennial youth with Generation X and Baby Boomers. *Journal of LGBT Youth* **6**, 113–134.
- Valanis BG, Bowen DJ, Bassford T, Whitlock E, Charney P and Carter RA** (2000) Sexual orientation and health: comparisons in the women's health initiative sample. *Archives of Family Medicine* **9**, 843–853.
- Williams DR, Yu Y, Jackson JS and Anderson NB** (1997) Racial differences in physical and mental health: socioeconomic status, stress, and discrimination. *Journal of Health Psychology* **2**, 335–351.
- Yarns BC, Abrams JM, Meeks TW and Sewell DD** (2016) The mental health of older LGBT adults. *Current Psychiatry Reports* **18**, 60.

---

**Cite this article:** Lyons A, Alba B, Waling A, Minichiello V, Hughes M, Barrett C, Fredriksen-Goldsen K, Edmonds S, Blanchard M (2021). Recent *versus* lifetime experiences of discrimination and the mental and physical health of older lesbian women and gay men. *Ageing & Society* **41**, 1072–1093. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0144686X19001533>