Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 105 (2022), 12–18 doi:10.1017/S000497272100068X

ON A PROBLEM OF RICHARD GUY

NGUYEN XUAN THO

(Received 25 April 2021; accepted 22 July 2021; first published online 13 September 2021)

Abstract

In the 1993 Western Number Theory Conference, Richard Guy proposed Problem 93:31, which asks for integers *n* representable by $(x + y + z)^3/xyz$, where *x*, *y*, *z* are integers, preferably with positive integer solutions. We show that the representation $n = (x + y + z)^3/xyz$ is impossible in positive integers *x*, *y*, *z* if $n = 4^k(a^2 + b^2)$, where *k*, *a*, $b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ are such that $k \ge 3$ and $2 \nmid a + b$.

2020 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 11D25; secondary 11D88. *Keywords and phrases*: cubic equations, *p*-adic numbers, Hilbert symbols.

1. Introduction

Let *n* be an integer. The equation

$$n = \frac{(x + y + z)^3}{xyz}$$
(1.1)

has been studied by several authors. Guy [7] asked for integers *n* representable by (1.1), where *x*, *y*, *z* $\in \mathbb{Z}$, preferably with *x*, *y*, *z* $\in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Guy's question is still open and only partial results have been published. According to [7], Montgomery found 539 solutions to (1.1) with $1 \le x \le y \le z \le 46300$. Bremner and Guy [1] found several solutions to (1.1) when *n* is in the range $|n| \le 200$. Brueggeman [3] found four families of solutions to (1.1) involving only positive integers. In a short note [6], Garaev sketched a proof that (1.1) does not have solutions in positive integers if *n* is of the form n = 8k - 1, 16k - 4, 32k - 16, 64k or $2^{2m+1}(2k - 11) + 27$, where *k*, $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Garaev's proof was based on his work [5] on the cubic Diophantine equation $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 = nxyz$. In this paper, we find another family of integers *n* for which (1.1) has no solutions in positive integers.

THEOREM 1.1. Let k, a, b be positive with $k \ge 3$ and $2 \nmid a + b$. Then the equation $(x + y + z)^3 = 4^k(a^2 + b^2)xyz$

does not have positive integer solutions.

© 2021 Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc.

The author is supported by the Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) (grant number 10.04-2019.314).

On a problem of Richard Guy

Garaev's method is classical and uses the quadratic reciprocity law. Our method is based on an idea of Stoll [9] and uses Hilbert symbols and elliptic curves. We briefly outline the main idea.

Assume that we want to show that a rational number *X* is positive. The key is to find a rational number D < 0 such that $(X, D)_p = 1$ for all prime numbers *p*, where $(X, D)_p$ denotes the Hilbert symbol. Then the product formula for the Hilbert symbol (see Serre [8, Theorem 3, page 23]) forces $(X, D)_{\infty} = 1$. Since D < 0, we must have X > 0. Our experience shows that when *X* is the *x*-coordinate of a rational point on an elliptic curve of the form

$$y^2 = f(x)$$

where *f* is a cubic polynomial with rational coefficients, *D* is usually a factor of the discriminant of f(x). This idea can be applied to several problems. For the representation of positive integers *n* in the form n = (x + y + z)(1/x + 1/y + 1/z) or n = (x + y + z + w)(1/x + 1/y + 1/z + 1/w), where $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, see [2, 11]. For the representation of positive integers *n* in the form n = x/y + dy/z + z/w + dw/x, where $x, y, z, w, d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, see [4, 10].

2. Preliminaries

Let *p* be a prime number. Let \mathbb{Q}_p denote the *p*-adic completion of \mathbb{Q} with respect to *p* and \mathbb{Z}_p the ring of *p*-adic integers in \mathbb{Q}_p . Let $\mathbb{Q}_p^3 = \{(x, y, z) \colon x, y, z \in \mathbb{Q}_p\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_p^2 = \{x^2 \colon x \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}$. For $w \in \mathbb{Q}_p^*$, denote by $v_p(w)$ the exponent of the highest power of *p* dividing *w*. For λ and μ in \mathbb{Q}_p^* , the Hilbert symbol $(\lambda, \mu)_p$ is defined by

$$(\lambda, \mu)_p = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda x^2 + \mu y^2 = z^2 \text{ has a solution } (x, y, z) \neq (0, 0, 0) \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}_p^3, \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, the symbol $(\lambda, \mu)_{\infty}$ is +1 if $\lambda > 0$ or $\mu > 0$ and -1 otherwise. We implicitly understand that \mathbb{Q} is a subfield of both \mathbb{Q}_p and \mathbb{R} .

We need some properties of Hilbert symbols (see [8, pages 19–26] for proofs). Let $\lambda, \mu, \sigma \in \mathbb{Q}_p^*$.

(i) We have

$$\begin{split} (\lambda, \mu^2)_p &= 1, \\ (\lambda, \mu\sigma)_p &= (\lambda, \mu)_p (\lambda, \sigma)_p, \\ (\lambda, \mu)_\infty \prod_{p \text{ prime}} (\lambda, \mu)_p &= 1. \end{split}$$

(ii) Let $\lambda = p^{\alpha}u$ and $\mu = p^{\beta}v$, where $\alpha = v_p(\lambda)$ and $\beta = v_p(\mu)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda,\mu)_p = (-1)^{(1/2)\alpha\beta(p-1)} \left(\frac{u}{p}\right)^{\beta} \left(\frac{v}{p}\right)^{\alpha} & \text{if } p \neq 2, \\ & (\lambda,\mu)_p = (-1)^{(1/4)(u-1)(v-1)+(1/8)\alpha(v^2-1)+(1/8)\beta(u^2-1)} & \text{if } p = 2. \end{aligned}$$

where by $\left(\frac{u}{p}\right)$ denotes the Legendre symbol.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S000497272100068X Published online by Cambridge University Press

N. X. Tho

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Assume that there exist positive integers x, y, z such that

$$(x + y + z)^3 = nxyz, \quad n = 2^{2k}(a^2 + b^2).$$

Let $x + y + z = n\gamma z$, where $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then $xy = n^2 \gamma^3 z^2$. Therefore,

$$\left(\frac{x-y}{z}\right)^2 = (n\gamma - 1)^2 - 4n^2\gamma^3.$$

Let $X = -\gamma$ and Y = (x - y)/z. Then

$$Y^{2} = 4n^{2}X^{3} + (nX+1)^{2}.$$
 (3.1)

Since nX + 1 = -(x + y)/z < 0,

$$nX + 1 < 0. (3.2)$$

We show that (3.2) is impossible via the following lemmas.

LEMMA 3.1. In (3.2), $(-n, nX + 1)_2 = 1$.

PROOF. Write $n = 2^{2k}n_1$, where $2 \nmid n_1$. We consider five cases according to the value of $v_2(nX)$.

Case 1: $v_2(nX) \ge 3$. Then $nX + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, so that $nX + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2^2$. Therefore,

$$(-n, nX + 1)_2 = 1$$

Case 2: $v_2(nX) = 2$. Then $nX + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, so that

$$(-n, nX + 1)_2 = (-2^{2k}n_1, nX + 1)_2 = (-n_1, nX + 1)_2 = (-1)^{(1/4)(-n_1-1)nX} = 1.$$

Case 3: $v_2(nX) = 1$. Then $v_2(X) = 1 - 2k$. Let $X = 2^{1-2k}X_1$, where $2 \nmid X_1$. From (3.1), $Y^2 = \frac{n_1^2 X_1^3}{2^{2k-5}} + (2n_1 X_1 + 1)^2.$

Therefore,

$$2^{2k-5}Y^2 = n_1^2 X_1^3 + 2^{2k-5} (2n_1 X_1 + 1)^2.$$
(3.3)

Since 2k - 5 > 0 and $2 \nmid n_1 X_1^3$, it follows from (3.3) that $2k - 5 + 2v_2(Y) = 0$. But this is impossible since $2 \nmid 2k - 5$.

Case 4:
$$v_2(nX) = 0$$
. Then $v_2(X) = -2k$. Let $X = 2^{-2k}X_1$, where $2 \nmid X_1$. From (3.1),

$$Y^{2} = \frac{n_{1}^{2}X_{1}^{3}}{2^{2k-2}} + (n_{1}X_{1} + 1)^{2}$$

Hence,

$$2^{2k-2}Y^2 = n_1^2 X_1^3 + 2^{2k-2} (n_1 X_1 + 1)^2.$$
(3.4)

15

Since 2k - 2 > 0 and $2 \nmid n_1^2 X_1^3$, it follows from (3.4) that $2k - 2 + 2v_2(Y) = 0$. Taking (3.4) modulo 8 gives $X_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. Hence, $X_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2^2$. Let $X_1 = \delta^2$, where $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Since $-n_1 U^2 + (n_1 X_1 + 1)V^2 = 1$ has a solution $(U, V) = (\delta, 1)$,

$$(-n_1, n_1X_1 + 1)_2 = 1.$$

Therefore,

$$(-n, 1 + nX)_2 = (-2^{2k}n_1, n_1X_1 + 1)_2 = (-n_1, n_1X_1 + 1)_2 = 1$$

Case 5: $v_2(nX) < 0$. Then $v_2(X) < -2k$. Let $X = 2^{-t}X_1$, where $2 \nmid X_1, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and t > 2k. From (3.1),

$$Y^{2} = \frac{n_{1}^{2}X_{1}^{3}}{2^{3t-4k-2}} + (2^{2k-t}n_{1}X_{1}+1)^{2}.$$

Hence,

$$2^{3t-4k-2}Y^2 = n_1^2 X_1^3 + 2^{t-2}(n_1 X_1 + 2^{t-2k})^2.$$
(3.5)

Since t > 2k > 2 and $2 \nmid n_1^2 X_1^3$, it follows from (3.5) that $3t - 4k - 2 + 2v_2(Y) = 0$. Therefore, $2 \mid t$. Since $t > 2k \ge 6$, we also have t - 2 > 4. Taking (3.5) modulo 8 gives $X_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. Since $2 \mid 2k - t$,

$$(-n, nX + 1)_2 = (-2^{2k}n_1, 2^{2k-t}(n_1X_1 + 2^{t-2k}))_2$$

= $(-n_1, n_1X_1 + 2^{t-2k})_2 = (-1)^{(1/4)(-n_1-1)(n_1X_1 + 2^{t-2k} - 1)}.$ (3.6)

Here, $4 \mid 2^{t-2k}$, because $2 \mid t$, and t > 2k and $X_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. Therefore,

$$(-n_1 - 1)(n_1X_1 + 2^{t-2k} - 1) \equiv -(n_1 + 1)(n_1 + 2^{t-2k} - 1) \pmod{8}$$
$$\equiv -(n_1 + 1)(n_1 - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}.$$

Therefore,

$$(-1)^{(1/4)(-n_1-1)(n_1X_1+2^{t-2k}-1)} = 1.$$
(3.7)

So, from (3.6) and (3.7) again, $(-n, nX + 1)_2 = 1$.

LEMMA 3.2. Let *p* be an odd prime. In (3.1), $(-n, nX + 1)_p = 1$.

PROOF. We consider three cases according to the value of $v_p(nX)$.

Case 1: $v_p(nX) \ge 1$. Then $nX + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, so that $nX + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2$. Hence,

$$(-n, nX + 1)_p = 1.$$

Case 2: $v_p(nX) = 0$. Then $v_p(X) = -v_p(n)$. Let $n = p^s n_1$ and $X = p^{-s} X_1$, where $s \ge 0$, $p \nmid n_1$ and $p \nmid X_1$.

Case 2.1: s = 0. First suppose that $p \nmid (n_1X_1 + 1)$. Since both $-n_1$ and $n_1X_1 + 1$ are units in \mathbb{Z}_p , we have $(-n_1, n_1X_1 + 1)_p = 1$. Therefore,

$$(-n, nX + 1)_p = (-n_1, n_1X_1 + 1)_p = 1.$$

N. X. Tho

On the other hand, if $p \mid (n_1X_1 + 1)$, then, from (3.1),

$$Y^2 = 4n_1^2 X_1^3 + (n_1 X_1 + 1)^2.$$

Therefore, X_1 is a square modulo p and so $X_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2$. Let $X_1 = \omega^2$, where $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $p \nmid \omega$. Then

$$-n_1 \equiv \frac{1}{X_1} \equiv \omega^{-2} \pmod{p},$$

so that $-n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ and

$$(-n, nX + 1)_p = (-n_1, n_1X_1 + 1)_p = 1.$$

Case 2.2: s > 0. From (3.1),

$$Y^{2} = \frac{4n_{1}^{2}X_{1}^{3}}{p^{s}} + (n_{1}X_{1} + 1)^{2},$$

so that

$$p^{s}Y^{2} = 4n_{1}^{2}X_{1}^{3} + p^{s}(n_{1}X_{1} + 1)^{2}.$$
(3.8)

Since $p \nmid 4n_1^2 X_1^3$ and s > 0, it follows from (3.8) that $s + 2v_p(Y) = 0$. Therefore, $2 \mid s$.

First suppose that $p \nmid n_1X_1 + 1$. Since both $-n_1$ and $n_1X_1 + 1$ are units in \mathbb{Z}_p , we have $(-n_1, n_1X_1 + 1)_p = 1$. Therefore,

$$(-n, nX + 1)_p = (-p^s n_1, n_1 X_1 + 1)_p = (-n_1, n_1 X_1 + 1)_p = 1$$

On the other hand, if $p \mid n_1X_1 + 1$, then, from (3.8), X_1 is a square modulo p. Therefore, $X_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2$. Let $X_1 = \zeta^2$, where $\zeta \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $p \nmid \zeta$. Then

$$-n_1 \equiv \frac{1}{X_1} \equiv \zeta^{-2} \pmod{p},$$

so that $-n_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2$. Therefore,

$$(-n, nX + 1)_p = (-p^s n_1, n_1 X_1 + 1)_p = (-n_1, n_1 X_1 + 1)_p = 1$$

Case 3: $v_p(nX) < 0$. Let $n = p^s n_1$ and $X = p^{-t}X_1$, where $t > s \ge 0$, $p \nmid n_1$ and $p \nmid X_1$. From (3.1),

$$Y^{2} = \frac{4n_{1}^{2}X_{1}^{3}}{p^{3t-2s}} + \left(\frac{n_{1}X_{1}}{p^{t-s}} + 1\right)^{2}.$$

Therefore,

$$p^{3t-2s}Y^2 = 4n_1^2 X_1^3 + p^t (n_1 X_1 + p^{t-s})^2.$$
(3.9)

Since $p \nmid 4n_1^2 X_1^3$ and t > 0, it follows from (3.9) that $3t - 2s + 2v_2(Y) = 0$. Thus, $2 \mid t$. Case 3.1: 2 | s. Since $-n_1$ and $n_1X_1 + p^{t-s}$ are units in \mathbb{Z}_p ,

$$(-n_1, n_1X_1 + p^{t-s})_p = 1.$$

16

Therefore,

$$(-n, nX + 1)_p = (-p^s n_1, p^{s-t}(n_1 X_1 + p^{t-s}))_p = (-n_1, n_1 X_1 + p^{t-s})_p = 1.$$

Case 3.2: $2 \nmid s$. Then $p^s \parallel n = 4^k(a^2 + b^2)$. We first show that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Indeed, if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then $a^2 + b^2$ can only be divisible by an even power of p, contrary to the assumption that s is odd. Therefore, $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Taking (3.9) modulo p shows that X_1 is a square modulo p. Therefore, $X_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2$. Since $2 \nmid s, 2 \nmid s - t, t - s > 0$ and $(-n_1, n_1X_1 + p^{t-s})_p = 1$,

$$(-n, nX + 1)_p = (-p^s n_1, p^{s-t}(n_1 X_1 + p^{t-s}))_p = (-pn_1, p(n_1 X_1 + p^{t-s}))_p$$

= $(p, p)_p \cdot (p, n_1 X_1 + p^{t-s})_p \cdot (-n_1, p)_p \cdot (-n_1, n_1 X_1 + p^{t-s})_p$
= $(-1)^{(p-1)/2} \cdot \left(\frac{n_1 X_1 + p^{t-s}}{p}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{-n_1}{p}\right)$
= $\left(\frac{n_1 X_1}{p}\right) \left(\frac{-n_1}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{n_1}{p}\right) \left(\frac{-n_1}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \left(\frac{n_1}{p}\right)^2 = 1,$

because $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and X_1 is a square modulo p.

LEMMA 3.3. We have $(-n, nX + 1)_{\infty} = 1$.

PROOF. By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, $(-n, nX + 1)_p = 1$ for all prime numbers p. Since

$$(-n, nX + 1)_{\infty} \cdot \prod_{p \text{ prime}} (-n, nX + 1)_p = 1,$$

it follows that $(-n, nX + 1)_{\infty} = 1$.

The consequence of Lemma 3.3 is that the equation $-n\alpha^2 + (nX + 1)\beta^2 = 1$ has real solutions. Hence, nX + 1 > 0, contradicting nX + 1 < 0 from (3.2).

Acknowledgements

The author is sincerely grateful to Professor Erik Dofs for pointing out the reference [6] and many valuable conversations. The author would like to thank the referee for the careful reading and important comments. Part of this work was completed during the author's stay at Vietnam Institute of Advanced Study in Mathematics (VIASM). The author would like to thank the Institute for its support and funding.

References

- [1] A. Bremner and R. K. Guy, 'Two more presentation problems', *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* **40**(1) (1997), 1–17.
- [2] A. Bremner and N. X. Tho, 'The equation (w + x + y + z)(1/w + 1/x + 1/y + 1/z) = n', *Int. J. Number Theory* **14**(5) (2018), 1229–1246.
- [3] S. A. Brueggeman, 'Integers representable by $(x + y + z)^3/xyz$ ', Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 21(1) (1998), 107–116.
- [4] E. Dofs and N. X. Tho, 'On the Diophantine equation $x_1/x_2 + x_2/x_3 + x_3/x_4 + x_4/x_1 = n$ ', *Int. J. Number Theory*, to appear, https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793042122500075.

[6]

17

N. X. Tho

- [5] M. Z. Garaev, 'Third-degree Diophantine equations', in Analytic Number Theory and Applications: Collection of papers to Prof. Anatolii Alexeevich Karatsuba on the occasion of his 60th birthday, Trudy MIAN 218 (1997), 99–108; English translation, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 218 (1997), 94–103.
- [6] M. Z. Garaev, 'On the Diophantine equation $(x + y + z)^3 = nxyz$ ', Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh. 2 (2001), 66–67.
- [7] R. K. Guy, 'Problem 93:31', Western Number Theory Problems 93(12) (1993), 21.
- [8] J. P. Serre, *A Course in Arithmetic*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 7 (Springer, New York, 1973).
- [9] M. Stoll, Answer to: 'Estimating the size of solutions of a Diophantine equation', https:// mathoverflow.net/questions/227713/estimating-the-size-of-solutions-of-a-diophantine-equation.
- [10] N. X. Tho, 'On a Diophantine equation', Vietnam J. Math., to appear, https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10013-021-00503-w.
- [11] N. X. Tho, 'What positive integers *n* can be presented in the form n = (x + y + z)(1/x + 1/y + 1/z)?', Ann. Math. Inform, to appear, https://doi.org/10.33039/ami.2021.04.005.

NGUYEN XUAN THO, School of Applied Mathematics and Informatics, Hanoi University of Science and Technology, Hanoi, Vietnam e-mail: tho.nguyenxuan1@hust.edu.vn