

Dyadic John–Nirenberg space

Juha Kinnunen and Kim Myyryläinen 

Department of Mathematics, Aalto University, P.O. Box 11100, FI-00076
 Aalto, Finland (juha.kinnunen@aalto.fi, kim.myyrylainen@aalto.fi)

(Received 1 July 2021; accepted 6 October 2021)

We discuss the dyadic John–Nirenberg space that is a generalization of functions of bounded mean oscillation. A John–Nirenberg inequality, which gives a weak type estimate for the oscillation of a function, is discussed in the setting of medians instead of integral averages. We show that the dyadic maximal operator is bounded on the dyadic John–Nirenberg space and provide a method to construct nontrivial functions in the dyadic John–Nirenberg space. Moreover, we prove that the John–Nirenberg space is complete. Several open problems are also discussed.

Keywords: John–Nirenberg space; dyadic; maximal function; median;
 John–Nirenberg inequality

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 42B25; 42B35

1. Introduction

The space of functions of bounded mean oscillation (BMO) was introduced by John and Nirenberg in [19]. Let Q_0 be a cube with sides parallel to the coordinate axis in \mathbb{R}^n . A function $f \in L^1(Q_0)$ belongs to $BMO(Q_0)$ if

$$\sup_Q \int_Q |f - f_Q| dx < \infty, \quad (1.1)$$

where the supremum is taken over all subcubes of Q_0 . Throughout, we denote the integral average over a cube by a barred integral sign or f_Q . A more general BMO -type space was also discussed in [19]. A function $f \in L^1(Q_0)$ belongs to the John–Nirenberg space $JN_p(Q_0)$, $1 < p < \infty$, if

$$\sup \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |Q_i| \left(\int_{Q_i} |f - f_{Q_i}| dx \right)^p < \infty, \quad (1.2)$$

where the supremum is taken over countable collections $\{Q_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of pairwise disjoint subcubes of Q_0 . The space $BMO(Q_0)$ is obtained as the limit of $JN_p(Q_0)$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. John [18] considered a way to define $BMO(Q_0)$ for any measurable function f on Q_0 and this approach has been developed further by Strömberg [27] and Jawerth and Torchinsky [17]. In this case, (1.1) is replaced with

$$\sup_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \inf \{a \geq 0 : |\{x \in Q : |f(x) - c| > a\}| < s|Q|\} < \infty, \quad (1.3)$$

© The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Royal Society of Edinburgh

where the supremum is taken over all subcubes of Q_0 and s is a fixed parameter with $0 < s \leq 1$. Perhaps, the most common parameter value is $s = \frac{1}{2}$ and, for $0 < s \leq 1$, we obtain a biased notion of s -median. Medians have been studied and applied in many problems; see for example [7, 8, 10–18, 20–22, 25, 27–29].

This paper discusses several new results related to the definition and properties of the John–Nirenberg space with s -medians (definition 3.1). In particular, this extends the median approach of BMO in (1.3) to John–Nirenberg spaces. We restrict our attention to the dyadic case, that is, the cubes in (1.2) are assumed to be dyadic subcubes of Q_0 . The dyadic structure has many advantages in the theory of John–Nirenberg spaces. For some of our results, it does not matter whether we consider dyadic cubes or all subcubes of Q_0 , but some results hold exclusively for dyadic cubes. We study a John–Nirenberg inequality for the dyadic John–Nirenberg space with s -medians (theorem 3.5). Our proof is based on relatively standard arguments. Related questions on metric measure spaces have been studied by Lerner and Pérez [22] and Myrskyläinen [23]. We reconsider dyadic versions of these results in the Euclidean context. As a consequence (corollary 3.7), we show that the dyadic John–Nirenberg space with medians coincides with the dyadic John–Nirenberg space with integral averages. Thus, it does not matter which one we consider. However, assumptions in the median approach are initially weaker, since the function does not need to be integrable.

Bennett *et al.* [2] showed that the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator is bounded on BMO . For a short proof, we refer to Chiarenza and Frasca [4]. We show that the dyadic maximal operator is bounded on the dyadic John–Nirenberg space $JN_p^d(Q_0)$ (theorem 4.2). To our knowledge, this result is new. The proof is based on the John–Nirenberg inequality. A similar argument, with the weak type estimate for the maximal operator, gives an L^1 result for the dyadic maximal operator (theorem 4.3). Using this result together with a theorem of Stein [26], we obtain a method to construct functions in $JN_p^d(Q_0) \setminus L^p(Q_0)$. This complements results by Dafni *et al.* [6] in the dyadic case. Motivated by theorem 4.3, it is an open question whether there exists a Coifman–Rochberg [5] type characterization for the dyadic John–Nirenberg space. A one-dimensional example in §4 demonstrates that the L^1 result in its generality does not hold for the standard John–Nirenberg space. The standard BMO is complete with respect to the BMO seminorm; see [24]. We prove that the dyadic John–Nirenberg space is complete (theorem 5.1). This also holds for the standard John–Nirenberg space $JN_p(Q_0)$. The connection between the dyadic BMO and the standard BMO has been studied by Garnett and Jones in [9]. The corresponding result is also true for the John–Nirenberg spaces.

2. Preliminaries

The Lebesgue measure of a measurable subset A of \mathbb{R}^n is denoted by $|A|$. The integral average of $f \in L^1(A)$ in A , with $0 < |A| < \infty$, is denoted by

$$f_A = \int_A f \, dx = \frac{1}{|A|} \int_A f \, dx.$$

In many cases, it is preferable to consider medians instead of integral averages. Let $0 < s \leq 1$. Assume that $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a measurable set with $0 < |A| < \infty$ and that

$f : A \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is a measurable function. A number $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is called an s -median of f over A , if

$$|\{x \in A : f(x) > a\}| \leq s|A| \quad \text{and} \quad |\{x \in A : f(x) < a\}| \leq (1 - s)|A|.$$

In general, the s -median is not unique. To obtain a uniquely defined notion, we consider the maximal s -median as in [25].

DEFINITION 2.1. Let $0 < s \leq 1$. Assume that $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a measurable set with $0 < |A| < \infty$ and that $f : A \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is a measurable function. The maximal s -median of f over A is defined as

$$m_f^s(A) = \inf\{a \in \mathbb{R} : |\{x \in A : f(x) > a\}| < s|A|\}.$$

The maximal s -median of a function is an s -median [25]. In the next lemma, we list the basic properties of the maximal s -median. We refer to [23] where the properties are proven in metric measure spaces. The arguments are identical for Euclidean spaces. The proofs of properties (i), (ii), (v), (vii), (viii) and (ix) can also be found in [25]. In addition, most of these properties are listed without proofs in [14, 15].

LEMMA 2.2. Let $0 < s \leq 1$. Assume that $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a measurable set with $0 < |A| < \infty$ and that $f, g : A \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is a measurable function. The maximal s -median has the following properties.

- (i) $m_f^{s'}(A) \leq m_f^s(A)$ for $s \leq s'$.
- (ii) $m_f^s(A) \leq m_g^s(A)$ whenever $f \leq g$ μ -almost everywhere in A .
- (iii) If $A \subset A'$ and $|A'| \leq c|A|$ with some $c \geq 1$, then $m_f^s(A) \leq m_f^{s/c}(A')$.
- (iv) $m_{\varphi \circ f}^s(A) = \varphi(m_f^s(A))$ for an increasing continuous function $\varphi : f(A) \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$.
- (v) $m_f^s(A) + c = m_{f+c}^s(A)$ for $c \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (vi) $m_{cf}^s(A) = c m_f^s(A)$ for $c > 0$.
- (vii) $|m_f^s(A)| \leq m_{|f|}^{\min\{s, 1-s\}}(A)$.
- (viii) $m_{f+g}^s(A) \leq m_f^{t_1}(A) + m_g^{t_2}(A)$ whenever $t_1 + t_2 \leq s$.
- (ix) For $f \in L^p(A)$ and $p > 0$,

$$m_{|f|}^s(A) \leq \left(s^{-1} \int_A |f|^p dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

- (x) If A_i are pairwise disjoint for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\inf_i m_f^s(A_i) \leq m_f^s \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \right) \leq \sup_i m_f^s(A_i).$$

REMARK 2.3. Assume that $0 < s \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Then property (vii) assumes a slightly simpler form

$$|m_f^s(A)| \leq m_{|f|}^{\min\{s, 1-s\}}(A) = m_{|f|}^s(A),$$

since

$$m_{|f|}^{1-s}(A) \leq m_{|f|}^s(A)$$

for $0 < s \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

A cube Q is a bounded interval in \mathbb{R}^n , with sides parallel to the coordinate axes and equally long, that is, $Q = [a_1, b_1] \times \cdots \times [a_n, b_n]$ with $b_1 - a_1 = \cdots = b_n - a_n$. The side length of Q is $l(Q) = b_1 - a_1$. In case we want to specify the centre of a cube, we write $Q = Q(x, r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |y_i - x_i| \leq r, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$. We consider closed cubes, but the results hold for open and half open cubes as well.

Let $Q_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a cube. The dyadic decomposition $\mathcal{D}(Q_0)$ of Q_0 is defined as $\mathcal{D}(Q_0) = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}_j(Q_0)$, where each $\mathcal{D}_j(Q_0)$ consists of 2^{jn} cubes Q , with pairwise disjoint interiors and side length $l(Q) = 2^{-j}l(Q_0)$, such that $Q_0 = \bigcup\{Q : Q \in \mathcal{D}_j(Q_0)\}$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If $j \geq 1$ and $Q \in \mathcal{D}_j(Q_0)$, there exists a unique cube $Q' \in \mathcal{D}_{j-1}(Q_0)$ with $Q \subset Q'$. The cube Q' is called the dyadic parent of Q , and Q is a dyadic child of Q' .

We recall the Lebesgue differentiation theorem for medians. The proof can be found in [25].

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ be a measurable function which is finite almost everywhere in \mathbb{R}^n and $0 < s \leq 1$. Then*

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} m_f^s(Q_i) = f(x)$$

for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, whenever $(Q_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of (dyadic) cubes containing x such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |Q_i| = 0$.

We discuss a Calderón–Zygmund decomposition with medians instead of integral averages. The proof is a simple modification of the corresponding argument for integral averages in [19].

LEMMA 2.5. *Let $Q_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a cube and $0 < t \leq 1$. Assume that $f : Q_0 \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is a measurable function. For every $\lambda \geq m_{|f|}^t(Q_0)$, there exist dyadic cubes $Q_i \in \mathcal{D}(Q_0)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, with pairwise disjoint interiors, such that*

- (i) $m_{|f|}^t(Q_i) > \lambda$,
- (ii) $m_{|f|}^t(Q'_i) \leq \lambda$ where Q'_i is the dyadic parent of Q_i ,
- (iii) $|f(x)| \leq \lambda$ for almost every $x \in Q_0 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_i$.

The collection $\{Q_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called the Calderón–Zygmund cubes in Q_0 at level λ .

Proof. Consider the collection

$$\mathcal{F}_\lambda = \{Q \in \mathcal{D}(Q_0) : m_{|f|}^t(Q) > \lambda\}.$$

For every $x \in \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda} Q$, there exists a cube $Q \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda$ with $x \in Q$ and $m_{|f|}^t(Q) > \lambda$. It follows that there exists a unique maximal cube $Q_x \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda$ with $x \in Q_x$ and $m_{|f|}^t(Q_x) > \lambda$. Maximality means that if $Q_x \subsetneq Q \in \mathcal{D}(Q_0)$, then $m_{|f|}^t(Q) \leq \lambda$. Let $\{Q_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the subcollection of \mathcal{F}_λ of such maximal cubes. If $Q_x = Q_0$ for some $x \in Q_0$, then $\mathcal{F}_\lambda = \{Q_0\}$ and there are no cubes $Q \in \mathcal{D}(Q_0)$ with $Q_x \subsetneq Q$. This happens if and only if $\lambda < m_{|f|}^t(Q_0)$, which contradicts the assumption $\lambda \geq m_{|f|}^t(Q_0)$.

For two dyadic subcubes of Q_0 , it holds that either one is contained in the other or the cubes have pairwise disjoint interiors. Thus, the collection $\{Q_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ consists of cubes with pairwise disjoint interiors with $m_{|f|}^t(Q_i) > \lambda$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. This proves (i). By maximality, it holds that $m_{|f|}^t(Q'_i) \leq \lambda$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, where Q'_i is the dyadic parent of Q_i . This implies (ii). To prove (iii), assume that $x \in Q_0 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty Q_i$. We have $m_{|f|}^t(Q) \leq \lambda$ for every dyadic subcube Q of Q_0 containing x . Hence, there exist a decreasing sequence of dyadic subcubes Q_k such that $x \in Q_k$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $Q_{k+1} \subsetneq Q_k$. The Lebesgue differentiation theorem for medians (lemma 2.4) implies that

$$|f(x)| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m_{|f|}^t(Q_k) \leq \lambda.$$

for almost every point $x \in Q_0 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty Q_i$. □

3. John–Nirenberg inequality with medians

This section discusses the John–Nirenberg inequality for median-type John–Nirenberg spaces.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let $Q_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a cube, $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < s \leq \frac{1}{2}$, and assume that $f : Q_0 \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is a measurable function. We say that f belongs to the median-type dyadic John–Nirenberg space $JN_{p,0,s}^d(Q_0)$ if

$$\|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d(Q_0)}^p = \sup \sum_{i=1}^\infty |Q_i| \left(\inf_{c_i \in \mathbb{R}} m_{|f-c_i|}^s(Q_i) \right)^p < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over countable collections $\{Q_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of pairwise disjoint dyadic subcubes of Q_0 .

The constants c_i in the definition of $JN_{p,0,s}^d$ can be replaced by maximal t -medians with $0 < s \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}$. A simple proof can be found in [23]. For more on the median-type John–Nirenberg space, see [23].

LEMMA 3.2. Let $Q_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a cube and assume that $f : Q_0 \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is a measurable function. It holds that

$$\|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d(Q_0)}^p \leq \sup \sum_{i=1}^\infty |Q_i| \left(m_{|f-m_f^t(Q_i)|}^s(Q_i) \right)^p \leq 2^p \|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d(Q_0)}^p,$$

whenever $0 < s \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

DEFINITION 3.3. Let $Q_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a cube and $0 < t \leq 1$, and assume that $f : Q_0 \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is a measurable function. The median-type dyadic maximal function is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_{Q_0}^{d,t} f(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} m_{|f|}^t(Q),$$

where the supremum is taken over all dyadic subcubes $Q \in \mathcal{D}(Q_0)$ with $x \in Q$.

The following good- λ inequality is the main ingredient in the proof of the John–Nirenberg inequality.

LEMMA 3.4. Let $0 < t \leq 1/2^{n+1}$, $K > 1$ and $f \in JN_{p,0,s}^d(Q_0)$ for some $0 < s \leq t/2K^p$, and assume that $m_{|f|}^t(Q_0) \leq \lambda$. Then

$$|E_{K\lambda}(Q_0)| \leq \frac{2^p}{(K-1)^p} \frac{\|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d}^p}{\lambda^p} + \frac{1}{2K^p} |E_\lambda(Q_0)|,$$

where $E_\lambda(Q_0) = \{x \in Q_0 : \mathcal{M}_{Q_0}^{d,t} f(x) > \lambda\}$.

Proof. We apply the Calderón–Zygmund decomposition (lemma 2.5) for f in Q_0 at levels λ and $K\lambda$ to obtain collections of cubes $\{Q_{i,\lambda}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{Q_{j,K\lambda}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$E_\lambda(Q_0) = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty Q_{i,\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad E_{K\lambda}(Q_0) = \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty Q_{j,K\lambda}.$$

Denote

$$J_i = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : Q_{j,K\lambda} \subset Q_{i,\lambda}\}$$

for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$I = \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : |Q_{i,\lambda}| \leq 2K^p \left| \bigcup_{j \in J_i} Q_{j,K\lambda} \right| \right\}.$$

Since each $Q_{j,K\lambda}$ is contained in some $Q_{i,\lambda}$, we get the partition

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^\infty Q_{j,K\lambda} = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \bigcup_{j \in J_i} Q_{j,K\lambda}.$$

By lemma 2.2(ii), (v), (vii), (iii) and lemma 2.5(ii) in this order, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} m_{|f-m_f^{2^{nt}}(Q_{i,\lambda})|}^t(Q_{j,K\lambda}) &\geq m_{|f|}^t(Q_{j,K\lambda}) - |m_f^{2^{nt}}(Q_{i,\lambda})| \geq m_{|f|}^t(Q_{j,K\lambda}) - m_{|f|}^{2^{nt}}(Q_{i,\lambda}) \\ &\geq m_{|f|}^t(Q_{j,K\lambda}) - m_{|f|}^t(Q'_{i,\lambda}) \geq K\lambda - \lambda = (K-1)\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where $Q'_{i,\lambda}$ is the parent cube of $Q_{i,\lambda}$. Since $Q_{j,K\lambda}$ are pairwise disjoint, property (x) of lemma 2.2 implies that

$$m_{|f-m_f^{2^{nt}}(Q_{i,\lambda})|}^t \left(\bigcup_{j \in J_i} Q_{j,K\lambda} \right) \geq (K-1)\lambda.$$

By applying lemma 2.2(iii), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in J_i} |Q_{j,K\lambda}| &\leq |Q_{i,\lambda}| \leq \frac{1}{(K-1)^p \lambda^p} |Q_{i,\lambda}| \left(m_{|f-m_f^{2^n t}(Q_{i,\lambda})|}^t \left(\bigcup_{j \in J_i} Q_{j,K\lambda} \right) \right)^p \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(K-1)^p \lambda^p} |Q_{i,\lambda}| \left(m_{|f-m_f^{2^n t}(Q_{i,\lambda})|}^{t/2K^p} (Q_{i,\lambda}) \right)^p \end{aligned}$$

for $i \in I$. Hence, by summing over all indices $i \in I$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J_i} |Q_{j,K\lambda}| &\leq \frac{1}{(K-1)^p \lambda^p} \sum_{i \in I} |Q_{i,\lambda}| \left(m_{|f-m_f^{2^n t}(Q_{i,\lambda})|}^{t/2K^p} (Q_{i,\lambda}) \right)^p \\ &\leq \frac{2^p}{(K-1)^p} \frac{\|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d}^p}{\lambda^p}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used lemma 3.2 with $t \leq 1/2^{n+1}$ and $0 < s \leq t/2K^p$.

On the contrary, if $i \notin I$, we have

$$\sum_{j \in J_i} |Q_{j,K\lambda}| \leq \frac{1}{2K^p} |Q_{i,\lambda}|.$$

Summing over all indices $i \notin I$, it follows that

$$\sum_{i \notin I} \sum_{j \in J_i} |Q_{j,K\lambda}| \leq \frac{1}{2K^p} \sum_{i \notin I} |Q_{i,\lambda}| \leq \frac{1}{2K^p} |E_\lambda(Q_0)|.$$

By combining the cases $i \in I$ and $i \notin I$, we conclude that

$$|E_{K\lambda}(Q_0)| = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \sum_{j \in J_i} |Q_{j,K\lambda}| \leq \frac{2^p}{(K-1)^p} \frac{\|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d}^p}{\lambda^p} + \frac{1}{2K^p} |E_\lambda(Q_0)|. \quad \square$$

We are ready to prove the John–Nirenberg inequality for $JN_{p,0,s}^d$ which implies that $JN_{p,0,s}^d(Q)$ is contained in $L^{p,\infty}(Q)$ for all cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

THEOREM 3.5. *Let $0 < s \leq 1/2^{n+3}$ and $s \leq r \leq \frac{1}{2}$. If $f \in JN_{p,0,s}^d(Q_0)$, then there exists a constant $c = c(p)$ such that for every $\lambda > 0$ we have*

$$|\{x \in Q_0 : |f(x) - m_f^r(Q_0)| > \lambda\}| \leq c \frac{\|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d(Q_0)}^p}{\lambda^p}.$$

Proof. Since $f \in JN_{p,0,s}^d(Q_0)$, lemma 3.2 implies that

$$|Q_0|^{1/p} m_{|f-m_f^r(Q_0)|}^t(Q_0) \leq 2\|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d},$$

where $t = 1/2^{n+1}$ and $s \leq r \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore, the condition in lemma 3.4 holds for $|f - m_f^r(Q_0)|$ with the choice

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{2\|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d}}{|Q_0|^{1/p}}.$$

For $0 < \lambda \leq \lambda_0$, we have

$$|\{x \in Q_0 : |f(x) - m_f^r(Q_0)| > \lambda\}| \leq |Q_0| = 2^p \frac{\|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d}^p}{\lambda_0^p} \leq 2^p \frac{\|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d}^p}{\lambda^p}.$$

Assume then that $\lambda > \lambda_0$. Let $K = 2^{1/p}$ and choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$K^N \lambda_0 < \lambda \leq K^{N+1} \lambda_0.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\{x \in Q_0 : |f(x) - m_f^r(Q_0)| > \lambda\}| \\ &\leq |\{x \in Q_0 : |f(x) - m_f^r(Q_0)| > K^N \lambda_0\}| \leq |E_{K^N \lambda_0}(Q_0)|, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from lemma 2.5(iii). We claim that

$$|E_{K^m \lambda_0}(Q_0)| \leq c_0 \frac{\|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d}^p}{(K^m \lambda_0)^p}$$

for every $m = 0, 1, \dots, N$, where $c_0 = 2^{p+1} K^p (K - 1)^{-p}$. We prove the claim by induction. First, observe that the claim holds for $m = 0$, since

$$|E_{\lambda_0}(Q_0)| \leq |Q_0| = 2^p \frac{\|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d}^p}{\lambda_0^p} \leq c_0 \frac{\|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d}^p}{\lambda_0^p}.$$

Assume then that the claim holds for $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, N - 1\}$, that is,

$$|E_{K^k \lambda_0}(Q_0)| \leq c_0 \frac{\|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d}^p}{(K^k \lambda_0)^p}.$$

This together with lemma 3.4 for $K^k \lambda_0$ implies the claim for $k + 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} |E_{K^{k+1} \lambda_0}(Q_0)| &\leq \frac{2^p}{(K - 1)^p} \frac{\|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d}^p}{(K^k \lambda_0)^p} + \frac{1}{2K^p} |E_{K^k \lambda_0}(Q_0)| \\ &\leq \frac{2^p}{(K - 1)^p} \frac{\|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d}^p}{(K^k \lambda_0)^p} + \frac{c_0}{2K^p} \frac{\|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d}^p}{(K^k \lambda_0)^p} \\ &= \left(\frac{2^p K^p}{(K - 1)^p} + \frac{c_0}{2} \right) \frac{\|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d}^p}{(K^{k+1} \lambda_0)^p} = c_0 \frac{\|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d}^p}{(K^{k+1} \lambda_0)^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the claim holds for $k + 1$.

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{x \in Q_0 : |f(x) - m_f^r(Q_0)| > \lambda\}| \\ & \leq c_0 \frac{\|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d}^p}{(K^N \lambda_0)^p} = c_0 K^p \frac{\|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d}^p}{(K^{N+1} \lambda_0)^p} \leq c \frac{\|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d}^p}{\lambda^p}, \end{aligned}$$

with $c = c_0 K^p = 2^{p+1} K^{2p} (K - 1)^{-p} = 2^{p+3} (2^{1/p} - 1)^{-p}$. □

As an application of the John–Nirenberg inequality (theorem 3.5), we discuss the connection between the John–Nirenberg spaces with medians and integral averages.

DEFINITION 3.6. *Let $Q_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a cube and $1 < p < \infty$. We say that $f \in L^1(Q_0)$ belongs to the dyadic John–Nirenberg space $JN_p^d(Q_0)$ if*

$$\|f\|_{JN_p^d(Q_0)}^p = \sup \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |Q_i| \left(\int_{Q_i} |f - f_{Q_i}| dx \right)^p < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over countable collections $\{Q_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of pairwise disjoint dyadic subcubes of Q_0 .

As a corollary of theorem 3.5, the median-type dyadic John–Nirenberg space coincides with the dyadic John–Nirenberg space with integral averages. In particular, it follows that all results for the dyadic John–Nirenberg spaces with integral averages also hold for the median-type dyadic John–Nirenberg spaces and vice versa. We note that theorem 3.5 also holds for the John–Nirenberg space over all subcubes instead of dyadic subcubes of Q_0 . Thus, the corollary below also holds for the standard John–Nirenberg spaces.

COROLLARY 3.7. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < s \leq 1/2^{n+3}$. It holds that*

$$s \|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d(Q_0)} \leq \|f\|_{JN_p^d(Q_0)} \leq \frac{2cp}{p-1} \|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d(Q_0)},$$

where c is the constant from theorem 3.5.

Proof. Let $\{Q_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a collection of pairwise disjoint dyadic subcubes of Q_0 . The first inequality follows in a straightforward manner from lemma 2.2(ix). For the second inequality, we use Cavalieri’s principle together with theorem 3.5 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_i} |f - m_f^s(Q_i)| dx \\ & = \int_0^{\infty} |\{x \in Q_i : |f - m_f^s(Q_i)| > \lambda\}| d\lambda \\ & \leq \int_{|Q_i|^{-1/p} \|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d(Q_i)}}^{\infty} c \lambda^{-p} \|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d(Q_i)}^p d\lambda + \int_0^{|Q_i|^{-1/p} \|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d(Q_i)}} |Q_i| d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{c}{p-1} |Q_i|^{1-1/p} \|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d(Q_i)} + |Q_i|^{1-1/p} \|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d(Q_i)} \\ &\leq \frac{cp}{p-1} |Q_i|^{1-1/p} \|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d(Q_i)}, \end{aligned}$$

where c is the constant from theorem 3.5. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |Q_i| \left(\inf_{c_i} \int_{Q_i} |f - c_i| \, dx \right)^p \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |Q_i| \left(\int_{Q_i} |f - m_f^s(Q_i)| \, dx \right)^p \\ &\leq \left(\frac{cp}{p-1} \right)^p \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d(Q_i)}^p \leq \left(\frac{cp}{p-1} \right)^p \|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d(Q_0)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows that

$$\|f\|_{JN_p^d(Q_0)} \leq \frac{2cp}{p-1} \|f\|_{JN_{p,0,s}^d(Q_0)}. \quad \square$$

4. The dyadic maximal function on JN_p^d

In this section, we discuss the behaviour of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function on the John–Nirenberg space with integral averages as in definition 3.6.

DEFINITION 4.1. *Let $Q_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a cube and assume that $f \in L^1(Q_0)$. The dyadic maximal function of f is defined by*

$$M_{Q_0}^d f(x) = \sup \int_Q |f(y)| \, dy,$$

where the supremum is taken over all dyadic subcubes $Q \in \mathcal{D}(Q_0)$ with $x \in Q$.

Let $f, g \in L^1(Q_0)$ and $x \in Q_0$. Using the definition, it is easy to show that $M_{Q_0}^d f(x) \geq 0$,

$$M_{Q_0}^d (f + g)(x) \leq M_{Q_0}^d f(x) + M_{Q_0}^d g(x),$$

and

$$M_{Q_0}^d (af)(x) = |a| M_{Q_0}^d f(x)$$

for every $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

The Calderón–Zygmund decomposition with integral averages implies that the dyadic maximal function satisfies the weak type estimate

$$|\{x \in Q_0 : M_{Q_0}^d f(x) > \lambda\}| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{Q_0} |f(x)| \, dx \tag{4.1}$$

for every $\lambda > 0$ and is a bounded operator on $L^p(Q_0)$ with $1 < p \leq \infty$. Moreover, the dyadic maximal operator is bounded on $BMO(Q_0)$; see [2]. We show that the dyadic maximal operator is bounded on the dyadic John–Nirenberg space.

THEOREM 4.2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and assume that $f \in JN_p^d(Q_0)$. Then there exists a constant $c = c(n, p)$ such that*

$$\|M_{Q_0}^d f\|_{JN_p^d(Q_0)} \leq c \|f\|_{JN_p^d(Q_0)}.$$

Proof. Let $\{Q_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a collection of pairwise disjoint dyadic subcubes of Q_0 . Denote

$$E_i = \{x \in Q_i : M_{Q_0}^d f(x) = M_{Q_i}^d f(x)\}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For $x \in Q_i \setminus E_i$, the supremum in the definition of $M_{Q_0}^d f(x)$ is attained in a dyadic cube $Q_x \ni x$ that intersects $Q_0 \setminus Q_i$. Since both Q_x and Q_i are dyadic subcubes of Q_0 and $x \in Q_i \cap Q_x$, it follows that $Q_i \subset Q_x$. Since $Q_i \subset Q_x$ for every $x \in Q_i \setminus E_i$, the cube Q_x for which the supremum in the maximal function is attained is the same cube for every $x \in Q_i \setminus E_i$. Thus, for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a constant M_i such that $M_{Q_0}^d f(x) = M_i$ for every $x \in Q_i \setminus E_i$. We observe that

$$M_{Q_i}^d f - (M_{Q_0}^d f)_{Q_i} \leq M_{Q_i}^d f - |f_{Q_i}| = M_{Q_i}^d f - M_{Q_i}^d(f_{Q_i}) \leq M_{Q_i}^d(f - f_{Q_i}).$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_i} |M_{Q_0}^d f - (M_{Q_0}^d f)_{Q_i}| \, dx \\ &= \int_{Q_i} (M_{Q_0}^d f - (M_{Q_0}^d f)_{Q_i})^+ \, dx \\ &= \int_{E_i} (M_{Q_0}^d f - (M_{Q_0}^d f)_{Q_i})^+ \, dx + \int_{Q_i \setminus E_i} (M_{Q_0}^d f - (M_{Q_0}^d f)_{Q_i})^+ \, dx \\ &= \int_{E_i} (M_{Q_i}^d f - (M_{Q_0}^d f)_{Q_i})^+ \, dx + \int_{Q_i \setminus E_i} (M_i - (M_{Q_0}^d f)_{Q_i})^+ \, dx \\ &\leq \int_{E_i} M_{Q_i}^d(f - f_{Q_i}) \, dx \leq \int_{Q_i} M_{Q_i}^d(f - f_{Q_i}) \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

where in the second last inequality we also used $M_i \leq (M_{Q_0}^d f)_{Q_i}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, which follows from $M_i \leq M_{Q_0}^d f(x)$ for every $x \in Q_i$. From the proof of the John–Nirenberg lemma [1, pp. 11–13], [3, p. 7], we see that

$$|\{x \in Q_i : M_{Q_i}^d(f - f_{Q_i})(x) > \lambda\}| \leq c \frac{\|f\|_{JN_p^d(Q_i)}^p}{\lambda^p}$$

for some constant $c = c(n, p)$. Applying this together with Cavalieri’s principle, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_i} M_{Q_i}^d(f - f_{Q_i}) \, dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty |\{x \in Q_i : M_{Q_i}^d(f - f_{Q_i})(x) > \lambda\}| \, d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \int_{|Q_i|^{-1/p}\|f\|_{JN_p^d(Q_i)}}^\infty c\lambda^{-p}\|f\|_{JN_p^d(Q_i)}^p \, d\lambda + \int_0^{|Q_i|^{-1/p}\|f\|_{JN_p^d(Q_i)}} |Q_i| \, d\lambda \\ &= \frac{c}{p-1}|Q_i|^{1-1/p}\|f\|_{JN_p^d(Q_i)} + |Q_i|^{1-1/p}\|f\|_{JN_p^d(Q_i)} \\ &\leq \frac{cp}{p-1}|Q_i|^{1-1/p}\|f\|_{JN_p^d(Q_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^\infty |Q_i| \left(\int_{Q_i} |M_{Q_0}^d f - (M_{Q_0}^d f)_{Q_i}| \, dx \right)^p \\ &\leq 2^p \sum_{i=1}^\infty |Q_i| \left(\int_{Q_i} M_{Q_0}^d (f - f_{Q_i}) \, dx \right)^p \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2cp}{p-1} \right)^p \sum_{i=1}^\infty \|f\|_{JN_p^d(Q_i)}^p \leq \left(\frac{2cp}{p-1} \right)^p \|f\|_{JN_p^d(Q_0)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over all collections of $\{Q_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, we get

$$\|M_{Q_0}^d f\|_{JN_p^d(Q_0)}^p \leq \left(\frac{2cp}{p-1} \right)^p \|f\|_{JN_p^d(Q_0)}^p. \quad \square$$

By a similar argument as in the proof of theorem 4.2, we obtain an L^1 result for the dyadic maximal function. The weak type estimate (4.1) is used instead of the John–Nirenberg inequality in the argument.

THEOREM 4.3. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and assume that $f \in L^1(Q_0)$. Then there exists a constant $c = c(p)$ such that*

$$\|(M_{Q_0}^d f)^{1/p}\|_{JN_p^d(Q_0)}^p \leq c\|f\|_{L^1(Q_0)}.$$

Proof. We use the same notation as in the proof of theorem 4.2. Analogously, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} M_{Q_i}^d f - \left([(M_{Q_0}^d f)^{1/p}]_{Q_i} \right)^p &\leq M_{Q_i}^d f - |f_{Q_i}| = M_{Q_i}^d f - M_{Q_i}^d (f_{Q_i}) \\ &\leq M_{Q_i}^d (f - f_{Q_i}), \end{aligned}$$

since $|f_{Q_i}| \leq M_{Q_0}^d f(x)$ for every $x \in Q_i$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_i} \left| (M_{Q_0}^d f)^{1/p} - [(M_{Q_0}^d f)^{1/p}]_{Q_i} \right| \, dx \\ &= \int_{Q_i} \left((M_{Q_0}^d f)^{1/p} - [(M_{Q_0}^d f)^{1/p}]_{Q_i} \right)_+ \, dx \\ &\leq \int_{Q_i} \left(M_{Q_0}^d f - \left([(M_{Q_0}^d f)^{1/p}]_{Q_i} \right)_+^p \right)_+^{1/p} \, dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_{E_i} \left(M_{Q_0}^d f - \left(\left[(M_{Q_0}^d f)^{1/p} \right]_{Q_i} \right)^p \right)_+^{1/p} dx \\
 &\quad + \int_{Q_i \setminus E_i} \left(M_{Q_0}^d f - \left(\left[(M_{Q_0}^d f)^{1/p} \right]_{Q_i} \right)^p \right)_+^{1/p} dx \\
 &= \int_{E_i} \left(M_{Q_i}^d f - \left(\left[(M_{Q_0}^d f)^{1/p} \right]_{Q_i} \right)^p \right)_+^{1/p} dx \\
 &\quad + \int_{Q_i \setminus E_i} \left(M_i - \left(\left[(M_{Q_0}^d f)^{1/p} \right]_{Q_i} \right)^p \right)_+^{1/p} dx \\
 &\leq \int_{E_i} [M_{Q_i}^d (f - f_{Q_i})]^{1/p} dx \leq \int_{Q_i} [M_{Q_i}^d (f - f_{Q_i})]^{1/p} dx,
 \end{aligned}$$

where in the second last inequality we also used

$$M_i \leq \left(\left[(M_{Q_0}^d f)^{1/p} \right]_{Q_i} \right)^p, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Applying Cavalieri’s principle together with the weak type estimate (4.1) for the dyadic maximal operator, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{Q_i} [M_{Q_i}^d (f - f_{Q_i})]^{1/p} dx \\
 &= \frac{1}{p} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{1/p-1} |\{x \in Q_i : M_{Q_i}^d (f - f_{Q_i})(x) > \lambda\}| d\lambda \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{p} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{1/p-2} \|f - f_{Q_i}\|_{L^1(Q_i)} d\lambda \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{p} \int_0^{\|f - f_{Q_i}\|_{L^1(Q_i)} / |Q_i|} \lambda^{1/p-1} |Q_i| d\lambda \\
 &= \frac{1}{p-1} |Q_i|^{1-1/p} \|f - f_{Q_i}\|_{L^1(Q_i)}^{1/p} + |Q_i|^{1-1/p} \|f - f_{Q_i}\|_{L^1(Q_i)}^{1/p} \\
 &= \frac{p}{p-1} |Q_i|^{1-1/p} \|f - f_{Q_i}\|_{L^1(Q_i)}^{1/p} \leq 2^{1/p} \frac{p}{p-1} |Q_i|^{1-1/p} \|f\|_{L^1(Q_i)}^{1/p}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{i=1}^\infty |Q_i| \left(\int_{Q_i} \left| (M_{Q_0}^d f)^{1/p} - \left[(M_{Q_0}^d f)^{1/p} \right]_{Q_i} \right| dx \right)^p \\
 &\leq 2^p \sum_{i=1}^\infty |Q_i| \left(\int_{Q_i} [M_{Q_i}^d (f - f_{Q_i})]^{1/p} dx \right)^p \\
 &\leq 2^{p+1} \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \sum_{i=1}^\infty \|f\|_{L^1(Q_i)} \leq 2^{p+1} \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \|f\|_{L^1(Q_0)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over all collections of $\{Q_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, we obtain

$$\|(M_{Q_0}^d f)^{1/p}\|_{JN_p^d(Q_0)}^p \leq 2^{p+1} \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \|f\|_{L^1(Q_0)}. \quad \square$$

COROLLARY 4.4. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and assume that $f \in L^1(Q_0) \setminus L \log^+ L(Q_0)$. Then $(M_{Q_0}^d f)^{1/p} \in JN_p^d(Q_0) \setminus L^p(Q_0)$.*

Proof. Since $f \in L^1(Q_0)$, it follows that $(M_{Q_0}^d f)^{1/p} \in JN_p^d(Q_0)$ by theorem 4.3. We know that a function g is in $L \log^+ L(Q_0)$ if and only if $M_{Q_0}^d g$ is in $L^1(Q_0)$ [26]. Therefore, we have $M_{Q_0}^d f \notin L^1(Q_0)$, and thus $(M_{Q_0}^d f)^{1/p} \notin L^p(Q_0)$. \square

This provides a method to construct functions in $JN_p^d \setminus L^p$. Consider a one-dimensional example. Let $I_0 = (0, \frac{1}{8})$ and $f : I_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$f(x) = \frac{\chi_{(0,1/2)}(x)}{x(\log x)^2}.$$

It holds that $f \in L^1(I_0) \setminus L \log^+ L(I_0)$. Since f is monotone on I_0 , its maximal function is monotone on I_0 as well. Hence, it cannot be in the standard John–Nirenberg space $JN_p(I_0)$, $1 < p < \infty$, since $JN_p(I_0) = L^p(I_0)$ for monotone functions [6]. Thus, we have $(M_{I_0}^d f)^{1/p} \in JN_p^d(I_0) \setminus JN_p(I_0)$ and $(M_{I_0}^d f)^{1/p} \in JN_p^d(I_0) \setminus L^p(I_0)$.

5. Completeness of JN_p^d

The standard BMO is complete with respect to the BMO seminorm; see [24]. We prove that the dyadic John–Nirenberg space is complete. Our proof also works for the standard John–Nirenberg space JN_p ; see (1.2).

THEOREM 5.1. *Let $Q_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a cube. The space $JN_p^d(Q_0)$ is complete with respect to the seminorm in definition 3.6.*

Proof. Assume that $(f_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $JN_p^d(Q_0)$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists $j_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|f_j - f_k\|_{JN_p^d(Q_0)} < \varepsilon \quad \text{whenever } j, k \geq j_\varepsilon.$$

Consider a collection $\{Q_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of pairwise disjoint dyadic cubes $Q_i \subset Q_0$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$g_j = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \chi_{Q_i}(f_j - (f_j)_{Q_i})$$

and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |Q_i| \left(\int_{Q_i} |g_j - g_k| \, dx \right)^p &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |Q_i| \left(\int_{Q_i} |f_j - (f_j)_{Q_i} - (f_k - (f_k)_{Q_i})| \, dx \right)^p \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |Q_i| \left(\int_{Q_i} |f_j - f_k - (f_j - f_k)_{Q_i}| \, dx \right)^p \\ &\leq \|f_j - f_k\|_{JN_p^d(Q_0)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Choose a subsequence $(f_{j_m})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\|f_{j_{m+1}} - f_{j_m}\|_{JN_p^d(Q_0)} < \frac{1}{2^m}$$

for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote

$$h_l = \sum_{m=1}^l |g_{j_{m+1}} - g_{j_m}| \quad \text{and} \quad h = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |g_{j_{m+1}} - g_{j_m}|.$$

It then holds that $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} h_l = h$. By using Fatou’s lemma and Minkowski’s inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |Q_i| \left(\int_{Q_i} |h| \, dx \right)^p \right)^{1/p} &\leq \liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |Q_i| \left(\int_{Q_i} |h_l| \, dx \right)^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \liminf_{l \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^l \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |Q_i| \left(\int_{Q_i} |g_{j_{m+1}} - g_{j_m}| \, dx \right)^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \|f_{j_{m+1}} - f_{j_m}\|_{JN_p^d(Q_0)} \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^m} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $h \in L^1(Q_i)$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and consequently $h(x) < \infty$ for almost every $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_i$. This implies that the series in

$$g = g_{j_1} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (g_{j_{m+1}} - g_{j_m})$$

converges absolutely for almost every $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_i$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g &= g_{j_1} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (g_{j_{m+1}} - g_{j_m}) = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \left(g_{j_1} + \sum_{m=1}^{l-1} (g_{j_{m+1}} - g_{j_m}) \right) \\ &= \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} g_{j_l} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g_{j_m} \end{aligned}$$

for almost every $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_i$. By Fatou’s lemma, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |Q_i| \left(\int_{Q_i} |g - g_j| \, dx \right)^p &\leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |Q_i| \left(\int_{Q_i} |g_{j_m} - g_j| \, dx \right)^p \\ &\leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|f_{j_m} - f_j\|_{JN_p^d(Q_0)}^p < \varepsilon^p, \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

whenever $j \geq j_\varepsilon$.

Consider the collection consisting only of the cube Q_0 . Then as above, we have

$$g_j^{Q_0} = f_j - (f_j)_{Q_0}$$

and

$$g^{Q_0} = g_{j_1}^{Q_0} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (g_{j_{m+1}}^{Q_0} - g_{j_m}^{Q_0}) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g_{j_m}^{Q_0}$$

almost everywhere in Q_0 . Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |Q_0| \left(\int_{Q_0} |g^{Q_0} - g_j^{Q_0}| dx \right)^p &\leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} |Q_0| \left(\int_{Q_0} |g_{j_m}^{Q_0} - g_j^{Q_0}| dx \right)^p \\ &\leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|f_{j_m} - f_j\|_{JN_p^d(Q_0)}^p < \varepsilon^p, \end{aligned}$$

whenever $j \geq j_\varepsilon$. We see that $g^{Q_0} \in L^1(Q_0)$ and $g_j^{Q_0} = f_j - (f_j)_{Q_0} \rightarrow g^{Q_0}$ in $L^1(Q_0)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, and thus

$$(f_{j_m})_{Q_i} - (f_{j_m})_{Q_0} = \int_{Q_i} (f_{j_m} - (f_{j_m})_{Q_0}) dx \rightarrow \int_{Q_i} g^{Q_0} dx$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, for almost every $x \in Q_i$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} g^{Q_0} - g &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (f_{j_m} - (f_{j_m})_{Q_0} - (f_{j_m} - (f_{j_m})_{Q_i})) \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} ((f_{j_m})_{Q_i} - (f_{j_m})_{Q_0}) = (g^{Q_0})_{Q_i}. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (5.1) implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |Q_i| \left(\int_{Q_i} |g^{Q_0} - f_j - (g^{Q_0} - f_j)_{Q_i}| dx \right)^p \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |Q_i| \left(\int_{Q_i} |g^{Q_0} - (g^{Q_0})_{Q_i} - g_j| dx \right)^p \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |Q_i| \left(\int_{Q_i} |g - g_j| dx \right)^p < \varepsilon^p, \end{aligned}$$

whenever $j \geq j_\varepsilon$. Since this holds for any collection $\{Q_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, we can take the supremum over the collections to obtain

$$\|g^{Q_0} - f_j\|_{JN_p^d(Q_0)} < \varepsilon,$$

whenever $j \geq j_\varepsilon$. This concludes that $g^{Q_0} = (g^{Q_0} - f_j) + f_j \in JN_p^d(Q_0)$ and f_j converges to g^{Q_0} in $JN_p^d(Q_0)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. □

Financial support

The research was supported by the Academy of Finland.

References

- 1 D. Aalto, L. Berkovits, O. E. Kansanen and H. Yue. John–Nirenberg lemmas for a doubling measure. *Studia Math.* **204** (2011), 21–37.
- 2 C. Bennett, R. A. DeVore and R. Sharpley. Weak- L^∞ and BMO. *Ann. Math. (2)* **113** (1981), 601–611.
- 3 L. Berkovits, J. Kinnunen and J. M. Martell. Oscillation estimates, self-improving results and good- λ inequalities. *J. Funct. Anal.* **270** (2016), 3559–3590.
- 4 F. Chiarenza and M. Frasca. Morrey spaces and Hardy–Littlewood maximal function. *Rend. Mat. Appl. (7)* **7** (1987), 273–279 (1988).
- 5 R. R. Coifman and R. Rochberg. Another characterization of BMO. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **79** (1980), 249–254.
- 6 G. Dafni, T. Hytönen, R. Korte and H. Yue. The space JN_p : nontriviality and duality. *J. Funct. Anal.* **275** (2018), 577–603.
- 7 H. Federer and W. P. Ziemer. The Lebesgue set of a function whose distribution derivatives are p -th power summable. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **22** (1972/73), 139–158.
- 8 N. Fujii. A condition for a two-weight norm inequality for singular integral operators. *Studia Math.* **98** (1991), 175–190.
- 9 J. B. Garnett and P. W. Jones. BMO from dyadic BMO. *Pacific J. Math.* **99** (1982), 351–371.
- 10 A. Gogatishvili, P. Koskela and Y. Zhou. Characterizations of Besov and Triebel–Lizorkin spaces on metric measure spaces. *Forum Math.* **25** (2013), 787–819.
- 11 T. Heikkinen. Generalized Lebesgue points for Hajłasz functions. *J. Funct. Spaces* (2018), Art. ID 5637042, 12 pp.
- 12 T. Heikkinen, L. Ihnatsyeva and H. Tuominen. Measure density and extension of Besov and Triebel–Lizorkin functions. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **22** (2016), 334–382.
- 13 T. Heikkinen and J. Kinnunen. A median approach to differentiation bases. *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl.* **30** (2019), 41–66.
- 14 T. Heikkinen, P. Koskela and H. Tuominen. Approximation and quasicontinuity of Besov and Triebel–Lizorkin functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **369** (2017), 3547–3573.
- 15 T. Heikkinen and H. Tuominen. Approximation by Hölder functions in Besov and Triebel–Lizorkin spaces. *Constr. Approx.* **44** (2016), 455–482.
- 16 B. Jawerth, C. Pérez and G. Welland. The positive cone in Triebel–Lizorkin spaces and the relation among potential and maximal operators. In *Harmonic analysis and partial differential equations (Boca Raton, FL, 1988)*, (eds M. Milman and T. Schonbek) Contemp. Math., vol. 107, pp. 71–91 (Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc., 1990).
- 17 B. Jawerth and A. Torchinsky. Local sharp maximal functions. *J. Approx. Theory* **43** (1985), 231–270.
- 18 F. John. Quasi-isometric mappings. In *Seminari 1962/63 Anal. Alg. Geom. e Topol.*, vol. 2, pp. 462–473 (Ist. Naz. Alta Mat., Ediz. Cremonese, Rome, 1965).
- 19 F. John and L. Nirenberg. On functions of bounded mean oscillation. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **14** (1961), 415–426.
- 20 N. Karak. Triebel–Lizorkin capacity and Hausdorff measure in metric spaces. *Math. Slovaca* **70** (2020), 617–624.
- 21 A. K. Lerner. A pointwise estimate for the local sharp maximal function with applications to singular integrals. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **42** (2010), 843–856.
- 22 A. K. Lerner and C. Pérez. Self-improving properties of generalized Poincaré type inequalities through rearrangements. *Math. Scand.* **97** (2005), 217–234.
- 23 K. Myrskyläinen. Median-type John–Nirenberg space in metric measure spaces. Preprint, arXiv:2104.05380 (2021).
- 24 U. Neri. Some properties of functions with bounded mean oscillation. *Studia Math.* **61** (1977), 63–75.
- 25 J. Poelhuis and A. Torchinsky. Medians, continuity, and vanishing oscillation. *Studia Math.* **213** (2012), 227–242.
- 26 E. M. Stein. Note on the class $L \log L$. *Studia Math.* **32** (1969), 305–310.

- 27 J.-O. Strömberg. Bounded mean oscillation with Orlicz norms and duality of Hardy spaces. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **28** (1979), 511–544.
- 28 J.-O. Strömberg and A. Torchinsky. *Weighted Hardy spaces*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1381 (Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1989).
- 29 Y. Zhou. Fractional Sobolev extension and imbedding. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **367** (2015), 959–979.