J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) **49** (1990), 72–89

ON TRANSITION MULTIMEASURES WITH VALUES IN A BANACH SPACE

NIKOLAOS S. PAPAGEORGIOU

(Received 30 January 1989; revised 13 June 1989)

Communicated by S. Yamamuro

1980 *Mathematics subject classification* (*Amer. Math. Soc.*) (1985 *Revision*): 23 B 20, 46 G 10.

1. Introduction

The theory of multimeasures (set valued measures), has its origins in mathematical economics and in particular in equilibrium theory for exchange economies with production, in which the coalitions and not the individual agents are the basic economic units (see Vind [25] and Hildenbrand [15]). Since then the subject of multimeasures has been developed extensively. Important contributions were made, among others, by Artstein [1], Costé [8], [9], Costé and Pallu de la Barrière [10], Drewnowski [12], Godet-Thobie [13], Hiai [14] and Pallu de la Barrière [17]. Further applications in mathematical economics can be found in Klein and Thompson [16] and Papageorgiou [19].

In this paper we study multimeasures parametrized by the elements of a measurable space (transition multimeasures). Such multimeasures turn out to be the appropriate tool to establish the existence of a Markov temporary equilibrium processes in dynamic economies (see Blume [6]).

This research was supported by N.S.F. Grant D.M.S.-8802688.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we establish our notation and terminology and we recall some basic facts from the theories of multifunctions and multimeasures that we will need in the sequel.

Let (Ω, Σ) be a measurable space and X a separable Banach space. Throughout this paper we will be using the following notations:

$$P_{f(c)}(X) = \{A \subseteq X \colon A \text{ is nonempty, closed, (convex)}\};$$

and

$$P_{(w)k(c)}(X) = \{A \subseteq X \colon A \text{ is nonempty, } (w)\text{-compact, (convex)}\}.$$

Also by $X^*_{w^*}$ we will denote the dual of X endowed with the weak* topology and by $P_{kc}(X^*_{w^*})$ we will denote the nonempty, w^*-compact and convex subsets of X^*.

If $A \in 2^X \setminus \{\varnothing\}$, we define $|A| = \sup\{\|\alpha\| \colon \alpha \in A\}$ (the "norm" of the set A), $\sigma(x^*, A) = \sup\{(x^*, \alpha) \colon \alpha \in A\}$, $x^* \in X^*$ (the "support function" of A) and $d(x, A) = \inf\{\|x - \alpha\| \colon \alpha \in A\}$ (the "distance function" from A).

A multifunction $F \colon \Omega \to P_f(X)$ is said to be measurable, if for all $x \in X$, $\omega \to d(x, F(\omega))$. This definition is in fact equivalent to saying that there exist $f_n \colon \Omega \to X$ measurable functions such that for all $\omega \in \Omega$, $F(\omega) = \mathrm{cl}\{f_n(\omega)\}_{n \geq 1}$. Furthermore if there exists a complete σ-finite measure $\mu(\cdot)$ on Σ, then both the above definitions are equivalent to saying that $\mathrm{Gr}\, F = \{(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times X \colon x \in F(\omega)\} \in \Sigma \times B(X)$, $B(X)$ being the Borel σ-field of X (graph measurability) (for details we refer to Wagner [26]).

By S^1_F we will denote the set of integrable selectors of $F(\cdot)$, that is, $S^1_F = \{f(\cdot) \in L^1(X) \colon f(\omega) \in F(\omega)\ \mu\text{-a.e.}\}$. This set may be empty. A straightforward application of Aumann's selection theorem tells us that if $F \colon \Omega \to P_f(X)$ is measurable and $\omega \to |F(\omega)|$ belongs in L^1_+ (such an $F(\cdot)$ is usually called "integrably bounded"), then $S^1_F \neq \varnothing$. Having this set we can define a set valued integral for $F(\cdot)$ as follows:

$$\int_\Omega F(\omega)\, d\mu(\omega) = \left\{ \int_\Omega f(\omega)\, d\mu(\omega) \colon f \in S^1_F \right\}.$$

The vector valued integrals of the right hand side are defined in the sense of Bochner. This integral is known in the literature as Aumann's integral, since it was first introduced by Aumann [4] as the natural generalization of the Minkowski sum of sets.

Next let X by any Banach space. A multimeasure is a map $M \colon \Sigma \to 2^X \setminus \{\varnothing\}$ such that (i) $M(\varnothing) = \{0\}$ and (ii) for every $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq \Sigma$ pairwise disjoint we have $M(\bigcup_{n\geq 1} A_n) = \sum_{n\geq 1} M(A_n)$. Depending on the way we interpret this infinite sum we get different types of multimeasures. However all these definitions coincide when $M(\cdot)$ is $P_{wkc}(X)$-valued (see Godet-Thobie [13, Proposition 3] and Pallu de la Barrière [17]). This fact can be viewed as the set-valued version of the well known Orlicz-Pettis theorem (see Diestel and Uhl [11]). So for the needs of this work we can say that $M: \Sigma \to P_f(X)$ is a multimeasure (set valued measure) if and only if for every $x^* \in X^*$, $A \to \sigma(x^*, M(A))$ is a signed measure. Similarly $M: \sigma \to P_{kc}(X^*_{w^*})$ is an $X^*_{w^*}$-valued multimeasure if and only if for all $x \in X$, $A \to \sigma(x, M(A))$ is a signed measure.

If $M(\cdot)$ is a multimeasure and $A \in \Sigma$, then we define

$$|M|(A) = \sup_{\pi} \sum_k |M(A_k)|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite Σ-partitions $\pi = \{A_k\}_{k=1}^n$ of A. If $|M|(\Omega) < \infty$, then $M(\cdot)$ is said to be of bounded variation. Also by S_M we will denote all vector measures $m: \Sigma \to X$ that are selectors of $M(\cdot)$, that is, $m(A) \in M(A)$ for all $A \in \Sigma$.

Now let (Ω, Σ) and $(T, \mathscr{T})$ be measurable spaces and X a separable Banach space. A multivalued map $M: \Omega \times \mathscr{T} \to P_f(X)$ is said to be a transition multimeasure if

(1) for all $A \in \mathscr{T}$, $\omega \to M(\omega, A)$ is a measurable multifunction,

(2) for all $\omega \in \Omega$, $A \to M(\omega, A)$ is a multimeasure,

A "selector transition measure" or simply a "transition selector" is a map $m: \Omega \times \mathscr{T} \to X$ such that

(1) for all $A \in T$, $\omega \to m(\omega, A)$ is Σ-measurable,

(2) for all $\omega \in \Omega$, $A \to m(\omega, A)$ is a vector measure,

(3) for all $\omega \in \Omega$ and all $A \in \mathscr{T}$, $m(\omega, A) \in M(\omega, A)$.

The set of all transition selectors of $M(\cdot, \cdot)$ will be denoted by TS_M. Similarly we can define $X^*_{w^*}$-valued transition multimeasures $M: \Omega \times T \to P_{kc}(X^*_{w^*})$ and its set of transition selectors.

Let T be a Polish space and X a Banach space. By $C_b(T)$ we will denote the space of bounded continuous functions on T and by $C_b(T) \otimes X$ the space of bounded continuous functions with values in a finite dimensional subspace of X. Also by $M^b(T, X)$ we will denote the space of X-valued vector measures of bounded variation defined on $(T, B(T))$. Similarly we define $M^b(T, X^*_{w^*})$ and $C_b(T) \otimes X^*$ (see Saint-Beuve [23]). Finally if $m \in M^b(T, X)$ and $B \in B(T)$, then $\chi_B m$ is the vector measure defined by $\chi_B m(A) = m(A \cap B)$, $A \in B(T)$.

3. Transition selectors

In this section we prove a theorem that establishes the existence of a transition selector for a transition multimeasure. Our result extends Theorem 2.3 of Hiai [14] to transition multimeasures and also it extends Theorem 5 of Godet-Thobie [13].

So assume that (Ω, Σ, μ) is a complete, finite measure space, T is a Polish space with $B(T)$ denoting its Borel σ-field and X is a separable Banach space.

THEOREM 3.1. *If $M: \Omega \times B(T) \to P_{kc}(X^*_{w^*})$ is a transition multimeasure of bounded variation and $h: \Omega \to X^*_{w^*}$ is a measurable map such that for some $A \in B(T)$, $h(\omega) \in M(\omega, A)$, for all $\omega \in \Omega$, then there exists $m \in TS_M$ such that for all $\omega \in \Omega$, $m(\omega, A) = h(\omega)$.*

PROOF. Let $R_A: \Omega \to M^b(T, X^*_{w^*})$ be defined by

$$R_A(\omega) = \{m \in M^b(T, X^*_{w^*}): m \in S_{M(\omega, \cdot)}, m(A) = h(\omega)\}.$$

From Godet-Thobie [13, Theorem 1] (see also Hiai [14, Theorem 2.3]), we know that for all $\omega \in \Omega$, $R_A(\omega) \neq \varnothing$.

Next let $x \in X$ and consider the function $\phi_{A,x}: \Omega \times M^b(T, X^*_{w^*}) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\phi_{A,x}(\omega, m) = (x, m(A) - h(\omega))$.

Since by hypothesis $h(\cdot)$ is w^*-measurable, $\omega \to (x, h(\omega))$ is a measurable $\mathbb{R}$-valued function. On the other hand recall that by the definition of $C_b(T) \otimes X$, the $w(M^b(T, X^*_{w^*}), C_b(T) \otimes X)$-topology is the weakest topology on $M^b(T, X^*_{w^*})$, for which $m \to x \circ m$ is continuous from $M^b(T, X^*_{w^*})$ into $M^b(T)$ with the weak (narrow) topology (here $x \circ m(\cdot)$ denotes the $\mathbb{R}$-valued measure $A \to (x, m(A)))$. Also from the Dynkin system theorem, we deduce that for every $C \in B(T)$, the map $\lambda \to \lambda(C)$ on $M^b(T)$ with the weak topology is measurable. Hence we finally conclude that $m \to (x \circ m)(C) = (x, m(C))$ is measurable. Therefore we see that

$$(\omega, m) \to (x, m(A)) - (x, h(\omega)) = \phi_{A,x}(\omega, m)$$

is jointly measurable on $\Omega \times M^b(T, X^*_{w^*})$ when $M^b(T, X^*_{w^*})$ is endowed with the $w(M^b(T, X^*_{w^*}), C_b(T) \otimes X)$-topology.

By definition m is a measure selector of $M(\omega, \cdot)$ (denoted by $m \in S_{M(\omega, \cdot)}$) if and only if for all $C \in B(T)$, $m(C) \in M \in M(\omega, C)$. Since $M(\cdot, \cdot)$ is $P_{kc}(X^*_{w^*})$-valued we have $(x, m(C)) \leq \sigma(x, M(\omega, C))$ for all

https://doi.org/10.1017/S1446788700030251 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$x \in X$ and all $C \in B(T)$. Note that since $M(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a transition multimeasure,

$$\omega \to \sigma(x, M(\omega, C))$$

is measurable while as above we can see that $m \to (x, m(C))$ is measurable from $M^b(T, X^*_{x^*})$ with the $w(M^b(T, X^*_{w^*}), C_n b(T) \otimes X)$-topology into $\mathbf{R}$. Hence the map $\varphi_{C,x}(\omega, m) = \sigma(x, M(\omega, C)) - (x, m(C))$ is jointly measurable.

Now let $\{x_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ be dense in X and let $\{C_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ be a field generating $B(T)$, that is, $\sigma(\{C_n\}_{n\geq 1}) = B(T)$ (recall that since T is a Polish space, $B(T)$ is countably generated to such a countable field exists). Then by setting $\varphi_{A,k}(\omega, m) = (x_k, m(A) - h(\omega))$ and $\varphi_{n,k}(\omega, m) = \sigma(x_k, M(\omega, C_n)) - (x_k, m(C_n))$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Gr} R_A &= \bigcap_{\substack{k\geq 1\\ n\geq 1}} \{(\omega, m) \in \Omega \times M^b(T, X^*_{w^*}) \colon \phi_{A,k}(\omega, m) = 0, \varphi_{n,k}(\omega, m) \geq 0\} \\ &\in \Sigma \times B(M^b(T, X^*_{w^*})). \end{aligned}$$

From Saint-Beuve [23, Theorem 3], we know that $M^b(T, X^*_{w^*})$ equipped with the $w(M^b(T, X^*_{w^*}), C_b(T) \otimes X)$-topology is a Souslin space. Thus we can apply Aumann's selection theorem (see Saint-Beuve [22, Theorem 3]), to get $r \colon \Omega \to M^b(T, X^*_{w^*})$ measurable such that for all $\omega \in \Omega$, $r(\omega) \in R(\omega)$. Set $r(\omega)(C) = m(\omega, C)$ for all $(\omega, C) \in \Omega \times B(T)$. Then clearly $m(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a transition selector of $M(\cdot, \cdot)$ and for all $\omega \in \Omega$, $m(\omega, A) = h(\omega)$.

4. Integration with respect to a transition multimeasure

Now we turn out attention to integration with respect to a transition multimeasure, extending the work of Costé [8].

Let $f \colon \Omega \times T \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a measurable function such that for all $\omega \in \Omega$, $f(\omega, \cdot) \in L^1(T, \lambda)$. Motivated by the definition of the Aumann integral (see Section 2), we define the integral of $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ with respect to a multimeasure $M(\cdot, \cdot)$, $|M(\omega, \cdot)| \ll \lambda$ μ-a.e. as follows:

$$\int_C f(\omega, t) M(\omega, dt) = \left\{ \int_C f(\omega, t) m(\omega, dt) \colon m \in TS_M \right\}, \qquad C \in B(T).$$

Note that for every $m \in TS_M$, $\omega \to \int_C f(\omega, t) m(\omega, dt)$ is measurable. To see this let $s_n \colon \Omega \times T \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be simple functions such that $|s_n(\omega, t)| \leq |f(\omega, t)|$ and $s_n(\omega, t) \to f(\omega, t)$ $\mu\times\lambda$-a.e. Clearly $\omega \to \int_C s_n(\omega, t) m(\omega, dt)$, $n \geq 1$, are measurable and by the dominated convergence theorem we have that

$$\int_C s_n(\omega, t)m(\omega, dt) \to \int_C f(\omega, t)m(\omega, dt) \quad \mu\text{-a.e.}$$

Hence $\omega \to \int_C f(\omega, t)m(\omega, dt)$ is measurable.

Assume that (Ω, Σ, μ) is a complete, finite measure space with $\{\omega\} \in \Sigma$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$, T is a Polish space with $B(T)$ its Borel σ-field while $\lambda(\cdot)$ is a finite measure on $(T, B(T))$ and X is a separable, reflexive Banach space.

THEOREM 4.1. *If $M: \Omega \times B(T) \to P_{fc}(X)$ is a transition multimeasure such that $M(\omega, C) \subseteq \lambda(C)W(\omega)$ with $W(\omega) \in P_{wkc}(X)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$ and $N(\omega, C) = \int_C f(\omega, t)M(\omega, dt)$, then $N(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a $P_{wkc}(X)$-valued transition multimeasure.*

PROOF. From Theorem 3.1 we know that $TS_M \neq \varnothing$ and so $N(\cdot, \cdot)$ has nonempty values. Also since $M(\cdot, \cdot)$ is convex valued, TS_M is convex and so $N(\cdot, \cdot)$ is convex valued too.

Now note that since by hypothesis $\{\omega\} \in \Sigma$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$, we have

$$\left\{\int_C f(\omega, t)m(\omega, dt) : m \in TS_M\right\} = \left\{\int_C f(\omega, t)\hat{m}(dt) : \hat{m} \in S_{M(\omega, \cdot)}\right\}.$$

Fix $\omega \in \Omega$ and consider a net $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I} \subseteq N(\omega, C)$ such that $x_\alpha \xrightarrow{w} x$ in X. Then by definition we have

$$x_\alpha = \int_C f(\omega, t)\hat{m}_\alpha(dt), \qquad \hat{m}_\alpha \in S_{M(\omega, \cdot)}.$$

But from Godet-Thobie [13, Theorem 1], we know that

$$S_{M(\omega, \cdot)} \subseteq M^b(T, X)$$

is compact for the topology of pointwise weak convergence, denoted by $w = w(M^b(T, X), \Sigma \otimes X^*)$. So we can find a subnet $\{\hat{m}_\beta\}_{\beta \in I'}$ of $\{\hat{m}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ such that $\hat{m}_\beta \xrightarrow{\hat{w}} \hat{m} \in S_{M(\omega, \cdot)}$. We now claim that for each $x^* \in X^*$ and each $C \in B(T)$, the map $\overline{m} \to (x^*, \int_C f(\omega, t)\overline{m}(dt))$ is continuous from $S_{M(\omega, \cdot)}$ with the $\hat{w}$-topology into $\mathbb{R}$. To see this let $f(\omega, \cdot)$ be the simple function $\sum_{k=1}^n a_k \chi_{B_k}(\cdot)$. Then we have $\int_C f(\omega, t)\overline{m}(dt) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \overline{m}(C \cap B_k)$ implies $\overline{m} \to (x^*, \int_C f(\omega, t)\overline{m}(dt))$ is continuous.

Now let $s_n(\cdot)$ be simple functions on T such that $\|f(\omega, \cdot) - s_n(\cdot)\|_1 \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Note that for all $\overline{m} \in S_{M(\omega, \cdot)}$ we have $|(x^*, \overline{m}(C))| \le \lambda(C) \cdot \|x^*\| \cdot |W(\omega)|$, $x^* \in X^*$. So we get that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_C |f(\omega, t) - s_n(t)| d|x^* \circ \overline{m}|(dt) = 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } \overline{m} \in S_{M(\omega, \cdot)}$$

implies

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_C s_n(t)d(x^*\circ\overline{m})(dt)=\int_C f(\omega, t)d(x^*\circ\overline{m})(dt)$$

uniformly in $\overline{m}\in S_{M(\omega,\cdot)}$.

Since the members of the uniformly convergent sequence are continuous in $\overline{m}$, we conclude that the limit is continuous in $\overline{m}$, that is, $\overline{m}\to \int_C f(w, t)d(x^*\circ\overline{m})(dt)=(x^*, \int_C f(\omega, t)\overline{m}(dt))$ is continuous as claimed. So we have

$$\int_C f(\omega, t)\hat{m}_\beta(dt)\to\int_C f(\omega, t)\hat{m}(dt)$$

implies $x=\int_C f(\omega, t)\hat{m}(dt)$, $\hat{m}\in S_{M(\omega,\cdot)}$, which implies $N(\omega, C)\in P_{fc}(X)$ for all $(\omega, C)\in\Omega\times B(T)$.

Also note that

$$N(\omega, C)=\int_C f(\omega, t)M(\omega, dt)\subseteq\left(\int_C f(\omega, t)\lambda(dt)\right)W(\omega)\in P_{wkc}(X),$$

which implies $N(\omega, C)\in P_{wkc}(X)$ for all $(\omega, C)\in\Omega\times B(T)$.

Next let $m\in TS_M$ and $x^*\in X^*$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(x^*, \int_C f(\omega, t)m(\omega, dt)\right)&=\int_C f(\omega, t)d(x^*\circ m)(\omega, dt)\\&\leq\int_C f(\omega, t)\sigma(x^*, M(\omega, dt))\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\sigma(x^*, N(\omega, C))\leq\int_C f(\omega, t)\sigma(x^*, M(\omega, dt)).$$

Fix $x^*\in X^*$ and consider the following multifunction:

$$H_H(\omega)=\{\hat{m}\in S_{M(\omega,\cdot)}\colon \sigma(x^*, M(\omega, C))=(x^*, \hat{m}(C))\}.$$

Consider a well order on X^* (it exists by the well ordering principle) and give X the corresponding lexicographic ordering (see for example Boubaki [7]). Since by hypothesis $M(\cdot,\cdot)$ is $P_{wkc}(X)$-valued, we can find a lexicographic maximum $\hat{m}(C)$ of $M(\omega, C)$. Then

$$(x^*, \hat{m}(C))=\sigma(x^*, M(\omega, C)).$$

We will show that $\hat{m}(\cdot)\in S_{M(\omega,\cdot)}$. According to Godet-Thobie [13, Proposition 2], it is enough to show that $\hat{m}(\cdot)$ is additive. So let B_1, B_2 be two disjoint elements of $B(T)$. Then, if by $<_L$ we denote the lexicographic order, then for all $b_1\in B_1$ and all $b_2\in B_2$ we have $b_1<_L\hat{m}(B_1)$ and $b_2<_L\hat{m}(B_2)$.

Since $M(B_1 \cup B_2) = M(B_1) + M(B_2)$, every element $b \in M(B_1 \cup B_2)$ can be written as $b = b_1 + b_2$ with $b_1 \in M(B_1)$ and $b_2 \in M(B_2)$. Because the lexicographic ordering is clearly compatible with vector addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&b <_L \hat{m}(B_1) + \hat{m}(B_2) \\
&\Rightarrow \hat{m}(B_1) + \hat{m}(B_2) \text{ is the lexicographic maximum of } M(B_1 \cup B_2) \\
&\Rightarrow \hat{m}(B_1 \cup B_2) = \hat{m}(B_1) + \hat{m}(B_2) \\
&\Rightarrow \hat{m}(\cdot) \text{ is additive, and thus belongs in } S_{M(\omega, \cdot)}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence for all $\omega \in \Omega$, $H_H(\omega) \neq \varnothing$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
H_H(\omega) = \{\hat{m} \in M^b(T, X)\colon \sigma(x^*, M(\omega, B)) = (x^*, \hat{m}(B)),& \\
\hat{m}(B) \in M(\omega, B),\ B \in B(T)\}& \\
\Rightarrow \operatorname{Gr} H_H = \{(\omega, \hat{m}) \in \Omega \times M^b(T, X)\colon \sigma(x^*, M(\omega, B)) = (x^*, \hat{m}(B)),& \\
\hat{m}(B) \in M(\omega, B),\ B \in B(T)\}& \\
\Rightarrow \operatorname{Gr} H_H = \bigcap_{\substack{k \geq 1 \\ n \geq 1}} \{(\omega, \hat{m}) \in \Omega \times M^b(T, X)\colon \sigma(x^*, M(\omega, B_n))& \\
= (x^*, \hat{m}(B_n)),\ (z_k^*, \hat{m}(B_n)) \leq \sigma(z_k^*, M(\omega, B_n))\}&
\end{aligned}$$

where $\{z_k^*\}_{k \geq 1}$ is dense in X^* and $\{B_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a field generating $B(T)$, that is, $\sigma(B_n\colon n \geq 1) = B(T)$ (again since by hypothesis, T is a Polish space, $B(T)$ is countably generated and so such a countable field exists). Then, as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we get that $\operatorname{Gr} H_H \in \Sigma \times B(M^b(T, X))$ (recall that $M^b(T, X)$ is equipped with the $w(M^b(T, X),\ C_b(T) \otimes X^*)$-topology). But $M^b(T, X)$ with this topology is Souslin (see Saint-Beuve [23]). So we can apply Aumann's selection theorem and get $m \in TS_M$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma(x^*, M(\omega, C)) = (x^*, m(\omega, C)) \\
&\Rightarrow \sigma(x^*, N(\omega, C)) = \int_C f(\omega, t)\, d(x^* \circ m)(\omega, dt) \\
&\Rightarrow \omega \to \sigma(x^*, N(\omega, C)) \text{ is measurable},
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\operatorname{Gr} N(\cdot, C) = \bigcap_{k \geq 1} \{(\omega, y) \in \Omega \times X\colon (z_k^*, y) \leq \sigma(z_k^*, N(\omega, C))\} \in \Sigma \times B(X)$$

and since (Ω, Σ, μ) is by hypothesis a complete, finite measure space, we conclude (see Section 2), that $N(\cdot, C)$ is measurable for every $C \in B(T)$. Clearly $C \to \sigma(x^*, N(\omega, C))$ is a signed measure, and hence $N(\omega, \cdot)$ is a multimeasure. Therefore we conclude that $N(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a transition multimeasure with values in $P_{wkc}(X)$.

REMARK. An interesting and useful by-product of the proof of Theorem 4.1 is that under the hypotheses of that theorem, we have

$$\sigma(x^*, N(\omega, C)) = \int_C f(\omega, t)\sigma(x^*, M(\omega, dt)),$$

for all $(\omega, C, x^*) \in \Omega \times B(T) \times X^*$.

Next we will derive a useful characterization of the measure selectors of the multimeasure $N(\omega, \cdot)$, $\omega \in \Omega$.

THEOREM 4.2. *If the hypotheses of Theorem* 4.1 *hold, then for all* $\omega \in \Omega$, *we have*

$$S_{N(\omega, \cdot)} = \left\{ \int_\cdot f(\omega, t)m(\omega, dt) : m \in TS_M \right\}.$$

PROOF. Recall that

$$\left\{ v(\cdot) = \int_\cdot f(\omega, t)m(\omega, dt) : m \in TS_M \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ v(\cdot) = \int_\cdot f(\omega, t)\hat{m}(dt) : \hat{m} \in S_{M(\omega, \cdot)} \right\} = \Gamma(\omega).$$

Clearly for all $\omega \in \Omega$, $\Gamma(\omega)$ is convex. Also in the proof of Theorem 4.1 we saw that $\hat{m} \to (x^*, \int_\Omega f(\omega, t)\hat{m}(dt))$ is continuous on $S_{M(\omega, \cdot)}$ with the topology of pointwise weak convergence (that is, with the $\hat{w} = w(M^b(T, X), \Sigma \otimes X^*)$-topology). Furthermore recall that $S_{M(\omega, \cdot)}$ is $\hat{w} = w(M^b(T, X), \Sigma \otimes X^*)$-compact. Combining these two facts, we can easily check that $\Gamma(\omega)$ is $\hat{w}$-closed in $M^b(T, X)$.

Next let $v_1, v_2 \in \Gamma(w)$. By definition we have

$$v_1(B) = \int_B f(\omega, t)\hat{m}_1(dt), \qquad \hat{m}_1 \in S_{M(\omega, \cdot)}, \ B \in B(T),$$

and

$$v_2(B) = \int_B f(\omega, t)\hat{m}_2(dt), \qquad \hat{m}_2 \in S_{M(\omega, \cdot)}, \ B \in B(T).$$

Then if (B_1, B_2) is a Borel partition of T, we have

$$(\chi_{B_1} v_1 + \chi_{B_2} v_2)(\cdot) = \int_\cdot f(\omega, t)\hat{m}_0(dt)$$

where $\hat{m}_0 = \chi_{B_1}\hat{m}_1 + \chi_{B_2}\hat{m}_2$. Clearly $\hat{m}_0 \in S_{M(\omega, \cdot)}$ and so $\chi_{B_1}v_1 + \chi_{B_2}v_2 \in \Gamma(\omega)$. Hence for every $\omega \in \Omega$, $\Gamma(\omega)$ is a nonempty, $\hat{w}$-closed, convex and decomposable subset of $M^b(T, X)$. Thus Theorem 2 of Pallu de la Barrière [17], tells us that $\Gamma(\omega) = S_{N_1(\omega)(\cdot)}$, where $N_1(\omega)(\cdot): B(T) \to P_{fc}(X)$ is a multimeasure. But clearly $\Gamma(\omega) \subseteq S_{N(\omega, \cdot)}$ implies $N_1(\omega)(\cdot) \subseteq N(\omega, \cdot)$,

https://doi.org/10.1017/S1446788700030251 Published online by Cambridge University Press

which implies $N_1(\omega)(\cdot)$ is $P_{wkc}(X)$-valued. Also from Theorem 1 of Godet-Thobie [13], we know that for all $C \in B(T)$, we have

$$\left\{\int_C f(\omega, t)\hat{m}(dt) \colon \hat{m} \in S_{M(\omega,\cdot)}\right\} = \left\{\int_C f(\omega, t)m(\omega, dt) \colon m \in TS_M\right\}$$
$$\Rightarrow N_1(\omega)(C) = \int_C f(\omega, t)M(\omega, dt)$$
$$\Rightarrow N_1(\omega)(C) = N(\omega, C) \text{ for all } (\omega, C) \in \Omega \times B(T)$$
$$\Rightarrow \Gamma(\omega) = S_{N(\omega,\cdot)} \text{ for all } \omega \in \Omega, \text{ as claimed by the theorem.}$$

An immediate interesting consequence of Theorem 4.2 is the following fact.

COROLLARY. *If the hypotheses of Theorem 4.2 hold, $h\colon \Omega \to X$ is measurable and if for some $C \in B(T)$, $h(\omega) \in N(\omega, C)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$, then there exists $m \in TS_M$ such that for all $\omega \in \Omega$, $h(\omega) = \int_C f(\omega, t)m(\omega, dt)$.*

PROOF. From Theorem 3.1 we know that there exists $n \in TS_N$ such that $n(\omega, C) = h(\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. Then applying Theorem 4.2, we get that for some $m \in TS_M$ and for all $B \in B(T)$, we have $n(\omega, B) = \int_B f(\omega, t)m(\omega, dt)$, which implies $h(\omega) = \int_C f(\omega, t)m(\omega, dt)$ with $m \in TS_M$.

5. The multivalued Feller property

In this section we turn our attention to transition multimeasures, for which the parameter varies over a topological space. Hence instead of simple measurability with respect to that parameter, we can require a continuity type property. Recall (see Klein and Thompson [16]), that if Y, Z are Hausdorff topological spaces, then a multifunction $G\colon Y \to 2^Z\setminus\{\varnothing\}$ is said to be upper semicontinuous (u.s.c.) if and only if for every nonempty, open $U \subseteq Z$, $G^+(U) = \{y \in Y \colon G(y) \subseteq U\}$ is open in Y. So if Z is a Polish space, Theorem 4.2 of Wagner [26] tells us that an u.s.c. multifunction $G\colon Y \to P_f(Z)$ is automatically $B(Y)$-measurable.

If Y, Z are separable metric spaces, $m(y, dz)$ is a continuous stochastic kernel (that is, a continuous transition measure) and $f \in C(Y \times Z)$, then according to Feller's property $y \to n(y) = \int_Z f(y, z)m(y, dz)$ is continuous. Feller's property is crucial in establishing the existence of invariant probability measures for transition probabilities.

Our next theorem derives a multivalued version of Feller's property. So assume that (i) S is a Polish space with a Radon measure $\mu(\cdot)$ and $\widehat{B}(S)$

denotes the completion of the Borel σ-field $B(S)$ with respect to $\mu(\cdot)$, (ii) T is another Polish space, with Borel σ-field $B(T)$ and $\lambda(\cdot)$ a Radon measure on $(T, B(T))$, and (iii) X is a separable reflexive Banach space. Also a transition multimeasure $M: S \times B(T) \to P_f(X)$ which is u.s.c. in the s-variable from S into X_w, will be called a "u.s.c. transition multimeasure." Finally we will say that $M(\cdot, T)$ is scalarly continuous, if for all $x^* \in X^*$, $s \to \sigma(x^*, M(s, T))$ is continuous. This is trivially satisfied if for instance $M(s, T)$ is independent of s.

THEOREM 5.1. *If $M: S \times B(T) \to P_{fc}(X)$ is a u.s.c. transition multimeasure such that $M(s, A) \subseteq \lambda(A)W(s)$ for all $(s, A) \in S \times B(T)$, with $W(s) \in P_{wkc}(X)$, and $M(\cdot, T)$ is scalarly continuous, $f: S \times T \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a u.s.c., bounded above function such that for all $s \in S$, $f(s, \cdot) \in L^1(T)$ and for all $(s, C) \in S \times B(T)$, $N(s, C) = \int_C f(s, t)M(s, dt)$, then $N(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a u.s.c., $P_{wkc}(X)$-valued transition multimeasure.*

PROOF. That $N(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a P_{wkc}-valued transition multimeasure, follows immediately from Theorem 4.1. Also from the same theorem (see the remark following the proof), for any $x^* \in X^*$ we have

$$\sigma(x^*, N(s, C)) = \int_S f(s, t)\sigma(x^*, M(s, dt)).$$

Let $\phi_1: S \to M^b(S)$ be defined by $\phi_1(s) = \delta_s$, where $\delta_s(\cdot)$ is the Dirac point mass measure at $s \in S$. It is clear that $\phi_1(\cdot)$ is continuous from S into $M^b(S)$ with the weak topology. Also let $\phi_2: S \to M^b(T)$ be defined by $\phi_2(s) = \sigma(x^*, M(s, \cdot))$. If $s_n \to s$ in S and K is a closed subset of T, from the upper semicontinuity of $M(\cdot, K)$ we have

$$\overline{\lim}\, \sigma(x^*, M(s_n, K)) \leq \sigma(x^*, M(s, K))$$

(see, for example, Aubin and Ekeland [3, Proposition 2, page 122]). Since K was any closed subset of T and $\sigma(x^*, M(s, T)) \to \sigma(x^*, M(s, T))$ $(M(\cdot, T)$ being by hypothesis scalarly continuous), we deduce that

$$\sigma(x^*, M(s_n, \cdot)) \xrightarrow{w} \sigma(x^*, M(s, \cdot)) \text{ in } M^b(T)$$
$$\Rightarrow \phi_2(\cdot) \text{ is continuous into } M^b(T) \text{ with the weak topology.}$$

Therefore the map

$$\phi: S \to M^b(S) \times M^b(T)$$

defined by $\phi(s) = (\phi_1(s), \phi_2(s))$ is continuous into $M^b(S) \times M^b(T)$ with the product weak topology.

Now let $\varphi: M^n(S) \times M^b(T) \to M^b(S \times T)$ be defined by $\varphi(m, n) = m \otimes n$.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S1446788700030251 Published online by Cambridge University Press

From Billingsley [5, Theorem 3.2, page 21], we know that φ is continuous for the weak topology. So $h = \varphi \circ \phi: S \to M^b(S \times T)$ is continuous. Also let $p_f^C: M^b(S \times T) \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$p_f^C(v) = \int_{S \times C} f(s, t)\, dv.$$

Recall that the upper semicontinuity and boundedness from above of $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ is equivalent to the existence of $f_n(\cdot, \cdot) \in C_b(S \times T)$ such that $f_n \downarrow f$ (consider for example the "Weierstrass needle functions"

$$f_n(s, t) = \sup_{(s', t') \in S \times T} [f(s', t') - n d_S(s, s') - n d_T(t, t')]).$$

Then let

$$p_{f,n}^C(v) = \int_{S \times C} f_n(s, t)\, dv.$$

Clearly from the definition of the weak topology on $M^b(S \times T)$, we have that for all $n \geq 1$, $p_{f,n}^C(\cdot)$ is continuous. Then by the monotone convergence theorem we have $p_{f,n}^C \downarrow p_f^C$ and so conclude that $p_f^C(\cdot)$ is u.s.c. Hence the composite map $p_f^C(h(s)) = \int_C f(s, t)\sigma(x^*, M(s, dt)) = \sigma(x^*, N(s, C))$ is u.s.c. in s. Since $N(\cdot, \cdot)$ is $P_{wkc}(X)$-valued, [3, Theorem 10, page 128] tells us that $N(\cdot, C)$ is u.s.c. from S into X_w.

Remarks. (1) If $f \in C_b(S \times T)$, $\dim X < \infty$ and $M(\cdot, \cdot)$ is as in Theorem 5.1, then $N(\cdot, C)$ is continuous in the Hausdorff metric. This follows from [24, Corollary 3A].

(2) This result can be useful in establishing the existence of stochastic equilibria in dynamic economies.

6. Integration with respect to the parameter

As stochastic kernels act upon probabilities on the parameter space, by integration with respect to the parameter, a similar action can be defined for transition multimeasures. So for a transition multimeasure $M: S \times \mathcal{F} \to P_f(X)$ and for $C \in B(S) \times \mathcal{F}$ we consider the Aumann integral $\int_S M(s, C(s))\, d\mu(s)$, where $C(s)$ is the section of C by s and $\mu(\cdot)$ is a measure on $(S, B(S))$. To guarantee that the above set valued integral will be nonempty, we need to know that the multifunction $s \to M(s, C(s))$ is measurable.

So assume that (i) S is a Polish space, (ii) $(T, \mathcal{F})$ is a measurable space, and (iii) X is a separable Banach space.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S1446788700030251 Published online by Cambridge University Press

THEOREM 6.1. *If* $M: S\times\mathscr{T} \to P_{wkc}(X)$ *is a transition multimeasure,* $C \in B(S)\times\mathscr{T}$ *and* $C(s) = \{t \in T: (s, t) \in C\}$, *then* $s \to F_C(s) = M(s, C(s))$ *is a measurable multifunction.*

PROOF. From Fubini's theorem, we know that for all $s \in S$, $C(s) \in \mathscr{T}$. So $M(s, C(s)) = F_C(s)$ is well defined.

Next consider the family

$$\mathscr{L} = \{C \in B(S)\times\mathscr{T}: F_C(\cdot) \text{ is a measurable multifunction}\}.$$

Clearly $C = S\times T \in \mathscr{L}$. Also assume that $C_1, C_2 \in \mathscr{L}$ and $C_2 \subseteq C_1$. Then for $x^* \in X^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sigma(x^*, M(s, C_1(s))) = \sigma(x^*, M(s, C_1(s)\backslash C_2(s))) + \sigma(x^*, M(s, C_2(s)))\\ &\Rightarrow \sigma(x^*, M(s, C_1(s)\backslash C_2(s))) = \sigma(x^*, M(s, C_1(s))) - \sigma(x^*, M(s, C_2(s)))\\ &\Rightarrow s \to \sigma(x^*, M(s, C_1(s)\backslash C_2(s)))\end{aligned}$$

is measurable.

Since $M(\cdot, \cdot)$ is $P_{wkc}(X)$-valued, as in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we get that $s \to M(s, C_1(s)\backslash C_2(s))$ is measurable.

Finally let $\{C_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subseteq L$, $C_1 \subseteq C_2 \subseteq \cdots$. Then for each $n \geq 1$ and each $x^* \in X^*$, $s \to \sigma(x^*, M(s, C_n(s)))$ is measurable. Let $D_1(s) = C_1(s)$ and $D_n(s) = C_n(s)\backslash C_{n-1}(s)$, $n \geq 2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sigma\left(x^*, M\left(s, \bigcup_{n\geq 1} C_n(s)\right)\right) = \sigma\left(x^*, M\left(s, \bigcup_{n\geq 1} D_n(s)\right)\right)\\ &= \sum_{n\geq 1} \sigma(x^*, M(s, D_n(s)))\\ &= \sigma(x^*, M(s, C_1(s))) + \sum_{n\geq 2}(\sigma(x^*, M(s, C_n(s))) - \sigma(x^*, M(s, C_{n-1}(s))))\\ &\Rightarrow s \to \sigma\left(x^*, M\left(s, \bigcup_{n\geq 1} C_n(s)\right)\right) \text{ is measurable}\\ &\Rightarrow s \to M\left(s, \bigcup_{n\geq 1} C_n(s)\right) \text{ is measurable}\\ &\Rightarrow \bigcup_{n\geq 1} C_n \in \mathscr{L}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we conclude that $\mathscr{L}$ is a Dynkin system (see Ash [2]). Clearly $\mathscr{L} \subseteq R = \{E_1\times E_2: E_1 \in B(S), E_2 \in \mathscr{T}\}$. Therefore invoking the Dynkin system theorem (see Ash [2, Theorem 4.1.2]), we conclude that $\sigma(R) = B(S)\times\mathscr{T} \subseteq \mathscr{L}$ implies $s \to F_C(s)$ is measurable for all $C \in B(S)\times\mathscr{T}$.

Now we can integrate with respect to the parameter $s \in S$.

THEOREM 6.2. *If the hypotheses of Theorem* 6.1 *hold and in addition* $\mu(\cdot)$ *is a measure on* $(S, B(S))$, $\lambda(\cdot)$ *is a measure on* $(T, \mathscr{I})$ *and for all* $C \in \mathscr{I}$, $M(s, C) \subseteq \lambda(C)W(s)$ *with* $W: S \to P_{wkc}(X)$ *integrably bounded, then* $N(C) = \int_S M(s, C(s))d\mu(s)$ *is a multimeasure with values in* $P_{wkc}(X)$.

PROOF. From Theorem 6.1 and our boundedness hypothesis on $M(\cdot, \cdot)$, we deduce that $s \to M(s, C(s))$ is an integrably bounded multifunction. So the corollary to [18, Proposition 3.1] tells us that $N(C) = \int_C M(s, C(s))\, ds \in P_{wkc}(X)$. Then for $x^* \in X^*$ we have that

$$\sigma(x^*, N(C)) = \int_S \sigma(x^*, M(s, C(s)))\, ds$$

(see [20, Proposition 2.1]), from which we deduce that $\sigma(x^*, N(\cdot))$ is a signed measure, and hence $N(\cdot)$ is a multimeasure.

We can characterize the measure selectors of $N(\cdot)$ using the elements of TS_M. So assume the following: (i) S is a Polish space with Borel σ-field $B(S)$ and a Radon measure $\mu(\cdot)$ on $(S, B(S))$; (ii) T is a Polish space with Borel σ-field $B(T)$ and a Radon measure $\lambda(\cdot)$ on $(T, B(T))$; and (iii) X is a separable, reflexive Banach space.

THEOREM 6.3. *If* $M: S \times B(T) \to P_{wkc}(X)$ *is a transition multimeasure such that, for all* $C \in B(T)$, $M(s, C) \subseteq \lambda(C)W(s)$, $W(s) \in P_{wkc}(X)$ *and for some* $(A, B) \in B(S) \times B(T)$, $x \in N(A \times B) = \int_A M(s, B)\, d\mu(s)$, *then there exists* $m \in TS_M$ *such that* $x = \int_A m(s, B)\, d\mu(s)$.

PROOF. From the definition of the Aumann integral, we have

$$x = \int_A f(s)\, d\mu(s), \qquad f \in S^1_{M(\cdot, B)}.$$

Applying Theorem 3.1 we can find $m \in TS_M$ such that $m(s, B) = f(s)$. Hence $x = \int_A m(s, B)\, d\mu(s)$.

7. Radon-Nikodym theorem for transition multimeasures

The Radon-Nikodym theorem for transition multimeasures is an interesting problem and can have useful applications, like the corresponding result for regular multimeasures (see Hildenbrand [15], the core of economies with production and with a continuum of agents). This section was suggested by the referee who was also kind enough to provide an outline of the proof. For this we are deeply grateful.

So assume that (i) (Ω, Σ, μ) is a complete σ-finite measure space, (ii) T is a Polish space with a σ-finite measure $\lambda(\cdot)$ on $B(T)$, and (iii) X is a separable, reflexive Banach space. We start with a proposition that we will need in the proof of the main theorem.

PROPOSITION 7.1. *If $m: \Omega \times B(T) \to X$ is a transition measure of bounded variation such that $m(\omega, \cdot) \ll \lambda$ μ-a.e. $|m(\omega, \cdot)| \leq a(\omega)$ μ-a.e. $a(\cdot) \in L^1_+$, then there exists $f: \Omega \times T \to X$, a measurable function, and $n \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(N) = 0$ such that $f(\omega, \cdot) \in L^1(T, \lambda, X)$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$ and $m(\omega, C) = \int_C f(\omega, t)\lambda(dt)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega \backslash N$ and all $C \in B(T)$.*

PROOF. Since by hypothesis $m(\omega, \cdot)$ is of bounded variation, $m(\omega, \cdot) \ll \lambda$ for all $\omega \in \Omega \backslash N$, $\mu(N) = 0$ and X is reflexive (hence has the Radon-Nikodym property (RNP)), for $\omega \in \Omega \backslash N$ there exists $f(\omega, \cdot) \in L^1(T, \lambda, X)$ such that $m(\omega, C) = \int_C f(\omega, t)\lambda(dt)$. By redefining $\omega \to f(\omega, \cdot)$ on N, we may assume that $f(\omega, \cdot) \in L^1(X)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. Then for every $x^* \in X^*$ and $C \in B(T)$ we have $\langle f(\omega, \cdot), \chi_C x^* \rangle = (x^*, m(\omega, C))$ where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the duality brackets for the pair $(L^1(T, \lambda, X),\ L^\infty(T, \lambda, X^*) = [L^1(T, \lambda, X)]^*)$. Hence $\omega \to \langle f(\omega, \cdot), \chi_C x^* \rangle$ is measurable. Since countably valued functions are dense in $L^\infty(T, \lambda, X^*)$ (see Diestel and Uhl [11, page 42, Corollary 3]), we deduce that $\omega \to \langle f(\omega, \cdot), u \rangle$ is measurable for all $u \in L^\infty(T, \lambda, X^*)$, which implies $\omega \to f(\omega, \cdot)$ is weakly measurable from Ω into $L^1(T, \lambda, X)$ and since $L^1(T, \lambda, X)$ is separable, by the Pettis measurability theorem (see Diestel and Uhl [11, page 42], we have that $\omega \to f(\omega, \cdot)$ is measurable from Ω into $L^1(T, \lambda, X)$, and hence $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ is measurable from $\Omega \times T$ into X.

Now we can state the Radon-Nikodym theorem for transition multimeasures. The hypotheses on the spaces remain the same as in Proposition 7.1.

THEOREM 7.1. *If $M: \Omega \times B(T) \to P_{wkc}(X)$ is a transition multimeasure of bounded variation such that $|M|(\omega, \cdot) \ll \lambda$ μ-a.e. $|M(\omega, \cdot)| \leq a(\omega)$ μ-a.e. $a(\cdot) \in L^1_+$, then there exists a measurable multifunction $F: \Omega \times T \to P_{wkc}(X)$ and $N \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(N) = 0$ such that $F(\omega, \cdot)$ is integrably bounded by every $\omega \in \Omega$ and $M(\omega, C) = \int_C F(\omega, t)\lambda(dt)$, $\omega \in \Omega \backslash N$, $C \in B(T)$.*

PROOF. Let $h_n: \Omega \to X$ be measurable functions such that $M(\omega, T) = \mathrm{cl}\{h_n(\omega)\}$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. Invoking Theorem 3.1 of this paper, we know that we can find $m_n \in TS_M$ such that $h_n(\omega) = m_n(\omega, T)$ for $n \geq 1$ and for all

$\omega \in \Omega$. Then for every $C \in B(T)$ we have

$$\overline{\{m_n(\omega, C) + m_n(\omega, C^c)\}}_{n\geq 1} = \overline{\{h_n(\omega)\}}_{n\geq 1} = M(\omega, T) = M(\omega, C) + M(\omega, C^c)$$

and

$$\overline{\{m_n(\omega, C) + m_n(\omega, C^c)\}}_{n\geq 1} \subseteq \overline{\text{conv}}\{m_n(\omega, C)\}_{n\geq 1} + \overline{\text{conv}}\{m_n(\omega, C^c)\}_{n\geq 1}.$$

Since $m_n \in TS_M$, $n \geq 1$, we deduce that

$$\overline{\text{conv}}\{m_n(\omega, C)\}_{n\geq 1} = M(\omega, C).$$

Applying Proposition 7.1 above, we have that there exist $N \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(N) = 0$ and $f_n : \Omega \times T \to X$, measurable, such that for all $n \geq 1$, $f_n(\omega, \cdot) \in L^1(T, \lambda, X)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$ and $m_n(\omega, C) = \int_C f_n(\omega, t)\lambda(dt)$ for every $\omega \in \Omega \backslash N$ and every $C \in B(T)$. Set

$$F(\omega, t) = \overline{\text{conv}}\{f_n(\omega, t)\}_{n\geq 1}.$$

Clearly then $F: \Omega \times T \to P_{wkc}(X)$ is measurable and

$$|F(\omega, t)| \leq \frac{d|M|(\omega, t)}{d\lambda} \mu \times \lambda\text{-a.e.}$$

Since $\frac{d|M|(\omega, \cdot)}{d\lambda} \in L^1_+(T)$, we deduce that $F(\omega, \cdot)$ is integrably bounded μ-a.e. and by redefining it on the μ-null set we can have that $F(\omega, \cdot)$ is integrably bounded for all $\omega \in \Omega$. Finally using [20, Proposition 2.3], we have

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\text{conv}}\{m_n(\omega, C)\}_{n\geq 1} &= \overline{\text{conv}}\left\{\int_C f_n(\omega, t)\lambda(dt)\right\}_{n\geq 1} \\ &= \int_C \overline{\text{conv}}\{f_n(\omega, t)\}_{n\geq 1}\lambda(dt) \\ &= \int_C F(\omega, t)\lambda(dt), \qquad \omega \in \Omega\backslash N,\end{aligned}$$

for all $C \in B(T)$.

REMARK. If $f: \Omega \times T \to \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded measurable function, then we have

$$\int_C f(\omega, t) M(\omega, dt) = \int_C f(\omega, t) F(\omega, t)\lambda(dt)$$

for all $\omega \in \Omega\backslash N$, $C \in B(T)$.

Acknowledgement

The author wishes to express his deep gratitude to the referee for his (her) many corrections, remarks and suggestions that improved the content of this paper significantly.

References

[1] Z. Artstein, 'Set-valued measures,' *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **65** (1972), 103–125.
[2] R. Ash, *Real analysis and probability* (Academic Press, New York, 1972).
[3] J.-P. Aubin and I. Ekeland, *Applied nonlinear analysis* (Wiley, New York, 1984).
[4] R. Aumann, 'Integrals of set valued functions,' *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **12** (1965), 1–12.
[5] P. Billingsley, *Convergence of probability measures* (Wiley, New York, 1968).
[6] L. Blume, 'New techniques for the study of stochastic equilibrium processes' *J. Math. Econom.* **9** (1982), 61–70.
[7] N. Bourbaki, *Theorie des ensembles*, Chapter III, (Hermann, Paris, 1963).
[8] A. Coste, 'Sur l'integration par rapport a une multimesure de Radon,' *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **278** (1974), 545–548.
[9] A. Costé, 'Sur les multimeasures a valeurs fermes bornes d'un espace de Banach,' *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **280** (1975), 567–570.
[10] A. Costé and R. Pallu de la Barrière, 'Radon-Nikodym theorems for set valued measures whose values are convex and closed,' *Comment. Math.* **20** (1978), 283–309.
[11] J. Diestel and J. Uhl, 'Vector measures,' *Math. Surveys*, Vol. 15, (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1975).
[12] L. Drewnowski, 'Additive and countably additive correspondences', *Comment. Math.* **19** (1976), 25–54.
[13] C. Godet-Thobie, 'Some results about multimeasures and their selectors', *Measure theory —Oberwolfach 1979* edited by D. Kölzow, pp. 112–116, (Notes in Math., vol. 794, 1980).
[14] F. Hiai, 'Radon-Nikodym theorems for set valued measures,' *J. Multivariate Anal.* **8** (1978), 96–118.
[15] W. Hildenbrand, *Core and equilibria of a large economy* (Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1974).
[16] E. Klein and A. Thompson, *Theory of correspondences* (Wiley, New York, 1984).
[17] R. Pallu de la Barrière, 'Étude de quelques propriétés liées à l'ordre dans des espaces des multi-mesures à valeurs convexes fermées', *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **281** (1975), 951–954.
[18] N. S. Papageorgiou, 'On the theory of Banach space valued multifunctions, Part 1: Integration and conditional expectation', *J. Multivariate Anal.* **17** (1985), 185–206.
[19] N. S. Papageorgiou, 'Efficiency and optimality in economies described by coalitions', *J. Math. Anal.* **116** (1986), 497–512.
[20] N. S. Papageorgiou, 'A relaxation theorem for differential inclusions in Banach spaces', *Tôhoku Math. J.* **39** (1987), 505–517.
[21] K. R. Parthasarathy, *Probability measures on metric spaces* (Academic Press, New York, 1967).
[22] M.-F. Saint-Beuve, 'On the extension of Von Neumann-Aumann's theorem' *J. Funct. Anal.* **17** (1974), 112-129.
[23] M.-F. Saint-Beuve, 'Some topological properties of vector measures with bounded variation and its applications,' *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **116** (1978), 317–379.

[24] G. Salinetti and R. Wets, 'On the relation between two types of convergence of convex functions,' *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **60** (1977), 211–226.

[25] K. Vind, 'Edgeworth allocations in an exchange economy with many traders,' *Internat. Econom. Rev.* **5** (1964), 165–177.

[26] D. Wagner, 'Survey of measurable selection theorems,' *SIAM J. Control Optim.* **15** (1977), 859–903.

University of California
Davis, California 95616
U.S.A