


A Cross-Sectional Survey on Burnout Prevalence and Profile in the Sicilian Population of Ambulance Driver-Rescuers

Laura Ferraro, PhD;¹  Caterina La Cascia, PhD;¹ Antonio De Santis, PsyD;² Lucia Sideli, PhD;¹ Giuseppe Maniaci, PhD;¹ Ilenia Maria Orlando, PsyD;¹ Angelo Chifari, DSc;³ Lorenzo Maniaci, MD;⁴ Daniele La Barbera, Prof¹

Note: Laura Ferraro and Caterina La Cascia equally contributed to this work. They both are first authors. Lorenzo Maniaci and Daniele La Barbera equally contributed in supervising this work. They both are last authors.

1. Department of Biomedicine, Neuroscience, and Advanced Diagnostics (BiND), Institute of Psychiatry, University of Palermo, Palermo, Sicilia, Italy
2. District Health Authority (ASP) of Trapani, National Health System, Trapani, Sicilia, Italy
3. Sicilian Emergency and Urgency Society (SEUS) 118 Service, Palermo, Sicilia, Italy
4. Service 2, "Education and Communication," Regional Department for Health Activities and Epidemiological Observatory, Sicily Region, Palermo, Sicilia, Italy

Correspondence:

Laura Ferraro, PhD
Via Gaetano La Loggia, 1
90129 Palermo, Italy
E-mail: laura.ferraro@unipa.it

Conflicts of interest: none

Keywords: burnout; empathy; prevalence; rescuers; survey

Abbreviations:

DP: Depersonalization
EE: Emotional Exhaustion
EMT: Emergency Medical Technician
KMO: Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin
MBI: Maslach Burnout Inventory
MBI-HSS: Maslach Burnout Inventory-Human Service Survey
PA: Personal Accomplishment
PCA: Principal Component Analysis
SEUS: Sicilian Emergency and Urgency Society

Received: June 4, 2019

Revised: August 27, 2019

Accepted: September 7, 2019

Abstract

Introduction: Burnout is present at a high rate in emergency medicine. The ambulance driver-rescuers, who furnish first aid to the victims, are the non-medical part of the Italian 118-service staff. There is a lack of research on burnout risk in Italian Emergency Medical Services and, particularly, for this category of workers. The two Italian studies, including a little group of ambulance driver-rescuers, reported inconsistent findings.

Hypothesis: This survey investigated for the first time the prevalence and exact profile of burnout in a large sample of Italian driver-rescuers. As a secondary aim, the study described how the items of the Italian version of the Maslach Burnout Inventory-Human Services Survey (MBI-HSS) cluster in components in this sample.

Methods: This cross-sectional census survey was conducted from June 2015 through May 2016 and involved all the driver-rescuers operating in Sicily, the biggest and most southern region of Italy. The subjects received a classification according to different profiles of burnout by using the Italian version of the MBI-HSS (burnout, engagement, disengagement, over-extension, and work-inefficacy). In order to explore the existence of independent factors, a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was conducted on the survey to obtain eigenvalues >one for each component in the data.

Results: The final sample comprised 2,361 responders (96.6% of the initial sample). Of them, 29.8% were in burnout (95% confidence interval [CI], 27.8% to 31.8%) and 1.7% presented a severe form (95% CI, 1.1% to 2.3%); 30.0% were engaged in their work (95% CI, 21.0% to 34.8%), 24.7% of responders were disengaged (95% CI, 22.9% to 26.5%), 1.2% presented an over-extension profile (95% CI, 0.8% to 1.7%), and 12.6% felt work-inefficacy (95% CI, 11.3% to 14.1%). The factors loaded into a five-factor solution at PCA, explaining 48.1% of the variance and partially replicating the three-factor structure. The Emotional Exhaustion (EE) component was confirmed. New dimensions from Personal Accomplishment (PA) and Depersonalization (DP) sub-scales described empathy and disengagement with patients, respectively, and were responsible for the increased risk of burnout.

Conclusions: These results endorse the importance of screening and psychological interventions for this population of emergency workers, where burnout could manifest itself more insidiously. It is also possible to speculate that sub-optimal empathy skills could be related to the disengagement and work-inefficacy feelings registered.

Ferraro L, La Cascia C, De Santis A, Sideli L, Maniaci G, Orlando IM, Chifari A, Maniaci L, La Barbera D. A cross-sectional survey on burnout prevalence and profile in the Sicilian population of ambulance driver-rescuers. *Prehosp Disaster Med.* 2020;35(2):133–140.

Introduction

Background

Burnout is a maladaptive response to chronic emotional distress due to a highly interpersonally-oriented work environment¹ that the World Health Organization (WHO; Geneva, Switzerland) recently recognized as a work-related syndrome and described it as a form of emotional exhaustion, detachment with patients and professional role, and loss of professional

doi:[10.1017/S1049023X20000059](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049023X20000059)

© World Association for Disaster and Emergency Medicine 2020.

satisfaction.² Leiter and Maslach³ have recently presented five latent burnout profiles, based on a person-centered analysis, which enriched the traditional dual definition by adding three intermediate steps between burnout and engagement. The authors proposed that having one of the three-factor scores out of the cut-off describes a state of disengagement (high in Depersonalization [DP]), over-extension (high in Emotional Exhaustion [EE]), and ineffectiveness (low in Personal Accomplishment [PA]). This approach could provide a more tailored measurement of the burnout phenomenon in different groups of people, particularly if their professional role has not been studied before.

The available reviewed literature on burnout in emergency medicine detects burnout levels of more than 60% in emergency physicians.⁴ A recent meta-analysis confirmed that approximately 30% of emergency nurses are affected with at least high EE or DP, or low PA.⁵ Unpredictability, over-crowding, and continuous confrontation with traumatic events in the emergency field could be specific risk factors for burnout in this population.⁶

However, emergency staffs also include other technicians that are involved in the rescue operation, sometimes as the first-line or only team. In 1992, the 118-service was instituted in Italy, a cross-national institute of emergency, working on the public health ambulances and in an operating center. The 118-service ambulance driver-rescuers drive the ambulance and furnish first aid to the victims, and they are frequently responsible for quick and crucial decisions; in some pre-selected occasions, a nurse or an emergency physician intervene in a medical ambulance. These driver-rescuers are the non-medical part of the multidisciplinary team, present with different names and training characteristics all around the world (for example, drivers and attendants, or Except Emergency Medical Technicians [EMTs] or EMT-Basic in the United States). In Italy, ambulance driver-rescuers attend a regional course to obtain the license to be a driver and a rescuer of the ambulance. However, they do not constitute a professional category, codified into a professional order, and patients and other emergency operators working with them often misinterpret their role. These characteristics could represent an additional risk factor for unacknowledged and untreated burnout in this group of operators,⁷ which may present specific features.

Even if they are likely to be exposed to witnessing distress and to experiencing higher levels of physical and psychological symptoms, as well as job dissatisfaction, as compared to other professions,⁸ they have received less attention in research. To date, only two studies examined burnout in driver-rescuer operators in Italy. Argentero and Setti⁹ found higher levels of burnout in 42 operators of the 118-service compared to police operators. Angius and colleagues¹⁰ examined 176 health professionals by comparing them with 79 emergency operators (42 of them were the same group of operators of 118-service already tested in the previous study), and this last group showed a lower burnout risk and a condition of well-being as compared to the other.

The Maslach Burnout Inventory-Human Service Survey (MBI-HSS)¹¹ has been widely used to assess burnout. The questionnaire includes three dimensions: EE, DP, and PA. Despite general reliability of the three-factorial structure, this has not been fully replicated in the Italian version of the MBI¹²⁻¹⁵ as it was in other versions.¹⁶ Authors attributed this inconsistency to item redundancy, misplacement in factors, or “lost in translation” phenomena and loss of cross-cultural adaptation.^{16,17} Not surprisingly, the specific profession of survey responders at MBI accounts for high variance in factorial loading replication; differences in the

interpretation of the meaning of the item could be sample-specific and linked to professional history, current context, and goals of the subjects.^{18,19}

Importance

The lack of research in this context makes it challenging to picture the on-the-job training and psychological surveillance required for these semi-professional figures. Moreover, these operators are the first-line interface with patients, and their well-being and professional satisfaction is an essential factor of success in the multidisciplinary work team and the rescue operations.

Goals of this Investigation

This survey investigated for the first time the prevalence of and the exact profile for burnout in the Sicilian population of ambulance drivers-rescuers. Firstly, the study aimed to classify subjects according to different burnout profiles.³ As a secondary aim, it wanted to describe how the 22 items of the Italian version of the MBI-HSS cluster in components in this category of workers.

Methods

Study Design and Setting

The study was a descriptive cross-sectional survey, with a census sampling strategy, which included the entire population of ambulance driver-rescuers of 118-service operating in Sicily in the study period (from June 2015 through May 2016). The subjects surveyed were attending an on-the-job training that involved all the Sicilian 118-service operators, aimed to improve emergency management through psychological, legal, and technical training. Each tutor, who followed-up the class along with all the courses, informed participants before the survey distribution, together with the psychologist who was responsible for the general training and supervising inter-reliability of administration mode, in the group setting during the first meeting. They instructed responders to work individually. Those fulfilling the questionnaires were consenting anonymous responders. All data were anonymous and voluntarily furnished; empty questionnaires were accounted as refusers. The tutor-in-chief for each district received the questionnaires that were then recollected in Palermo. Researchers from the Institute of Psychiatry at Department of Biomedicine, Neuroscience, and Advanced Diagnostic (BiND), University of Palermo (Palermo, Sicily, Italy) checked data for accuracy and internal consistency and performed statistical analyses.

Sicily is the largest region of Italy (25,832.39 km²) and fourth in terms of population (5,026,989 resident people in 2018), with 350,538 annual 118-emergency interventions (2015; on a national mean of 170,594).²⁰ Thus, these results have a potential external validity for the entire country and can generate hypotheses to test in other geographical areas.

Measurement

It was administered the MBI-HSS because the survey had descriptive aims on the individual well-being (versus burnout), while the Organizational Checkup System (OCS)²¹ had a substantial organizational watch (with a higher number of items dedicated to this part), and it best fits in studies which include hypothesized risk factors.

The Italian Maslach's version of the MBI-HSS is a self-reported scale constituted by 22 items describing feelings about work and the contact with patients, scored on a seven-point Likert frequency scale from zero (never) to six (every day). The test was validated on an Italian sample of 1,779 subjects.¹² It consisted of three factors: EE – nine items (Cronbach's Alpha [α] = 0.87)

that measure feelings of being emotionally over-extended and exhausted by one's work; DP – five items ($\alpha = 0.68$) measuring an unfeeling and impersonal response toward patients; and PA – eight items ($\alpha = 0.76$) that measure feelings of competence and achievement in the individual's work. The socio-demographic sheet included age, gender, and years of career in the ambulance service.

Outcomes

The primary outcomes of the study were the scores obtained at MBI-HSS, differentiated into the three factors. It was used a cut-off for each summed-scale score to explore the exact profile of burnout³ (S-Table 1; available online only) and to classify subjects according to their level of burnout initially. Burnout syndrome would be classically present when scores at EE and DP are high, and scores at PA are low. High PA and low EE and DP describe a strict definition of "engagement."¹² Other burnout profiles were "disengagement," with high scores in DP only; "over-extension," high in EE; and "inefficacy," low in PA. Subjects' characteristics not fulfilling these categories were considered as moderate burnout (broader definition) if two sub-scales at least presented medium or high scores (medium or low for PA). People presenting only one scale with average scores were also included in the "engagement" category. The only exposure variable considered was to be enrolled as a worker in the 118-service. Potential effect modifiers were age, gender, and years of career.

Work overload is related to burnout,²² and EE in particular,^{23,24} and estimated mean sickness absence was indicated as a frequent consequence of burnout.²⁵ Thus, it was also estimated the mean workload per operator for each district, in 2015, by dividing the number of emergency interventions completed in that district in this year by the number of operators working there in the same year. Similarly, it was possible to obtain a mean sickness absence rate for each district, in 2015, by dividing the number of the days of sickness-absence by the number of operators working in each district in the same year. Both mean workload and mean sickness absence were then classified in quantiles (S-Table 2 and S-Table 3; available online only).

Statistics

Mean and standard deviation of each sub-scale were examined by three summary independent sample t-tests to compare them with the Italian normative data mean and standard deviation from the MBI-HSS (S-Table 1; available online only).¹² Chi-square test from ordinal regression analysis was used to compare the proportion of responders to refusers among different districts to address any sampling bias. ANOVAs, for continuous variables, and Chi-square tests, for categorical variables from ordinal regression, were used to assess effect modifiers by comparing responders classified in each specific burnout profile in terms of age, gender, years of career, workload, and sickness absence. Bootstrap confidence intervals were bias-corrected and accelerated. To understand and describe the structure of the answers to the items in this specific population, a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was conducted on the 22 items with orthogonal rotation (Varimax) to obtain eigenvalues for each component in the data and to explore the existence of independent factors (extraction criteria = eigenvalues > one). The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) measure verified the sampling adequacy for the analysis. Bartlett test of sphericity tested if correlations between items were sufficiently large for PCA. The reliability of the test, both in this original structure and in the new

five-components solution, was controlled. Alpha coefficient could be affected under variations of the number of items in a measurement,²⁶ resulting in more significant values for clusters, including a bigger number of items²⁷ and vice-versa. Thus, to check the reliability and internal consistency of the components, it was used Cronbach's Alpha (α acceptable if ≥ 0.65) and average inter-item correlations (r acceptable if ranging between 0.15 and 0.50) to overcome the problem of few items included in some components.^{26,27} As an exploratory analysis, there were calculated percentages of responders who had an average Likert score \geq four (at least once a week) at the items clustering in each sub-factor, to see which of those factors accounted for the highest median response to the original component. Missing data were addressed with the listwise method in all the analyses, apart from PCA, which included a pairwise exclusion method. Statistics were performed by using SPSS 25.0 (IBM Corp.; Armonk, New York USA) for Mac.²⁸

Ethical Approval

The study was conducted following the Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki) and received ethical approval from the Sicilian Emergency and Urgency Society (SEUS; Palermo, Sicilia, Italy) 118-service at the time of administration. Further ethical approval was obtained from the Palermo 1 Ethical Committee (verb. 2/2019 – 18.02.2019) before the data acquisition and analysis.

Results

Characteristics of Study Subjects


The SEUS 118-service organized 73 courses for a total of 2,684 participants (approximately 36 participants per class) coming from the nine Sicilian districts as part of the National Health Plan (PSN) Action 1.4 Training Projects. The MBI-HSS was proposed to all classes, except Palermo 1 to Palermo 6 ($N = 216$ subjects) and Agrigento 1 ($N = 20$ subjects) because they started earlier as the pilot-in-training groups. Thus, a final sample of 2,444 subjects approached the survey (91.0% of the participants). The final sample comprised 2,361 responders, which constituted the 96.6% of the surveyed population, while 83 subjects (3.4%) refused to participate in the survey (Figure 1).

Responders were mostly males (77.9%), with a mean age of 44 years ($SD = 7.1$); they had a mean of 12.2 years of a career ($SD = 4.4$) in the 118-service. There were no differences in terms of the distribution of responders and refusers' proportion across the nine Sicilian districts ($\chi^2[2] = 12.3$; $P = .091$; S-Table 4 [available online only]).

Comparison of the Sub-Scales Scores with the Normative Sample and Cut-Off

None of the MBI-HSS items and the three sub-scales had missing values > five percent. As compared with the normative sample, mean scores for the EE scale resulted significantly lower than those expected (mean difference [M^{diff}] = -10.8 ; 95% CI, -11.6 to -10.1); the opposite was true for DP ($M^{\text{diff}} = 2.1$; 95% CI, 1.4 to 2.7) and PA ($M^{\text{diff}} = 0.6$; 95% CI, 0.2 to 1.0), which resulted slightly higher in 118-service operators than in the normative sample (Table 1).

Based on factor cut-off, 7.8% (95% CI, 6.8% to 9.0%) presented high levels of EE, 36.0% (95% CI, 34.9% to 39.1%) presented high levels of DP, and 41.3% (95% CI, 41.8% to 45.8%) had low PA scores (Table 2).



Ferraro © 2020 Prehospital and Disaster Medicine

Figure 1. Flow-Chart of Subjects Included in the Analysis.

Abbreviations: DP, Depersonalization; EE, Emotional Exhaustion; PA, Personal Accomplishment.

	N	118 Operators	N	Normative Sample	Mean Differences ^a	95% CI	P Value
		Mean (SD)		Mean (SD)			
EE	2254	9.34 (8.95)	748	20.18 (11.29)	-10.8	-11.6, -10.1	<.001
PA	2242	34.63 (7.72)	748	32.52 (8.66)	2.1	1.4, 2.7	<.001
DP	2281	7.65 (4.78)	748	7.03 (5.90)	0.6	0.2, 1.0	.009

Ferraro © 2020 Prehospital and Disaster Medicine

Table 1. Comparisons between the Responders 118-Service Operators and the Italian Normative Sample in Maslach Burnout Inventory Factor Scores

Note: Normative sample from Sirigatti and Stefanile (1993).

Abbreviations: DP, Depersonalization; EE, Emotional Exhaustion; PA, Personal Accomplishment.

^aHartley-Test for equal variance <.001.

	Low	Medium	High	Missing	Valid	Total
	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	
EE	1733 (73.4)	338 (14.3)	183 (7.8)	107 (4.5)	2254 (95.4)	2361
DP	432 (18.3)	999 (42.3)	849 (36.0)	81 (3.4)	2280 (96.4)	2361
PA	974 (41.3)	786 (33.3)	482 (20.4)	119 (5.0)	2242 (94.9)	2361

Ferraro © 2020 Prehospital and Disaster Medicine

Table 2. Medium Scores at EE, DP, PA Factors from MBI

Note: Normative sample from Sirigatti and Stefanile (1993).

Abbreviations: DP, Depersonalization; EE, Emotional Exhaustion; PA, Personal Accomplishment.


Burnout Prevalence and Profile

Looking at latent burnout profiles,³ 1.7% of responders (N = 36; 95% CI, 1.1% to 2.3%) presented severe burnout, based on scale cut-off, while 29.8% (N = 629; 95% CI, 27.8% to 31.8%) were in some intermediate form of burnout. As much as 30.0% (N = 483; 95% CI, 21.0% to 34.8%) were engaged in their work, according to a broader definition, while the strict definition of engagement embraced 7.1% of subjects only (N = 151; 95% CI, 6.1% to 8.3%). The profile of disengagement was present in 24.7% of responders (N = 521; 95% CI, 22.9% to 26.5%), the dimension over-extension enclosed the tiny percentage of 1.2% people (N = 25; 95% CI, 0.8% to 1.7%), and 12.6% felt inefficacy in their work experience (N = 267; 95% CI, 11.3% to 14.1%; Figure 2). Responders with distinctive burnout profiles did not

differ regarding gender ($\chi^2[1] = 0.03$; $P = .845$) and years of career ($F[6; 2,005] = 0.304$; $P = .935$). A difference among subjects emerged in terms of age ($F[6; 2,092] = 2.7$; $P = .011$); responders with good engagement were slightly younger than disengaged ($M^{diff} = -1.9$; 95% CI, -3.9 to -0.008; $P = .048$). There were no differences in the relationship between sickness absence mean ($\chi^2[2] = 0.26$; $P = .878$) and workload ($\chi^2[2] = 0.61$; $P = .973$) among districts and burnout profiles.

Reliability of the Original Test

The reliability of the test resulted acceptable ($\alpha = 0.689$; α Based on Standardized Items = 0.701). The EE sub-scale was the most reliable ($\alpha = 0.825$; α Based on Standardized Items = 0.827; $r = 0.34$). The PA sub-scale was reliable, but less than that expected



Ferraro © 2020 Prehospital and Disaster Medicine

Figure 2. Subjects' Classification According to their Burnout Profiles.
Note: Percentages are rounded.

from Maslach¹¹ ($\alpha = 0.612$; α Based on Standardized Items = 0.639; $r = 0.18$). The DP sub-scale did not present acceptable levels of reliability ($\alpha = 0.354$; if item 22 is deleted $\alpha = 0.384$; α Based on Standardized Items = 0.388; $r = 0.11$).

PCA on MBI-HSS Items

The sample was adequate for the analysis (KMO = 0.874), and all KMO values for individual items were $>.5$. The correlations between items were sufficiently large for PCA (X² [231] = 9223.212; $P < .001$).

Five components had eigenvalues over one and, in combination, explained 48.1% of the variance. The scree plot was slightly ambiguous and showed inflexions that would justify retaining both Component 3 and Component 5 (Figure 3). Given the large sample size and the convergence of the scree plot and the Kaiser's criterion on five components, this is the number of components that were retained in the final analysis.

Table 3 shows the factor loadings after rotation. The items that cluster on the same components suggest that Component 1 (EE) represents emotive exhaustion, and it corresponds with the EE original sub-scale. Component 2 and Component 3 represent two aspects of PA: Component 2 (PA-W) collects items describing positive feelings about the work and Component 3 describes a positive emotional engagement with patients (PA-P). Finally, Component 4 and Component 5 define two aspects of DP: Component 4 (DP-W) expresses worries about personal hardening due to work and Component 5 (DP-P) describes the feeling not to be positively engaged with patients and their problems (Item 15 and 22).

The first two components had acceptable reliability (EE: $\alpha = 0.825$; $r = 0.34$ and PA-W: $\alpha = 0.678$; $r = 0.3$). Average inter-item correlation, but not Cronbach's Alpha, resulted into the

acceptable range for PA-P ($\alpha = 0.556$; $r = 0.29$) and DP-W ($\alpha = 0.413$; $r = 0.21$) components. The DP-P did not present sufficient reliability and internal consistency ($\alpha = 0.131$; $r = 0.07$).


Exploratory Analyses

A large 83.3% (95% CI, 81.7% to 84.8%) of responders had positive feelings about their work, but only 42.7% (95% CI, 40.6% to 44.7%) felt engaged with patients during a week. While a small percentage of 2.5% (95% CI, 1.8% to 3.0%) of responders presented worries of personal hardening due to work, 11.5% (95% CI, 10.1% to 12.8%) declared to feel disengaged with patients at least once a week.

Discussion

This sample was mainly constituted by males, in the middle age of 44 years, and with a mean of 12 years of career, in line with the sample analyzed by Argentero and Setti.⁹

Some authors have recently shown differences in percentages of burnout detectable in emergency in-training doctors by applying a broad (80.9%) or a strict (18.2%) definition of burnout,²⁹ as it was the case in this study. According to cut-off at the three factors, only 1.7% of the sample stayed in the classical and severe definition of burnout, in line with Angius.¹⁰ The most in-depth look to latent burnout profiles³ revealed that more than two-thirds of the responders were struggling with some burnout patterns, similarly to percentages revealed on emergency nurses in an Italian sample³⁰ and the meta-analysis by Gómez-Urquiza and colleagues.⁵ The latent burnout profile emerged was mostly disengagement, that was indicated as the minimum early phase of burnout;³¹ the most negative dimension among the burnout continuum and a more distinctive and central aspect of burnout than EE alone, according to Leithner and Maslach.³ A negative perception of the teamwork was



Ferraro © 2020 Prehospital and Disaster Medicine

Figure 3. Scree Plot of the Eigenvalues for Components' Retention in PCA. Abbreviation: PCA, Principle Component Analysis.

suggested as a variable highly associated to a disengaged and cynic burnout profile in nurses,²⁵ as it was probably the case in this sample. During the psychological training, people referred highly conflictual relationship with nurses and physicians when working together, which they attributed to the under-consideration of their work experience from their colleagues; this is probably a consequence of the lack of a professional profile for this group of operators.

Additionally, 12.0% of the sample refers to a negative work experience of inefficacy, which could predict a future disengagement.³ The role of young age in a better engagement confirms previous studies.^{32,33} The recodification of districts according to mean workload, and with sickness absence, did not influence the subjects' classification in different burnout profiles differently from the previous researches.^{25,27,34}

However, there were not specific data for each subject, so these modifiers had a different significance in this research than in previous studies.

There was a partial replication of the three dimensions of the MBI-HSS; the EE component was a more reliable sub-scale compared with DP and PA, as in the previous literature.^{14,19}

However, the answers of this specific sample provided a better fit of the test by clustering in two sub-components for the PA and the DP factors, as compared to the original sub-scales.

The DP factor was particularly unreliable (also in Sirigatti and Stefanile¹⁴), and it could have suffered from translation and wording issues or defensive responses, which drive to inconsistent answers, as already suggested in previous researches.^{16,17,35}

The analysis proposed a little increase of reliability if item 22 was deleted (also in Squires and colleagues¹⁷), but this did not raise reliability to the level of acceptability. Patient care stress is a primary source of daily stress for EMT workers.³⁶ However, an extensive

survey that included EMT American workers found a lower patient-related burnout in these subjects than in other Emergency Medical Services professions (5.0% versus 14.4%).³⁴ The DP-P component was analogously detected in a previous study by Chao and collaborators³⁵ as a form of indifference for patients in a sample of American workers in a care staff of people with intellectual disability. Analogously to what measured in this latter study, a two-item solution is not likely to be reliable in itself and did not reach acceptable reliability in this sample. However, according to exploratory analysis, these two items were highly responsible for the elevation at the DP sub-scale (ie, a higher percentage of responders felt more disengaged with patients than presenting worries of personal hardening due to work). The PA-W component was very similar to the self-competence component, identified by Gil-Monte in a Spanish sample of different professional roles,³⁷ and according to exploratory analyses, the majority of the present sample scored high these items, while subjects suffering from low PA presented a scarce engagement with patients (ie, low PA-P scores). Thus, these particular components' loading could alternatively suggest a specific difficulty for driver-rescuers in interacting with patients.

An explicit focus on the emotional regulation and empathy skills in emergency physicians has been proposed, given its close influence on patients' satisfaction^{38,39} and burnout prevention,⁴⁰ and this solution is probably highly auspicious also for the non-medical part of an emergency-staff during their first preparation and on-the-job training.

Limitations

The group administration could have biased some results; however, the district in which the information was collected did not influence the presence of different burnout profiles. The sampling strategy did not allow to collect data on gender and age for refusers that,

	Components				
	1	2	3	4	5
Factor 1 = Emotive Exhaustion (EE)					
ITEM 1 "I feel emotionally drained from my work"	.722				
ITEM 8 "I feel burned out from my work"	.715				
ITEM 14 "I feel I'm working too hard on my job"	.710				
ITEM 16 "Working with people directly puts too much stress on me"	.668				
ITEM 13 "I feel frustrated by my job"	.625				
ITEM 6 "Working with people all day is really a strain for me"	.592				
ITEM 3 "I feel fatigued when I get up in the morning and have to face another day on the job"	.584				
ITEM 2 "I feel used up at the end of the workday"	.492				
ITEM 20 "I feel like I'm at the end of my rope"	.454				
Factor 2 = Positive Feelings about the Work (PA-W)					
ITEM 17 "I can easily create a relaxed atmosphere with my patients"		.735			
ITEM 21 "In my work, I deal with emotional problems very calmly"		.725			
ITEM 7 "I deal very effectively with the problems of my patients"		.685			
ITEM 12 "I feel very energetic"		.583			
ITEM 19 "I have accomplished many worthwhile things in this job"		.435			
Factor 3 = Engagement with Patients (PA-P)					
ITEM 4 "I can easily understand how my patients' feel about things"			.819		
ITEM 18 "I feel exhilarated after working closely with my patients"			.803		
ITEM 9 "I feel I am positively influenced by other people's lives through my work"			.430		-.402
Factor 4 = Worries of Personal Hardening due to Work (DP-W)					
ITEM 10 "I have become more callous toward people since I took this job"				.766	
ITEM 5 "I feel I treat some patients as if they were impersonal 'objects'"				.516	
ITEM 11 "I worry that this job is gardening me emotionally"	.421			.471	
Factor 5 = Disengagement with Patients (DP-P)					
ITEM 15 "I don't really care what happens to some patients"					.785
ITEM 22 "I feel patients blame me for some of their problems"					.430
Chronbach's Alpha	.825	.678	.556	.413	.131
Average Inter-Item Correlation	.34	.30	.29	.21	.07

Ferraro © 2020 Prehospital and Disaster Medicine

Table 3. Rotated Component Matrix^a for PCA

Note: Extraction Method = Principal Component Analysis. Rotation Method = Varimax with Kaiser Normalization. Suppression of values <.4. Abbreviations: DP, Depersonalization; EE, Emotional Exhaustion; PA, Personal Accomplishment; PCA, Principal Component Analysis.

^aRotation converged in six iterations.

in retrospect, should have been included; however, these responders' characteristics were representative of the whole population, as compared with data from SEUS 118-service Human Resources office. The survey did not include the collection of variables which could have predicted different levels of burnout, such as sleep habits^{41,42} and coping strategies,⁴³ or psychiatric disorders and personality characteristics.^{6,44,45} Additionally, there was not the opportunity to collect their exposure to traumatic work experiences, such as critical incidents, disasters, or patients' death, which could have increased their stress levels^{46,47} and risk of burnout.^{34,48}

To ensure anonymity, it was not possible to differentiate between single levels of leadership and responsibility (for example, in the specialization in the use of defibrillator) achieved by different driver-rescuers, since this could have affected stress levels. Nonetheless, this was a descriptive cross-sectional design,

which did not include hypotheses on putative risk factors for the disease, but only some correlational post hoc explorative analyses.

Conclusions

Among responders, less than one-third appeared engaged in their work. However, the remaining part presented some form of burnout, particularly a disengagement profile, with a small 1.7% suffering from severe burnout. The three dimensions of the MBI were partially replicated, but it presented higher reliability in a final five-component loading. The EE scale loaded in an independent component. The PA factor resulted in five items concerning positive feelings about the work and three items about empathy with patients, which resulted in low scores in 60% of responders. The DP clustered in three items expressing hardening due to work, and two items about disengagement with patients. This last

dimension appeared not reliable, but these two items registered more high-frequency answers than the other items.

These results endorse the importance of screening and psychological interventions for this population of emergency workers, where burnout could manifest itself more insidiously. It is also possible to speculate that sub-optimal empathy skills could be related to the disengagement and work-inefficacy feelings registered. Future research in this population could be focused

on self-awareness of emotions rather than on broad burnout measurements and, consequently, specific psychological training should be pre-disposed to ensure better work experience and satisfaction.

Supplementary Material

To view supplementary material for this article, please visit <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049023X20000059>

References

- Maslach C. Burned-out. *Hum Behav.* 1976;5(9):16–22.
- World Health Organization (WHO). Burn-out an occupational phenomenon. International Classification of Diseases. WHO web site. <https://www.who.int/mental-health/evidence/burn-out/en/>. Accessed June 02, 2019.
- Leiter MP, Maslach C. Latent burnout profiles: a new approach to understanding the burnout experience. *Burn Res.* 2016;3(4):89–100.
- Arora M, Asha S, Chinnappa J, et al. Review article: burnout in emergency medicine physicians. *Emerg Med Australas.* 2013;25(6):491–495.
- Gómez-Urquiza JL, la Fuente-Solana EI De, Albendín-García L, et al. Prevalence of burnout syndrome in emergency nurses: a meta-analysis. *Crit Care Nurse.* 2017; 37(5):1–9.
- Adriaenssens J, Gucht V De, Maes S. Determinants and prevalence of burnout in emergency nurses: a systematic review of 25 years of research. *Int J Nurs Stud.* 2015;52(2):649–661.
- O'Connor K, Muller Neff D, Pitman S. Burnout in mental health professionals: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prevalence and determinants. *Eur Psychiatry.* 2018;53:74–99.
- Johnson S, Cooper C, Cartwright S, et al. The experience of work-related stress across occupations. *Journal of Managerial Psychology.* 2005;20(2):178–187.
- Argentero P, Setti I. Percezione del lavoro, contesti professionali e burnout negli operatori dell'emergenza. *G Ital Med Lav Ergon.* 2008;30(1):64–70.
- Angius P, Campana G, Cattari F. Burnout in emergency health care workers. *G Ital Med Lav Ergon.* 2011;33(3):339–342.
- Maslach C, Jackson SE, Leiter MP. *Maslach Burnout Inventory Manual*, 3rd Edition. Palo Alto, California USA: Consulting Psychologists Press; 1996.
- Sirigatti S, Stefanile C. Adattamento e taratura per l'Italia. In: Maslach C, Jackson S, (eds). *MBI Maslach Burnout Inventory. Manuale.* Firenze, Italy: Organizzazioni Speciali; 1993:33–42.
- Sirigatti S, Stefanile C, Menoni E. Per un adattamento italiano del Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI). *Bollettino di Psicologia Applicata.* 1988;29(187–188):33–39.
- Sirigatti S, Stefanile C. Maslach Burnout Inventory in Italia alla luce dell'analisi fattoriale confirmatoria. *Bollettino di Psicologia Applicata;* 1991;200:39–45.
- Pisanti R, Lombardo C, Lucidi F, et al. Psychometric properties of the Maslach Burnout Inventory for Human Services among Italian nurses: a test of alternative models. *J Adv Nurs.* 2013;69(3):697–707.
- Loera B, Converso D, Viotti S. Evaluating the psychometric properties of the Maslach Burnout Inventory-human services survey (MBI-HSS) among Italian nurses: how many factors must a researcher consider? *PLoS ONE.* 2014;9(12):e114987.
- Squires A, Finlayson C, Gerchow L, et al. Methodological considerations when translating "burnout." *Burn Res.* 2014;1(2):59–68.
- Vanheule S, Rosseel Y, Vlerick P. The factorial validity and measurement invariance of the Maslach Burnout Inventory for human services. *Stress and Health.* 2007; 23(2):87–91.
- Wheeler DL, Vassar M, Worley JA, et al. A reliability generalization meta-analysis of coefficient alpha for the Maslach burnout inventory. *Educ Psychol Meas.* 2011;71(1):231–244.
- SIS118. Home – SIS118 web site. <http://www.sis118.it/>. Accessed May 20, 2019.
- Borgogni L, Galati D, Pettita L, Centro Formazione Schweitzer. *Il questionario Checkup organizzativo. Manuale dell'adattamento italiano.* Firenze, Italy: Organizzazioni Speciali; 2005.
- Nirel N, Goldwag R, Feigenberg Z, et al. Stress, work overload, burnout, and satisfaction among paramedics in Israel. *Prehosp Disaster Med.* 2008;23(6):537–546.
- Consiglio C, Borgnoni L, Vecchione M, et al. Self-efficacy, perceptions of context, and burnout: a multilevel study on nurses. *Med Lav.* 2014;105(4):255–268.
- Maslach C, Schaufeli WB, Leiter MP. Job burnout. *Annu Rev Psychol.* 2001; 52(1):397–422.
- Duijts SFA, Kant I, Swaen GMH, et al. A meta-analysis of observational studies identifies predictors of sickness absence. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2007;60(11):1105–1115.
- Cortina JM. What is coefficient alpha? An examination of theory and applications. *J Appl Psychol.* 1993;78:98–104.
- Streiner DL. Starting at the beginning. An introduction to coefficient alpha and internal consistency. *Journal of Personality Assessment.* 2003;80:99–103.
- SPSS *Statistics for Macintosh. Version 25.0, USA,* IBM Corporation, Released 2017. Armonk, New York USA.
- Lin M, Battaglioli N, Melamed M, et al. High prevalence of burnout among US emergency medicine residents: results from the 2017 National Emergency Medicine Wellness Survey. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2019;74(5):682–690.
- Cicchitti C, Cannizzaro G, Rosi F, et al. Il burnout in emergenza preospedaliera e ospedaliera. Indagine conoscitiva in due coorti di infermieri. *Recenti Prog Med.* 2014;105(7):275–280.
- Golembiewski RT, Munzenrider RF. *Phases of Burnout: Developments in Concepts and Applications.* Choice Rev Online. Santa Barbara, California USA: Praeger; 2013:26–5926.
- Camerino D, Conway PM, Heijden BIJM Van der, et al. Low-perceived work ability, ageing and intention to leave nursing: a comparison among 10 European countries. *J Adv Nurs.* 2006;56(5):542–552.
- Tomietto M, Paro E, Sartori R, et al. Work engagement and perceived work ability: an evidence-based model to enhance nurses' well-being. *J Adv Nurs.* 2019;75(9): 1933–1942.
- Crowe RP, Bower JK, Cash RE, et al. Association of burnout with workforce-reducing factors among EMS professionals. *Prehosp Emerg Care.* 2018;22(2):229–236.
- Chao S, McCallion P, Nickle T. Factorial validity and consistency of the Maslach Burnout Inventory among staff working with persons with intellectual disability and dementia. *J Intellect Disabil Res.* 2011;55:529–536.
- Boudreaux E, Jones GN, Mandry C, et al. Patient care and daily stress among Emergency Medical Technicians. *Prehosp Disaster Med.* 1996;11(3):188–193.
- Gil-Monte P. Factorial validity of the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI-HSS) among Spanish professionals. *Rev Saude Publica.* 2005;39(1):1–8.
- Holmes M, Wang H. 423 association between physician empathy and patient real-time satisfaction. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2017;70(4):S165–166.
- Ali K, Shayne P, Ross M, et al. Evaluation of the patient satisfaction performance of emergency medicine resident physicians in a large urban academic emergency department. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2013;62(4):S139.
- Carney D, Mongelluzzo J, Foster A, et al. 379 TF understanding emotions: combating burnout with empathy during emergency medicine residency. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2017;70(4):S148–149.
- Barger LK, Runyon MS, Renn ML, et al. Effect of fatigue training on safety, fatigue, and sleep in Emergency Medical Services personnel and other shift workers: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Prehosp Emerg Care.* 2018;22(sup1):58–68.
- Patterson PD, Weaver MD, Frank RC, et al. Association between poor sleep, fatigue, and safety outcomes in Emergency Medical Services providers. *Prehosp Emerg Care.* 2012;16(1):86–97.
- Holland M. The dangers of detrimental coping in Emergency Medical Services. *Prehosp Emerg Care.* 2011;15(3):331–337.
- Chng CL, Eaddy S. Sensation seeking as it relates to burnout among emergency medical personnel: a Texas study. *Prehosp Disaster Med.* 1999;14(4):240–244.
- Palmer RG, Spaid WM. Authoritarianism, inner/other directedness, and sensation seeking in firefighter/paramedics: their relationship with burnout. *Prehosp Disaster Med.* 1996;11(1):11–15.
- Ricciardi M, Valsavoia R, Russo M, et al. The effects of different levels of exposure on persistence of stress disorders in rescue volunteers: the case of the ATR 72 air disaster in Palermo. *Giornale Italiano di Psicopatologia.* 2011;17(3):303–308.
- Donnelly E. Work-related stress and posttraumatic stress in Emergency Medical Services. *Prehosp Emerg Care.* 2012;16(1):76–85.
- Boerner K, Gleason H, Jopp D. Burnout after patient death: challenges for direct care workers. *J Pain Symptom Manage.* 2017;54(3):317–325.