

Letter to the Editor


Cite this article: Yan L (2022) Students' views with a novel game-based computer application for teaching disaster medicine. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep* 16: 1730–1731. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1017/dmp.2021.251>.

First published online: 11 October 2021

Keywords: medical student education; game-based learning; disaster medicine

Corresponding author: Longping Yan, Email: 1270117551@qq.com.

Students' Views With a Novel Game-Based Computer Application for Teaching Disaster Medicine

Longping Yan MD 

West China Medical School, Sichuan University, Sichuan, P.R. China

The article by Hu et al. is very meaningful.¹ We agree that their game-based computer application proved to be an effective tool for teaching medical students. Furthermore, there have also been some other articles suggesting the effectiveness of game-based learning.^{2,3} Therefore, we think it necessary to pay more attention to game-based learning. But the original study did not discuss the opinions of the students for some limitations while we think the views of students in game-based learning are equally important, because the joint involvement of teachers and students is required during the teaching process and the students' thoughts would also affect the quality of teaching; thus, we did a survey by questionnaire to investigate students' perceptions of learning disaster medicine with a novel game-based computer application.

This study randomly selected, using Likert scale, 65 medical students to complete the questionnaire. Among them, 43 students are female. In this questionnaire, we asked students whether they liked games or lectures to learn disaster medicine. We also investigated the advantages they thought of games over lectures, and which electronic products they liked to use when studying through games. And then we collected the data and drew 2 figures by Microsoft Excel to analyze the results. The results have shown that 38 students (58.5%) hold the view that games are better than online lectures, and 23 students (35.4%) are sitting on the fence. In addition, 51 students (78.5%) believe that it could bring more enjoyment in their disaster medicine knowledge learning when using game instruction. And in some research, many participants consider that the serious game has understandable instructions, presented the contents clearly, and it favors the engagement on study.⁴ What's more, 46 students (70.8%) think that the game-learning process is easier than lectures (Figure 1). We can draw another conclusion that 50 students (76.9%) prefer to use mobile phones instead of computers or other electronic products for game-based learning (Figure 2). Thus, it can be seen that, the majority of the students affirmed the way of leaning knowledge of disaster medicine through game-based application in this survey, and some students thought the extensive application of games in medical education remains to be verified. This study divided the data we collected into 2 groups. One group is about the students' views on game-based teaching; the other group is about students' views on teaching by lectures.




Figure legend:
1 point: the object totally disagrees with this;
2 points: the object disagrees;
3 points: the object maintains neutrality;
4 points: the object agrees;
5 points: the object totally agrees.

Figure 1. Students' attitude toward 4 statements as follows with 5 scores.




Figure 2. Students' choice of game electronics.

Then we used SPSS to perform a Pearson chi-squared test. The result showed that $P < 0.001$, which suggested that there was a significant difference between the 2 groups.

To sum up, more than half of the students in our study tend to improve knowledge of disaster medicine through serious games rather than online lectures, which contributes to game-learning

development. Mobile phones are the electronics the students most want.

Acknowledgments. The authors acknowledge all the medical students who took the questionnaire.

Funding statement. This work was financially supported by the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Science (XDA23090502).

Conflict(s) of interest. All authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. **Hu H, Liu Z, Li H.** Teaching disaster medicine with a novel game-based computer application: a case study at Sichuan University. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep.* 2020. doi: 10.1017/dmp.2020.309
2. **Moradian MJ, Mehraein Nazdik Z.** Game versus lecture-based learning in disaster risk education; an experience on Shiraz High School students. *Bull Emerg Trauma.* 2019;7(2):112-117. doi: 10.29252/beat-070204
3. **Hu H, Xiao Y, Li H.** The effectiveness of a serious game versus online lectures for improving medical students' coronavirus disease 2019 knowledge. *Games Health J.* 2021;10(2):139-144. doi: 10.1089/g4h.2020.0140
4. **Tubelo RA, Portella FF, Gelain MA, et al.** Serious game is an effective learning method for primary health care education of medical students: a randomized controlled trial. *Int J Med Inform.* 2019;130:103944. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2019.08.004