

Connectedness of Julia sets for a quadratic random dynamical system

ZHIMIN GONG^{†‡§}, WEIYUAN QIU[‡] and YING LI[§]

[†] *Business School, Xiangtan University, Xiangtan 411105, People's Republic of China*
(e-mail: gggzm@vip.sina.com)

[‡] *Laboratory of Mathematics for Nonlinear Science, Fudan University,*
Shanghai 200433, People's Republic of China

[§] *Department of Mathematics, Xiangtan University, Xiangtan 411105,*
People's Republic of China

(Received 5 March 2002 and accepted in revised form 25 March 2003)

Abstract. For a sequence (c_n) of complex numbers, the quadratic polynomials $f_{c_n} := z^2 + c_n$ and the sequence (F_n) of iterates $F_n := f_{c_n} \circ \cdots \circ f_{c_1}$ are considered. The Fatou set $\mathcal{F}(c_n)$ is defined as the set of all $z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} := \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that (F_n) is normal in some neighbourhood of z , while the complement $\mathcal{J}(c_n)$ of $\mathcal{F}(c_n)$ (in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$) is called the Julia set. In this paper we discuss the conditions for $\mathcal{J}(c_n)$ to be totally disconnected. A problem posed by Brück is solved.

1. Introduction and known results

We keep some notations used by Brück, but for the reader's convenience, we recall some basic and important notations in [4] and [6]. For a sequence (c_n) of complex numbers we consider the quadratic polynomials $f_{c_n} := z^2 + c_n$ and the sequence (F_n) of iterates $F_n := f_{c_n} \circ \cdots \circ f_{c_1}$. The Fatou set $\mathcal{F}(c_n)$ is defined as the set of all $z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} := \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that (F_n) is normal in some neighbourhood of z , while the complement of the Fatou set $\mathcal{F}(c_n)$ (in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$) is called the Julia set for (c_n) , denoted by $\mathcal{J}(c_n)$. A component of $\mathcal{F}(c_n)$ is called a stable domain, or a Fatou component.

Throughout this paper, we always assume that $c_n \in K_\delta := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq \delta\}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) for some $\delta > 0$, that is, $(c_n) \in K_\delta^\mathbb{N}$. The filled Julia $\mathcal{K}(c_n)$ is by definition the set of all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $(F_n(z))_{n=1}^\infty$ is bounded. From the results in [8], we know that both $\mathcal{J}(c_n)$ and $\mathcal{K}(c_n)$ are compact in \mathbb{C} and $\partial\mathcal{K}(c_n) = \mathcal{J}(c_n)$. A simple and important fact is that the filled Julia set for (c_n) is contained in K_{R_δ} , where

$$R_\delta = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\delta}).$$

In particular, if $c_n = c$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we write f_c^n instead of F_n . In this case, the Fatou set and Julia set are denoted by $\mathcal{F}(f_c)$ and $\mathcal{J}(f_c)$ respectively. The Fatou set and Julia set

are basic objects studied in the iteration theory of a single function. For more information and details on the iteration theory of a single function, see [1–3, 9, 10, 12, 13]. We also refer the reader to [4–8, 11] for further results on the random dynamical system.

The Mandelbrot set \mathcal{M} is defined as the set of all $c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $(f_c^n(0))_{n=0}^\infty$ is bounded. It is well known that $\mathcal{J}(f_c)$ is either connected or totally disconnected†, depending on whether $c \in \mathcal{M}$ or not. We also know that $K_{1/4}$ is contained in \mathcal{M} , and it is the largest disc centred at 0 which is contained in \mathcal{M} .

A natural question is the following.

Question 1. What is the condition for $\mathcal{J}(c_n)$ to be totally disconnected?

Brück et al obtained a very interesting result in [6] on this problem, which is stated as follows.

THEOREM A. Let $(c_n) \in K_\delta^\mathbb{N}$ for some $\delta > \frac{1}{4}$, and assume that there exists a simply connected bounded domain D such that $\bigcup_{k=0}^\infty F_k(\mathcal{J}(c_n)) \subset D$ and $(f_{c_k} \circ \dots \circ f_{c_{j+1}})(0) \notin D$ for all $j = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\mathcal{J}(c_n)$ is totally disconnected.

In addition, they gave a number of interesting examples. Using their idea, we can get a more general form of Theorem A, and give a sufficient condition in §2 for $\mathcal{J}(c_n)$ to be totally disconnected.

Brück studied the following sets:

$$\mathcal{D} := \{(c_n) \in K_\delta^\mathbb{N} : \mathcal{J}(c_n) \text{ is disconnected}\}, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_N := \{(c_n) \in K_\delta^\mathbb{N} : \mathcal{J}(c_n) \text{ has more than } N \text{ components}\}, \tag{1.2}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_\infty := \{(c_n) \in K_\delta^\mathbb{N} : \mathcal{J}(c_n) \text{ has infinitely many components}\}, \tag{1.3}$$

$$\mathcal{T} := \{(c_n) \in K_\delta^\mathbb{N} : \mathcal{J}(c_n) \text{ is totally disconnected}\}. \tag{1.4}$$

It is obvious that $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{D}_\infty \subset \mathcal{D}_N \subset \mathcal{D}$, and $\mathcal{D} = \emptyset$ for $\delta \leq \frac{1}{4}$ follows from Theorem 1.1 in [6].

We equip $K_\delta^\mathbb{N}$ with the product topology, where K_δ carries the usual topology induced from \mathbb{C} . In other words, it has a subbase

$$\{U_1 \times U_2 \times \dots : U_i = K_\delta \text{ for } i \neq k, \text{ and } U_k \text{ is open in } K_\delta, k = 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

Brück discussed the topological properties of \mathcal{D} , \mathcal{D}_N , \mathcal{D}_∞ and \mathcal{T} , and he obtained many interesting results. For example, in [4] he proved the following two theorems.

THEOREM B. The set \mathcal{T} defined by (1.4) is dense in $K_\delta^\mathbb{N}$ provided $\delta > \frac{1}{4}$.

THEOREM C. The set \mathcal{D}_∞ defined by (1.3) is a countable intersection of dense open subsets of $K_\delta^\mathbb{N}$ provided $\delta > \frac{1}{4}$. In particular, \mathcal{D}_∞ is of the second Baire category in $K_\delta^\mathbb{N}$, while the complement $K_\delta^\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathcal{D}_\infty$ is of the first Baire category in $K_\delta^\mathbb{N}$.

Brück put forward the following question (see Question 1.1 in [4]).

Question 2. Is it true that the set \mathcal{T} defined by (1.4) is of the second Baire category in $K_\delta^\mathbb{N}$ provided that $\delta > \frac{1}{4}$?

† A set S is said to be totally disconnected if each component of S contains only one point.

We will give a positive answer to this problem in §3. In fact, it is shown that Theorem C holds true if D_∞ is replaced by \mathcal{T} .

2. *Conditions for the Julia set to be totally disconnected*

Let $(c_n) \in K_\delta^\mathbb{N}$ for some $\delta > 0$, and $F_n = f_{c_n} \circ \dots \circ f_{c_1}$. Let $\mathbb{Z} = \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}^+(c_n) &= \{f_{c_{n+k}} \circ \dots \circ f_{c_k}(0) : k \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \\ \mathcal{K}^+(c_n) &= \bigcup_{k=0}^\infty F_k(\mathcal{K}(c_n)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{K}(c_n)$ is the filled Julia set and $F_0 = \text{identity}$. It is clear that $z \in F_k(\mathcal{K}(c_n))$ if and only if $(f_{c_{m+k+1}} \circ \dots \circ f_{c_{k+1}}(z))_{m=1}^\infty$ is bounded.

Using the idea of the proof of Theorem 4.2 in [6], we can prove the following.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $(c_n) \in K_\delta^\mathbb{N}$ for some $\delta > 0$. If $\overline{\mathcal{K}^+(c_n)} \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}^+(c_n)} = \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{J}(c_n)$ is totally disconnected.*

Notes.

- (1) It is easy to prove that $\mathcal{K}^+(c_n)$ is bounded. In fact, $\mathcal{K}^+(c_n) \subset K_{R_\delta}$.
- (2) Under the condition of Theorem A, we have $\overline{\bigcup_{k=0}^\infty F_k(\mathcal{K}(c_n))} \subset D$, which implies $\overline{\mathcal{K}^+(c_n)} \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}^+(c_n)} = \emptyset$.
- (3) The condition $\overline{\mathcal{K}^+(c_n)} \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}^+(c_n)} = \emptyset$ cannot be replaced by $\overline{\bigcup_{k=0}^\infty F_k(\mathcal{J}(c_n))} \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}^+(c_n)} = \emptyset$. This can be shown by taking $c_n = 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$, since in this case $\mathcal{J}(c_n) = \{z : |z| = 1\}$, and $\mathcal{O}^+(c_n) = \{0\}$.

Furthermore, from Theorem 2.1 we can get the following Theorem†.

THEOREM 2.2. *For every $c \notin \mathcal{M}$, there exists a neighbourhood $U(c)$ of c such that $\mathcal{J}(c_n)$ is totally disconnected if $c_n \in U(c)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof of Theorem 2.1. First, from the assumption of the theorem, there exist a finite number of finitely connected domains Ω_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$) such that

$$\overline{\mathcal{K}^+(c_n)} \subset \Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \Omega_i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{O}^+(c_n) \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\Omega}.$$

Then for every $z_0 \in \mathcal{J}(c_n)$ we have $z_0 \in F_m^{-1}(\Omega)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $z_0 \in \mathcal{J}(c_n)$ be fixed. Since $\Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \Omega_i$, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there is one and only one $j(m) \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ such that $z_0 \in F_m^{-1}(\Omega_{j(m)})$. In the sequence $\{j(m)\}_{m=1}^\infty$, at least one number of $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, say, 1, appears infinitely many times. The corresponding m 's are denoted by $\{i_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. That is to say, $z_0 \in F_{i_n}^{-1}(\Omega_1)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since $\overline{\Omega_1} \cap \mathcal{O}^+(c_n) = \emptyset$, it is easy to see that $F_{i_n}^{-1}(\Omega_1) = f_{c_1}^{-1} \circ \dots \circ f_{c_{i_n}}^{-1}(\Omega_1)$ consists of exactly 2^{i_n} finitely connected components, and among these components, one and only one contains z_0 . Hence, $F_{i_n} : F_{i_n}^{-1}(\Omega_1) \rightarrow \Omega_1$ has 2^{i_n} inverse functions, and only one of them, denoted by $g_{i_n} = h_n$, satisfies the condition $z_0 \in h_n(\Omega_1)$.

† The authors thank the referee for his valuable suggestion on the improvement of Theorem 2.2.

Let $D_R = \{z : |z| < R\}$. Since Ω_1 is bounded, we can choose R large enough such that $\Omega \subset D_R$, and $|f_{c_n}(z)| > |z|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ provided $|z| \geq R$. Therefore, $h_n(\Omega_1) \subset D_R$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and consequently (h_n) is normal on Ω_1 by Montel's principle. Let $\{h_{j_n}\}$ be a subsequence of h_n such that $\{h_{j_n}\}$ converges to $I(z)$ locally uniformly on Ω_1 . We can prove $I(z)$ is a constant as follows.

Choose two domains U_1, V_1 such that $\overline{V_1} \subset U_1, \overline{U_1} \subset \Omega_1$ and $\partial V_1 \cap \overline{\mathcal{K}^+(c_n)} = \emptyset$. Since h_{j_n} converges to $I(z)$ uniformly on $\overline{U_1}$, we have for n large enough

$$h_{j_n}(\overline{V_1}) \subset I(U_1), \tag{2.1}$$

$$I(U_1) \subset h_{j_n}(\Omega_1) \subset F_{j_n}^{-1}(\Omega_1). \tag{2.2}$$

From (2.1) and (2.2) we get

$$\overline{V_1} \subset F_{j_n}(I(U_1)) \subset \Omega_1 \tag{2.3}$$

for n large enough. Thus

$$F_m(I(U_1)) \subset D_R \tag{2.4}$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

On the other hand, from (2.3) one can choose a natural number n_0 and a point $z_1 \in I(U_1)$ such that $z_2 = F_{j_{n_0}}(z_1) \in \partial V_1$. Since $\partial V_1 \cap \overline{\mathcal{K}^+(c_n)} = \emptyset, f_{c_{q+p}} \circ \dots \circ f_{c_{q+1}}(z)$ converges to ∞ uniformly on ∂V_1 as $p \rightarrow \infty$ for any $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence $f_{c_{q+p}} \circ \dots \circ f_{c_{q+1}}(z_2)$ converges to ∞ ($p \rightarrow \infty$). In particular, $f_{c_{j_{n_0}+p}} \circ \dots \circ f_{c_1}(z_1) = F_{j_{n_0}+p}(z_1) \rightarrow \infty$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$, which contradicts (2.4). Therefore, $I(z)$ must be a constant function.

In other words, $g_{i_{j_n}}$ converges to z_0 locally uniformly on Ω_1 .

By the hypothesis of the theorem, one may choose finitely connected domains Ω'_i ($i = 1, \dots, k$) such that $\overline{\Omega'_i} \subset \Omega_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, and

$$\overline{\mathcal{K}^+(c_n)} \subset \Omega' = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \Omega'_i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{O}^+(c_n) \subset C \setminus \overline{\Omega'}.$$

Then the above argument remains valid for Ω'_1 and hence $z_0 \in h_n(\Omega'_1)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and h_{j_n} converges to z_0 uniformly on Ω'_1 . Obviously, the component of $\mathcal{J}(c_n)$ containing z_0 must be contained in $h_{j_n}(\Omega'_1)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), so such a component of $\mathcal{J}(c_n)$ contains only one point z_0 . It is evident now that $\mathcal{J}(c_n)$ is totally disconnected. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Since $c \notin \mathcal{M}, |c| > \frac{1}{4}$. Take $\delta > |c| > \frac{1}{4}$. Let $s = (1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\delta})/2$. For convenience, we suppose that $(c_n) \in K_\delta^\mathbb{N}$. As a matter of fact, this is satisfied if $c_n \in \overline{O(c)}$ ($O(c) := O(c, \delta - |c|)$) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $D_s = \{z : |z| < s\}$. It is clear that $|f_{c_n}(z)| \geq |z|$ for all $|z| \geq s$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In fact, it is not hard to prove that $\mathcal{K}(c_n) \subset \overline{D_s}$, and furthermore $\mathcal{K}^+(c_n) \subset \overline{D_s}$. In addition, we point out the following fact: if $|z| \geq s + 1$, then $|f_{c_n}(z)| \geq (s + 1)^2 - \delta = 3s + 1$.

It is well known that $f_c^n(0) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if $c \notin \mathcal{M}$, where $f_c(z) = z^2 + c$. There exists a natural number p such that $f_c^p(0) > s + 2$. Therefore, there exists a neighbourhood $D_0(c)$ of c such that

$$|f_{c_{m+p}} \circ \dots \circ f_{c_{m+1}}(0)| \geq s + 1$$

provided that $c_n \in D_0(c)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence

$$|f_{c_{m+q}} \circ \dots \circ f_{c_{m+1}}(0)| \geq s + 1 \quad (q \geq p),$$

provided that $c_n \in O(c) \cap D_0(c)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_p(c_n) &= \{f_{c_{m+q}} \circ \dots \circ f_{c_{m+1}}(0) : q \geq p, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \\ \mathcal{O}_{p-1}(c_n) &= \{f_{c_{m+p-1}} \circ \dots \circ f_{c_{m+1}}(0) : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \\ &\vdots \\ \mathcal{O}_2(c_n) &= \{f_{c_{m+2}} \circ f_{c_{m+1}}(0) : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \\ \mathcal{O}_1(c_n) &= \{f_{c_{m+1}}(0) : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\overline{\mathcal{O}_p(c_n)} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus D_{s+1} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus D_{s+1/2}$$

provided $c_n \in O(c) \cap D_0(c)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In other words, we have

$$\overline{\mathcal{K}^+(c_n)} \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}_p(c_n)} = \emptyset,$$

provided that $c_n \in O(c) \cap D_0(c)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For each $r = 1, 2, \dots, p - 1$, since $|f_c^{p-r}(f_c^r(0))| = |f_c^p(0)| > s + 2$, there exists a neighbourhood $U_r = O(f_c^r(0), \varepsilon_r)$ of $f_c^r(0)$ and a neighbourhood $D_r(c)$ of c such that

$$|f_{c_{m+p-r}} \circ \dots \circ f_{c_{m+1}}(z)| \geq s + 1$$

for all $z \in U_r$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ provided that $c_n \in D_r(c)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies $\mathcal{K}^+(c_n) \cap U_r = \emptyset$ provided $c_n \in O(c) \cap D_r(c)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, there exists a neighbourhood $D'_r(c)$ of c such that, when $c_n \in D'_r(c)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$f_{c_{m+r}} \circ \dots \circ f_{c_{m+1}}(0) \in U'_r = O\left(f_c^r(0), \frac{\varepsilon_r}{2}\right).$$

That is to say, $\mathcal{O}_r(c_n) \subset U'_r$ provided that $c_n \in D'_r(c)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore,

$$\overline{\mathcal{K}^+(c_n)} \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}_r(c_n)} = \emptyset, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, p - 1$$

provided $c_n \in O(c) \cap D_r(c) \cap D'_r(c)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $U(c) = O(c) \cap D_0(c) \cap \bigcap_{r=1}^{p-1} D_r(c) \cap D'_r(c)$. Using the fact that $\mathcal{O}^+(c_n) = \bigcup_{r=1}^p \mathcal{O}_r(c_n)$, and then $\overline{\mathcal{O}^+(c_n)} = \overline{\bigcup_{r=1}^p \mathcal{O}_r(c_n)}$, we obtain $\overline{\mathcal{K}^+(c_n)} \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}^+(c_n)} = \emptyset$ provided $c_n \in U(c)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Theorem 2.1, $\mathcal{J}(c_n)$ is totally disconnected as required. \square

3. Topological properties of \mathcal{T}

In this section, we study the properties of \mathcal{T} defined by (1.4). Here, we always assume that $\delta > \frac{1}{4}$ to avoid the trivial case $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{D} = \emptyset$, where \mathcal{D} is defined by (1.1). At the end of this section, we will give a positive answer to Question 2 posed by Brück.

It is necessary to recall the notion of Baire category. Let X be a topological space. A set $A \subset X$ is called *nowhere dense* in X if the closure \overline{A} has empty interior, and we say A is

of the *first Baire category* in X if A is a countable union of nowhere dense sets. Otherwise, A is of the *second Baire category*.

To prove our theorems we need the Hausdorff metric, defined as follows.

Let A, B be two non-empty compact subsets of \mathbb{C} , then the Hausdorff distance between A and B is defined as

$$H(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{a \in A} \text{dist}(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} \text{dist}(b, A) \right\},$$

where $\text{dist}(a, B) = \inf\{|a - b| : b \in B\}$.

Let $(c_n) \in K_\delta^\mathbb{N}$ for some $\delta > 0$. Let $\Delta_R := \{z : |z| > R\}$ and $U_m = F_m^{-1}(\Delta_R)$, then we have from [8] the following result.

LEMMA 3.1. *There exists a stable domain $\mathcal{A}(c_n)(\infty)$ which contains the point ∞ and wherein $F_n \rightarrow \infty$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) locally uniformly. If $R > R_\delta$, then $\overline{U}_m \subset U_{m+1}$, and $\mathcal{A}(c_n)(\infty) = \bigcup_{m=1}^\infty U_m$ and $\mathcal{J}(c_n) = \partial\mathcal{A}(c_n)(\infty)$.*

Obviously, $\partial U_m = F_m^{-1}(\partial\Delta_R)$. From Lemma 3.1 we can prove the following †.

LEMMA 3.2. $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} H(\partial U_m, \mathcal{J}(c_n)) = 0$.

Proof. We need only to prove

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{z \in \mathcal{J}(c_n)} \text{dist}(z, \partial U_m) = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{z \in \partial U_m} \text{dist}(z, \mathcal{J}(c_n)) = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$. For every $z \in \mathcal{J}(c_n)$, by Lemma 3.1, there exists a natural number m_z such that

$$O_z \cap U_{m_z} \neq \emptyset \implies O_z \cap \partial U_{m_z} \neq \emptyset,$$

where $O_z = O(z, \varepsilon) = \{z' : |z' - z| < \varepsilon\}$. Since $\mathcal{J}(c_n)$ is compact, one can choose a finite number of points z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k in $\mathcal{J}(c_n)$ such that $\mathcal{J}(c_n) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k O(z_i, \varepsilon)$.

Let $m_0 = \max\{m_{z_1}, \dots, m_{z_k}\}$. We have $\text{dist}(z_1, \partial U_{m_0}) < 2\varepsilon$ and hence

$$\text{dist}(z_1, \partial U_m) < 2\varepsilon$$

for all $z_1 \in \mathcal{J}(c_n)$ and $m \geq m_0$. This shows $\sup_{z \in \mathcal{J}(c_n)} \text{dist}(z, \partial U_m) \leq 2\varepsilon$. Then (3.1) is proved.

To prove (3.2), it is enough to show $\rho := \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{z \in \partial U_m} \text{dist}(z, \mathcal{J}(c_n)) = 0$. If the contrary holds, we can choose a subsequence $\{n_\ell\}$ of $\{n\}$ and a sequence $\{z_\ell\}$ of points such that $z_\ell \in \partial U_{n_\ell}$ and $\text{dist}(z_\ell, \mathcal{J}(c_n)) \geq \rho/2$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. We may as well suppose that $z_\ell \rightarrow z_0$ ($\ell \rightarrow \infty$), then $\text{dist}(z_0, \mathcal{J}(c_n)) \geq \rho/2$, which implies $z_0 \in \mathcal{F}(c_n)$. On the other hand, it is easy to see from Lemma 3.1 that $z_0 = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} z_\ell \in \mathcal{J}(c_n) = \partial\mathcal{A}(c_n)(\infty)$. This is a contradiction and (3.2) is proved. From (3.1) and (3.2) we get $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} H(\partial U_m, \mathcal{J}(c_n)) = 0$. □

† The authors thank the referee for his useful suggestion to simplify the proof of this Lemma.

Let $V(c_n)$ be the set composed of all the components of $\mathcal{J}(c_n)$. Denote by $d(u)$ the diameter of u for $u \in V(c_n)$, and let $d^*(c_n) = \sup_{u \in V(c_n)} \{d(u)\}$. Set

$$L_r := \{(c_n) \in K_\delta^\mathbb{N} : d^*(c_n) < r\}.$$

We first prove the following.

THEOREM 3.1. *The set L_r is a dense open subset of $K_\delta^\mathbb{N}$ provided $\delta > \frac{1}{4}$.*

Proof. Since $L_r \supset \mathcal{T}$, from Theorem B, it is enough to show that L_r is open in $K_\delta^\mathbb{N}$. Let $(c_n^0) \in L_r$, then $d^*(c_n^0) = r_0 < r$. Take R large enough such that $|f_{c_n}(z)| > |z|$ for all $|z| \geq R$ and all $(c_n) \in K_\delta^\mathbb{N}$, and let $F_m = f_{c_m^0} \circ \dots \circ f_{c_1^0}$, $D_R = \{z : |z| < R\}$ and $B_m = F_m^{-1}(D_R)$. By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} H(\partial B_m, \mathcal{J}(c_n^0)) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} H(F_m^{-1}(\partial D_R), \mathcal{J}(c_n^0)) = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Let $\varepsilon = (r - r_0)/2$. Then we have the following.

ASSERTION. *The diameters of all components of $F_m^{-1}(D_R)$ are less than $r_0 + \varepsilon/2$, provided m is large enough.*

If this is not true, then for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a natural number $j(m) > m$ and a component $u_{j(m)}$ of $F_{j(m)}^{-1}(D_R)$ such that

$$d(u_{j(m)}) \geq r_0 + \varepsilon/2. \tag{3.4}$$

Clearly, one can require that $j(m + 1) > j(m)$.

It can be shown that

$$u_{j(m)} \cap \mathcal{J}(c_n^0) \neq \emptyset \tag{3.5}$$

for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Otherwise, we have $\overline{u_{j(m)}} \subset \mathcal{F}(c_n^0)$ since $\partial u_{j(m)} \subset \mathcal{F}(c_n^0)$. Note that $F_n(z)$ converges to ∞ uniformly on $\partial u_{j(m)}$; we have then

$$F_n(z) \rightarrow \infty \quad (k \rightarrow \infty) \tag{3.6}$$

uniformly on $\overline{u_{j(m)}}$. Take a point $\gamma \in \mathcal{J}(c_n) \subset \mathcal{K}(c_n)$. Then we have $\alpha = F_{j(m)}(\gamma) \in D_R$ and

$$F_{j(m)+n}(\gamma) = f_{c_{j(m)+n}^0} \circ \dots \circ f_{c_{j(m)+1}^0}(\alpha) \in D_R \tag{3.7}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, $F_{j(m)}(u_{j(m)}) = D_R$, and there exists $\beta \in u_{j(m)}$ such that $\alpha = F_{j(m)}(\beta)$. It follows from (3.6) that $F_{j(m)+n_k}(\beta) = f_{c_{j(m)+n_k}^0} \circ \dots \circ f_{c_{j(m)+1}^0}(\alpha) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. This contradicts (3.7). So (3.5) is proved.

For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, take a point $z_{j(m)} \in u_{j(m)} \cap \mathcal{J}(c_n^0)$. There is no harm in assuming that $z_{j(m)} \rightarrow z_0 \in \mathcal{J}(c_n^0)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. It is clear that for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ that there is one and only one component $v_{j(m)}$ of $F_{j(m)}^{-1}(D_R)$ containing z_0 , and $v_{j(m+1)} \subset v_{j(m)}$.

Let u_0 be the component of $\mathcal{J}(c_n^0)$ which contains z_0 , then we have $u_0 \subset v_{j(m)}$ and $d(u_0) \leq r_0$ since $d^*(c_n^0) = r_0$.

From (3.3), it is easy to see $d(v_{j(m)}) \rightarrow d(u_0)$ ($m \rightarrow \infty$) and hence $d(v_{j(m)}) < r_0 + \varepsilon/4$ for m large enough. Since each B_m consists of a finite number of Jordan domains with sectionally analytic boundaries, and $\overline{B_{m+1}} \subset B_m$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ (see Lemma 3.1), from

the fact that $z_{j(n)} \rightarrow z_0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), it is not difficult to show that for a fixed m , $u_{j(n)}$ will be contained in $v_{j(m)}$ for n large enough. It follows that $d(u_{j(m)}) < r_0 + \varepsilon/4$ for m large enough. This contradicts (3.4), so the assertion is proved.

Choose a natural number p such that the diameters of all components of $F_p^{-1}(D_R)$ are less than $r_0 + \varepsilon/2$.

Let $G_m = f_{c_m} \circ \dots \circ f_{c_1}$. By a simple continuity argument, there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that the diameter of all components of $G_p^{-1}(D_R)$ are less than $(r_0 + \varepsilon)$ provided that $|c_m - c_m^0| < \delta_0$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots, p$).

Since each component of $G_{q+1}^{-1}(D_R)$ must be contained in some component of $G_q^{-1}(D_R)$, the diameters of all components of $G_q^{-1}(D_R)$ are less than $(r_0 + \varepsilon)$, $q \geq p$ provided $|c_m - c_m^0| < \delta_0$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots, p$).

If $(c_n) \in K_\delta^{\mathbb{N}}$, then $\mathcal{J}(c_n)$ is clearly contained in $G_q^{-1}(D_R)$ for each $q \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, the diameters of all components of $\mathcal{J}(c_n)$ are less than $(r_0 + \varepsilon) < r$ provided that $(c_n) \in K_\delta^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $|c_m - c_m^0| < \delta_0$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots, p$). That is to say, if

$$(c_n) \in K_\delta^{\mathbb{N}}, \quad |c_m - c_m^0| < \delta_0 \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots, p),$$

we have $d^*(c_n) \leq r_0 + \varepsilon < r$, which implies $(c_n) \in L_r$.

Let $N_m = \{z : |z - c_m^0| < \delta_0\}$; then $N_1 \times N_2 \times \dots \times N_p \times K_\delta^{\mathbb{N}} \subset L_r$. Hence L_r is open. The proof is complete. □

Now we can obtain the following theorem, which gives a positive answer to Brück’s question (see Question 2 in §1).

THEOREM 3.2. *The set \mathcal{T} defined by (1.4) is a countable intersection of dense open subsets of $K_\delta^{\mathbb{N}}$ provided $\delta > \frac{1}{4}$. In particular, \mathcal{T} is of the second Baire category in $K_\delta^{\mathbb{N}}$, while the complement $K_\delta^{\mathbb{N}} \setminus \mathcal{T}$ is of the first Baire category in $K_\delta^{\mathbb{N}}$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{T} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{1/n}$, the assertion follows from Theorem 3.1. □

Remark. Theorem 3.1 and hence Theorem 3.2 remain true if K_δ is replaced by any bounded set $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ provided $K \cap (C \setminus \mathcal{M}) \neq \emptyset$.

Acknowledgements. This work was partially supported by the Science and Research Funds of Human Province Education Committee. The authors thank the referee for advice that helped to improve the quality of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. F. Beardon. *Iteration of Rational Functions*. Springer, New York, 1991.
- [2] W. Bergweiler. Iteration of meromorphic functions. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **29** (1993), 151–188.
- [3] P. Blanchard. Complex analytic dynamics on the Riemann sphere. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **11** (1984), 85–141.
- [4] R. Brück. Connectedness and stability of Julia sets of the composition of polynomials of the form $z^2 + c_n$. *J. London Math. Soc.* **61** (2000), 462–470.
- [5] R. Brück. Geometric properties of Julia sets of the composition of polynomials of the form $z^2 + c_n$. *Pacific J. Math.* **198** (2001), 347–372.

- [6] R. Brück, M. Bürger and S. Reitz. Random iterations of polynomials of the form $z^2 + c_n$: connectedness of Julia sets. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **19** (1999), 1221–1231.
- [7] M. Bürger. Self-similarity of Julia sets of the composition of polynomials. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **17** (1997), 1289–1297.
- [8] M. Bürger. On the composition of polynomials of the form $z^2 + c_n$. *Math. Ann.* **310** (1998), 661–683.
- [9] L. Carleson and T. W. Gamelin. *Complex Dynamics*. Springer, New York, 1993.
- [10] A. E. Eremenko and M. Yu. Lyubich. The dynamics of analytic translations. *Leningrad Math. J.* **1** (1990), 563–634.
- [11] J. E. Fornæss and N. Sibony. Random iterations of rational functions. *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **11** (1991), 687–708.
- [12] J. Milnor. *Dynamics in One Complex Variable*. Vieweg Verlag, Braunschweig, 1999.
- [13] N. Steinmetz. *Rational Iteration: Complex Analytic Dynamical Systems*. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1993.