Because Edwards worked on his system at different levels, with different document types, tracing out exactly what he believed, what connections he made and what strings he left unstrung is no easy task. Crisp may come to some provocative conclusions — and he does, leaving us asking for more proof in a few places — but Edwards, as I have been at pains to say, is the kind of high-flown thinker that prompts such speculation. Jonathan Edwards among the Theologians is a worthy, weighty and mind-taxing work of scholarly inquiry. It leaves us pondering what it means to be biblical; what it means to be confessional; what it means to base one's intellectual life in scripture; and where we are called to embrace mystery, instead of thinking twenty thoughts not expressly laid down in holy writ.

Owen Strachan

Midwestern Baptist Theological Seminary, Kansas City, MO 64118

OStrachan@mbts.edu

doi:10.1017/S0036930618000157

Olli-Pekka Vainio, Disagreeing Virtuously: Religious Conflict in Interdisciplinary Perspective (Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans, 2017), pp. xxi + 207, \$30.00.

Olli-Pekka Vainio sets out to address one of the key questions facing a world of increased fragmentation and religious conflict: how do we handle disagreement virtuously, and deal with its challenges in ways that lead to human flourishing rather than further disintegration? The need for deeper reflection on this is becoming increasingly apparent. Following Peter Berger's thesis that late modernity tends to generate difference (creating a plurality of worldviews, values and viewpoints) Vainio argues that we live in a world where our religious choices make us 'heretics' to increasing numbers of people. We therefore need more than ever to understand the nature of our disagreements, and learn to disagree well without losing our conversation partners.

Vainio's project is vital in its contemporary relevance, but the question of how to reconnoitre such complex terrain in a book of 200 pages is clearly a considerable challenge! Given the limited available space, as well as the technical and analytical nature of much of the philosophical discussion, Vainio is to be congratulated on contributing such a clear and generative book for contemporary reflection. Central to its success lies in its identification of disagreement as a discrete subject for interdisciplinary exploration in its own right, and for offering such reflection in a concise and well-ordered way, even if the discussion is in places highly condensed.

The interdisciplinary approach is structured around insights from three broad sources: the history of ideas, contemporary cognitive science and the

philosophy of religion. In conclusion, the final chapter advocates the practice of virtue theory as the best way to actualise our responses to disagreement. Chapter 1 ('We've Been Here Before') surveys a history of disagreement in philosophical terms ranging from the thought of Plato and Aristotle, through Augustine and Aquinas, to Bacon and Descartes and on into the political philosophies of Hobbes, Locke and Kant. From the supposed zenith in the evolution of the western mind as a result of the 'Enlightenment', Vainio proceeds to chart the demise of its central premises, heralded by the rejection of philosophical neutrality by Nietzsche (and therefore of uncontested foundationalist notions of 'truth'), and materialised more recently through a variety of perspectivist and contingent theories. The result of this, says Vainio, is that 'The freer people are, the more room they have for disagreement' (p. 40).

Next Vainio turns to the field of contemporary psychology and examines disagreement through the prism of neuroscience and the psychology of decision-making. Vainio shows that disagreeing is actually intrinsic to mental behaviour in humans, and that our cognitive limitations make rational reflection upon the process of decision-making very difficult. Building on this conclusion with insights from the science and epistemology of religious belief Vainio suggests that part of this complexity derives from the element of mystery which is intrinsic to religious believing.

How then do we hold our beliefs in such a way as to avoid the kind of dogmatism that arises from a lack of humility on the one hand, but also avoid the scepticism about the views of others that results from an overconfidence in the epistemic status of our own beliefs? Vainio's conclusion is that the best way through the dilemmas posed by religious disagreement is through the pursuit of the best insights of virtue theory. For Vainio, this involves the combination of an epistemic humility with personal courage. It is the ability to articulate the strengths and weaknesses of one's beliefs, understand the strengths and weaknesses of another's beliefs, and then bring the two perspectives into a constructive dialogue that ensures a proper and respectful accommodation of difference.

Disagreeing Virtuously is a stimulating and illuminating book, even if one might inevitably have wanted the case to be taken further. For example, many of the discussions betray an understandably western cultural context, in which an individualist rather than communal context tends to be focal. One way of building on Vainio's work would be to consider what other cultural contexts would contribute to the discussion. In addition, given the book's emphasis upon religious conflict from a Christian perspective, more might have been said about the pursuit of virtue in explicitly Christian terms, which the last section just begins to introduce. However, as a road

sign towards greater human flourishing in times of disagreement, this book provides both a valuable and detailed map of where we've come from as well as a compass suggesting a way forward.

Paul Weston Ridley Hall, Cambridge, CB3 9HG pdaw2@cam.ac.uk

doi:10.1017/S0036930618000145

Bryant L. Myers, Engaging Globalization: The Poor, Christian Mission, and our Hyperconnected World (Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2017), pp. xxi + 282. \$26.99.

In this multifaceted and helpful book Bryant Myers asks 'how the Christian church and Christians will respond to globalization?' (p. 187). Myers thinks 'of globalization as an outcome and a family of processes'. Its outcome is that ideas, people, diseases, goods, money, crime, etc., move more rapidly and freely around the world than before, creating a 'new global imaginary' (p. 37). Its processes include technological advances, the information revolution and the ascendancy of liberal capitalist economics, changes in international political governance and a certain evening out of culture. These changes impact many areas of life and yet 'there is little evidence that Christians and their churches are devoting much energy to understanding globalization, biblically assessing its values and promises to us, and preparing our people to respond' (p. 5). Myers' book arose from a course at Fuller Theological Seminary. Its published form retains much of the structure and pedagogical intention of its original form, for example, in its use of diagrams, graphs, boxed quotations from key sources and discussion questions: it is an excellent classroom resource.

Myers' perspective on globalisation is summed up with appealing simplicity: 'Materially it is a good story; morally and theologically, not so much' (p. 103). The material is presented in six stages. In section 1 Myers sets the scene, partly by asserting several guiding 'theological affirmations'. In section 2 he introduces ways of construing globalisation, noting also some preliminary challenges. Section 3 proposes that there have been 'two eras of globalization', the first beginning at the dawn of history, a second taking off with the Industrial Revolution and the rise of British imperialism. Since 1989, this second era has undergone a reboot that has led to 'a new expression of globalization' (p. 99). All this has (sections 4–5) impacted significantly on human lives – with good (e.g. increased life expectancy) and bad (e.g. increasing gap between rich and poor) consequences. The final section 6 explores globalisation and the