A new magnetic resonance imaging scoring system for perilymphatic space appearance after intratympanic gadolinium injection, and its clinical application

Z-M FANG¹, X CHEN², X GU², Y LIU¹, R ZHANG², D-R CAO¹, H-G HE³

Departments of ¹Imaging, and ²Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, First Affiliated Hospital of Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou, Fujian Province, People's Republic of China, and ³Alice Lee Centre for Nursing Studies, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore

Abstract

Objective: To establish a new magnetic resonance imaging scoring system for diagnosing endolymphatic hydrops. *Patients and methods*: A total of 214 ears of 107 patients were categorised into five groups: no symptoms, Ménière's disease, sudden deafness, delayed endolymphatic hydrops and other ear disorders. Gadolinium distribution within the labyrinth was scored separately and quantitatively by two radiologists. Multiple independent-sample non-parametric tests, Bayesian discriminant analysis, multivariate logistic regression and receiver operating characteristic curve analyses were performed.

Results: The derived scoring model was highly accurate for diagnosing Ménière's disease and delayed endolymphatic hydrops. Two magnetic resonance imaging scoring methods for the perilymphatic space were proposed for the diagnosis of endolymphatic hydrops: a pre-1 value (a new variable that predicts individual probability) of more than 0.3982299, or a sum of all labyrinth component scores of less than 14.5.

Conclusion: A convenient method is proposed which offers reliable radiological diagnostic criteria for Ménière's disease and delayed endolymphatic hydrops.

Key words: Endolymphatic Hydrops; Magnetic Resonance Imaging; Cochlea; Vestibule; Labyrinth; Semicircular Canals; Gadolinium DTPA

Introduction

Currently, inner ear diseases such as Ménière's disease and delayed endolymphatic hydrops are primarily diagnosed based on medical history, symptoms, physical signs, audiology and vestibular function tests. There is a lack of objective imaging criteria capable of aiding diagnosis.

Increasing numbers of researchers have investigated the use of inner ear magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) after intratympanic gadolinium injection. However, most have focused on the measurement and evaluation of the low-signal area that represents the endolymphatic space in the labyrinth, and have obtained only indirect images of the membranous labyrinth.^{1–5} Furthermore, the method used has involved complex data quantification and a complicated measurement procedure. Moreover, gadolinium distribution within the ear may vary between different patients or even within the same patient, and gadolinium may not distribute equally across different parts of the labyrinth. Therefore, we propose a simplified scoring system evaluating the MRI appearance of gadolinium distribution within the perilymphatic space, in order to enable accurate and convenient diagnosis of clinically relevant variation.

Patients and methods

Clinical data

The study analysed a convenience sample of 107 patients who had sought medical help at the otolaryngology department of a provincial Chinese hospital between February and July 2010.

We collected comprehensive clinical data from each patient, including symptoms, physical signs, and hearing and vestibular function test results. The latter tests comprised pure tone audiometry, bithermal caloric tests, and measurement of high stimulus rate auditory brainstem response (ABR) and vestibularevoked myogenic potential.

Accepted for publication 21 June 2011 First published online 7 February 2012

IMAGING SCORING SYSTEM FOR PERILYMPHATIC SPACE

A total of 214 ears of the 107 patients were categorised into five groups: no symptoms, Ménière's disease, sudden deafness, delayed endolymphatic hydrops and other disorders. The inclusion criteria for the 'no symptoms' group were: (1) absence of subjective symptoms, and of any history of hearing loss, ear congestion or tinnitus; (2) normal results for subjective and objective audiological and vestibular function tests (including pure tone audiometry, acoustic resistance, acoustic emissions, high stimulus rate ABR, bithermal caloric and vestibular-evoked myogenic potential tests); and (3) meeting the above criteria at threemonth follow up after MRI examination.

Ménière's disease was diagnosed using the American Academy of Otolaryngology – Head and Neck Surgery Committee on Hearing and Equilibrium guidelines.⁶

Sudden deafness was confirmed using the 2009 revised S2 guidelines for acute sensorineural hearing loss of the Association of the Scientific Medical Societies of Germany.⁷

The diagnostic criteria for delayed endolymphatic hydrops were: (1) severe sensorineural deafness or total deafness as the initial presentation; (2) Ménière's disease like vestibular symptoms as the subsequent presentation; (3) no hearing change accompanying vertigo; and (4) exclusion of central nervous system disease.

Patients were included in the 'other disorders' group if they failed to meet all of the above four sets of criteria.

The study was approved by the internal review board of the participating hospital, and written, informed consent was obtained from all participants. The study conformed to the specifications of the Helsinki declaration.

Magnetic resonance imaging

Gadolinium diethylenetriaminepenta-acetic acid (Gd-DTPA) dimeglumine injection solution was used as the contrast medium. In all 107 patients, gadolinium hydrate diluted eightfold with saline was injected through the tympanic membrane using a 23 G needle. After injection, patients were kept with their head rotated 45° contralaterally for 30 minutes.

Twenty-four hours later, three-dimensional fluidattenuated inversion recovery MRI was performed using a 3-Tesla unit. All MRI examinations were performed using a Verio 3.0T 16 channel head machine (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany).

The following images were obtained.

Routine sagittal Turbo SE T2-weighted images were taken via the internal auditory canal (Time of Repetition = 6000 milliseconds, Time of Echo = 96 milliseconds, slice thickness = 3 mm). This view not only helped exclude intracranial and cerebellopontine angle lesions, but also aided positioning for further high-resolution labyrinth scans.

Routine MRI hydrography of the inner ear (3-dimensional-Sampling Perfection with Application-optimized Contrast using different flip angle Evolutions (3D-SPC)) was performed, and served as a reference for Isotropic 3-dimensional-Sampling Perfection with Application-optimized Contrast using different flip angle Evolutions (3D-SPC) inversion recovery fluidattenuated inversion recovery was also performed (Time of Repetition = 6000 milliseconds, Time of Echo = 388 milliseconds, Time of Inversion (TI) = 2100 milliseconds, scan time = 5 minutes 32 seconds, spatial resolution = $0.7 \times 0.7 \times 0.7$ mm).

Image post-processing and scoring

Routine hydrography images were processed with three-dimensional, multiplanar reconstruction and maximum intensity projection, using the Syngo suite, as shown in Figure 1a. A high-signal area suggested the existence of fluid in the small space of the membranous labyrinth, primarily perilymph encased in the perilymphatic space.

Figures 1b and 1c show 3-dimensional-Sampling Perfection with Application-optimized Contrast using different flip angle Evolutions (3D-SPC) inversion



FIG. 1

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans for a 41-year-old man diagnosed with right-sided Ménière's disease. (a) Routine MRI hydrography image, maximum intensity projection, showing symmetrical signal for bilateral labyrinth structures, without abnormality. (b) 3-dimensional-Sampling Perfection with Application-optimized Contrast using different flip angle Evolutions (3D-SPC) inversion recovery fluid-attenuated inversion recovery image, maximum intensity projection reconstruction, showing narrowed right cochlear canal and significantly low signal in the vestibule (arrow = dilated saccule). (c) Thin-section original image from scan (b); arrow indicates dilated saccule. RFP = right-foot-posterior

TABLE I									
SCORING CRITERIA FOR INNER EAR 3D-SPC-FLAIR IMAGES*									
Appearance [†]	Cochlea			Vestibule		Semicircular canals			
	Base	Middle	Apex		Superior	Horizontal	Posterior		
Not visible [‡]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Partially visible**	1	1	1	3	1	1	1		
Completely visible [§]	2	2	2	6	2	2	2		

Data represent scores awarded. *Time of Inversion (TI) = 2100 milliseconds. [†]On 3D-SPC-FLAIR images. [‡]Absence of high-signal contrast medium. **Failure to show high-signal image of entire cochlear canal, or high-signal image of cochlear canal limited to tympanic or vestibular scale, or interrupted high-signal images of semicircular canals, or incomplete high-signal image of vestibule. [§]All labyrinth structures completely visible. 3D-SPC-FLAIR = 3-dimensional-Sampling Perfection with Application-optimized Contrast using different flip angle Evolutions (3D-SPC) fluid-attenuated inversion recovery magnetic resonance imaging

recovery fluid-attenuated inversion recovery images, in which high-signal areas represent gadolinium in the perilymphatic space.

Using labyrinth hydrography as the anatomical reference, gadolinium distribution in the labyrinth was quantitatively scored by two radiologists in an independent, double-blinded manner. The scoring criteria are shown in Table I. A score of three in the vestibular aspect reflected a low-signal saccule image found medial to the vestibule below the horizontal semicircular canal, accompanied by a normal image of the vestibule above the horizontal semicircular canal, together with a dumbbell-shaped utricle.

Statistical analysis

The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 17.0 software program (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois, USA) was used to conduct multiple independentsamples non-parametric tests, Bayesian discriminant analysis, multivariate logistic regression and receiver operating characteristic curve analysis. A p value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Magnetic resonance imaging

All MRI examinations of the 214 ears were performed within 24 hours of transtympanic injection of 1:8 diluted gadolinium diethylenetriaminepenta-acetic acid.

Of these 214 ears, 206 showed gadolinium within the perilymphatic space after the first injection, and one ear

showed the same after the second injection. The remaining seven ears failed to show gadolinium within the labyrinth. The overall success rate was 96.7 per cent.

We analysed the clinical data and radiological scores from a total of 207 ears, excluding the seven ears without a contrast-enhanced image. The results are shown in Table II.

Multiple independent-samples non-parametric tests

We found statistically significant differences for cochlear, vestibular and semicircular canal scores, comparing the five clinical groups.

After rank transformation, Dunnett's *t*-test was conducted, using the 'no symptom' group as the control against which all other groups were compared. The results are shown in Table III.

In addition, least significant differences analysis was performed (via rank transformation) to compare the Ménière's disease and delayed endolymphatic hydrops groups in terms of cochlear, vestibular and semicircular canal scores. The p values for these comparisons were as follows: for cochlear scores, 0.880; for vestibular scores, 0.053; and for semicircular canal scores, 0.354. Thus, the p value was greater than 0.05 for all three comparisons, indicating that there were no statistically significant differences between the Ménière's disease and delayed endolymphatic hydrops groups in terms of radiological scores for these three parts of the labyrinth. Therefore, we combined these two clinical groups into one, termed the

TABLE II LABYRINTH COMPONENT SCORES BY GROUP																		
Group	Ears (n)	Cochlear score*				Vestibular score*		Semicircular canal score*										
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	0	3	6	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
No symptom Ménière's disease Sudden deafness Other disorders DEH Total	50 31 16 101 9 207	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 1 2 0 4	0 5 1 2 2 10	2 17 4 23 4 50	19 5 4 30 2 60	21 2 4 28 1 56	8 1 2 16 0 27	0 7 1 0 1 9	0 19 0 4 5 28	50 5 15 97 3 170	0 1 0 0 0 1	0 1 1 1 0 3	0 3 0 0 2 5	0 6 0 2 0 8	0 8 3 8 3 22	3 2 3 13 3 24	47 10 9 77 1 144

*p = 0.00, between-group differences (non-parametric test). DEH = delayed endolymphatic hydrops

IMAGING SCORING SYSTEM FOR PERILYMPHATIC SPACE

TABLE III DUNNETT'S <i>T</i> -TEST RESULTS*							
Group	Cochlea	Vestibule	SCC				
Ménière's disease Sudden deafness Other disorders DEH	$0.00 \\ 0.064 \\ 0.054 \\ 0.00$	0.00 0.711 0.789 0.00	$0.00 \\ 0.005 \\ 0.046 \\ 0.000$				

*'No symptom' group used as control. SCC = semicircular canal; DEH = delayed endolymphatic hydrops

endolymphatic hydrops group, containing a total of 40 ears.

Bayesian discriminant analysis

The discriminant function was created using the cochlear, vestibular and semicircular canal scores as three variables, and the Y value of each group was calculated. The category with the highest weight was regarded as the discriminant attribute of the group.

In the 'no symptom' group, $Y = -27.788 + 1.972 \times$ cochlear score + 4.027 × vestibular score + 3.262 × semicircular canal score.

In the endolymphatic hydrops group, $Y = -11.454 + 1.275 \times \text{cochlear score} + 1.461 \times \text{ves-}$ tibular score + 2.855 × semicircular canal score.

In the sudden deafness group, $Y = -22.521 + 1.572 \times \text{cochlear score} + 3.991 \times \text{vestibular score} + 2.659 \times \text{semicircular canal score}.$

In the 'other disorder' group, $Y = -25.457 + 1.674 \times \text{cochlear score} + 4.053 \times \text{vestibular score} + 3.062 \times \text{semicircular canal score}.$

The accuracy of the models was examined by selfand cross-validation techniques, which both revealed the same results. The accuracy of each model was as follows: no symptom group, 56 per cent; endolymphatic hydrops group, 80 per cent; sudden deafness group, 37.5 per cent; and 'other disorders' group, 36.6 per cent. Therefore, the models were more accurate for diagnosing endolymphatic hydrops and less accurate for diagnosing sudden deafness and other disorders.

Logistic regression and receiver operating characteristic curve analysis

We used logistic regression coupled with receiver operating characteristic curve analysis to evaluate our model in terms of the diagnostic power for endolymphatic

TABLE IV LOGISTIC REGRESSION MODEL*							
Variable	RC	SE	р				
Cochlear score Vestibular score SCC score Constant	-0.418 -7.938 -3.939 65.026	0.217 2.837 1.464 61.803	0.054 0.005 0.007 0.293				

*Endolymphatic hydrops as dependent variable and labyrinth component scores as independent variables. RC = regression coefficient; SE = standard error; SCC = semicircular canal hydrops. A logistic regression model was established using endolymphatic hydrops confirmed by clinical 'gold standards' as the dependent variable (endolymphatic hydrops = 1, no endolymphatic hydrops = 0) and using the cochlear, vestibular and semicircular canal scores as covariates. The model, shown in Table IV, generated a new variable (pre-1) which predicted individual probability: pre-1 = $65.026 - 0.418 \times \text{cochlear score} - 7.938 \times \text{vestibular score} - 3.939 \times \text{semicircular canal score}.$

A receiver operating characteristic curve was constructed using the new variable, pre-1, as the test variable, as shown in Figure 2a. The area under the curve was



FIG. 2

Receiver operating characteristic curves for (a) the new variable, pre-1, in the endolymphatic hydrops group, and (b) the total perilymphatic space score in the endolymphatic hydrops group. See text for statistical parameters.

0.989 and the Youden index was 0.96. The cut-off point was 0.3982299, and the value above or equal to it was positive. The sensitivity was 0.975 and the specificity 0.980.

The receiver operating characteristic curve for total MRI scores in the endolymphatic hydrops group was obtained, as shown in Figure 2b. The area under the curve was 0.975, the Youden index 0.90, the cut-off point 14.5, the specificity 0.96 and the sensitivity 0.935.

Discussion

A recent Japanese study classified endolymphatic hydrops based on vestibular and cochlear MRI images obtained within 24 hours of intratympanic gadolinium injection.⁸ However, we believe that this study was limited by the following: clinician subjectivity; the fact that few temporal bone specimens were used to determine the normal area ratio value; the small number of patients receiving post-gadolinium MRI scanning; and failure to compare classification results with subjects' clinical data. The researchers diagnosed endolymphatic hydrops by examining the ratio of the area of the membranous labyrinth with endolymph to the area of the entire space occupied by fluid. The area ratio was used, instead of the volume ratio, calculated from an unspecified level of the irregularly shaped inner ear. Furthermore, the researchers studied indirect images that contained no contrast medium, while ignoring gadolinium distribution in the perilymphatic space. In inner ear disorders, membranous and bony labyrinth lesions may be present, resulting in an uneven mixture of gadolinium and perilymph.

Thus, we believe that these authors' imaging classification criteria for endolymphatic hydrops may have been compromised by a lack of solid scientific grounding. In addition, their study suffered from inadequate research subjects, statistical analysis and quantified data.

- Internationally agreed imaging criteria for endolymphatic hydrops diagnosis are needed
- Previous studies have focused on assessing the endolymphatic space
- This study presents a simplified magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scoring system assessing gadolinium distribution in the perilymphatic space
- The proposed MRI scoring system is only applicable to Ménière's disease and delayed endolymphatic hydrops

A review of the literature shows that there is little other research investigating the use of MRI scoring systems to diagnose endolymphatic hydrops. We believe that the present study addresses this deficiency. Any candidate scoring system should be examined for its applicability, feasibility and diagnostic criteria.

In our study, non-parametric testing of data from all the clinical diagnosis groups revealed no differences between the Ménière's disease and delayed endolymphatic hydrops groups in terms of radiological scores for various parts of the labyrinth; this is in line with current pathological knowledge. Hence, the data from both these groups were combined for further analysis.

Bayesian discriminant analysis revealed that our scoring system was most accurate for diagnosing endolymphatic hydrops (80 per cent accuracy), while it was less accurate for other diseases. Therefore, we conducted logistic regression and receiver operating characteristic curve analysis, focusing on endolymphatic hydrops. A diagnostic function was developed, based on the scores for the different labyrinth components, as follows: pre-1 = $65.026 - 0.418 \times$ cochlear score $-7.938 \times$ vestibular score $-3.939 \times$ semicircular canal score. A diagnosis of endolymphatic hydrops can be made if the pre-1 score reaches 0.3982299. Based on the above formula, a vestibular score of three or lower would cause pre-1 to rise higher than the cut-off point, regardless of the semicircular canal and cochlear scores; therefore, endolymphatic hydrops could be diagnosed.

Another diagnostic method comprised receiver operating characteristic curve analysis of the total MRI scores. When the direct sum of all scores from different aspects fell below 14.5, a diagnosis of endolymphatic hydrops could be established.

For both methods, the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve was above 0.9, suggesting high diagnostic accuracy. While the former method determines endolymphatic hydrops by taking into account the varying scores of different labyrinthine components, it is disadvantaged by the complex formula and difficult calculation. By contrast, the latter method adds all labyrinthine component scores together, and hence is easier to perform. However, it demonstrates lower sensitivity and specificity compared with the former method.

Thus, this study has developed a new radiological scoring system for evaluating gadolinium distribution within the perilymphatic space, based on a large number of samples. In addition, our statistical analysis compared endolymphatic hydrops diagnosis based on our MRI scoring system with that based on a clinical gold standard method. The applicability and diagnostic power of our MRI scoring criteria were assessed with reference to components of the inner ear. We developed two sets of diagnostic criteria, one based on the radiological scores of different labyrinthine components, and the other based on the total radiological score of these various components. These methods can be used to diagnose endolymphatic hydrops conveniently and effectively, and in addition could also serve as quantitative indices for clinical disease staging and evaluation of treatment effectiveness.

IMAGING SCORING SYSTEM FOR PERILYMPHATIC SPACE

Our MRI scoring system had a high level of accuracy for diagnosing Ménière's disease and delayed endolymphatic hydrops, but was less accurate for diagnosing sudden deafness and other disorders. Further studies are needed to confirm our results and to explore the application of our endolymphatic hydrops MRI scoring system in cases of sudden deafness and other diseases, in order to expand our system's applications.

Conclusion

We report a convenient and effective method with which to diagnose endolymphatic hydrops, using a system to score the MRI appearance of the perilymphatic space. This system has a high level of diagnostic accuracy for Ménière's disease and delayed endolymphatic hydrops, but not for sudden deafness and other disorders. Although all our patients with sudden deafness and other disorders also suffered from vertigo or hearing disorders, their clinical histories and symptoms failed to support the diagnosis of Ménière's disease or delayed endolymphatic hydrops. Therefore, the MRI scoring system for diagnosing endolymphatic hydrops proposed in this study is only applicable to Ménière's disease and delayed endolymphatic hydrops.

Acknowledgements

We sincerely thank He Bao Chang, Lecturer of the Department of Epidemiology and Health Statistics, School of Public Health, Fujian Medical University, for his great assistance in data analysis.

References

1 Zou J, Poe D, Bjelke B, Pyykko I. Visualization of inner ear disorders with MRI in vivo: from animal models to human application. *Acta Otolaryngol Suppl* 2009;560:22–31

- 2 Nakashima T, Naganawa S, Sugiura M, Teranishi M, Sone M, Hayashi H et al. Visualization of endolymphatic hydrops in patients with Meniere's disease. *Larvngoscope* 2007;**117**:415–20
- 3 Fukuoka H, Tsukada K, Miyagawa M, Oguchi T, Takumi Y, Sugiura M et al. Semi-quantitative evaluation of endolymphatic hydrops by bilateral intratympanic gadolinium-based contrast agent (GBCA) administration with MRI for Meniere's disease. *Acta Otolaryngol* 2010;**130**:10–16
- 4 Counter SA, Bjelke B, Borg E, Klason T, Chen Z, Duan ML. Magnetic resonance imaging of the membranous labyrinth during in vivo gadolinium (Gd-DTPA-BMA) uptake in the normal and lesioned cochlea. *Neuroreport* 2000;11:3979–83
- 5 Fiorino F, Pizzini FB, Beltramello A, Barbieri F. MRI performed after intratympanic gadolinium administration in patients with Ménière's disease: correlation with symptoms and signs. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 2011;268:181–7
- 6 Committee on Hearing and Equilibrium guidelines for the diagnosis and evaluation of therapy in Menière's disease. American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Foundation, Inc. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 1995;**113**:181–5
- 7 Klemm E, Deutscher A, Mösges R. A present investigation of the epidemiology in idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss [in German]. *Laryngorhinootologie* 2009;88:524–7
- 8 Nakashima T, Naganawa S, Pyykko I, Gibson WP, Sone M, Nakata S et al. Grading of endolymphatic hydrops using magnetic resonance imaging. Acta Otolaryngol Suppl 2009;560:5–8

Address for correspondence: Dr Xi Chen, Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, First Affiliated Hospital of Fujian Medical University, 20 Cha Zhong Road, Tai Jiang District, Fuzhou 350005, Fujian Province, PR China

Fax: +86 591 83318716 E-mail: chenxi3518@yahoo.cn

Dr X Chen takes responsibility for the integrity of the content of the paper Competing interests: None declared

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022215112000060 Published online by Cambridge University Press